

The City of
SAN DIEGO
MOBILITY BOARD

Meeting Notice and Agenda

Wednesday, May 7, 2025

4:00 PM – 5:30 PM

Civic Center Plaza, 1200 3rd Ave, 4th Floor Conference Room
San Diego, CA 92101

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://sandiego.zoomgov.com/j/1619281857>

Members: Michael Donovan (Mayoral), Wayne Landon (Mayoral), Haylee Rea (Mayoral), Daniel Reeves (Mayoral), Harry Bubbins (D1), Stephan Vance (D2), Rosa Olascoaga Vidal (Chair, D4), Nicole Burgess (D5), Jeff Dosick (D6), Anar Salayev (D9)

Agenda:

Item 1: Call to Order. Roll call.

Item 2: Approval of Meeting Minutes (Action)

Approval of the March 19, 2025, meeting minutes (Attachment 1) and April 2, 2025, meeting minutes (Attachment 2).

Item 3: Non-Agenda Public Comment

Item 4: Draft Budget for Fiscal Year 2026 (Information)

Staff will share highlights of the Draft Budget for Fiscal Year 2026 as released by the City in April 2025.

Item 5: Work Plan Development (Discussion/Possible Action)

The Mobility Board will discuss the development of a Work Plan for the upcoming Fiscal Year. Preliminary Work Plan categories and status of current goals are included as Attachment 3.

Item 6: Subcommittee Updates

7.a. Vision Zero Subcommittee Activities

There are no updates from the Vision Zero Subcommittee this month.

7.b. Budget Subcommittee Activities

There are no updates from the Budget Subcommittee this month.

7.c. Parking Management Subcommittee

The Parking Management Subcommittee will discuss activities conducted in April, 2025.

Note: Subcommittee minutes and agenda request shall be submitted no later than 10 days prior to the next Mobility Board meeting.

Item 7: Staff Updates

Item 8: Updates from Members

Item 9: Schedule and Topics for Future Meetings

- June 4, 2025
- July 2, 2025
- August 6, 2025
- September 3, 2025

Mobility Board meeting agenda topic requests shall be submitted no later than 10 days prior to the next Mobility Board meeting.

Item 10: Adjournment

The next scheduled meeting of the Mobility Board is June 4, 2025.

MEETING MATERIALS

This meeting agenda and any meeting materials can be found on the Mobility Board webpage as they become available. <https://www.sandiego.gov/mobility-board>

THE LINK TO JOIN THE WEBINAR BY COMPUTER, TABLET, OR SMARTPHONE IS:

<https://sandiego.zoomgov.com/j/1619281857>

Meeting ID: 161 928 1857

TO JOIN BY USING ONE-TAP MOBILE:

US (English): + 16692545252,, 1619281857#

US (Spanish): + 16692161590,, 1619281857#

TO JOIN BY TELEPHONE:

Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

(US West Coast): +1 669 254 5252 or +1 669 216 1590 or (US East Coast): +1 551 285 1373 or +1 646 828 7666 or (US Spanish): +1 415 449 4000 or +1 646 964 1167 or (US Toll Free) 833 568 8864

Meeting ID: 161 928 1857

Find your local number: <https://sandiego.zoomgov.com/u/aKT2VxCzG>

HOW TO SPEAK TO A PARTICULAR ITEM OR DURING NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT:

VIA VIRTUAL PLATFORM

When the Chair introduces the item you would like to comment on (or indicates it is time for Non-Agenda Public Comment), raise your hand by either tapping the “Raise Your Hand” button on your computer, tablet, or Smartphone, or by dialing *9 on your phone. You will be taken in the order in which you raised your hand. You may only speak once on a particular item. When the Chair indicates it is your turn to speak, click the unmute prompt that will appear on your computer, tablet or Smartphone, or dial *6 on your phone.

WRITTEN COMMENT

Comment on Agenda Items and Non-Agenda Public Comment may be submitted using the [webform](#) indicating the agenda item number for which you wish to submit your comment. If you submit more than one form per item, only one will be read into the record for that item. Comments received after 4:00 p.m. the day prior and before 8:00 a.m. the day of the meeting will be provided to the Board or Commission and posted online with the meeting materials. All comments are limited to 200 words. Comments received after 8:00 a.m. the day of the meeting but before the item is called will be submitted into the written record for the relevant item.

