

SD) Airports

WELCOME Please Sign In **Project Contact: Wayne Reiter Airports Division Program Manager** (858) 573-1436 | WReiter@sandiego.gov

For more information about the project, please visit www.SDAirportPlans.com





Meeting Format



Presentation Overview

Noise / Air Quality Overview > Economic Impact Analysis Introduction to Draft Alternatives > Airside > Landside > Next Steps



> Master Plan Overview, Purpose and Schedule



1. Master Plan Overview, **Purpose and Schedule**







What is a Master Plan

"...a comprehensive study of an airport [that] usually describes the short-, medium-, and long-term development plans to meet future aviation demand."

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5070-6B, Airport Master Plans





Why now

- > Last City adopted Master Plan was completed in 1980
- > Recommended in City Performance Audit
- New FAA Design Standards > Transformational changes in
- aviation
- > Updated and approved Airport Layout Plan required for FAA funding







Master Plan Objectives

1. What do you have?

- Existing conditions
- Inventory of assets
- Obtain stakeholder input



2. What do you need or want?

3. How do you get it?

• Aviation forecasts (FAA reviews and approves) • Demand and capacity analysis

• Obtain stakeholder and public input

- Determine alternatives
- Select the best alternative
- Prepare an implementation plan
- Obtain stakeholder and public input

Plan



City of San Diego

Airport Sponsor

Provides Historical Data

Converges Community Input

CEQA Lead Agency

Adopts Master Plan



Roles and Responsibilities



Provides Grant Funding

Gives Technical Guidance

Approves the Forecast

Reviews Work Product











Roles and Responsibilities

Advisory Committee



Promotes Planning Process to Others

Collaborates on Key Issues

Reviews Work Product





Master Plan Steps

1. Data Collection

Airport inventory Environmental setting Related studies Historical activity review



2. Forecast

Aircraft operations Fleet mix/based aircraft Peaking characteristics FAA approval

3. Facility Requirements

Airfield design

Landside development/support



Master Plan Steps

4. Alternatives

Reasonable and practical

Formulate evaluation criteria

Matrix evaluation



5. Preferred alternative /CEQA analysis

City selects preferred alternative Conduct CEQA analysis Financial plan

Master plan adoption and ALP approval

City adopts the plan FAA approves Airport Layout Plan



2. Noise / Air Quality Overview





Outine

> Modeling Approach > Noise Metric Definitions > Noise Results > Annual Average Day Operations > CNEL 2017 Baseline Noise Contours > CNEL 2017 Baseline and Alternative Noise Contours > Air Quality Results





Nodeling Approach

Environmental Design Tool > Required Modeling Inputs > Airport Configuration > Fleet Mix and Operations > Runway Use > Model Flight Tracks > Flight Track Use > Meteorological Conditions > Terrain



> Noise and air quality modeled using Aviation



Noise Metric Definitions

- Sound is pressure variation our ears can detect
 - An objective quantity
- Noise is "unwanted sound" A subjective quantity
- We relate sound and noise by considering effects
 - Annoyance
 - Speech interference
 - Sleep disruption







Noise Metric Definitions

- We use a logarithmic scale decibels, or dB to express sound levels and noise levels
- Our ear is not equally sensitive to all frequencies
 - A-weighted decibels (dB) measure sound the way we "hear" it
- The simplest way to describe a noise "event" is its maximum sound level, Amax
- A longer event may seem "noisier," even if it has a lower or equal maximum level
- Single Event Noise Equivalency Level SENEL) measures the total "noisiness" of an event by taking duration into account









Noise Metric Definitions

Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)

- Describes 24-hour noise exposure
- Noise from 7 PM 10 PM is > factored up by 4.77 dB
- Noise from 10 PM 7 AM is factored up by 10 dB
 - This "penalty" is equal > to counting each night aircraft 10 times







Representative Location

Los Angeles - 3rd Floor Apartment next to Freeway

Los Angeles - Downtown with some Construction Activity

- 2nd Floor Apartment
- Row Housing on Major Avenue

Los Angeles - Old Residential Area

- Small Town Cul-de-sac
- Wooded Residential
- Tomato Field on Farm



Annual Average Day Operations





hmmh

Total Time of Operation

Arrivals Departures Circuits Subtotal





2017 Baseline CNEL Noise Contour







2017 Baseline and Alternative CNEL Noise Contour Comparison







Air Quality: Overview

- human health.
- requirements.
- impacts in nonattainment areas¹. Typically 100 tons per year

