

HRB Meeting of April 24, 2025										
First Name	Last Name	Meeting Date	Agenda Item Number	Position	I would like to speak on the item during public testimony.	Comments	Attachments	Attachments (Additional)	Attachments (Additional)	Attachments (Additional)
HRB Public Comments - Added 4/22/25, 4/24/25, 4/29/25										
ITEM 1										
Nicole	Panissidi	4/24/2025	1	In Support of Item	No	Item 1: Continued from February 27, 2025, 310 SAN FERNANDO STREET. Please support staff, do not designate the property located at 310 San Fernando Street under any adopted HRB Criteria.				
Michael	Panissidi	4/24/2025	1	In Support of Item	No	310 SAN FERNANDO STREET. Please support staff, do not designate the property located at 310 San Fernando Street under any adopted HRB Criteria.				
Sharon	Gehl	4/24/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	Yes	Despite what some people may think, redwood is not “difficult to obtain”. It’s commercially grown in California, so most local lumber yards carry it. According to the University of California, about 600,000 acres of coast redwood habitat are in commercial timber production. Old growth redwoods occupy a third as much area, about 200,000 acres, most of which is in state and national forests and not harvested. Redwood sold in California is required to be certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) as grown in responsibly managed commercial forests, so buying redwood supports California farmers.				
Marcie	Rothman	4/24/2025	1	In Support of Item	Yes	I look forward to the meeting.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/310-hrb-board-meeting-pdf-4.24.25.pdf			
Steven	Untiedt	4/24/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	Yes	I oppose the Staff's recommendation regarding, and support historical designation of, 310 San Fernando Street under Criteria A and C. Please see my uploaded letter and the three (3) attachments to it.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/untiedt-letter-to-the-historical-resources-board-9372552.2.pdf	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/isa-310-san-fernando-2025-04-22.pdf	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/310-san-fernando-street-the-laplaya-trail-assn-comments.pdf	See SOHO Letter (Duplicate) https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/hrb-april-24-agenda-item-1-310-san-fernando-street.pdf
Michael	Robinson	4/24/2025	1	In Support of Item	No	Please find attached our letter in support of the Nomination for Designation as a Historical Resource	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/hisoric-resource-board-april-24.pdf			
ITEM 2										
Ginger	Weatherford	4/24/2025	2	In Opposition to Item	Yes	I have a prepared presentation				
Loraine	Hester Dyson	4/24/2025	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see attached letter	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/loraine-hester-dyson-support-letter.pdf			

Marianne	Tutto	4/24/2025	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Please reconsider your position. I've been aware of this beautiful all concrete home and its history for 25 years. As new owners Sandy and Bill have done a wonderful job maintaining the integrity and historic look of the home.				
Annette	Lau	4/24/2025	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Its my understanding that the Historical Resource Board decision requesting historic designationfor 2726 Angell Avenue, San Diego, CA 92122, is to deny the request. I find this puzzling in as much as the house is unique for the neighborhood, is unique for the use of material, has been acclaimed by local news media, has won an architectural award, and was photographed by Julius Schulman. I have observed that the San Diego Historic Preservation Board and or San Diego city staff, do not appear to value San Diego's mid century heritage. San Diego has wonderful Victorian era buildings and Craftsman neighborhoods, which with great support from SOHO have been recognized for their historic value. I don't that the same enthusiasm exists for Mid Century Modern, which is a shame, considering how embraced that architecture is in man communities, including Los Angeles and Palm Springs. Those communities have wonderful tours annually, bringing international renown to their cities as well as tourist dollars. I would love to see San Diego embrace their neighborhoods and architects as well. I would also like to see that 2726 Angell be granted Historic status so that University City residents will learn about the history of the area and the other mid century homes homes here. The pride of place for the residents is something that other neighborhoods could replicate. Why not be innovative and creative and start a Mid Century precedent here, especially for a home that is so special and unique? The Board and the City just might get some recognition for doing the right thing.				
Laura	Henson	4/24/2025	2	In Opposition to Item	No	I would like to see 2726 Angell Avenue individually designated due to its involvement in The Horizon Home Contest to promote concrete buildings during the early 1960s. The roof that is 10 inches thick and weighs145-tons with exposed cables (left over from the hydraulic jacks that put the roof in place) are a fascinating and unusual decorative element. Criteria A, B & C.When this home was built, it frequently was featured in the local newspaper indicating that the materials and architectural design had an impact on the architecture in San Diego during the 1960s. Criteria A & CThat a Master Architect, Henry Hess, was involved in its design is another compelling reason for designation. Criterion D				
The comments below were posted after the 10 AM deadline										
Jere	McInerney	4/24/2025	1	In Support of Item	No	Having lived in Mission Hills for over 25 years, I am very familiar with historical designation. Many houses in my neighborhood have been designated as historical. I have been approached by a company to pay thousands of dollars to them to present my home as being designated historical and "save tax money." I have decided not to do that because I believe that I, as a citizen, should pay the taxes that keep our city as one of the nation's finest. The San Diego City staff professionals have come to the overwhelming conclusion that the structure in question is NOT HISTORICAL. How is it possible that a few self interested individuals can override the city's designation? There is a process in place. Our tax dollars support the city staff work. Is it all for naught? I expect that the Board will do the right thing and vote to support the San Diego City staff recommendation that the structure is not historically significant.				
Kiley	Wallace	4/24/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	Yes	Will be the speaker for an organized presentation.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/updated-addendum-the-dr-winfeld-mckay-and-susan-mckay-house.pdf			
Ben	Segebartt		1	In Opposition to Item	No	I am donating my time to Landmark Historic's organized presentation.				
lone	Stiegler	4/24/2025	Non-Agenda Comment			Reviewing the four SOIS Treatments	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-04/hrb-presentation-on-sois-v2.pdf			
lone	Stiegler	4/24/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	Yes	I am in support of designation.				