The City of
SAN DIEGO
MOBILITY BOARD

Wednesday, March 19, 2025
In Person Meeting: 4:00 – 5:30 PM
Civic Center Plaza, 1200 3rd Ave., 4th Floor Conf. Room
San Diego, CA 92101

Members: Daniel Reeves (Mayoral), Gary Smith (Mayoral), Michelle Krug (Mayoral), Katie Crist (D1), Stephan Vance (D2), Rosa Olascoaga Vidal (Chair, D4), Nicole Burgess (D5), Jeff Dosick (D6), Steve Gelb (D7), Stephanie Hernandez (D9)

Agenda:

Item 1: Call to Order. Roll call.

Chair Rosa Olascoaga called the meeting to order at 4:08 p.m.

Mobility Board Member	Seat	Attendance
Michelle Krug	Mayoral	No
Daniel Reeves	Mayoral	Yes
Gary Smith	Mayoral	Yes
Vacant	Mayoral	No
Katie Crist	D1	Yes
Stephan Vance	D2	Yes
Vacant	D3	No
Rosa Olascoaga Vidal	D4	Yes
Nicole Burgess	D5	Yes
Jeff Dosick	D6	Yes
Steve Gelb	D7	Yes
Vacant	D8	No
Stephanie Hernandez	D9	No

Item 2: Approval of the Meeting Minutes (Action)

Chair Olascoaga asked the Mobility Board to review and approve the minutes from its February 5, 2025, meeting.

There were no public comments on this item.

Action: The February 5, 2025, meeting minutes were approved upon a motion by Daniel Reeves and a second by Gary Smith, with all members in attendance voting ‘Yes’.

Item 3: Non-Agenda Public Comment

Gary Smith thanked board members for his time on the Mobility Board and urged the group to have a Vice Chair in the future.

Item 6: Subcommittee Updates

Chair Olascoaga announced that agenda items would be taken out of order and proceeded to item 6.

6.a. Vision Zero Subcommittee Activities

No meeting was held and there are no updates from the Vision Zero Subcommittee this month.

6.b. Budget Subcommittee Activities (Action)

No meeting was held and there are no updates from the Vision Zero Subcommittee this month.

6.c. Parking Management Subcommittee (Discussion/Possible Action)

The Subcommittee prepared a letter to the Active Transportation and Infrastructure Committee Board expressing concerns and offering recommendations for the current operation of CPDs and Council Policy 100-18. Heather Werner, Interim Director of the Sustainability and Mobility Department, provided an overview of the parking reform package being presented to the Active Transportation and Infrastructure Committee on March 20, 2025 and recognized that some of the issues raised in the subcommittee letter may be recognized by staff recommendations in the parking reform package. Board members discussed edits to the draft letter.

Michael Donovan (virtual) reminded the Board that CPD funding can be used to improve access to parking in a neighborhood or reduce the need for parking in the neighborhood.

Action: Steve Gelb made a motion to adopt the letter with edits discussed during the meeting (Attachment A) and a second was made by Nicole Burgess. Gary Smith abstained from the vote with all others in attendance voting “Yes.”

Item 4: City Reorganization (Information)

Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, provided an overview of the organizational changes announced on February 18, 2025. The Sustainability and Mobility Department has been dissolved and staff will transition their roles to existing departments. A number of smaller City offices also will be moved to existing departments. As part of these organizational changes, Anna Lowe will step away from the Mobility Board liaison role and Sarah Pierce, Senior Planner, will take her place. Mayor Todd Gloria will assume the role and responsibility of City Manager. The total cost savings from this reorganization approximate \$5.4 million.

There were no public comments on this item.

Item 5: Mobility Board Membership and Work Plan (Discussion/Possible Action)

Chair Rosa Olascoaga announced that five new board members will be transitioning onto the Mobility Board and a work plan will be established after they are sworn in and assume their places on the Mobility Board. Board members discussed the future work plan and shared reflections on their Mobility Board participation.

There were no public comments on this item.

Item 7: Staff Updates

No staff updates were provided.

Item 8: Updates from Members

There were no updates from Members.

Item 9: Schedule for Future Meetings

- April 2, 2025
- May 7, 2025
- June 4, 2025
- July 2, 2025

Item 10: Adjournment

Chair Olascoaga adjourned the meeting at 5:08 pm. The next regularly scheduled Mobility Board meeting is April 2, 2025.

