

00:34:07 Lan Wolfkind: there is a bad echo

00:34:47 Ellie Yakatan: I don't hear an echo, maybe you have two zoom accounts in the same room or house?

00:34:53 Diane Ahern: Hi all, my sound is good. Maybe reboot?

00:35:59 Lan Wolfkind: was two meetings. thanks

00:37:10 David Jay: Joan Selleck is in attendance and was waving to you during roll call

00:38:43 Aidan Lin: Yes I am here! Thank you Dinesh

00:39:27 Ellie Yakatan: Are the new land use scenarios posted online on the UCPG website? I couldn't find them.

00:40:05 Jen Dunaway: I have one non agenda item

00:40:16 Kathryn Rodolico: They are on the planuniversity.org website - under tonight's meeting and also on the materials tab.

00:40:40 Dinesh Martien: Scenario A:
<https://sandiego.us19.list-manage.com/track/click?u=2559a0fb1e5dddfc330c0d1b85&id=81ce945221&e=1beab41695>

00:40:49 Dinesh Martien: Scenario B:
<https://sandiego.us19.list-manage.com/track/click?u=2559a0fb1e5dddfc330c0d1b85&id=c0d8ebcef4&e=1beab41695>

00:41:07 andy wiese: Thanks, Dinesh

00:41:17 Diane Ahern: I do not need to speak ... here's my announcement:
From MCAS Miramar Facebook @MCASMiramarCA: Noise Advisory! Eyes up for our aircraft Wednesday, November 16 through Wednesday, November 23, 2022. Noise levels around base may be higher than usual as our aircraft will be performing aircraft carrier landing practice in order to maintain readiness. Details at <https://www.universitycitynews.org/2022/11/15/mcas-miramar-issues-noise-advisory-field-aircraft-carrier-landing-practice-fclp-scheduled-nov-16-to-nov-23-2022/>

00:41:22 andy wiese: Hi Aiden, I have you as present

00:41:47 Aidan Lin: Thanks Andy!

00:43:14 Jen Dunaway: Here is my non agenda item: Nancy sent out an email on 10/31 regarding in person meetings. Please have someone present data to support her statements. Covid Emergency was one reason and the other was "less participation" in in-person meetings. The data I have seen doesn't support this. The Planning Commission meeting was in person. The May in person meeting had 400 people. This zoom has about 100. Please provide data to support your statements if this is the reason.

00:44:21 Laurie Phillips: This is still excessive density

00:44:29 Ellie Yakatan: There should be posted the density, home and jobs numbers on both Scenario A and B before they can be considered.

00:44:37 Anita Wilson: 70,000-80,000 new jobs in UC or all of San Diego?

00:45:55 Jen Dunaway: Provide the formula, assumptions that generated the 30,000.

00:46:09 Andrew Barton: Nancy: can you please explain the acronyms to everyone? What are EMX, FAR, etc?

00:49:45 Karen Sells: How are they going to accommodate the increase of dwelling units per acre, are they proposing to build up and at what height?

00:50:19 Laurie Phillips: The density at the corner of La Jolla Village and Gilman is problematic as it would be in the same area as UCSD is adding high rise density and this corner will be a real traffic catastrophe

00:50:28 Diane Ahern: Andy/Nancy ... maybe post of list of acronyms on the

website? Nancy is using a lot !!

00:50:42 Jen Dunaway: Notice this entire discussion every month is always about density. The Community Plan includes so much more than just density. It is like the other things are simply ignored or will 'come later'. Which will probably be never.

00:50:59 Adriane Stewart: This whole plan is a traffic catastrophe.

00:51:49 Jen Dunaway: Increasing density requires more traffic capacity. And how are we addressing that ? Assume everyone will ride the bus/trolley?

00:51:57 Uros Kascak: this is going to create so much safety problems in traffic and school and parks. did anyone think about 3 hours commute?

00:52:00 Anita Wilson: Please explain 218 to 145, etc. what does that mean?

00:52:28 Uros Kascak: why not move towards the highways, shorter commute

00:52:39 Laurie Phillips: They don't care and just assume everyone will use the trolley

00:53:35 Adriane Stewart: I am still waiting for traffic concerns to be addressed. There are many schools where children walk and traffic at certain times a day is already problematic. I just sat in traffic on Genesee this week.

00:53:38 Uros Kascak: the public traffic is a joke in SD, so presence of one bus/trolley stop does not mean people will use it, because there is no way to reach destination, and one will use car anyway

00:54:14 Karen Arden: What is an EMX 1?

00:54:38 Tom Ruff: All of the new residents aren't going to take the trolley all the time. Why aren't we discussing increased traffic rates and road improvements at the same time?

00:55:26 Jen Dunaway: Traffic plans should be the subject of the next meeting.

00:57:09 Masaki Mendoza: For people who don't know what EMX and RMX mean, I just pulled this up: EMX means Employment Mixed Use, RMX means Residential Mixed Use

00:57:15 Gail Friedt: People will take the trolley and other forms of transit as the service becomes more frequent and/or includes bus only lanes. We live in urban areas and can learn to live without our cars.

00:57:24 Karen Sells: Is this an attempt to fit a 100 lb. square into a 10 lb. hole.

00:57:50 Jen Dunaway: So Nancy stated that we have more participation in zoom vice in person , and this was part of the rationale for continuing zoom. There are 129 people in this meeting vice the 400 at the May in person meeting. Just say you don't want an in person meeting. Be honest or show data to support the rationale provided.

00:58:02 Vedant Patel: Stepping up density in transit areas means that destinations will be more accessible by transit, walking, or biking anyways. Increased density means less of a need to drive for daily needs, and would likely decrease traffic if the land use plans aren't built for car-dependency!

00:58:27 Kelly Lyndon: It would be much harder for me to attend an person meeting

00:58:46 Masaki Mendoza: Same, Kelly

00:59:34 Jen Dunaway: Property owners also include SF property owners.

00:59:40 Masaki Mendoza: Tho I don't see why we couldn't do a hybrid model

00:59:45 Jon Arenz: Current traffic in these high density areas is due to people commuting from out of the area to work in this employment center because

there isn't any housing / is limited housing in those areas. Add housing would help that, not make it worse, by allowing people to live where they work.

01:00:00 Anita Wilson: Amtrak has been down for months going north so our public transit isn't something we should be changing our community based upon this lack of infrastructure. the trolley has significantly increased crime in our neighborhood.

