



UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY PLAN UPDATE SUBCOMMITTEE

Meeting Minutes - Tuesday, June 18, 2019

6:10 CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL BY CHAIR: Andy Wiese

Roll Call:

Members present:

Andy Wiese (AW), Keith Jenne (KJ), Roger Cavanaugh (RC), Kris Kopensky (KK), Dinesh Martien (DM), Debby Knight (DK), Anu Delouri (AD), Rebecca Robinson (RR), Kristin Camper (KC), Melanie Cohn (MC), Jason Morehead (JM), George Lattimer (GL), Veronica Ayesta (VA)

Deana Ratnikova (DR) substituted as alternate for Katie Rodolico in the UCCA organization slot.

Members not present:

Laurie Phillips (LP), Petr Krysl (PK), Katie Rodolico (KR), Andie Hosch (AH), Joanne Selleck (JS), John Bassler (JB)

Non-voting Member:

Kristin Camper (KC)

Note: MCAS Miramar representative Kristin Camper does not vote per US Government policy.

City Staff:

Tait Galloway (TG), Dan Monroe (DM), Katie Witherspoon (KW)

Call for volunteers for the 4th celebration:

Roger Cavanaugh (RC). Dinesh Martien (DM). Keith Jenne (KJ). Keith will supply a canopy.

6:19 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Andie Hosch not present - no minutes to approve for 5/21 CPUS

Chris Nielsen (CN) to take minutes in Andie's place for this meeting.

6:20 NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT

Diane Ahern:

Thanks to Kristin Camper for arranging the June 9 meeting at MCAS Miramar. Thanks for notification for take offs/landings exercises going on at Miramar this week.

Barry Bernstein:

Thanks for promoting the 4th celebration.

6:23 Item 1 INFO ITEM – Recap of progress to date (AW)

AW: There has been outreach to increase community participation via the CP survey and other community events.

AW: Had a presentation from the City's CAP department to tell us how the CAP fits into the Plan.

AW: Had a presentation from Keyser-Marston on land use and employment. There was a session (continued tonight) on guiding principles with an approved vision statement.

DM: Online survey extended to June 30.

DM: Vision is the big picture. Guiding principles are more specific. Other plan updates are in process. They started with vision then went with the principles. These words end up in the plan. [Dan goes through the Kearney Mesa plan update.] No standard format for all plans.

TG: Each chapter in the plan reflects the vision statement.

6:38 Item 2 ACTION ITEM – Draft CPU Vision Statement & Guiding Principles

Proposed Principle #1: **Renowned Institutions.** The development of institutions that provide world leading research, higher education and healthcare which contribute to the built environment and support the economic growth and attractiveness of the community.

GL: Suggests enhancing things that exist. The UC area supports the region.

General discussion about inspiration, vision, and our renowned institutions and the role they play in the community.

Public: Cooperate with the institutions that do the creating.

TG: "support" is the guiding principle that powers the "action" later.

AD: "working collaboration"

RC: "balancing community needs"

DK: "collaborate is a better term"

JM: "a vision should have 'Shall' or 'will'"

TG: "A goal is peace in the middle East; a meaningful dialog is a process"

TG: Don't over word-smith the statements. Keep them simple and revise later on.

TG: The principles are the things that connect the elements.

AW: Summarizes.

DM: The City's language is an attempt to take previous workshop/public input and incorporate them into the statements. Not arbitrary language.

KW: Recommend keeping the "built environment".

GL motions to accept Principle #1:

Renowned Institutions. The development and enhancement of institutions that provide world-class research, higher education, healthcare, and culture, contribute to the built environment, and support the economic growth and attractiveness of the

community and region.

DK seconds motion

AW moves to vote:

Yes	13 (including chair)
No	0
Abst.	0

Proposed Principle #2:

A Vibrant Mixed-Use Urban Core. A land use pattern that focuses growth into a vibrant urban core which contains regional transit connections and a distinct range of uses, character, streetscapes, places, urban form and building design as a leader in sustainability.

DK: What is the core?

DM: Previously called the Urban Node: La Jolla Village Drive, Genesee.

IK: Add walkable.

JM: Mobility is later on.

GL: Delete growth.

AW: Should be either growth or development, but not neither.
Add "which" before "positions".

JM motions to accept Principle #2:

A Vibrant Mixed-Use Urban Core. A land use pattern that focuses development into a vibrant urban core, which contains regional transit connections and a distinct range of uses, character, streetscapes, places, urban form and building design and which positions the community as a leader in sustainability.

GL seconds motion

AW moves to vote:

Yes	12
No	1 (GL)
Abst.	0

Proposed Principle #3:

A Diversified Housing Inventory. A housing inventory that contains a broad range of housing types and costs to accommodate a variety of age groups, household sizes and compositions, tenure patterns and income levels.

GL motions to accept Principle #3:

A Diversified Housing Inventory. A housing inventory that contains a broad range of housing types and costs to accommodate a variety of age groups, household sizes and compositions, tenure patterns and income levels.

KK seconds motion

Discussion:

MC: There should be a connection between housing and transit.
DK: Fine as is. Some areas will be more transit oriented than others.
AD: Add smart growth.
DM: Add housing in the mobility section.

