

02:09:48 Linda Beresford: This is Linda Beresford. I would like to make a non agenda comment.

02:10:08 David Broide: David Broide . I would like to have opportunity to speak in Non agenda Comments at start

02:10:35 Jen Dunaway: I would like to make a comment during the non agenda comments section. Thank you,

02:13:58 Jesse O'Sullivan: I would like to make non agenda public comment

02:14:00 Vedant Patel: I would like to make a non-agenda comment. Thank you

02:14:03 Rachel Graham: I would like to made a non agenda comment please.

02:14:19 Deborah Knight: I would like to make a non-agenda public comment

02:15:15 Deborah Knight: I can make my comment during the agenda as it relates to that

02:20:25 Diane Ahern: Date Meets Zip:  
<https://www.universitycitynews.org/2022/09/13/when-the-date-meets-the-zip-in-university-city-its-happening-at-the-92122-post-office-on-wed-sep-21-2022/>  
MCAS Miramar Air Show:  
<https://www.universitycitynews.org/2022/09/13/when-the-date-meets-the-zip-in-university-city-its-happening-at-the-92122-post-office-on-wed-sep-21-2022/>  
University City News (UCCA): <https://www.universitycitynews.org/>

02:21:18 Diane Ahern: Thanks for giving me the opportunity to provide event notices. Let's all get together on 92122 at Standley Park to meet neighbor-to-neighbor.

02:26:15 Jason Moorhead: Thank you Jesse and Circulate team!

02:28:08 Madison Coleman-CAC: Completely agree with Jesse and Vedant's comments

02:28:48 Vladimir Radomirovic: Completely disagree with Jesse and Vedant's comments

02:28:48 Jen Dunaway: Agenda and read aheads are common place in professional settings. Typical is 48 hours with the Navy.

02:29:04 Katie Rodolico: I agree with Debby Knight

02:29:37 Jen Dunaway: Delay the meeting if no agenda. We the community need to prepare as well.

02:30:20 Elizabeth Manion: 100% disagree with Jesse & Vedant's comments.

02:31:03 Jen Dunaway: I would argue with the ones who want more housing that North UC has thousands of homes added since the last Plan Update and the Plan has been amended 7 times to add density and housing.

02:31:50 Vedant Patel: Great points Rachel!

02:32:10 Jesse O'Sullivan: will the Circulate letter be read?

02:32:30 Jen Dunaway: Why does the city planners have time to plan and prepare for this meeting, yet we do not?

02:33:22 Jen Dunaway: Submit to who?

02:33:25 Linda Beresford: What happened to the City's and SANDAG's promise that if they built the Trolley, people could live elsewhere in San Diego and take the Trolley to work and UCSD?

02:34:14 Jen Dunaway: What does Smart Growth have to do with following on from the June Meeting? Connect your argument and points to the May/June Meetings please.

02:34:28 Marcio de Andrade: Good question Linda. Looks like if we want

to have people near work place we don't need the trolley.

02:35:34 Katie Rodolico: Will this powerpoint be posted on the planuniversity website?

02:36:17 Jen Dunaway: I believe Nancy stated in the Spring that a 'third' scenario was going to be generated. Is this the point of what she is saying now? I am having a hard time following her argument and why she is talking about this,. Tie it to the prior meetings please.

02:37:17 Jen Dunaway: So this is all about graphics?

02:37:59 Elizabeth Manion: Looks to be the case, Jen.

02:39:36 Alma Batista: Will they be addressing any of the questions posed by the community during the previous meetings? I thought that was part of the reason for taking a signi

02:39:59 Alma Batista: significant amount of time since the last meeting.

02:40:17 George Rawlins: It's like those meetings never happened.

02:40:49 Linda Levy: I agree! This is an out of body experience.

02:41:15 Eric Cohen: Sounds like everything is being presented to Sandag as final.

02:41:37 George Rawlins: I think the presentations got switched. This is a sales pitch for us to buy a timeshare.

02:42:55 Alma Batista: Can you at least take some time out of the next 2-3 hours to please answer the question concerning the validity of the underlying growth projections for this project?

02:43:01 Katie Rodolico: I understand there is a deliverable to SANDAG - but surely we don't have to rehash the past 4 years. If this document could have been made available prior to the meeting, we could have saved some time and gotten straight to feedback.

02:43:06 George Rawlins: It means if I want to go down to Hillcrest, I'll need to schedule 2 hours.

02:43:25 Katie Rodolico: And how quaint that focus areas are being discussed - yet the land use plan threw those out the window...

02:43:38 Katie Rodolico: Will this document be put on the plan university website?

02:43:49 Bonnie Kutch: This comment is in response to remarks made by Jesse O'Sullivan and Vedant Patel: I believe there's plenty of opportunity to increase density and build more affordable housing in areas that don't impact existing single-family residential neighborhoods and where home prices and rents could truly be more affordable. If the City is determined to increase density in this region, better sites include the under-utilized commercial and industrial sections, including north Kearny Mesa and southern areas of Mira Mesa north of Miramar Road, that are close to public transportation and already have basic infrastructure. Decimating the long-established single-family neighborhood of south University City -- which is already built out and has zero capacity for additional population growth -- doesn't support the City's goal of creating affordable housing nor its Climate Action Plan.

02:44:11 George Rawlins: If we invested in EV charging structure and solar, we could have usable clean energy.

02:44:49 Jen Dunaway: So Nancy is making this meeting a directive meeting with us just sitting here. How about addressing all of the comments and concerns from Spring and try to build on that? I don't care about the City's Smart Plan; I care about our update and our concerns. You forget that the City's issues are not

necessarily the UC issues.