City of San Diego Mobility Board

March 19, 2025

Honorable Councilmember Whitburn
Chair, Active Transportation and Infrastructure Committee
City of San Diego
202 C Street
San Diego, CA 92101

RE: Community Parking Districts and Council Policy 100-18

Dear Chair Whitburn and honorable members of the committee:

I am writing on behalf of the City of San Diego Mobility Board to express concerns and offer recommendations for the current operation of Community Parking Districts ("CPD"s) and Council Policy 100-18. The Mobility Board is the appointed advisory body charged with providing input and guidance to the City Council and Mayor on policies and issues related to the City's transportation network. The Mobility Board has reviewed the City's track record with CPDs and offers the following input concerning any decisions made to change how CPDs operate or how Council Policy 100-18 governs their existence, formation, and operation.

Parking and the efficient use of the City's curb space are at the heart of many elements of the city-wide mobility puzzle. For many years, critical decisions about how to best utilize that curb space and how to reinvest the revenue generated as part of its management have been outsourced to self-governing and unaccountable community-based parking advisory boards.

With that in mind, and with the discussion of Council Policy 100-18 and the future of CPDs being taken up by this committee, the Mobility Board appreciates this opportunity to share our perspective on some of the challenges presented by the CPD concept, and some guidance for the City Council and Mayor's Office on some changes that could be implemented to ensure these entities are kept accountable to their communities and to the City's policies, and that their governance is clear, transparent, and equitable.

Accountability to Community and Public

Currently, Council Policy establishes a process for how a community may form a CPD. That process, while generally clear, leaves the important process of establishing the management and governance structure up to the community group proposing the

formation. The City Council does have the opportunity to challenge any assumptions for the practicality of that structure, but without full insight into the makeup and challenges of the impacted community, it leaves much of the burden of fairness and transparency on the entity applying for formation and, where there is interest in obfuscating community needs in order to benefit one group over another, there are no checks or balances to ensure the entity that is created is truly accountable to the public. Further, Council Policy does not contemplate how an existing CPD would be reconstituted under a new or reformed board.

In some cases, under the existing Council Policy and CPD structure, seats are guaranteed to a fixed number of existing interest groups, leaving out fair representation of the full spectrum of interests impacted by parking in a given community. For example, where a Business Improvement District (“BID”) or similar group is the sole entity responsible for forming the CPD, the BID board acts as the CPD board, as is the case with the Pacific Beach CPD, leaving out important representation by community members or other interest groups that do not belong to the BID. Similarly, in some cases, multiple BIDs come together to form the CPD board, as is the case with the Uptown and Downtown CPDs. When this happens, there can be a lack of representation for communities within the CPD that are not represented by a BID, or other interest groups that are not members of a BID. When multiple BIDs come together to form a CPD Board, or even simply make up the majority of voting seats on said board, there is a tendency for those groups to “divvy up” the financial resources of the CPD according to each BID’s territory, leaving district-wide programs that benefit the entire CPD, which, for purposes of efficiency, economies of scale, and effective management of resources, should be the priority of a CPD in lieu of small, one-off projects in a given neighborhood within the CPD, left with few resources for implementation.

With these existing challenges in mind, and in the interest of strengthening CPD accountability to the impacted community and the general public, the Mobility Board recommends the following:

1. The City Council requests the Independent Budget Analyst to analyze the various governance structures of each of the CPDs and bring forward recommendations to City Council for a unified, consistent governance structure across all CPDs, including:
 - a. The specific number of seats available on the CPD board.
 - b. The allocation of seats to specific constituencies to ensure there is representation across the business, community members, visitors, CBOs, recreation, and city-wide interest groups.

- c. The process for open elections, similar to how Community Planning Groups are managed, to ensure CPD Boards are not stagnant in their representation of the community, limited in perspective to certain interests, or lacking representation of a geographic area or special interest within the CPD.
 - d. The process for reformation when there is a change in the entity charged with managing the CPD, or if the community doesn't believe there is equitable or fair representation by an existing CPD.
2. Council Policy, in addition to extending Brown Act rules to CPDs, as is currently the case, stipulates that CPD Boards must meet in a location easily accessible and known to the public and offer the same digital access to meetings for viewing and commenting as is provided for Council and Council Committee meetings.
3. City Council explicitly establishes the mechanisms for oversight by City departmental staff to ensure that each CPD is overseen in a consistent way and provide for City Attorney guidance and review of CPD actions to ensure consistency with council policy, City Attorney memoranda, and local, state, and federal law.