01:00:12 Jen Dunaway: I do hybrid meetings at my work all the time; we do in person and MS teams concurrently. It is not rocket science.

01:00:36 Ellie Yakatan: I think NG is saying that after this plan is in place individual commercial property owners can convince the SDCC to change their zone....Did I misinterpret that or would the properties have to apply prior to the end of this plan update process?

01:00:46 Anita Wilson: Mission Valley is a nightmare with traffic. Please DO NOT change our neighborhood to be another disaster like Mission Valley

01:00:50 Vedant Patel: Zoom meetings are much more easily accessible and convenient for residents of all ages than in-person meetings, especially when considering these are scheduled on a workday which makes it extra difficult for students and workers to get to the location on time, especially if they have work or classes on the day

01:00:52 Jen Dunaway: If people commute into this area from somewhere else, there is a trolley that is brand new to utilize.

01:01:57 Karen Sells: I always get lost in Mission Valley, one block looks like another, it's a nightmare.

01:02:13 Jason Moorhead: We have 130 participants on - how many drove to the Zoom meeting?

01:03:45 Jen Dunaway: The 270 people who went to the Meeting at UCHS in May aren't here. Why? I know some of my older neighbors are not zoom friendly.

01:04:05 Diane Ahern: I want to continue Zoom meetings. People with children and child care responsibilities, people who are working full-time, people who travel, people of all ages, people who are home-bound, people without access to reliable transportation, people who are concerned about the environment and who don't want to drive, people who live outside the area but work within the planning group area, people who have to multitask and/or watch the recording later ... are able to participate by zoom when they cannot participate in person.

On a personal level, if the planning group goes back to in-person only, it will be very difficult for me to participate because I don't like to drive at night and because I travel a bit. Zoom and other virtual meetings work for me personally and I think they work for many volunteers who serve on our boards and who want to be active in our community.

01:04:33 Jen Dunaway: The City of San Diego has an IT department. They can figure out HYBRID meetings. Many organizations do it.

01:04:35 Diane Ahern: And to top it all off, I think that continuing with virtual meetings will help with the City's climate action plan by reducing vehicle miles traveled.

01:04:54 Karen Banks: I apologize if this was already explained, but what is the difference between neighborhood commercial and community commercial?

01:06:18 Meagan Beale: I strongly support no more than 145 dwellings per acre!

01:06:32 Ellie Yakatan: If this plan is in place, can a property ask the

planning commission to change their zone anyway, and if so, what is the point of the plan update?

01:06:38 Laurie Phillips: It should be way below 145

01:07:06 Jen Dunaway: The point of hybrid is to be more inclusive and not limit public participation or at least alternate meetings. Some people prefer zoom and some people prefer in person. The Planning Commission was in person just two weeks ago as well as zoom. They actually did a hybrid meeting.

01:07:13 Laurie Phillips: They are forcing UTC to shoulder a dispro

01:07:14 Anita Wilson: When were plans in South UC adopted?

01:07:32 Karen Sells: I am told that homeless are sleeping on the sidewalks by the trolley up by UTC and the senior citizens are afraid to walk over to the mall from Costa Verde senior bldg.

01:08:13 Masaki Mendoza: Ellie, if community plans are anything like general plan, they can only be changed 4 times a year. So yes a property owner can ask the planning commission but they'd have to go thru a public hearing and again only limited to 4 times a year

01:08:29 Masaki Mendoza: Tho hopefully Nancy or Suchi will answer lol

01:08:47 Siri Binley: what will be happen in UC Market Place or Sprouts Supermarket

01:09:21 Ellie Yakatan: Thank you Masaki, I appreciate that.

01:09:38 Lan Wolfkind: What is the brown area designation on governor and gullstrand?

01:10:28 Jen Dunaway: All the more reason to not upzone because it can never be taken back.

01:10:41 Gail Friedt: UTC is not being asked to shoulder any more homes than any other area in San Diego. I've seen the North Park Plan, Plan Hillcrest, Mira Mesa, etc. There are homeless because there are not enough homes.

01:12:03 Jen Dunaway: Yes UTC is being asked. Review all of the other Community Plans like I have and you'll see that UC has had its' plan amended 7 times to upzone density. You can also look at Google maps from 1987 when the Plan was last updated and you will see the thousands of homes added in this community area.

01:12:10 Isabelle Kay: Can the open space outside the plan area be shown in order to see whether and where connectivity exists or could be improved?

01:12:35 The Rev. Janine Schenone, Good Samaritan Church: Good Samaritan is definitely in discussions about adding housing and daycare on our site, just FYI.

01:12:57 Ellie Yakatan: What does Community Commercial mean at the La Jolla Village/Villa La Jolla drive mean in Scenario B?

01:13:31 Gail Friedt: @Rev. Janine Schenone - that would be wonderful to add homes and daycare.

01:14:42 The Rev. Janine Schenone, Good Samaritan Church: I'm signing off, but David Jay from Good Samaritan is staying in the meeting. Thank you for this valuable information.

01:14:53 Diane Ahern: The "brown" areas at Governor and Gullstrand/Kantor south of Governor is the apartment community.

01:14:55 Ricardo Flores: You all should ask those single family homeowners if they're ok lowering their land value by removing their commercial zoning

01:15:14 Melanie Cohn: Yes, what does this mean for the actual difference in number of housing units?

01:15:56 Ellie Yakatan: No, no desire for 290, definitely not.

01:16:20 Gail Friedt: The City of San Diego is being sued due to alleging that city officials worsened generational poverty by concentrating low-income housing in poorer neighborhoods. Time for every area of San Diego to build more homes.

01:16:23 Ricardo Flores: Keep 290, state will not be happy to hear lower zoning

01:16:30 Ellie Yakatan: Its not lower

01:16:36 Ellie Yakatan: It already way higher than it was

01:16:57 Ellie Yakatan: These will not be low income

01:16:58 P Krysl: 290!!!!

01:17:01 Ricardo Flores: Keep 290

01:17:12 Laurie Phillips: The overall addition of 30,000 units is really excessive they are turning our neighborhood a mess

01:17:18 Ellie Yakatan: Yes,

01:17:19 P Krysl: 290!!! Is that crazy or what?

01:17:25 Jen Dunaway: UC has added thousands of units since the last plan update. why not build more homes in La Jolla?