02:45:36 Eric Cohen: Maybe we should all leave the meeting. Such a waste of time.

02:45:39 George Rawlins: This meeting is designed so that we'll go away.

02:45:45 Linda Levy: How can we oh so politely STOP THIS Presentation to bring Nancy back to answering our questions.

02:46:31 Ginger Livingston: I agree. I thought that our previous concerns were to be addressed tonight.

02:46:35 Elizabeth Manion: What Bonnie said.

02:46:41 George Rawlins: Good luck, this is by design.

02:46:42 Eileen McKoy: Yes, please. Stop this and get the questions answered. This is deadly boring.

02:47:18 Marion Nebiker: I agree. The city staff is just avoiding our questions!!!!

02:47:30 Marcio de Andrade: this is painful to watch

02:47:41 Anita Wilson: Diego- Can you please stop this presentation and address our questions and concerns.

02:47:45 Debbie Huntly-Playle: How much did the city pay this consultant? Don't we have enough people at the city and SANDAG looking at all of this??? What a waste of our tax dollars!

02:48:37 Elizabeth Manion: What exactly is the point of these slide shows? Nothing is being addressed as it relates to the community's concerns.

02:48:40 Jen Dunaway: How about stop the meeting, redesign the agenda and then send it out ahead of time, and make the meeting a hybrid like Tait was suggesting back in the Spring.

02:49:26 Anita Wilson: I took the trolley multiple times for jury duty and only saw 1 student taking it and he said his UCSD friends don't take the trolley bec. they all have cars....

02:49:31 Jen Dunaway: Like I have all the time in the world to look at a SANDAG document. I care about our Update and answering our concerns. We don't care about SANDAG issues or the City's need to respond.

02:49:46 Elizabeth Manion: I think they're just trying to wear us down thru sheer boredom & irrelevant drivel.

02:49:52 Vladimir Radomirovic: yup

02:49:55 George Rawlins: Look at the Union Tribune article front page 9/14 CHANGES APPROVED FOR PLANNING GROUPS: I guess this is what they mean by being more "professional" and getting residents out of the process. Looks like the plan to disenfranchise is full implemented.

02:50:16 Linda Beresford: This is terribly disappointing. The City took the summer off to finish a report that has been in the pipeline for years. The community was definitely expecting something different - that the City would explain all of the factors and metrics it used in coming up with the targets it used for the Land Use Scenarios. This meeting is a perfect example of how disconnected the Planning Dept is from the community.

02:50:24 Alma Batista: It's like they are purposely burning time so that they could do whatever they want at the end anyway.

02:50:25 Joshua Jones: Keep all of these comments in mind with the election coming up. Time to toss the politicians in the trash. The city is going down the drain!

02:50:51 Jen Dunaway: Chairperson Andy: Can you take control of this

meeting? Be a voice for all of us since we are muted.

02:51:07 Barry Bernstein: In respect to the City's presenters, I would encourage the CPU chair to politely interrupt and ensure the more

02:51:48 Anita Wilson: Next they will want to open up Regents to accommodate all the extra traffic

02:51:54 Linda Levy: I agree with Barry. I have asked for this to be stopped but am being ignored.

02:51:57 Bonnie Kutch: What Linda Beresford and Jen Dunaway say!!

02:52:20 Barry Bernstein: than 100 participants that their meeting expectations will be met.....that is to reply to the many legitimate questions previously submitted.

02:52:54 George Rawlins: Good thing Eminent Domain is no longer available. I think they'd just bulldoze the entire community.

02:53:49 Jason Moorhead: Diego is presenting many excellent transit options - especially for those who serve us all by working in the life science community. Several opportunities shown would prevent mid-day vehicle trips and allow for easier commuting by mass transit - primarily via our \$2B trolley extension.

02:53:51 Vladimir Radomirovic: I hope everyone remembers City's rigged survey in UTC mall, then hidden meetings .... this is phase 2. I guess it's time (again) for block meetings and massive in-person action....

02:55:26 Jen Dunaway: I can't believe you would have a community meeting without an agenda. Any LEGITIMATE public agency has an agenda before having a Public Meeting. I have worked for the Navy and the City for years and you just don't do this. Not Legitimate.

02:55:56 Joshua Jones: Jason - Read the room. Diego is simply babbling on endlessly hoping to bore people to death. This is a joke.

02:56:01 Aidan Lin: The recent UCPU survey was one of the most demographically represented of the group's history. Renters, students, and people of color were better represented in the survey than previous ones.

02:56:13 Elizabeth Manion: He asked for key ideas - that is HILARIOUS

02:56:30 Rachel Graham: Excellent point Aidan-the survey was incredibly representative of the demographics of the community.

02:56:31 Andy Wiese: For the moment, I encourage the commenters in chat to listen to what is being presented. It is not what was expected, but it reflects the shared work that this group did 18 months to 2 years ago...

02:56:42 Vladimir Radomirovic: Aidan - survey was rigged. They were talking to random UTC shoppers, not UC residents

02:56:53 Jen Dunaway: Andy, I understand but we have no voice and are angry.

02:57:07 Elizabeth Manion: Aidan - except for existing homeowners who never saw or heard of any survey.

02:57:13 Eileen McKoy: This meeting started at 6 p.m. and it is now 6:50. When will questions be answered.

02:57:34 Aidan Lin: Many renters and students didn't see the survey either. But of those who responded, it was more reflective of the community as a whole.

02:57:51 Jen Dunaway: Aiden, how do you know?

