1. Can SDG&E revise the content of their last presentation to clarify what activities are a direct result of and additive because of the Franchise Agreement, Undergrounding MOU, and ECA? And can they also clarify the funding sources for each specific action (ratepayer funds, shareholder funds, etc.)?
2. Would SDG&E be open to revisiting the timeline for renegotiation of these agreements in order to correct the misalignment between those agreement schedules and the timing of the public input, including this committee and one avenue for public input? As everyone seems to agree in the committee meetings, the timing is not ideal and does not allow for timely public input into these agreements; rather public input is considered much later when it's not very impactful and the agreements are already in place.
3. From their presentation, it sounded like SDG&E is working collaboratively with SDCP. Are the two organizations in alignment on SDG&E's proposal to discontinue energy efficiency programs? Are there any other relevant areas that the two organizations are not in alignment? Please also identify what actions in the ECA and other agreements would be impacted if the proposal is approved as proposed.
4. Several members of the public called in with questions – please include those as part of these questions, too. The topics were:
    a. Contradictions between SDG&E's states support for rooftop solar and their opposition in regulatory proceedings
    b. How is SDG&E supporting an SB1221 neighborhood decarbonization pilot?
    c. Lack of public input into the process of establishing and renegotiating these agreements
    d. Lack of actionable and measurable items in the ECA
    e. Request for a 3rd party perspective presentation on the franchise compliance items (i.e., not SDG&E and not the City)
5. Would the staff put all the agreements on one timeline, along with the timeline of this committee and the audit? I have only found those in different places, but it would be helpful to show all together.
6. To increase our efforts to make this process transparent and inclusive, would it be possible to set up a system to collect emails from interested parties and proactively push out FCRC committee notifications, like how the Climate Advisory Board, Planning Committees, and other advisory groups at the City do?
7. Please make the collective questions received by the FCRC members part of the public record and available on the City's website.