¹US Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/general-conformity/de-minimis-tables



> The EPA has identified Criteria Pollutants to be part of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), which are protective of

> Each state or region can specify their own pollutant levels (that may be more stringent) with mandated levels set by EPA as minimum

De minimus levels define threshold of increased pollutants indicating



Air Quality Results

> Criteria Air Pollutants

- > Carbon monoxide (CO)
- Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2)
- > Particulate matter (PM10)
- > Particulate matter (PM2.5)
- > Sulfur dioxide (SO_2)
- > Lead (Pb)
- > Ozone (O_3)

Note: Ozone is an indirect or secondary pollutant that occurs due to chemical reactions primarily between NO_2 and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). As a result, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NO_2 , the primary precursors to ozone formation, provide surrogate information for assessing ozone levels.





Air Quality Results

> Compared to EPA de minimis levels, MYF emissions fall well below the limits for the baseline; impacts are considered insignificant.

Airport	Со	No _x	PM10	PM2.5	SO ₂	VOC	Lead (Pb)	CO ₂
MYF Aircraft – Total	4.233	0.011	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.103	1.442	14.287

Notes:

1. Results expressed in metric tons.

2. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions as a greenhouse gas, though this estimation does not account for the varying greenhouse gases and their associated emissions factors in comparison to CO_2 .

Source: HMMH 2017





3. Economic Impact Analysis





> Airport and Tenant Operations > Multipliers: On–Site Activity –> Off–Site Activity > Local effect (MYF) leads to regional effect (SD County) > Methodology > Input-Output Modeling > Primary and Secondary Data > Site Visits



Economic Impact Analysis



Multiplier Effects

Direct Effect

Indirect Effect

Suppliers

Business to Business







Economic Measures



Jobs



Economic Effects

Labor Income





Industry

Output





Airport Operations

> 46 On-Site Jobs > Industry Output: \$8.2 million > Labor Income: \$2.7 million





Multipliers: Airport Operations



> 46 On-Site Jobs = 110 Total Jobs > Industry Output: \$8.2M On-Site = \$17.5M Total > Labor Income: \$2.7M On-Site = \$6.5M Total





Tenant Operations

> 694 On-Site Jobs Largest Employers > Administrative/Support Services > Transportation Support Services > Hospitality > Educational Services > Professional Services Industry Output: \$75.7 million > Labor Income: \$35.8 million





Multipliers: Tenant Operations



> 694 On-Site Jobs = 1,279 Total Jobs > Industry Output: \$75.7M On-Site = \$161.8M Total > Labor Income: \$35.8M On-Site = \$69.2M Total





Overall MYF Operations



> 740 On-Site Jobs, 1,390 Total Jobs

> Industry Output: \$83.9M On-Site, \$179.3M Total

> Labor Income: \$38.5M On-Site, \$75.7M Total





Largest Secondary Effects

> Public Sector > Health Care > Administrative/Support Services > Retail Trade > Professional Services > Hospitality (Accommodation and Food Service)







Next Steps

> Future Impacts > Fiscal Impact Analysis







4. Introduction to Draft Alternatives





Aternatives Analysis





•Identifies best options to meet existing and forecast aviation activity

•Working Papers 2 and 3 – Forecast of Aviation Demand and Facility Requirements


Airside vs. Landside





Airside

Landside







Airside Alternatives





Alternative #1 – No Action

























Landside Alternatives







Alternative #1 – No Action



























5. Next Steps





Spring 2017

Existing Conditions Analysis

Forecasting & Facility Requirements

ALP – Airport Layout Plan CEQA – California Environmental Quality Act FFA – Financial Feasibility Analysis





Summer 2018

Preferred Alternative & CEQA Analysis



Master Plan Adoption & ALP Approval



Next Steps

Preferred Alternative





Working Paper 5 – Alternatives Analysis













Ground Rules

Speak Clearly and Slowly State Your Name and Association > One Question Per Person > Help Us Stay on Track > Focus on New Input

Verbal comments and questions are *not* being recorded. Please provide your comments in writing for consideration and evaluation by the project team.







Work Stations