02:57:52 George Rawlins: So this after all the community input provided at the live meeting earlier this year. We can provide all the input to the planning group we want, but it will have no effect. I suggest there be some focus on state

and city initiatives.

02:58:19 George Rawlins:

<https://www.livablecalifornia.org/livable-california-endorses-the-our-neighborhood-voices-initiative/>

02:58:22 Vladimir Radomirovic: Surveyors were giving candy to whoever responds to survey

02:58:23 Linda Beresford: A survey with a completion rate of 30% is not representative. It means the majority of people who started the survey did not complete it, meaning the survey was flawed and not representative when most people declined to complete it.

02:58:25 Harry Bubbins: 13

02:58:47 Kathleen Glasebrook: It seems that all of our concerns have been ignored. The purpose of all this is just to create a pretty presentation for the city.

02:58:51 George Rawlins:

<https://www.neighborsforabettersandiego.org/get-involved>

02:59:21 Debra Coleman: Regents Road is still cut off to all these areas.

03:00:16 Jen Dunaway: Have the next meeting in person.

03:00:25 Katie Rodolico: The survey results were also disregarded in the February land use proposal from the city. The survey focused on the focus areas - but the land use proposals impacted far beyond those areas. So the survey, whether fair or not, was ignored by the city planners.

03:00:54 Robert Wagner: This presentation does not address any homeowner concerns. As a member of the "life science community", I do not think we are more special or deserving than any other residents.

03:01:05 Marion Nebiker: ANSWER OUR QUESTIONS!

03:01:13 Linda Levy: Get a new mayor that listens to people

03:01:28 Debra Coleman: So redundant of info we already know.

03:01:46 Diana Meisenholder: If you'd like to see what Diego is currently presenting, go to: <https://www.planuniversity.org/materials> and click on the link \*\*\* NEW urban design framework

03:02:00 Vladimir Radomirovic: Aidan and UCSD folks - what is UCSD doing to address this issue? Why is UC community solving UCSD housing problems? How many UCSD students can really afford to live in housing like UTC Lux and similar (as that will be the price of any new rental)?

03:02:38 Jen Dunaway: LUX just opened this summer; they are probably taking applications now for renters.

03:03:22 Linda Levy: You have got to be kidding.

03:04:19 Linda Levy: And want to live in RSF or La Jolla or Mission Hills, have an ocean view, etc. Not possible

03:05:04 Elizabeth Manion: LUX UTC 1 bed / 1bath 991 sq ft is \$4,485/month

03:05:28 Vladimir Radomirovic: exactly. And these folks are saying this is solution

03:05:43 Vladimir Radomirovic: for students

03:06:00 Jen Dunaway: My point exactly. North UC has built thousands of units over the last 10-15 years and none of those apartments are for students, except those who live 4 to an apartment.

03:06:08 Debbie Huntly-Playle: At least he acknowledges that the 30 foot coastal height limit IS something we want.

03:06:32 Eric Cohen: Is the city waiting to build this out before repairing Nobel by the shopping centers? The road there is terrible.

03:06:43 Marcio de Andrade: Looks like the city is really adding a lot of affordable housing around here

03:06:50 Alma Batista: It's very misguided to think that the La Jolla/UC area is a realistic solution for affordable housing. Wishful thinking.

03:06:54 Angie Jones: Why is public comment (non-agenda items) limited to 90 seconds, but this isn't time limited?

03:07:00 Elizabeth Manion: Because someone will mandate cheap rent? The market will bear what the market will bear and I guess that's \$4,485

03:07:31 Wendy Carvalho: Where can we find this map to view larger?

03:07:36 Debbie Huntly-Playle: I agree. None of this will bring any affordable housing to this area. This is a joke

03:08:09 Jen Dunaway: Why is the Plan discussion always about density and bike lanes? All the poster boards at the Spring meeting were about density and bike lanes. Really.

03:08:46 Katie Rodolico: Wendy Carvallho - it is linked at <https://www.planuniversity.org/materials> - scroll down and see the "\*\*\*NEW: Urban Design Framework" click here"

03:09:04 George Rawlins: This is not about affordable housing. Its about developers and employing city planners.

03:09:28 Eric Cohen: And the developers

03:10:37 Jen Dunaway: Ok, here we go. Build condos at the Vons center. Where do they park? There is one bus route on Governor. Where do the shoppers park? How does the library and rec center absorb all those new people? What other areas of the City of San Diego are putting condos on top of small storefronts in single family neighborhoods with no additional parking and remove parking. We don't want this. No need for this. My kid connects just fine to the High School.

03:10:44 Anita Wilson: We have repeatedly said we don't want the medium/high density housing and retail spaces in those areas, esp. by Sprouts. The developers will replace our current stores like they did with Bristol Farms

03:11:06 Marcio de Andrade: The city , after spending all this money, still call our neighborhood "university". they could at least get the name right!

03:11:13 George Rawlins: Not new. Developers were running wild back in the 80s, which is way community planning groups were formed. Now they've found a way around it.

03:11:17 Linda Levy: And we do not have enough water, electricity to handle what we haveexisting.

03:11:42 George Rawlins: Just change the story, and build.

03:11:42 Jen Dunaway: How about not incorporate it. Why is this proposed again?

03:12:25 Eric Cohen: Share without community input

03:12:56 Jen Dunaway: What were the other minutes talked about? Why are you even proposing to go to the Planning Commission? Why did you make a commitment? Do you think you are actually implementing community input?

03:13:27 Jen Dunaway: After all the feedback received from the Spring, THIS IS WHAT YOU CAME UP WITH?

