

San Diego Privacy Advisory Board

Minutes of Meeting – May 28, 2025

A recording of the meeting is available at:

The meeting was held in the City Administration Building - 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101, 12th Floor
– Council Chambers

Attendance:

In-Person: Ike Anyanetu, Tim Blood, Lee Duran, Ted Womack Jr, Yaw Dapaa, Magdalena Donea.

Quorum reached

Via Zoom: None

Absent: Brett Diehl

Motions Made:

- Unanimous acceptance of the minutes of meeting dated April 17, 2025.
- Unanimous acceptance of new Committee: Smart Streetlights committee. To be initially comprised of Tim Blood, Yaw Dapaa, Lee Duran and Maggie Donea. The committee will analyze efficacy and cost benefit of the surveillance technology. This includes, but not limited to consideration of policies and procedures, identification of KPIs, support of risk vs. reward considerations, etc. Other surveillance technologies may key off of findings of this committee.

Other Matters Discussed and of Note:

- Consideration of the establishment of other committees. The following potential committees were discussed. It was determined that a formal committee to address the board actions was not necessary at this time but would be handled as needed with potential “ad hoc” committees.
 - Internship and Resources
 - Education and Outreach – Identify and arrange speakers for particular hot topics, establish joint meetings with City leaders, etc.
 - Operations – Carry on the key topics of the earlier operations ad hoc committee. This includes considering and developing PAB operating procedures to supplement bylaws. Lee Duran to follow-up on open operational concerns.
 - Prioritization and Tools -This includes liaising with the City of San Diego to prioritize surveillance technologies for PAB review and develop tools to ensure consistency and completeness of City department requests. This will also ensure technology is not rushed for review.
- Updated Smart Streetlights Placement: The PAB considered the SDPD’s notice of changes or additions to SSL placements. The PAB did not take exception to the placements of the SSL. However, the board members want to know more as to how and why locations are chosen. The

criteria listed by the SDPD was considered general or vague. The board also discussed how and when to use “exigent circumstances” vs. changes noted in the ordinary course of operations.

Interns were used by PAB to research the use of KPIs by other Cities across the west in their surveillance technology oversight. The results of this research by the interns are due in the near term and will be analyzed by the PAB subcommittees.

Public Comments:

- Minutes should include bullet points of key public comments.
- Does the City really use ALPR or similar technologies or is it operating effectively? It was noted that other cities in California were not able to appropriately access certain incidents as was originally expected.
- The board needs to consider (1) was the technology actually used, and (2) ensure data is not being sold to or used by third parties.

The meeting adjourned at 7:10 pm.

/s/ LMD as Recorded for the PAB