01:17:30 Vedant Patel: The UTC area has the second largest collection of jobs in the San Diego area after downtown, and there's been and will continue to be a rapid growth in the job market here in various industries. More housing will be needed to accommodate for this increase in jobs, otherwise housing costs and rents would absolutely skyrocket (even more than now) if supply doesn't keep up with demand. These land-use scenarios are a fairly modest, and possibly not enough, of an ask in my opinion considering the facts and the future of this area.

01:17:41 Linda Bernstein: Definitely not 290. UCSD is building more housing.

01:17:53 Jen Dunaway: The Trolley was built to bring people into UTC. Just as downtown.

01:18:00 Ellie Yakatan: I cant believe Aiden sits on this Board from UCSD and we cant get more seats for other people.

01:18:25 Vedant Patel: I agree with Aidan!

01:18:31 Ricardo Flores: More density!! UCSD is the engine of economic growth

01:18:41 Ellie Yakatan: 29% of the survey was students, they were emailed directly, versus other residents who were in the dark about this.

01:18:48 Jon Arenz: The areas that are currently shown as the varying levels of purple should keep as high of density as possible. These are areas that don't need to rely on vehicles/transit, can walk to work/shopping/restaurants/etc.

01:19:14 Adriane Stewart: I don't live near my job just like many people in this community and I can't just get a new job.

01:19:47 Gail Friedt: You are lucky to have a student on your planning group.

01:19:53 Ellie Yakatan: I applaud both Scenario A and B as great compromises to the community feedback. Scenario B is a great plan.

01:19:58 Melanie Cohn: 69% of the people who live in UC are under the age of 40

01:20:05 Masaki Mendoza: Nice

01:20:51 Kimberly Ho: Hundreds of open acres on UCSD campus. Build on the campus affordable housing.

01:21:04 Alan Lutze: I support the revised land use proposal submitted by HelpSaveUC.org. Keep south UC low density.

01:21:09 Ellie Yakatan: Current speaker is very good. The fees wont be there to fund quality of life.

01:21:24 Ricardo Flores: It's called Torrey Pines nature reserve

01:21:36 Aidan Lin: Even if the campus provides housing for all students, not every student will want to live on campus. Demand for campus housing does not usually exceed ~60-70%, there will always be students living in the local community/off campus.

01:21:41 Masaki Mendoza: Amsterdam actually has a decently high population density, 13,500/square mile versus 4400/square mile

01:22:11 Masaki Mendoza: So personally it looks like we can have great cities at a higher density

01:22:26 Aidan Lin: Agreed. Especially with very low car dependency in

01:22:32 Ricardo Flores: La Jolla has some of best public spaces...

01:22:39 Aidan Lin: Amsterdam*

01:23:12 Ricardo Flores: La Jolla park = beach

01:23:13 Jen Dunaway: Joann Selleck made the comment about the Parks deemed on site for any new residential areas at the last meeting.

01:23:16 Vedant Patel: Paris has a very high population density compared to nearly all US cities, but it doesn't feel that way because they have mid-density throughout the city so 5-10 story buildings are everywhere, lessening the need for massive towers. I would be delighted if we could implement this here

01:23:26 Gail Friedt: Increased density would also help military personnel and their families. they could live closer to their work.

01:23:27 Masaki Mendoza: ^as vedant said

01:24:03 Jen Dunaway: You can't agree on density without the amenities. You have to consider everything together.

01:24:10 Isabelle Kay: Where are you going to ADD open space??

01:24:22 Catharine Douglass: Parklands are essential. They should have a land use plan NOW!

01:25:24 P Krysl: Paris has density of 20000 inhabitants per square km. That is 72 inhabitants per acre!

01:25:31 Masaki Mendoza: If we want to preserve open space and parks, we should increase density imo, and prioritize infill development. Bc if we only have low(er) density land use, then that means we use more land that could have instead been used for open spaces.

01:26:07 Karen Banks: I am happy to see reduced density in some areas. I support the proposal put forward by HelpSave UC. I would like to see parks, schools, and libraries in the plan. A single high school and middle school cannot support this level of growth.

01:26:20 Meagan Beale: Will parks on private residential developments be public?

01:26:25 Vedant Patel: Also, for those concerned about safety, as Paris has continued to build more housing and move away from car-dependency, traffic deaths and car accidents have declined quite significantly! Considering car accidents are one of the leading causes of death nearly everywhere in the state and country, including here in University City, increasing density and moving away from car-dependency would be safer for people of all ages!

01:26:29 Melanie Cohn: Unfortunately many people in this meeting continue to represent their personal interests and not the populations they were appointed to represent.

01:26:47 Jen Dunaway: Melanie very true.

01:27:15 Ellie Yakatan: I think we should focus on Scenario B and get this moving forward.

01:27:17 P Krysl: Again: Paris has 72 residents per acre!

01:27:28 Masaki Mendoza: Microunits I would def be happy with, I don't need much space lol I just need a place to eat sleep and bathe

01:27:31 Isabelle Kay: All I see in this plan is recreational space that is already overcrowded and multiple species conservation plan areas that are NOT to be used in ways that diminish their abilities to sustain listed species. Where is NEW open space going to come from? What happened to the idea of green infrastructure? That needs to be in place first.

01:27:47 Aidan Lin: Where are you getting that number Peter? 72 per acre?

01:27:59 Jen Dunaway: Green space and parks are an afterthought to density discussions.

01:28:00 Vedant Patel: Agree with your points about needing density to preserve open space and parks from sprawl Masaki!

01:28:09 P Krysl:
<https://www.statista.com/statistics/1047176/population-density-ile-de-france-paris-region-by-department-france/>

01:28:11 Jon Arenz: I don't know why we're comparing to Paris from either side, but 72/units per acre would include all open space, parks, etc.

01:28:11 Tom Ruff: This area will certainly not attract families

01:29:59 P Krysl: Jon: Take the area of north UC, and multiply by 72 /acre. How many people do you get?

01:30:59 andy wiese: @Rev. Janine Schenone. Thanks so much for attending Rev. Schenone. My understanding is that there are state programs/ policy that allows for religious institutions to add housing on their properties.

01:31:17 Rebecca Robinson Wood: Adding residential uses in locations close to employment and shopping where vehicles are not necessary will improve quality of life. You may consider areas of UC outside the focus areas and trolley stops also to achieve these goals.

01:31:43 Laurie Phillips: That hasn't worked in SF

01:34:52 Rebecca Robinson Wood: SF really reduced vehicle use.