03:15:58 Jen Dunaway: Why do you always go back to Density ? What is IMPORTANT. Good question.

03:16:19 Jen Dunaway: No you don't take community input.

03:17:01 Mario Eyzaguirre: This looks like a Trojan Horse for our community surrounded by Canyons and all you offering is altering the community and the nature of the family life.

03:18:31 Jen Dunaway: Why are you even talking about this now 3 years into this.

03:19:13 George Rawlins: You have to realize this is all staged to simulate community input.

03:19:19 Jen Dunaway: Does it tell you how many people choose to drive instead of the trolley. You can't force people on the trolley.

03:19:54 George Rawlins: This is what is meant by more "professional" planning groups.

03:20:06 Laurie Phillips: The competition created by those 4 gas stations on the same corner results in those stations having some of the lowest gas prices in the whole city.

03:20:27 Jen Dunaway: Next meeting should be in person. There is no barrier to taking this slow. Yes you have legally mandated targets but it should be CITY WIDE. Should not impact our plan that much. There is NO BARRIER To slowing down this process for the UC plan update.

03:21:19 Jen Dunaway: We are not guinea pigs for the City.

03:21:32 Debbie Huntly-Playle: I agree that the next meeting needs to be in person

03:21:56 LISA BREZINA: As far as community goes, WE NEED A MEETING IN PERSON WITH MEDIA PRESENT TO MAKE THIS AVAILABLE TO ALL OF US IN THE COMMUNITY. DO YOU THINK THEY ARE REALLY LISTENING TO US VIA THIS ZOOM MEETING? IT'S A JOKE. THIS NEEDS TO BE PULLED NOT A CONTINUANCE IN THIS COMMUNITY. I BELIEVE WE NEED TO ABANDON THIS WHOLE PROJECT. AND WE NEED TO GET TOGETHER TO MOUNT THIS OPPOSITION!!!

03:22:05 Jen Dunaway: How about you STOP trying to tie our UPDATE to your plan to do an BLUEPRINT. STOP IT.

03:22:34 Mario Eyzaguirre: Definately in person meeting to stop this madness!

03:23:08 Jen Dunaway: Nancy, STOP making our UC plan a guinea pig for your plans for the City. Our plan has been working for 3 years and should not be hijacked at the last minute by the City's political BLUEPRINT.

03:23:26 Debbie Huntly-Playle: Why has the media not been contacted about the agenda the city is trying to bulldoze through

03:24:28 Jen Dunaway: Again, stop trying to maximize our land areas for the City's use for it's BLUE PRINT.

03:24:29 Joshua Jones: Agree. Who has the media connection? Time to shine a light on what the city is trying to do with this mess.

03:25:58 Ann Throckmorton: All this should be looked through the screen of how long and at what expense it has taken to put one traffic signal at Governor and Lakewood! Still not operative.

03:26:06 Jen Dunaway: So, no agenda. Drop a bomb on us about a BLUEPRINT. Tell us that you want to use UC as a guinea pig. So a trolley drives home density... completely ignores people's behavior.

03:26:11 Mario Eyzaguirre: We should start right away the initiative to stop this nonsense by voting it out. Any volunteers?

03:26:15 George Rawlins: Why is it at every one of these meetings, the plan presented has changed, to the extreme.

03:27:01 George Rawlins: Mario. I agree, there is an initiate at city level I

posted earlier.

03:27:15 Zdravko Lukic: Trolley passed already near busy urban areas near Ralphs and UTC Mall. Why everyone still take cars to go there? What did the Trolley line do to ease the traffic congestion along Genesee ave? Where is data to support all these new claims?

03:27:31 Mario Eyzaguirre: Sorry just got back from work I missed it

03:27:48 George Rawlins:

<https://www.neighborsforabettersandiego.org/get-involved>

03:28:09 Anita Wilson: The trolley increased crime in UC so that's all it's done for us

03:28:22 LISA BREZINA: How about creating power points that we can understand. WE ARE NOT SHIFTING... AMEN to previous comments. YES, we NEED to mobilize quickly. Sitting here and listening to this is CRAZY!!!

03:28:25 Linda Levy: what about demographic pf retired people

03:28:27 George Rawlins: Also a state initiative:

<https://www.livablecalifornia.org/livable-california-endorses-the-our-neighborhood-voices-initiative/>

03:29:09 George Rawlins: Retired people and people with children can all ride scooters.

03:29:12 Kathleen Glasebrook: Where is this workshop occurring?

03:29:44 Katie Rodolico: The planning commission workshop will be held at city hall.

03:30:22 Joann Selleck selleck: Cannot read the diagrams. Please enlarge

03:30:27 Jen Dunaway: Really ? Bring the Blue print into this after NO DISCUSSION, NO AGENDA. How about UC has already added THOUSANDS of HOMES since the last Plan Update. UC has absorbed our fair share compared to other communities.

03:30:41 George Rawlins: What we need is solar and charging infrastructure so that people can actually move around the city.

03:31:30 Katie Rodolico: Will this document be posted on the planuniversity website?

03:31:35 Joann Selleck selleck: How does density "need" data fit into Blueprint analysis

03:31:41 Jen Dunaway: How about explain the data that you have already presented 61K -81K homes. Where is the data from those items.

03:32:53 Tama Becker-Varano: Can the City explore mini-buses/trolleys/FRED, etc that run on a loop east west and north south from south UC to the trolley station to remediate the inability for many south UC residents to take advantage of the trolley without driving to UTC (and incurring additional costs of parking)?