Equitable Representation

In many cases, as was illustrated above, existing CPD boards do not represent or mirror the communities for which they are making important financial decisions. Just as with CPD Boards with overrepresentation from BIDs, there are other instances of lack of representation of specific groups. When CPDs are formed, they often encompass a geographic area that is larger than the footprint of the metered zones within the CPD. While metered zones especially impact businesses, the scope of decisions entrusted with the CPD Boards extends beyond the management of meters and the use of parking meter revenue. As such, there should be seats on each board that are specifically allocated to areas within the CPD that do not have parking meters installed to ensure decisions that are being made within those areas reflect the needs of those areas, and to ensure that the use of parking revenue collected within the CPD benefits the entire CPD and not just the metered zones. To accomplish this, the Mobility Board encourages the City Council to take action to ensure the recommendations for accountability and governance listed above are implemented.

Conflicts of Interest

With any unelected body entrusted with making decisions for the use of public resources, transparency is paramount. Under the current paradigm, there is very little in

the way of checks or balances on if or how CPD Board members are conflicted in the decisions they make. While these boards are governed by the Brown Act and are required to file a Form 700, there is a surprising lack of oversight of the proceedings of these boards to ensure members are recusing, abstaining, and stating the nature of their conflicts of interest to ensure the public's trust is maintained in the decisions they are making for the use of public resources.

As with the example of BID representation on CPD Boards stated above, there are many examples of decisions for the use of parking revenue that are made by CPD Board members, without abstention or recusal, that directly benefit their employer, the BID, creating an incentive, other than what is best for the CPD, for them to vote in a particular way. In the case of the Downtown CPD, many of the BIDs that maintain seats on the CPD Board publish financials that show a Parking District Revenue line item. This illustrates the fact that these BIDs are expecting and counting on parking revenue to flow to them in order to supplement their operating budgets. In some cases, this is just to account for their role in implementing legitimate CPD projects, but if you look closer, BIDs are both allocating parking revenue to BID projects that are hardly defensible as parking projects and are charging an administrative fee to implement CPD projects that benefit the BID's bottom line. An even closer look would also reveal a practice where these third parties are charging an administrative fee for each individual CPD project they manage, and an overall administrative fee for managing the collection of CPD projects, creating a "double dipping" or double charging of administrative fees to the CPD.

Beyond these examples, without stronger oversight over CPD Boards, the public is simply exposed to a greater risk of the misuse or misallocation of public resources by unaccountable, unelected decision-makers. With this in mind, the Mobility Board recommends the following:

1. City Council asks the Independent Budget Analyst to review the existing conflict of interest rules around CPD Boards and make recommendations to the City Council for changes to Council Policy 100-18 or other policy documents to strengthen conflict of interest training, reporting, and oversight, to protect the public's trust in how decisions are being made on the use of public resources.
2. City Council explicitly limits how much a third party may charge for an administrative fee to implement a CPD project and ensures there is no "double dipping" allowed in how those fees are charged.

3. Create a definition for what constitutes a CPD project, within the bounds of the allowable use of parking meter revenue as established by Council Policy 100-18 and City Attorney memoranda, and how those projects must be distinct and separate from third-party priorities and projects.

Highest and Best Use of Public Resources

The changes recommended above will go a long way in ensuring the public's trust is maintained in the use of these resources, however, it would be advisable that the City Council take a more proactive approach not just to defining what is an acceptable use of parking revenue, but also what is the priority for the use of parking revenue. While Council Policy 100-18 and City Attorney memoranda lay out the allowable uses for parking revenue, which should be revisited and better defined, there is no such direction given to CPDs for what the City believes is the preferred use of CPD resources. For example, funding has gone towards decorative lighting and placemaking activities as allowable uses for parking revenue, however, these investments do not materially decrease the demand for parking and typically only benefit one neighborhood or section of the CPD instead of benefiting the entire CPD.

It is not enough for the City to define what is an allowable use; it is incumbent upon our elected decision-makers to set forth the priorities that CPDs must take into consideration when making decisions for the use of parking revenue. With this in mind, the Mobility Board recommends the following:

1. City Council proactively reviews the allowable uses for parking meter revenue, as defined by Council Policy 100-18 and City Attorney memoranda, and refines those allowable uses to ensure precious public resources are being used for projects that truly make a difference in the parking environment in each CPD by either, a) increasing supply of parking, or b) decreasing demand for parking align with the City of San Diego Mobility Master Plan.
2. The City Council further asserts its perspective on how parking revenue should be used by enumerating the preferences for how limited CPD resources be used and a method for prioritization that takes into consideration the impact of the proposed project and the number of members of the public that may benefit from such a project. It's the Mobility Board's opinion the City Council prioritizes the decrease the demand of parking.

