MOBILITY BOARD

Meeting Notice and Agenda

Wednesday, November 5, 2025
Hybrid Meeting, 4:00-5:30PM
Location: Civic Center Plaza
1200 3rd Ave, 4th Floor Conference Room
San Diego, CA 92101
Zoom Link: https://sandiego.zoomgov.com/j/1619281857

Chair: Daniel Reeves (Acting Chair, Mayoral)

Board Members: Michael Donovan (Mayoral), Wayne Landon (Mayoral), Haylee Rea (Mayoral), Harry Bubbins (D1), Stephan Vance (D2), Adrian Baker-Kang (D3), Dr. Lima Saft (D5), Jeff Dosick (D6), Steve Gelb (D7), Anar Salayev (D9)

Staff Liaisons: Philip Trom, Program Manager and Sarah Pierce, Senior Planner

The Link to Join the Webinar By Computer, Tablet, Or Smartphone Is:
https://sandiego.zoomgov.com/j/1619281857
Meeting ID: 161 928 1857

To Join by Using One-Tap Mobile:
US (English): + 16692545252,, 1619281857#
US (Spanish): + 16692161590,, 1619281857#

To Join by Telephone:
Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
(US West Coast): +1 669 254 5252 or +1 669 216 1590 or (US East Coast): +1 551 285 1373 or +1 646 828 7666 or (US Spanish): +1 415 449 4000 or +1 646 964 1167 or (US Toll Free) 833 568 8864
Meeting ID: 161 928 1857
Find your local number: https://sandiego.zoomgov.com/u/aKT2VxCzG

Public Comment

Public Comment on an Agenda Item: If you wish to address the Board on an item for today's agenda, please complete and submit a speaker form before the Board hears the agenda item. You will be called at the time the item is heard.

Written Comment through Webform: In lieu of in-person attendance, members of the public may submit their comments using a web form. If using the web form, indicate the agenda item number you wish to submit a comment for. Instructions for word limitations and deadlines are noted on the web form. On the web form, members of the public should select Mobility Board.

Virtual Public Comment: When the Chair introduces the item, you would like to comment on (or indicates it is time for Non-Agenda Public Comment), raise your hand by either tapping the "Raise Your Hand" button on your computer, tablet, or Smartphone, or by dialing *9 on your phone. You will be taken in the order in which you raised your hand. You may only speak once on a particular item. When the Chair indicates it is your turn to speak, click the unmute prompt that will appear on your computer, tablet, or Smartphone, or dial *6 on your phone.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda: You may address the Board on any matter not listed on today's agenda. Please complete and submit a speaker form. However, California's open meeting laws do not permit the Board to discuss or take any action on the matter at today's meeting. At its discretion, the Board may add the item to a future meeting agenda or refer the matter to staff or a committee. Individuals' comments are limited to three minutes per speaker. At the discretion of the Chair, if a large number of people wish to speak on the same item, comments may be limited to a set period of time per item.

Requests for Accessibility Modifications or Accommodations

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), by contacting Philip Trom at 619-236-7076 or ptrom@sandiego.gov or Sarah Pierce at 619-236-7385 or spierce@sandiego.gov. Requests for disability-related modifications or accommodations required to facilitate meeting participation, including requests for alternatives to observing meetings and offering public comment as noted above, may be made by Philip Trom at 619-236-7076 or ptrom@sandiego.gov or Sarah Pierce at 619-236-7385 or spierce@sandiego.gov. Requests for disability-related modifications or accommodations required to facilitate meeting participation, including requests for auxiliary aids, services or interpreters, require different lead times, ranging from five business days to two weeks. Please keep this in mind and provide as much advance notice as possible in order to ensure availability. The City is committed to resolving accessibility requests swiftly in order to maximize accessibility.

Requests for translation services to offer public comment may be made by contacting Philip Trom at 619-236-7076 or ptrom@sandiego.gov or Sarah Pierce at 619-236-7385 or spierce@sandiego.gov. The City is committed to addressing language translation requests swiftly to maximize public participation

Item 1: **Call to Order. Roll Call**

Item 2: **Approval of Meeting Minutes (Action)**
Approval of the October 1, 2025, meeting minutes (Attachment 1).

Item 3: **Non-Agenda Public Comment**

Item 4: **City Planning Department Update (Information)**
Heidi Vonblum, City Planning Department Director, will provide an overview of the City Planning Department, Mobility Governance Group's role and responsibilities, and share updates on the Complete Streets Checklist and reporting process.