03:33:04 Jen Dunaway: Yes, and if you divvy it up amongst all City Communities, our share is about 2K.

03:34:13 Joann Selleck selleck: Sounds like backwards approach using land use plan first ant then regional forcast.

03:35:19 Vladimir Radomirovic: Once Plan is adopted, you won't take it back even if it's wrong.

03:35:19 Jen Dunaway: And those regulations are causing problems.

03:35:21 Linda Beresford: I agree. It sounds backwards- create a new land use that allows a greater population number.

03:35:33 Mario Eyzaguirre: Yes Joann it is backwards thinking!

03:36:33 Kathleen Glasebrook: What is the city parking criteria?



03:37:11 Jen Dunaway: We have 1 bus route on Governor Drive. There are many other communities in the City that have 1 bus route. If this is a City wide thing then this should happen everywhere - scripps ranch, carmel valley, etc. DON'T TARGET US.

03:37:26 David Broide: Question by David Broide. How were the 2 densities developed for input into the planning. How would a lower density look in your model

03:38:16 Angie Jones: Please quit limiting public comment but allowing the City to give lengthy discussions.

03:39:11 Jen Dunaway: Agreed.

03:44:58 Dan Amelang: Excellent points, Andrew

03:45:57 Rebecca Robinson Wood: We want to see mixed, residential and open space use at Gilman Dr and Via Alicante south to Gilman Court. This is identified by SanDag as a Smart Growth area 2016, a transit priority area, and by the City Planning Dept. in Mobility Choice area 2. Also, the location is within a mile of UCSD. Residences occupied by students and persons working in the area will reduce traffic and meet the CAP goals. We encourage your support.

03:45:58 Rolf Hollstein: Hello. The key issue in University City is student housing. Increasing UCSD student housing (as supported by the UCSD chancellor) decreases pressure on housing and provides more affordability for students. How are students and student housing accounted for in these analyses? Not mentioned in any presentation tonight.

03:47:12 Jen Dunaway: Just got disconnected and reconnected by WIFI.

03:48:19 Andy Wiese: I want to remind subcommittee members with personal property interests to advocate for them as a subcommittee member in this forum.

03:49:04 Linda Levy: And what about water use.

03:49:17 Andy Wiese: Let me restate that more clearly... I want to remind subcommittee members with personal property interests that it is unethical to advocate for them as a subcommittee member in this forum.

03:52:14 Jen Dunaway: Governor Drive has 1 bus route. So do many other communities in the City. How many other areas are the City targeting for this type of change? The condos being built at North UC right now do not have riders on the new trolley. It will not be different with newer residents.

03:52:28 Mario Eyzaguirre: No prosperity to the current people leaving in the community will be brought with the City Plan

03:52:30 Meagan Beale: Transit shift needs to consider the aging of the population and the nature of the land, I.e. south UC is very hilly and thus not conducive to biking and walking

03:52:35 Bob Treitler: So the shopping center guy was able to review the presentation before tonight?

03:52:56 Alma Batista: Does the city's modeling also include cost of housing projections for proposed scenarios?

03:53:00 Mario Eyzaguirre: Good question

03:53:18 Jen Dunaway: UCSD should have a plan for UCSD students. Do they?

03:54:44 LISA BREZINA: OK. There is no student housing that would be affordable. Let La Jolla/ UCSD contribute to the housing. AGAIN THEY ARE NOT AFFORDABLE!!!! CONCERNED IS NOT THE WORD YES, THIS IS NOT OUR COMMUNITY'S ISSUE.

03:54:54 Mario Eyzaguirre: We were ones students and we were able in very busy cities to look for housing. We don't need to do work for UCSD to find housing for the students.

03:55:25 Vedant Patel: UCSD is building a great deal of housing on-campus

and has thousands of units under construction currently. However, the massive lack of housing growth over the past few years and decades (the actual data in terms of housing growth off-campus runs counter to personal anecdotes that have mentioned in chat earlier) requires housing growth also needs to be shifted to off-campus as well

03:55:47 Jen Dunaway: Maybe UCSD should limit their growth or make groups of students online only.

03:56:45 Alma Batista: UCSD should open satellite campuses in more affordable areas to accom

03:56:50 George Rawlins: UCSD is surpassing mega-universities such as Penn State, reaching 40,000 and projected to 50,000.

03:57:03 Aidan Lin: Almost all public universities do not provide 4-year housing for students. Students will always live in their local communities, and they contribute to the economy and well-being of where they live.

03:57:04 Jen Dunaway: Lux, Palisades, La Jolla Commons, etc. Those are all relatively new condos in North UC. Those residents don't take the trolley. New residents should not be expected to act any differently.

03:57:09 Bonnie Kutch: I concur with Laurie Phillips. UCSD needs to either reduce their student enrollment or build more on-campus student housing. South UC should not be expected to bare the burden.

03:57:49 George Rawlins: There is plentiful land on the campus, and the city should use the huge amount of international student funds to house those students.

03:58:00 Katie Rodolico: The google says Penn State has 98K students - so it's still much larger than UCSD.

03:58:11 George Rawlins: I mean the University should fund....

03:58:52 Anu Delouri: As a note UC San Diego currently provide approximately 18,000 beds for graduate and undergraduate students and just broke ground on an additional 1300 beds, with construction of an additional 2000 under construction and 2000 in planning and design. It is the third largest residential campus with a goal of housing approximately 65% of its students on campus. We provide housing below market rates and due to our commitment to housing are able to provide a two year housing guarantee for undergraduate students.

03:58:54 George Rawlins: The main campus, University Park, is more like 45,000.