It is with great enthusiasm and encouragement that the Mobility Board puts forward this letter with recommendations for the future embodiment of the Community Parking District concept. As the duly appointed body charged with making these

recommendations to the City Council and the Mayor's office, it is our goal to ensure each of these issues is fully considered and well thought out before any changes to the City's CPD program are effectuated so that the public's trust is upheld when important decisions for the use of these public resources are being made.

Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions or for additional context.

Sincerely,

Rosa Olascoaga
Chair, City of San Diego Mobility Board

Daniel Reeves
Chair, City of San Diego Mobility Board Community Parking District Subcommittee

CC:
Mayor Todd Gloria
City of San Diego City Council
City Attorney Heather Ferbert
Independent Budget Analyst Charles Modica

The City of
SAN DIEGO
MOBILITY BOARD

Wednesday, April 2, 2025
 In Person Meeting: 4:00 – 5:30 PM
 Civic Center Plaza, 1200 3rd Ave., 4th Floor Conf. Room
 San Diego, CA 92101

Members: Daniel Reeves (Mayoral), Wayne Landon (Mayoral), Michael Donovan (Mayoral), Haylee Ann Rea (Mayoral), Harry Bubbins (D1), Stephan Vance (D2), Rosa Olascoaga Vidal (Chair, D4), Nicole Burgess (D5), Jeff Dosick (D6), Steve Gelb (D7), Anar Salayev (D9)

Agenda:

Item 1: Call to Order. Roll call.

Daniel Reeves called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

Mobility Board Member	Seat	Attendance
Wayne Landon	Mayoral	Yes
Daniel Reeves	Mayoral	Yes
Michael Donovan	Mayoral	Yes
Haylee Ann Rea	Mayoral	Yes
Harry Bubbins	D1	Yes
Stephan Vance	D2	No
Vacant	D3	No
Rosa Olascoaga Vidal	D4	No
Nicole Burgess	D5	Yes
Jeff Dosick	D6	Yes
Steve Gelb	D7	Yes
Vacant	D8	No
Anar Salayev	D9	Yes

Item 2: Approval of the Meeting Minutes (Action)

Daniel Reeves asked the Mobility Board to review and approve the minutes from its March 19, 2025, special meeting.

There were no public comments on this item.

Action: This item will be returned to the May 7, 2025 so that it reflects the inclusion of the memo approved by the Board regarding the Community Parking District Subcommittee activities.

Item 3: Non-Agenda Public Comment

Anar Salayev asked for an external microphone for future meetings to ensure that each speaker can be heard by online viewers and for the recordings.

Item 4: Mobility Board Overview (Information)

Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, provided an overview of the Mobility Board functions, its structure, administrative procedures, duties, functions, and purpose. Board members asked about output of the Board and where work products go. It was clarified the Board is advisory in nature and has the ability to share work products with the Mayor's office, council districts, departments, or other City

staff. The Board also asked for a Resources tab on the Mobility Board website to share relevant documents and information pertaining to mobility within the City. There were no public comments on this item.

Item 5: Bike Master Plan Update (Information)

City staff shared an update of the Bike Master Plan Update activities, including Phase 1 pending summaries including outreach, best practices, state of the network/existing conditions. Staff also provided an overview of upcoming Phase 2 work and schedule. Board members discussed overarching need for the Plan, ways gaps in the network will be interpreted for the forthcoming bike network development, dangerous conditions, project types, data collection, prioritization, schedule to correct existing errors in the current network, and potential funding sources.

There were no public comments on this item.

Item 6: Subcommittee Updates

6.a. Vision Zero Subcommittee Activities

No meeting was held and there are no updates from the Vision Zero Subcommittee. Steve Gelb volunteered to be the new Vision Zero Subcommittee Chair. Haylee Ann Rea and Nicole Burgess volunteered to serve as members.

6.b. Budget Subcommittee Activities (Action)

No meeting was held and there are no updates from the subcommittee this month.

6.c. Parking Management Subcommittee (Discussion/Possible Action)

No meeting was held and there are no updates from the subcommittee this month but the item is pending Council consideration in May/June 2025.

Item 7: Staff Updates

No staff updates were provided.

Item 8: Updates from Members

There were no updates from Members. Daniel Reeves requested that the table be circulated to members of the Work Plan items along with attachments. Anar Salayev also asked for the ATI Work Plan to be provided to the Board as well.