Item 5: **Chair and Vice Chair Selections (Action)**
The roles and responsibilities for Board Chair and Vice Chair are included as Attachment 2.

5.a. Chair Selection
Mobility Board members will be asked to select a new Chair. Nominations can be made on the floor. Nominations already received: Daniel Reeves (Acting Chair).

5.b. Vice Chair Selection
Mobility Board members will be asked to select a Vice Chair. Nominations can be made on the floor. Nominations already received: Michael Donovan (Mayoral) and Anar Salayev (D9).

Item 6: **Subcommittee Updates (Discussion/ Possible Action)**

6.a. ATI Workplan Subcommittee Activities

ATI Workplan Subcommittee members will share updates from their September 5th meeting (Attachment 3A) including a draft letter to the City's Transportation Department (Attachment 3B).

6.b. Budget Subcommittee Activities

There are no updates from the Budget Subcommittee this month.

Note: Subcommittee minutes and agenda requests shall be submitted no later than 10 days prior to the next Mobility Board meeting.

Item 7: **Staff Updates**

Item 8: **Updates from Members**

Item 9: **Schedule and Topics for Future Meetings**

- December 3, 2025
- January 7, 2026
- February 4, 2026
- March 4, 2026

Mobility Board meeting agenda topic requests shall be submitted no later than 10 days prior to the next Mobility Board meeting.

Item 10: **Adjournment**
The next scheduled meeting of the Mobility Board is December 3, 2025.

The City of SAN DIEGO

MOBILITY BOARD

Wednesday, October 1, 2025
In Person Meeting: 4:00 – 5:30 PM
Civic Center Plaza, 1200 3rd Ave., 4th Floor Conf. Room
San Diego, CA 92101

Members: Michael Donovan (Mayoral), Wayne Landon (Mayoral), Haylee Rea (Mayoral), Daniel Reeves (Acting Chair, Mayoral), Harry Bubbins (D1), Stephan Vance (D2), Dr. Lima Saft (D5), Jeff Dosick (D6), Steve Gelb (D7), Anar Salayev (D9)

Agenda:

Item 1: Call to Order. Roll call.

Acting Chair Daniel Reeves called the meeting to order at 4:02 p.m.

Mobility Board Member	Seat	Attendance
Wayne Landon	Mayoral	Y
Daniel Reeves	Mayoral	Y
Michael Donovan	Mayoral	Y
Haylee Ann Rea	Mayoral	Y
Harry Bubbins	D1	Y
Stephan Vance	D2	Y
Vacant	D3	N
Vacant	D4	N
Lima Saft	D5	N
Jeff Dosick	D6	Y
Steve Gelb	D7	Y
Vacant	D8	N
Anar Salayev	D9	N

Item 2: Approval of the Meeting Minutes (Action)

Acting Chair Reeves asked the Mobility Board to review and approve the minutes from its September 3, 2025.

There were no public comments on this item.

Action: Steve Gelb motioned to approve the September 3, 2025 meeting minutes with the following amendment: The Item 5 discussion regarding Genesee Avenue should be amended to note that Board members recommend moving the bike lane adjacent to the curb with floating parking adjacent to the bike lane, given the speed of cars on Genesee Ave. Haylee Rea seconded the motion and all members in attendance voted “yes” to approve the meeting minutes.

Item 3: Non-Agenda Public Comment

A member of the public discussed Camino del Rio North in Mission Valley. The road was recently repaved, but no buffered bike lane was installed.

Item 4: City of San Diego College Area and Clairemont Community Plan Updates (Action)

Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, introduced the presenting City Planning Department team members: Sean McGee, Christine Mercado, Nathen Causman, and Maureen Gardiner.

4.a. College Area Community Plan Update

Staff shared a high-level overview of the College Area Community Plan Update including an overview of the 7 Vision Report and the Plan's guiding principles, land uses, mobility framework, implementation actions, and changes between the second draft and hearings draft of the Plan. The Plan is anticipated to go to the Planning Commission on October 9th, the Land Use and Housing Committee on November 21st and to the City Council for adoption in mid-December.

One public commenter expressed support for the bus lanes included in the Plan, noting that buses increase capacity over single occupancy vehicles. They noted that the Plan is forward-thinking and they also appreciate the inclusion of roundabouts in the Plan.