03:59:09 George Rawlins: 98000 figure includes other campuses.

03:59:30 Vedant Patel: More housing does not lead to more prices. The reason why the prices of new housing are expensive is because of the lack of supply, so of course new units will be expensive until the demand that has been unmet until now is met. Similar logic applies to cars as well. We shouldn't stop manufacturing new cars because the price of older, used cars will get more expensive. Quite the opposite in fact

03:59:44 Jen Dunaway: The Trolley is built. People could use it now, but they don't. What makes anyone think they will start using it?

03:59:51 Vladimir Radomirovic: Ani - not true about prices. My son lives on campus

04:00:11 Vladimir Radomirovic: Sorry - Anu

04:00:20 Debbie Huntly-Playle: It is not the community responsibility to provide UCSD housing. The university needs to stop increasing the number of students if they can't provide housing.

04:00:53 Vedant Patel: The trolley is quite widely used, and usage only will increase as the quarter begins. The majority of students do not have cars,

whether they live off or on-campus and rely on walking, biking, or public transit (particularly the trolley) to get to and from campus

04:01:02 Aidan Lin: Trolley ridership rose when the UCSD extension opened. People are using the trolley.

04:01:13 Vladimir Radomirovic: :)

04:01:19 Vladimir Radomirovic: Sorry Aidan

04:01:37 Jen Dunaway: City has not shown us numbers for use of Trolley. Do you have any? The few times I have gone there it is near empty.

04:02:00 LISA BREZINA: OK so what's the problem with UCSD since there is enough housing on campus??? Again this should NOT be our concern!

04:02:23 Rachel Graham: Here's one source of trolley ridership data:

<https://www.sdmmts.com/inside-mts/media-center/news-releases/fy22-report-mts-ridership-climbs-nearly-50>

04:02:55 Jesse O'Sullivan: in FY 22, MTS ridership climbed 50%

<https://www.sdmmts.com/inside-mts/media-center/news-releases/fy22-report-mts-ridership-climbs-nearly-50>

04:03:10 Aidan Lin: Thanks Rachel and Jesse for sharing. A line from the MTS page: "The largest increase in Trolley ridership was seen on the UC San Diego Blue Line, which went up by 66%, jumping from 10.4 million rides the prior fiscal year to 17.4 million. "

04:03:15 Vedant Patel: Well I use the trolley quite frequently being a student and commuting to campus, and I've had the exact opposite experience Jen. There's usually quite a few people waiting at the stations, particularly at UCSD Central Campus Station

04:03:30 Zdravko Lukic: Where are new schools and parks to allow new families to have small kids? Also people with small kids tend to use cars. How is that considered?

04:03:42 Jen Dunaway: It would be great to see actual usage numbers as part of this discussion.

04:04:00 Vedant Patel: Thank you to Rachel, Jesse, and Aidan for sharing the ridership growth numbers of the Blue Line after the extension!

04:04:04 LISA BREZINA: Thank-you Debbie Knight for reiterating OUR CONCERNS!!! The plans DO NOT reflect our community!!! I applaud you for what you are currently speaking about!

04:04:13 Vladimir Radomirovic: If trolley usage is going up - that's great. They can travel from their homes to UC then

04:04:31 Anita Wilson: That trolley survey was FY21 to FY22- during a pandemic so of. course the ridership inc. in FY22!

04:05:21 Jen Dunaway: 61K and 81K were numbers the City provided earlier. The numbers that went into that have not been provided as far as I know. Have been asking for that data for a while.

04:06:27 Andy Wiese: To David's question, the methodology was shared with the community in the Sept, 2021 mtg, I believe.

04:06:40 Mario Eyzaguirre: We have seen in the Chat people who have economic interests for themselves trying to help the City Plan come to fruition. They are not interested in our community, however it is clear that they want to see their bank accounts increase. At least we know their desires.

04:08:22 Jen Dunaway: We already are a center. Any more would make it worse.

04:08:42 Bob Treitler: If the mall is all the speaker says, why is it for

sale?

04:10:00 Jen Dunaway: We are already adding density and UC has added some for years. Why do we need to add more, instead of many other communities of the City of San Diego?

04:10:22 Jeff Dosick: Called bike lanes

04:10:32 Vladimir Radomirovic: Mall, shop and hotel owners support the plan. No further comment is needed.

04:10:47 Peter Worcester: The discussion of mobility modes other than the automobile and the trolley seems so far to have been quite vague and based more on hope than planning. Hope is not a method. I should think that plans to make walking, bicycling, and other micro-mobility modes attractive and safe needs to proceed in parallel with the land use plans. One is not separable from the other. In addition, any discussion of micro-mobility modes needs to take explicit account of the presence of UCSD and the need for walking, bicycling, etc. to/from UCSD. I don't see that the current scenarios take account of the presence of UCSD at all.

04:10:59 Jen Dunaway: You are always going to get that at Spreckels since it is a magnet school and kids are driven and bussed from all over the City.

04:11:51 Keith Jenne: 100% agree with Joanne - Please provide the data that was used to construct this heat map. It makes no sense.

04:12:07 Vedant Patel: The University City area is the second biggest job center in the San Diego region after Downtown (which is already doing decent work in terms of improving housing), so I'm glad to see there's more of an effort to best utilize and accommodate for that characteristic in terms of housing and transit

04:14:03 Jen Dunaway: Healthy neighborhoods for who? Does this person live in UC?

04:14:41 Linda Levy: There is not enough water!

04:15:37 George Rawlins: Easy to say. Is he a resident of UC?