Item 9: Schedule for Future Meetings

- May 7, 2025
- June 4, 2025
- July 2, 2025
- August 6, 2025

Item 10: Adjournment

Daniel Reeves adjourned the meeting at 5:49 pm. The next regularly scheduled Mobility Board meeting is May 7, 2025.

Mobility Board: Draft Work Plan Goals

Lead	Goal	Objective(s)	Deliverable(s)	Related Plans/Strategies	Schedule
	Community Plan, Specific Plan, and Focus Plan Update Integration	· Ensure that community plan updates align with existing city mobility goals by integrating policy targets related to transportation, land use, and sustainability.	- Require coordination with city departments to inform projections of modal shift from proposed land use and transportation infrastructure updates -CPU includes baseline mode share data for walking, biking, public transit, vehicle trips - Sets specific mobility targets that are consistent with the City's Climate Action Plan mode share goals over time '- Mobility, zoning, and program updates must prioritize expanding pedestrian, bicycle and public transit trips and reducing single occupancy vehicle trips '- Require resurfacing projects meet Complete Street guidelines - Require minimum residential and commercial density thresholds and ped/bike friendly infrastructure near transit hubs - Require an implementation plan with short, mid- and long-term strategies for aligning with citywide goals - Require regular valuation and reporting on progress	- Climate Action Plan '- Mobility Master Plan '- Complete Streets Policy '- Street Design Manual '- Bike Master Plan '- Vision Zero Strategic Plan '- AB 43 (speed limit) '- AB 413 (daylighting)	- As Community Plans are updated
Stephan Vance	Bike Parking Ordinance	Valet bike parking requirements could be instituted through an ordinance establishing a transportation demand management program for recurring events at permanent locations. For occasional events, the requirement could be included in obtaining a special use permit or other permit that would be required for using a municipal facility like a street or park.	The City of San Diego should establish, through an ordinance or event permitting process, a requirement for providing valet bike parking whenever it is reasonable to expect or prudent to encourage bicycle access to an event.	- Bike Master Plan	
Rosa Olascoaga Vidal	Data Transparency for Equitable Construction Duration	To enhance data transparency and accessibility regarding construction duration in San Diego, ensuring equitable information dissemination to all stakeholders, including residents, businesses, and policymakers.	1. Data Inventory Report - Compile existing data sets related to construction duration - Identify gaps in data availability and quality. 2. Interactive Dashboard on the CPI webpage - Dashboard displaying real-time construction duration data, including historical trends and forecasts. - Ensure the dashboard is user-friendly and accessible to the general public. 3. Data Transparency Policy Framework -Recommendations should require proper notice and community engagement, outlining transparency regarding construction delays and the responsible parties. There should be clear oversight of contractors and adherence to project timelines. This promotes transparency in reporting construction durations. - Create a guide for stakeholders on navigating and utilizing data.	San Diego Vision Zero Action Plan, San Diego Climate Action Plan, City of San Diego Open Data Policy, Mobility Master Plan, Community Plan Updates	
Steve Gelb	Vision Zero Incident Counter	Collect data and disseminate to Council monthly count of traffic deaths and injuries			
Daniel Reeves	Community Parking District Oversight and Policy				
Nicole Burgess	Diverter Policy Alignment with Mobility Goals				
Anar Salayev	Track and provide recommendation on the Active Transportation Committee's workplan	Ensure comunity and SME input is provided to items as they're going through the City's internal pipelines	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/2025-ati-committee-work-plan.pdf * We should go through these items and prioritize 5 that we would like to focus on and ask that Staff present them to us shortly before or after it has gone to ATI * My recommendations: - Vision Zero Updates (including AB43 Work plan + expansion, AB413 implementation update, Speed Management Plan, IBA's Quick Build Recommendations, Fatal 15) - Complete Streets Design Manual Updates and Work Pipeline (including, Class I/Class IV bike lanes + transit improvements - bus only lanes, signal priority, etc) - Sidewalk Construction - Open Streets + Placemaking efforts	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/2025-ati-committee-work-plan.pdf	
Michael Donovan	Develop and promote an end to end view of transportation options	Ensure San Diego has a complete view of the most effective (And ineffective) ways to trvel between any 2 points in the city	Allow simple comparison of various mobility options bwtween two points, identify gaps or excessive travel times comparing combinations of travel methodolofy, identify corrective action t ensure viable alternatives to auto centric travel across neighborhood, inter and intracity and regional transit destinations		