AW moves to vote:

Yes	13
No	0
Abst.	0

Proposed Principle #4:

A Center of Economic Activity. An employment center with scientific research, technology and office uses that provide jobs in proximity to residential, retail and visitor serving uses connected by transit that supports the economic viability and attractiveness of the community.

GL: Should transit be here if in mobility?
KJ: Why specificity of scientific?
AW: add "education, health care, retail ..."

DK motions to accept Principle #4:

A Center of Economic Activity. An employment center with scientific research, technology, healthcare, education and office uses that provides jobs in proximity to residential, retail, and visitor-serving uses connected by transit which supports the economic viability and attractiveness of the community and region.

DR seconds motion

AW moves to vote:

Yes	13
No	0
Abst.	0

Proposed Principle #5:

A Complete Mobility System. A mobility system that provides multi-modal options and a complete network for travel within the community and connectivity to the region, enhancing economic growth, livability and sustainability.

Dinesh: multi-modal is vague.

JM: This is a 30 year plan; multi-modal is a good choice.

Dinesh: Reason: Bike lanes never built. Must be more specific.

DR: bikeability and walkability: be specific.

AW: Integrated rather than complete.

BB: Please add "safety".

GL motions to accept Principle #5:

A Complete Mobility System. A mobility system that provides multi-modal options, including enhanced walkability and bikability, and a safe, integrated network for travel within the community and connectivity to the urban core and the region, enhancing economic growth, livability, bikeability, walkability, and sustainability.

DK seconds motion

AW moves to vote:

Yes	13
No	0
Abst.	0

Principle #6 previously approved

A sustainable community integrated with its natural environment, open space and recreational areas. Preservation of open space, watershed protection and improvement, restoration of habitat, enhancement of species diversity, improvement of population based parks and recreation areas, and provision of connections for wildlife and people contribute to community character, enhance quality of life and preserve unique natural resources.

Principle #7: Sustainable Urban Design

Note: DK drafted and proposed adding Principle #7.

Public: Is bird friendly necessary?

TG: This would be incorporated into Land Use Policy in coastal zones with specific conditions.

DM: This should be part of policy rather than a principle.

DK: There are many unique aspects to SD community that makes it better to be specific.

KW: Before "identity" is principle, after is policy.

DR: Suggests alternate wording.

AW: Can go more general here and get more specific later on.

JM: Some items are too specific. Some items should go into policy.

AW: Supports JM's comments.

Public: Make sure future technology is incorporated.

AW motions to accept Principle #7:

Sustainable urban design. A built environment that supports sustainability and contributes to the conservation of the rich natural resources that help define the community and region's identity, which incorporates leading green building technologies and sustainable design practices.

GL seconds motion

AW moves to vote:

Yes	13
No	0
Abst.	0

GL: A revised vision statement, adding "the community includes distinct communities on the north and south sides of Rose Canyon, ...".

Note: This discussion is to be put into the next meeting.

8:04 Item 3 INFO ITEM – UC San Diego 2018 Long Range Development Plan (LRDP)

Presentation by Robert Clossin (RCL), Director of Physical & Community Planning, UC San Diego regarding current and planned campus development and mobility improvements.

RCL: Presented the UCSD strategic vision based on a 2014 statement.
About 70% built out. House 40% of students. 16K employees. 38K students.
The LRDP is a zoning document. Approved in November 2018. 42.4 K students, total 65K (everyone). LRDP is on line.
Community liaison is via the CAG.
Integration of the campus "edges" with the community. The edge is a special perimeter development zone.

Traffic mitigation: Installation of adaptive (smart) signals. La Jolla Village Drive from 805 to N Torrey Pines. Regents Road from La Jolla Village Drive to Genesee.

Also a campus circulation network for vehicular traffic. Retrofitting for bikes and pedestrians.

Campus Housing:

Nation's largest residential campus.
15K beds today, 28K at the end of the LRDP.
East Campus development
Healthcare, MixedUse Hotel/Conference.
Science research park.

"Arboletta" is a park in the center of the new Mesa housing areas.

Comments/questions:

DR: Bike mobility is key on Regents, and over to the west side of I5.

Public: Questions about impact of extension students.

GL: Mesa Nueva is higher (much) than the apartments over to the East.

AW: Asked about the circulation elements and the interface to the community.

KJ: Adding more commuters.

RCL: Smart signals are designed to improve bus rapid transit as well.

DK: Trolley might cannibalize the super loop. Lots of commuters park in the community; need to assess the impacts.

TG: MTS will integrate the super loop timing and trolley timing.

Public: What kind of land uses would you like to see off-campus that integrates UCSD to the community?

RCL: Yes, particularly athletic & retail.

NG: Genesee signals by city a priority.

TG: Will be in the plan update.

GL: How many trips will be added at build out?

RCL: Don't have those numbers exactly. VMT impact is good.

GL: Intersection of Regents SB WB La Jolla Village Drive was in FBA but not done.

RCL: Have money for smart signals.

8:56: Item 5 NEXT STEPS

AW: Item 4 INFO ITEM – City of San Diego Mobility Strategies moved to July meeting

9:00 Adjourn