04:15:40 Jen Dunaway: Our community is always thriving. I didn't realize people from outside the community want to effect change in our neighborhood.

04:16:03 LISA BREZINA: WHAT-where do you live??? Are you kidding me??? We are NOT interested in what "Circulate" has to say... Thank-you!!!

04:16:28 Heidi Woodworth: Doesn't make sense to me that people would live in North UC and take the trolley to their jobs in Santee or Chula Vista. Housing near a business center makes sense independent of the trolley.

04:16:32 Jen Dunaway: How about Circulate effect change in his own neighborhood and let us effect change in our neighborhood.

04:16:35 Mario Eyzaguirre: The letter just read was unnecessary.

04:16:38 LISA BREZINA: YES. Jen totally agree with you.

04:16:39 Marion Nebiker: Anything built in any UC area is not going to be inexpensive. The land and the construction costs are just too high. It's time to get realistic!

04:17:18 Vladimir Radomirovic: Well prepared effort by pro-plan folks. We, the residents of UC are lagging. This was expected, our area is tycoon magnet. HelpSaveUC need to act asap. Financial, legal, scientific ... work is needed.

04:17:21 George Rawlins: Takes a lot of gall to lecture us about what we need in our own community.

04:17:31 Jen Dunaway: Assumptions drive models, so we need to see the assumptions that the City placed into it. Assumptions are chosen carefully at times to drive a result.

04:17:35 Bob Treitler: Follow the money

04:17:49 LISA BREZINA: AMEN

04:17:53 Mario Eyzaguirre: Yes follow the money.

04:18:02 Rachel Graham: I always appreciate hearing Circulate's perspective on issues in our community.

04:18:10 George Rawlins: Is there a helpUC group?

04:18:22 Vladimir Radomirovic: Yes - helpsaveuc

04:18:43 Jen Dunaway: We are smarter than a colored map. You can actually show us the assumptions, data and information that went into the model. Also, where has this been used before successfully? Was it home grown? I will have my coder son review it.

04:18:56 Vladimir Radomirovic: <https://www.helpsaveuc.org/>

04:19:09 George Rawlins: Yes, thank you for the link!

04:19:48 Heidi Woodworth: Temperature map is useless without scale. Is purple 10X the density of yellow? 100X? 1000X?

04:20:04 George Rawlins: Mario, did you see the link?

04:20:14 Mario Eyzaguirre: Yes thank you

04:22:17 Bonnie Kutch: The proposed land use plan for south UC ignores the fact we have three schools along Governor Drive and one on Genesee. Increased traffic will jeopardize the safety of young students, and make it even more difficult for parents to pick up their children and for surrounding residents to enter and exit the community in the mornings and afternoons. Why is this not being addressed?

04:23:03 Joann Selleck selleck: Displacement of small mom/pop businesses vital to community is also of concern.

04:23:10 Jen Dunaway: Good Point Andy.

04:23:42 George Rawlins: Exactly, if the shopping centers in UC are redeveloped, likely chain businesses will replace what's there.

04:23:43 Alma Batista: The model should also consider the impact to families raising children attending high schools, middle schools, and elementary schools in our community.

04:24:07 Linda Beresford: Andy's points on affordable housing requirements, displacement and protecting commercial are spot on.

04:24:43 Jen Dunaway: South UC is not a TPA and has one bus route. It is already getting ADUs and increasing density. It should not be integrated to add condos at our commercial zones. It doesn't make sense and who came up with that scenario and why? It should be thrown out.

04:24:44 Alma Batista: I wish Andy was in charge of this project.

04:27:22 Linda Levy: Thank you Andy

04:27:43 Robert Wagner: Excellent comments from Andy

04:28:27 Jennifer Martin-Roff: I agree with Andy on all points.

04:28:32 Kathleen Glasebrook: Thank you, Andy!

04:28:57 Diane Ahern: Thanks Andy for you sharing your thoughts and specifics.

04:29:10 Andy Wiese: Point of information: That level of density I mentioned at Mesa Nueva is 143 du/ac.

04:31:01 Linda Beresford: As the City continues to refuse to share the data on which they relied in preparing the Land Use Scenarios, I support the subcommittee preparing an alternative land use scenario in line with Andy's comments.

04:31:13 Jen Dunaway: Agreed.