01:35:26 Melanie Cohn: the population density of Paris is 20,000 people per square kilometre (53,000/sq mi), making it one of the most densely populated cities in the world (and the most densely populated major city outside of Asia)

01:35:42 P Krysl: OK, did it for you. Taking the area of north UC as ~2 sq km, we are talking about the number of people equivalent to Paris is ~40,000. Compare with Alternative B: ADDITIONAL 22,000 dwelling units. So overall number of people way too high

01:36:56 Laurie Phillips: But it hasn't improved quality of life

01:38:14 Melanie Cohn: No, everyone received this last night at the same time.

01:39:09 Kathryn Rodolico: I would not assume the property owners have been notified. When I talked to The Reverend Janine Schenone of Good Samaritan Church they had not been notified.

01:40:35 Jason Moorhead: Hi Debbie - About the comment re "...assume developers were contacted and agree with this scenario.." I am not aware of any correspondence other than the public meetings and direct staff updates.

01:41:32 Dinesh Martien: Petr, I'm not sure where you got that number, but I just estimated the area of north UC at more like 9 sq km.

01:49:20 Angie Jones: Chat function was disable for about 10 minutes.

01:49:58 Thomas DeFranco: The Nobel Athletic Area is that open recreation space

01:50:04 Siri Binley: can you explain more about south UC Vons and Sprouts

01:50:16 Karen Banks: Can someone please clarify the difference between neighborhood commercial and community commercial?

01:51:06 Lan Wolfkind: we are not going to change density that will require building regents bridge, correct?

01:51:14 Kacey Walker: The Nobel Athletic fields are often pretty crowded

01:52:01 Thomas DeFranco: Doyle Community Park also already exists

01:52:31 Kathryn Rodolico: @Lan Wolfkind... this process started out in 2019 with statements from the city that the RR bridge is not to be discussed ever as part of this plan update. Tait Galloway and Heidi (forget her last name) made that very clear.

01:52:54 Jen Dunaway: Thank you for turning the Chat back on. It was off for about 20 minutes for those of us who are not committee members I believe.

01:53:24 Angie Jones: Some folks probably left the meeting because chat was disabled.

01:53:38 Masaki Mendoza: <https://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter13/Ch13Art01Division05.pdf>
This document has the information with what Community Commercial and Neighborhood Commercial are. Can't really fit a good explanation in this chat though, unfortunately

01:54:03 Masaki Mendoza: @Karen Banks

01:54:14 Jon Arenz: I appreciate everyone's opinions and passion on here. A lot of varying points of view. There was discussion about how much more dense this plan is than Paris, but for context, the current density of San Diego is about 4 du/acre (compared to the "low" 72 du/acre of Paris mentioned above).

01:54:48 P Krysl: It was 72 residents per acre, not DU

01:55:00 Kimberly Ho: Mission Hills Vons on Washington doesn't have housing.

01:55:03 Karen Banks: Thank you, Masaki

01:55:43 Masaki Mendoza:

01:56:05 Jon Arenz: Thanks for that clarification, Petr. In that case, it's about 6 residents per acre.

01:56:41 Jon Arenz: So, 1/12 the density of Paris.

01:57:31 Ellie Yakatan: Thank you Jon

01:57:52 P Krysl: Andy: my hand is up!

01:58:50 Kacey Walker: I liked Debbie's comment that recreation space should be included on site for all new residential development, not in lieu fees for developers.

01:58:56 Maryam Atai: Just wanted to say I appreciate this meeting being online as I otherwise would not have been able to attend. Also appreciate the concerns about the parks. Parks are so important in the quality life of everyone and would love to see space allocated for that. The more dense the residential areas the more need for green spaces

01:59:26 Thomas DeFranco: It's not imaginary, we (the city) gets to decide what those du/acre are.

01:59:47 Ellie Yakatan: What did he just imply was imaginary?

02:00:13 Beth Zanelli: Yes, my chat was disabled! What?

02:01:58 Gail Friedt: Mission Hills Vons should have had housing above it, but was opposed by the CPG. Now Mission Hills is getting lots of new homes just like Hillcrest, Little Italy, Downtown, NP, Normal Heights, etc.

02:02:28 Ellie Yakatan: It has to be stipulated very low or low income, because "affordable" is way higher income.

02:03:23 Ricardo Flores: Yes, please keep chat on. This is public meeting

02:04:03 Diane Ahern: I commend Andy ... thanks for your thoughtful analysis.

02:04:45 Thomas DeFranco: Displacement of least expensive housing? There is no least expensive single family or low density housing in University.

02:05:05 Isabelle Kay: How do the anticipated salaries in the new biotech hub align with the housing? Will those who are the best and brightest actually want to live anywhere close to where they work?

02:05:11 Kimberly Ho: If Nancy was implying the Ralphs/Trader Joes land use as examples-the old Sears site. That site specifically has issues with parking. Tenants who live in the area don't have enough parking. Very limited parking for any retail shopping. Folks who live in Hillcrest and University Heights realize how poorly it was designed. Hopefully city does too.

02:05:12 Ellie Yakatan: Great point about LJVS Andy

02:06:06 Isabelle Kay: I don't see how any small retail businesses are going to survive in this environment; just chains of everything.

02:07:00 Beth Zanelli: And...how are the day to day needs be met of the neighborhood?

02:07:26 Lan Wolfkind: who wants to be another mira mesa?

02:07:54 Isabelle Kay: The ACE hardware in La Jolla village said they couldn't afford to set up a hardware store in LJVS....4 years ago!

02:08:12 Thomas DeFranco: Target and Whole Foods would not be displaced lol

02:08:19 Isabelle Kay: Exactly Beth!

02:10:25 Ellie Yakatan: There isn't a Target store there

02:11:30 Joann selleck: Also if you remove the lower priced housing on the corner of genesee and nobel (SWC) you eliminate the option of any section 8 supplements for needy residents when the rents increase too much.

02:11:41 Jesse O'Sullivan: If you upzone property owned by the san diego housing commission, either it stays the same you're letting the housing commission build more affordable housing on that site

02:12:00 Jen Dunaway: Change is good when it actually benefits the community.

02:12:21 Gail Friedt: Change is good when it allows more neighbors to live in you community.

02:12:22 Jason Moorhead: Andy, Thank you. UC is not only the hub for biotech in the City, but a top life cluster in the Nation. The San Diego Science Sector is a unique ~6mi radius centered in District 6 and has the potential to be the most innovative and productive cluster anywhere! Supporting intensity for development of this industry serves an even more important purpose - therapeutics and cures for the worlds most debilitating diseases. Support science! 🧐🧐🧐🧐🧐

02:12:22 Ellie Yakatan: If the housing commission wanted to do that, they would have already asked to increase it. It wouldn't be hard to get approval from

the City for that.

02:14:20 Jon Arenz: Ellie, it is a very hard, time consuming, and costly process to get zoning and land use changes approved

02:14:20 Jen Dunaway: Change is good is relative to one's perspective. you community ?

02:14:36 Ricardo Flores: Yes Melanie!!

02:15:05 Vedant Patel: Agreed with Melanie!

02:15:07 David Broide: David Broide would like to speak please

02:15:09 Jen Dunaway: Melanie, it is because of the hundreds of comments from April, May June and July. That's why.

02:15:11 Rachel Graham: Thanks for asking that Melanie; I was wondering the same thing

02:15:15 Isabelle Kay: There are lots of houses in La Jolla that are unoccupied most of the year; they are just vacation homes.

02:15:42 Jen Dunaway: Look at the chats from the last few zooms and the May meeting comments.

02:17:00 Ricardo Flores: Higher density please!!

02:17:03 Vedant Patel: ^^^

02:17:08 Linda Beresford: With respect to public comment at planning commission, at least half were in support of lower density.

02:17:13 Tom Ruff: The demographics are the people who live here

02:17:17 Ellie Yakatan: This is very rude comment about demographics.

02:17:30 Jesse O'Sullivan: higher density please. Scenario 1 from earlier this year was overwhelmingly supported in the community survey

02:17:33 Jen Dunaway: Melanie, Why does it matter where you live in the community? Are some demographics more valuable than others?

02:17:34 Ellie Yakatan: Im under 40 and I don't support the first scenarios

02:17:42 Vedant Patel: The average age of University City is in the low to mid 30s

02:17:44 Ellie Yakatan: I support Scenario A and B they are reasonable

02:17:52 Kacey Walker: Higher density = more traffic! I'm under 40

02:18:12 Jon Arenz: That's a false equivalency, Kacey

02:18:36 Ricardo Flores: Higher density = more public transportation

02:18:51 Lan Wolfkind: we are already one of the areas in SD with the highest density

02:19:10 Jen Dunaway: Did she not attend that last six meetings and hear comments and see the comments?

02:19:18 Ricardo Flores: Not true @Lan, see City Heights

02:19:29 Ellie Yakatan: We will soon be on all solar cars, no worry about gasoline causing climate problems.

02:20:12 Jen Dunaway: So people in South UC don't have families and jobs?

02:20:13 Jason Moorhead: Its a true comment about demographics. Our scientists are in the laboratory working.....

02:20:15 P Krysl: Let us say no to ad-hominem attacks

02:20:27 Ellie Yakatan: The original survey was certified skewed.

02:20:52 Jesse O'Sullivan: The original survey tracks the demographics of the community more closely than any other data point we have

02:21:08 Aidan Lin: Young people had a more representative say in that survey than before. It was closer to true community demographics than previous surveys

02:21:32 Vedant Patel: Well, I'm also well under 40 and I strongly support increased density! I wish we spent actual effort to increase representation that better reflects the demographics of University City than this meeting does, which is far more unrepresentative than the survey

02:21:42 Linda Beresford: 66% of the people who took last year's survey rejected the proposals of higher density.

02:21:46 Ellie Yakatan: Peter is a great speaker.

02:21:55 Joann selleck: If the voice of the participants was "skewed" in the fashion that Melanie believes, then we more likely would be discussing ZERO increase in density.....which is absolutely not what is occurring in this discussion!

02:21:57 Tom Ruff: The people in these meetings are the ones who care the most about this community. Don't discount us.

02:22:04 Kimberly Ho: The original survey excluded the folks who lived in the community. With the exception of the multiple survey outreach to the campus.

02:22:31 Kacey Walker: I took the survey at the North University Library

02:22:41 Lan Wolfkind: sorry, why are we comparing UC to Paris?

02:23:16 Pablo Lanatta: Please do not bring scenarios 1 and 2 back. This proposed plan is a step forward.

02:23:32 Jen Dunaway: Very little has been accommodated for the voices of us who want less density. Both scenarios still have huge density increases.

02:23:44 Ellie Yakatan: Because this density suggestions are exactly as dense as Paris

02:24:16 Ricardo Flores: Less density argument = status quo since 1970s

02:24:17 Linda Beresford: Many of us who attend these meetings have full time jobs and families. We find a way to make time to attend because it is important to us. Our perspective should not be discounted because we make the effort to participate.

02:24:32 Veronica Ayesta: responding to the comment about "loud voices" ---- I work full time, have a family of 3, and still make the time to attend the meetings because this issue matters to me and my family and neighbors. The meetings are open to ALL .

02:24:45 Susan Traganza: Melanie is incorrect in her opinion when she stated that the majority of people want increased density. We have spent the last 5 months voicing our opinion. I have two jobs and work 14 hour days and I block out time to attend these meetings because it is essential to the future of our community. Melanie's broad statements are not reflective of how UC residents feel.

02:24:58 Pablo Lanatta: Attendees on this meetings also have families, school obligations and full-time jobs. And we also deeply care about our community, that is why we are here voicing our opinions respectfully.

02:25:02 Dinesh Martien: Petr, I'm not sure this is a good comparison. You are comparing the densest part of a Scenario to the entirety of Paris (which includes parks, etc). I think this is apples to oranges. (I'm also not sure why we are obsessing with Paris in any case)

02:25:23 P Krysl: My area estimate also included parks

02:25:52 Beth Zanelli: I am having difficulty posting, again.

02:25:56 Ellie Yakatan: Thanks for your comment Peter

02:26:02 Dinesh Martien: But not nearby areas with lower density. I bet if you picked a corresponding area in Paris it would be much denser.

02:26:19 Kimberly Ho: Thank you Andrew.

02:26:20 P Krysl: No, I did not include condos

02:26:42 P Krysl: But that is not where people can really move about

02:27:02 Rebecca Robinson Wood: My husband says Paris has the best transit system in the world

02:27:17 Andrew Barton: May I respond?

02:27:22 P Krysl: Right. Which we definitely don't have

02:27:57 Ellie Yakatan: I think we should focus on how the current Scenario B is a workable solution and balances the density increase with common sense upzoning.