04:31:13 Linda Levy: Really extension is of no use to South UC  
04:31:26 Linda Levy: Trolly not really!  
04:32:31 Jen Dunaway: South UC is not a TPA and not close to the Trolley.  
If people were to use the trolley they would be using it now.  
04:33:37 Rachel Graham: I appreciate your comments Alex  
04:34:53 Alma Batista: A micro-transit based solution like the one  
mentioned earlier should be considered.  
04:35:47 Linda Levy: Hi density but it won't be affordable  
04:36:20 Lisa Heikoff: Just asking for a summary of next steps from the  
community perspective - what can we expect from the City in the next couple of  
months?  
04:36:25 Vedant Patel: Thank you Aidan!  
04:36:40 Tama Becker-Varano: Thanks Aidan!  
04:36:48 Alma Batista: Density does not equal affordability.  
04:36:51 Rachel Graham: Adding my support for Aidan  
04:38:07 Andy Wiese: For the record, I don't support the creation of a  
separate "community land use scenario" at this point in the process. I think 'taking  
our ball and going home' (or being given a ball and asked to leave) would represent  
a failure of legitimate public input and influence in this process. Rather, I  
believe that we were charged to produce collaboratively, the city and community  
subcommittee, a land use plan that can achieve a majority in this body. That remains  
my goal. I think that it is possible. I think it will require compromise from many  
parts, but I think it is what we owe the city. My view.  
04:38:10 Kathleen Glasebrook: The timeline seems quite rushed.  
04:38:49 Elizabeth Manion: Andy For President  
04:38:53 Jen Dunaway: Don't have another meeting without an agenda sent  
out ahead of time. We haven't accepted the blue print yet so why are you assuming we  
are ok with it.  
04:39:38 Jen Dunaway: You haven't integrated any of our feedback to move  
the needle on your scenarios.  
04:39:50 Marcio de Andrade: Hi Aidan, I was a student at UCSD, I  
couldn't afford used to take a bus (34) and walk 10 blocks to our studio. I survived  
and thrive! Why do you consider the students of today much more deserving than  
students like I was?  
04:40:54 Bob Treitler: Nancy, isn't the city trying to muzzle community  
planning groups?  
04:42:01 Anita Wilson: None of our feedback has been incorporated since  
Jan. so why would we think anything will change by March, esp. since you are  
presenting this plan to SANDAG in a week?  
04:42:03 George Rawlins: Who gets to vote?  
04:43:19 George Rawlins: Exactly Anita. This is a performance intended to  
make us think we're actually participating.  
04:43:30 Jen Dunaway: It is difficult to come to the table and feel valued  
when you feel like you are not listened to, your comments and emails are ignored,  
and no acknowledgement of past meetings and how the City is bending to accommodate.  
04:44:44 George Rawlins: Exactly Anita, this is a performance to make us  
think we're actually participating.  
04:45:18 Linda Beresford: Nancy, you are discussing inputs in the  
model. That is part of the data we are seeking.  
04:45:26 Robert Wagner: If you are a city employee speaking at a meeting of

the general public, it's a great idea to avoid acronyms. Basic communication skill.

04:45:35 George Rawlins: Every time there is a meeting, the plan has changed. People speak their minds. Next meeting is as if the previous meeting never happened.

04:45:48 Heidi Woodworth: Massaging the input to get the desired output. Ugh.

04:46:02 George Rawlins: Format: Lecture. Ignore input. Lecture.

04:46:55 Sirikarn Binley: when will be happening?

04:46:58 George Rawlins: Who gets to vote?

04:48:27 Jen Dunaway: We all have jobs. We should not have to attend yet another meeting to effect change at UC. Changing the game at this point with a new "blue print" is not building trust.

04:49:33 Bonnie Kutch: Obviously the City isn't familiar with the demographics of the south UC community. Somehow, I'm not able to envision myself and my other 60-, 70- and 80-something friends living here hopping on their bicycles to go do their grocery shopping, or taking the bus or trolley and having to lug our plants and garden soil home.

04:50:13 Anita Wilson: Exactly Carol!!!

04:52:01 Elizabeth Manion: Bonnie, so true. My 94 year old neighbor is not hopping on a bike anytime soon. She still drives just around UC. My other 70 & 80 year old neighbors are not going to turn a 20 min drive to their doctors into a 3 hour ONE WAY drive.

04:53:52 Bonnie Kutch: Exactly, Elizabeth!

04:53:53 Jen Dunaway: Can you provide it anyway and not have to go that route as part of goodwill to get this process done.

04:54:50 Harry Bubbins: can i save a zoom chat

04:55:30 Vladimir Radomirovic: right click, select all

04:55:30 George Rawlins: I love what's written along the bottom of the slide "Ongoing in put is welcome throughout the entire process" (which we will happily ignore)

04:55:31 Elizabeth Manion: Harry - Just take a snapshot with your cell phone

04:56:10 LISA BREZINA: THE COMMUNITY NEEDS TO RALLY TOGETHER W/O THE COMMUNITY PLANNING COMMITTEE; THEN ORGANIZE A PUBLIC MEETING. YES, SO WE ARE ABLE TO RELAY OUR CONCERNS AND COME TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY TO RELAY OUR CONCERNS. AS A RETIRED PROFESSOR, SHE IS NOT ADDRESSING US AS AN AUDIENCE. WE ARE NOT CITY PLANNERS!!! YES, THERE IS CONFUSION START USING TERMS WE CAN UNDERSTAND. THAT'S HOW YOU TEACH!!!

04:56:25 Joann Selleck selleck: For purposes of our "record" here. In making the City's "formal" report to SANDAG, I request that the city include a clarifying statement that indicates in spite of the word done to date, 1) The committee does not agree that the survey or assessment of proposed alternatives undertaken by the City had a representative response, was properly done nor did it fairly result in getting community input on development alternatives and preferences, and

2) The committee does not agree that the zoning proposed and the proposed residential density is based on future need, particularly given the absence of metrics to establish that need, and the fact that the zoning proposed is believed by many to be contrary to representations previously made by the City.

04:57:24 Jen Dunaway: Joann: Great comment and it is the truth.

04:57:26 Diane Ahern: thank you Andy

04:57:37 Elizabeth Manion: Andy needs to be running for leadership of some sort.

04:57:49 Kathleen Glasebrook: We need to make sure that there is adequate parking for grocery stores.

04:57:53 Rebecca Robinson Wood: I provided a letter to be included for review by Nancy.

04:59:10 George Rawlins: Lisa: <https://www.helpsaveuc.org/>

05:00:55 LISA BREZINA: GEORGE ALREADY ON IT. THX

05:01:08 George Rawlins: [https://nextdoor.com/news\\_feed/?post=239824446](https://nextdoor.com/news_feed/?post=239824446)

05:01:18 Kathleen Glasebrook: Thank you Andy!