02:28:08 Beth Zanelli: The topography of Paris makes sense for that.

02:28:23 Vedant Patel: This is quickly turning into a chat about Paris, but high density leads to increased transit usage and makes future transit expansions more viable and convenient. This is something that can be documented just about everywhere on Earth

02:28:43 Ellie Yakatan: How did that work out for downtown San Diego?

02:28:59 P Krysl: Increasing density in one little area will not do anything for transit

02:29:19 Jena Bellin: I work full time and attend meetings. both scenarios drastically change the nature of the community in South UC. in addition, parks , parking and open space as well as congestion needs to be taken into consideration.

02:29:21 Jennifer Martin-Roff: Thank you Andrew. I agree with working with Scenario B.

02:29:38 Beth Zanelli: I agree PK

02:30:16 Vedant Patel: If you consider transit access and usage in the San Diego region, Downtown obviously has the best transit in the region, even if it still can use far more expansions and improvements (which we should push for!)

02:30:56 Masaki Mendoza: increase MTS's budget by like 10x lol

02:31:10 Vedant Patel: True lol

02:31:28 Thomas DeFranco: We are increasing density everywhere in San Diego, it isn't just this one little area.

02:33:06 Thomas DeFranco: A great way to make sure we don't lose supermarkets is to allow for more customers to live close to the supermarket.

02:33:26 Vedant Patel: ^^^

02:33:46 Ricardo Flores: OMG restaurants & no grocery stores in La Jolla!! It's a new food desert

02:33:47 Masaki Mendoza: Or even maybe we shouldn't necessarily add more supermarkets, smaller grocery stores should be allowed in more neighborhoods so that yk we could walk to them

02:33:49 Beth Zanelli: North UC and south UC should be addressed separately

02:34:03 Jen Dunaway: Bristol Farms didn't close for lack of customers.

02:34:45 Vedant Patel: Allowing more mixed-use zoning also would allow for *more* grocery stores and competition, meaning that large chains won't be able to have a monopoly over a given area as they do now

02:35:12 Ellie Yakatan: The rent will be so high only large chains could afford it.

02:35:29 Masaki Mendoza: ^ I think a few people were talking about small retail businesses not being able to compete, allowing them in neighborhoods currently residential-only would also help them compete better

02:35:45 Beth Zanelli: Yes!

02:37:54 andy wiese: I would agree with Mr. Oliver about that particular site as being appropriate for more housing.

02:37:58 Vedant Patel: Well if there's flexibility to allow for smaller grocery stores and sizes, which there currently isn't due to restrictive zoning and low density which encourages only large grocery stores and infrequent bulk trips, we would see more competition and smaller scale establishments which people could casually walk to get their daily groceries in smaller amounts

02:38:48 Ellie Yakatan: ^only if its zoned for that.

02:38:58 Jon Arenz: I also agree with Mr. Oliver. That site makes complete sense to have a higher density.

02:39:35 Beth Zanelli: Meeting the needs for dental, banking, eateries, vet, medications, urgent care...the list goes on and on. Remember...we have a significant senior population in south UC. Many rely solely on local establishments to meet their needs.

02:39:52 P Krysl: Very good point, Beth

02:39:56 Ricardo Flores: Seniors drive too

02:40:28 Jena Bellin: agree with Beth Zanelli. North and South UC are very different communities and should be approached differently.

02:40:33 Katerina Semendeferi: Good point Beth!

02:41:06 Jen Dunaway: Traffic is not being addressed. 30K housing units, if just half have cars, add another 15K cars into the community.

02:41:09 Jon Arenz: Higher density, mixed use sites will be more likely to have those smaller businesses combined with high density residential above vs. strictly commercial or strictly residential developments.

02:41:21 wyatt: There should be a maximum 143 DUA (dwelling units per acre) Outdoor recreation space must be included on site for all new residential development or new park space acquired; no developer "in lieu"* fees Creation of new linear/overlook parks at the dead ends of Regents Road on both sides of Rose Canyon

Development setbacks and height limits near canyons and MSCP lands to protect them from development impacts

Protection of open space and MSCP lands

Protections against displacement of current residents and small businesses

Requirement for on-site affordable units in new residential development: no "in lieu"* fees allowed

"In-lieu" fees paid by developers go into a central citywide pot but do not get parks or affordable housing actually built in our community plan area.

02:41:45 P Krysl: Well put

02:41:55 Beth Zanelli: Many, many of these folks do not. And, I am in the last block of residential and so I am very aware of and communicate with these folks about their needs

02:42:10 Masaki Mendoza: Tbh hot take but nowhere should be residential-only, I'd like places zoned so that you can have a small grocery store, a barber, a doctor/dentist within walking distance, things I like to call "light commercial." Doesn't really make sense to have wholly separated residential uses, gentle mixed use should be encouraged everywhere, then from there move onto some more separation of heavier, more traffic uses

02:42:54 Katerina Semendeferi: Yes, good point Masaki!

02:43:05 Beth Zanelli: JD...yes, it is crazy already!

02:43:27 Linda Bernstein: Hopefully Scenario B with changes is a promising way to move forward.

02:43:34 Vedant Patel: It would be more convenient and easy for people for all ages to be able to quickly walk for most if not all their daily needs, rather than having to rely on a car which is not something that all people can have access to, especially students and seniors who may have health problems preventing them from driving. And this convenience is possible with mixed-use zoning, and leads to more competition between businesses which is better for consumers and also smaller businesses!

02:44:38 Linda Beresford: Many seniors are not able to walk as you envision but can still drive.

02:45:09 Masaki Mendoza: Some seniors (even young people) also can't drive, what about blind people, people prone to seizures?

02:45:14 Ricardo Flores: Open space in La Jolla = Torrey Pines, UCSD, the Pacific coast beaches

02:45:29 Ricardo Flores: Ghetto??

02:45:31 Jen Dunaway: Or transport groceries for example. Not going to carry groceries for four people home from the store.

02:45:40 Gail Friedt: A ghetto? Yikes.

02:45:45 Angeli Calinog: There should not be that kind of language in this meeting.

02:45:45 Isabelle Kay: Someone kept muting me...weird...

02:46:10 Vedant Patel: Walkability is also better for disabled seniors as well since those who are disabled and might not have a car are stranded in low density environments while they would have an easy time getting around in higher density, mixed-use areas

02:46:22 Ricardo Flores: What type of people is speaker talking about RE: ghetto?

02:46:38 Vedant Patel: And that language about "ghettos" is completely uncalled for

02:46:49 P Krysl: Concrete jungle is a ghetto, no matter who lives there

02:46:50 Jena Bellin: People will still have cars. let's be realistic.

02:47:40 Isabelle Kay: What's wrong with the word ghetto? it's a reality

02:47:48 Masaki Mendoza: I think the issue a decent amount of us have is that right now, it's almost a de facto requirement to have a car. We shouldn't *need* to have a car to get places conveniently, we should be able to walk/bike/take transit to meet our needs conveniently.

02:47:57 Kimberly Ho: LJ-open spaces-Soledad open trail, Pottery Canyon, La Jolla Natural Park-well beyond UCSD and the beaches.

02:48:20 Gail Friedt: @P Krysl - that is not the definition of ghetto. Look it up in the dictionary.

02:48:21 Vedant Patel: Well currently UC is very much an asphalt jungle. High density and mixed use absolutely incorporates greenspace, and actually prevents green areas from being demolished over for sprawl and we have more room left over for parks

02:48:34 Aidan Lin: Exactly Masaki. We need to move away from cities and planning where we say "people *realistically* (by requirement) need cars"

02:48:35 Ricardo Flores: @P Krysl - care to elaborate on what a ghetto is??

02:48:49 Jen Dunaway: People want to go on hikes, visit friends, drive out of town, attend events that are not near transit, etc. Most people want access to a car. Especially when they start a family.

02:48:52 Jon Arenz: according to Oxford: ghetto - a part of a city, especially a slum area, occupied by a minority group or groups

02:49:39 P Krysl: High density does not incorporate green space by itself. It needs to be planned.

02:49:53 Aidan Lin: Most people NEED access to a car. Not everyone WANTS a car. We need to move to a city where you can buy a car if you want, but it is not a requirement to live, recreate, shop, etc.

02:50:04 Masaki Mendoza: Some people can't access cars though, what about students? What about children younger than 16? What about people who can't drive because of health issues like being prone to seizures? Even for low-income people, cars are a decently high expense for many.

02:50:15 Vedant Patel: Reducing car-dependency means helping provide viable alternatives to driving for daily uses, which is necessary to help fight climate change and just general improvements to quality of life

02:50:42 Beth Zanelli: Also...we also have on our agenda the reduction of governor drive to single lane for designated bike lane. Ok folks...but we need to look at the entire picture here. Our rec center and pool is wonderful, where are these additional users to park? There is inadequate parking now. And we are now considering increasing housing to such a degree.?

02:51:07 Jen Dunaway: You can

02:51:31 Jen Dunaway: sorry about that. You can't force people to do something they don't want to do.

02:51:52 Vedant Patel: According to MIT, a car is parked 95% of the time. Completely dedicating all infrastructure to cars, which are only actually used for 5% of their existence, is irresponsible and unsustainable, particularly with a growing population

02:52:02 Isabelle Kay: @Vedant -- I agree that densification is needed, but the devil is in the details...I work on campus next to the new living and learning buildings...and the noise of the buildings themselves is overpowering. I pity the students who live there. Noise is an incredible stressor. So is lack of natural open space: the concrete and bobble-heads in planters don't count.

02:52:11 Linda Beresford: The new pool at Standley park is already very full on Sundays. There is not a lot of capacity for more resident use.

02:52:30 Jen Dunaway: And that is that person's choice right ? You can buy a product and not use it much. Same with a bike. You can buy it and use it 5% of the time.

02:53:36 Masaki Mendoza: @isabelle I mean it's a good place to live, probably one of the best places on campus. I don't think you need to pity us lol

02:53:36 Isabelle Kay: Yes, the city does a very poor job of bringing transit online to support the claims of the developers to get people out of cars; look at Carmel Valley.

02:53:37 P Krysl: Really!?

02:53:49 Vedant Patel: But we don't have nearly all infrastructure here being dedicated to bike lanes. In fact, the current bike infrastructure, especially when considering the number of students and workers who own and commute using bikes, is woefully insufficient

02:53:52 P Krysl: Alternative 1 MINIMUM???

02:53:57 Linda Beresford: The community survey is not accurate. 2/3 of people who took the survey rejected higher density.

02:54:00 Katerina Semendeferi: No, last year's survey is NOT an accurate

reflection. Many of us did not even know it was taking place!!

02:54:02 Ellie Yakatan: Andy cut me of

02:54:04 Ellie Yakatan: off

02:54:09 Ellie Yakatan: Id like to make my comment

02:54:27 Aidan Lin: @Jen - But we're not talking just about a person's choice to buy a car, if we plan cities around cars that are underused you are affecting all of the other peoples' lives.

02:54:29 Rachel Graham: The Planning Commission meeting was on 9/29 and the recording is available on the city website.

02:54:42 Jena Bellin: a majority of the community were unaware of the initial survey

02:54:47 Ellie Yakatan: I like a comment that the Scenario A and B be the EIR

02:54:53 Gail Friedt: Thanks @ Jesse O'Sullivan

02:55:29 andy wiese: @Ellie yakatan - sorry if I cut you off. I see your comment.

02:55:47 Aidan Lin: I agree, Scenario 1 should be included and fully studied in the EIR. Even if Scenario A or B are also studied, Scenario 1 should be studied as well.

02:55:57 Melanie Cohn: Yes agree with Aidan

02:56:04 Vedant Patel: ^^^

02:56:05 Jen Dunaway: Aiden - so we have to think collectively and can't make an individual choice about what to buy and not buy for our own families needs?

02:56:11 P Krysl: Well, most people apparently don't

02:56:12 Kimberly Ho: Thank you Jesse. It's boggling that density isn't being addressed next to trolley stops.

02:56:21 Melanie Cohn: Aidan is saying it needs to be STUDIED in addition to the other scenarios

02:56:31 Aidan Lin: ^ Thank you Melanie. Yes.

02:57:25 Ricardo Flores: Most people unaware of meetings like tonight and those that are aware have lead our city/state off a cliff with high housing costs, increased homelessness, & run away rents

02:57:38 Ellie Yakatan: Nancy is completely putting all the high density comments on

02:57:48 Ellie Yakatan: Its not fair

02:58:00 Vedant Patel: Strongly agree with Rachel!

02:58:19 Ricardo Flores: Yes Rachel!!!

02:58:24 Masaki Mendoza: Jen - Yes, because your household's needs are very much impacted by the decisions we make as a collective as to what transportation methods we should fund/develop

02:58:33 Isabelle Kay: @Jon -- I did not mean to diss anyone; but I fear this area will become like Mission Valley which feels like one...isolated from any sense of reality, with no real choices of neighborhood facilities

02:59:03 Kimberly Ho: The community isn't only UCSD students. The campus needs to provide affordable housing for students.

02:59:25 Jen Dunaway: Yes, the City's plans and decisions very much affect the quality of life of everyone in the community.

02:59:53 Tom Ruff: All of these plans grealy increase density. Statements that consider anything less than the highest density ever proposed are really outrageous.

02:59:56 Ricardo Flores: Yes!!! Great comments

03:00:26 andy wiese: @ Steven. To clarify, my comment about La Jolla Village square is supportive of yours. We need to assure that housing gets built there and that retail to support that housing also stays there. EMX zoning does not require any housing or retail. It does put those uses into market competition with the more competitive uses - such as biotech, hq, commercial office. The risk is that housing and retail would be displaced or ignored - as at the NW corner of Genesee/Nobel, adjacent to the trolley, which is currently under development without housing - or a bike lane... 🙄🙄

03:00:36 Ricardo Flores: No required parks paid by developer

03:00:41 Jon Arenz: Isabelle, I know you and know you weren't intentionally disparaging anyone or trying to make hurtful comments, we just need to be careful with the language we use and the impact it carries

03:00:43 Linda Bernstein: No, The survey did not recommend the Community as a whole. Fortunately, the city is listening to all voices now including homeowners and long term residents.

03:00:59 Ellie Yakatan: ^YES LINDA

03:01:05 Kimberly Ho: Thank you Linda.

03:01:06 Linda Bernstein: represent not recommend

03:01:08 wyatt: agreed

03:01:30 Vedant Patel: Jen - Wouldn't the ultimate case for freedom and individuality be to allow people to have the option to choose to bike, walk, or take transit which requires viable infrastructure that isn't car-dependent, and that these plans and the current situation is actually collectivist in its nature by forcing everyone to have a car to get around and do daily activities? In a higher density area, you can still own a car and use it for your daily needs if you want, but you're not forced to like you currently are

03:02:03 Ellie Yakatan: ^Vedant, Scenario B is compromise and it needs to be strongly considered

03:02:13 Masaki Mendoza: Yeah I agree, traffic definitely would be an issue, which is why we should be addressing car-dependency where we de facto need a car to go anywhere. If we develop biking, walking, transit infrastructure and making our communities more walkable, then we could much more easily handle more traffic flows from more housing and residents.

03:02:21 Ellie Yakatan: It also keeps the current heigh-limit which will be a good plus to move this whole thing forward.

03:02:22 wyatt: you will destroy a nice family neighborhood

03:02:31 Jena Bellin: The university is increasing enrollment and needs to be held responsible for providing housing for students so that the responsibility is not placed on the surrounding community

03:02:39 Masaki Mendoza: San Diego/University City is full of cars, not people

03:02:39 Jon Arenz: Thanks for everyone's input. I need to leave, but as other people have noted, the fact that this was available online is why I was able to attend tonight, so I appreciate having that option.

03:03:27 Ellie Yakatan: Private interests are speaking here

03:03:35 Ellie Yakatan: This is public comment period.

03:03:48 Ellie Yakatan: That sticky note

03:03:54 Ellie Yakatan: should note it is a private interese

03:04:00 Ellie Yakatan: intrest

03:04:16 P Krysl: This is not a public comment1

03:04:23 Ellie Yakatan: Put ****Private interest***

03:04:31 P Krysl: Private interests speaking.

03:04:34 Beth Zanelli: Am I really hearing this? Oh heavens

03:04:36 Ricardo Flores: City Staff: stay strong, do what's right for the future, keep & add density & stop listening to folks that are at best google experts on land use and planning

03:04:46 Beth Zanelli: I am speechless

03:05:04 Kimberly Ho: This is private developers landowners

03:05:27 Ricardo Flores: Housing is a right = developing land is a right

03:05:27 Karen Banks: Interesting that this is the first meeting where a number of people are actually asking for increased density. Over the past several months I have heard and seen many more requests to reduce density. What has shifted?

03:05:45 Ellie Yakatan: It is now a reasonable suggestion

03:06:04 Masaki Mendoza: I keep hearing 2/3 statistic, where does that come from cause when I read the survey from October/November 2021, 50% wanted the highest density scenario

03:06:12 Aidan Lin: Not everyone who did not finish the survey "rejected" all options. That's a false equivalence.

03:06:24 Masaki Mendoza: Ah I see

03:06:29 Aidan Lin: The 2/3's is the number of people who started, but did not fully finish the survey.

03:06:30 Ricardo Flores: @Karen - the "people" are paying attention

03:06:31 Ellie Yakatan: Not all people got emailed the survey either.

03:06:52 Tom Ruff: I've never heard of building housing over a gas station. Why are those properties slated for mixed use?

03:07:06 Aidan Lin: @Ellie And yet the demographic make up of respondents was still relatively accurate.

03:07:17 Ellie Yakatan: ^^Not at all

03:07:26 Vedant Patel: Well very few people have been able to come to these meetings as well, considering the population of UC is in the tens of thousands

03:08:09 Diane Ahern: I wonder what the owners of the UC MarketPlace (Sprouts) and the University Square (Vons) have to say?

03:08:30 Gail Friedt: City planners...stay the course. Build the highest density.

03:08:30 Susan Baldwin: As a planner and advocate for affordable housing I hope the plan update includes policies and programs that will create an economically balanced community. State law was changed in 2018 to require jurisdictions to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing (AFFH) – in other words to ensure various levels of affordability. This plan update provides an opportunity to do that.

03:08:41 David Jay: @Tom Ruff - Presumably because they aren't required to be gas stations in perpetuity.

03:08:43 Ellie Yakatan: No,

03:08:47 Ricardo Flores: La Jolla Homeowners: you own and can control your own property and no one else's

03:08:53 Beth Zanelli: No

03:08:53 Ellie Yakatan: State law already requires affordable housing.

03:09:00 Ellie Yakatan: We do NOT need this update to accomplish that.

03:09:08 Jennifer Martin-Roff: In response to those complaining about a reduction in density: Density is not being reduced anywhere in UC.

03:09:19 Diane Ahern: Thanks to Andy

03:09:20 Ricardo Flores: La Jolla: the people will keep watching