Board discussion included support for the density included in the Plan and the focus on transit. City Planning staff confirmed that they had been working with SDSU staff to confirm the assumptions in growth and density for the SDSU student population. SDSU west will be connected to the College Area via the trolley and bicycle network improvements along Montezuma and Fairmont. In addition, complete streets improvements are planned along Alvarado Road. Additional bicycle network improvements in the Mission Valley Community Planning Area will further enhance the connection between the two campuses. The Board asked for clarification on how improvements proposed in the Plan can be tracked. Staff explained that implementation of improvements will be multi-jurisdictional with SDSU, Caltrans, and the City taking the lead on different mobility improvements based on their jurisdiction. The City's budget development process provides further insight on the timing of improvements that will be implemented by the City. Staff clarified that all improvements are dependent on funding from the City, potential developers, and grants so it can be difficult to determine an exact timeframe for implementation.

Upon a motion by Steve Gelb and a second by Michael Donovan, the Mobility Board voted to recommend approval of the College Area Community Plan Update with Daniel Reeves abstaining from the vote and other all members in attendance voting "yes."

4.b. Clairemont Community Plan Update

City Planning staff provided an overview of the second draft of the Clairemont Community Plan Update, including the project schedule and opportunities for feedback on the draft plan. A key focus of the Plan is to increase residential density near the three trolley stations and along planned transit routes, including bus rapid transit corridors and cycle tracks. The second draft of the Plan retains a similar land use pattern to the first draft, continuing to focus new home opportunities in village areas and along corridors. However, it now provides increased capacity for additional homes. Staff described the mobility improvements added to the second draft of the Plan including, but not limited to, shared-use connections over the I-5, an extension of the proposed Morena Blvd bike route, the inclusion of the 2025 Regional Plan Next Generation Rapid routes, and additional policies in the Mobility Element. The Plan is anticipated to go the Planning Commission on October 16th, the Land Use and Housing Committee on November 21st and to the City Council for adoption in mid-December.

There were two public comments on item 4.b. The first commenter asked for clarification on connectivity enhancements. Staff explained that something like a greenway, parkway or paseo would be considered a connectivity enhancement. The second commenter noted their excitement for the planned improvements along Morena Blvd. and encouraged staff to include additional density along the blue line trolley corridor.

Board members discussed existing bike lanes in the Clairemont Community Plan Area that disappear abruptly and asked for clarification on how project completion can be tracked to ensure that bicycle improvements are complete. They noted the need to understand the City's project process but want to ensure that the advancement of this Plan to the next stage in the approval process is not delayed. Board members also expressed support for reviewing building height limits, especially in the areas near the Blue Line trolley. One Board member noted the lack of connectivity between the Clairemont Community and beach and noted that a statement supporting this connection would be valuable. The Board also recognized that Community Plans are visioning documents, not implementation plans, and asked to discuss the City's project implementation process at an upcoming Mobility Board meeting.

Upon a motion by Stephan Vance and a second by Wayne Landon, the Mobility Board voted to recommend approval of the Clairemont Community Plan Update with Daniel Reeves abstaining from the vote, Jeff Dossick voting "no," and other all members in attendance voting "yes."

Item 5: Mobility Board Bylaws Update (Action)

Acting Chair Daniel Reeves explained that administrative changes have been made to bylaws. Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, provided an overview of the changes that have been made. Most notably these changes include an update on the definition of quorum and a process to identify a Vice Chair. Mr. Trom also read bylaw comments from board member Dr. Lima Saft into the record and addressed questions.

There were no public comments on this item.

Upon a motion by Stephan Vance and a second by Harry Bubbins, the Mobility Board voted to approve the bylaws with all members in attendance voting "yes."

Item 6: Subcommittee Updates (Discussion/ Possible Action)

There were no public comments on this item.

6.a. ATI Workplan Subcommittee Activities
The overview of the September ATI Subcommittee discussion has been tabled to a future meeting.

6.b. Budget Subcommittee Activities
There are no updates from the Budget Subcommittee this month.

Item 7: Staff Updates

Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, noted that Adrian Baker-Kang has been recommended as the Mobility Board representative for District 3. They are waiting for a docket date to make their appointment official.

There were no public comments on this item.

Item 8: Updates from Members

There were no updates from members and no public comments on this item.

Item 9: Schedule for Future Meetings

Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, shared that City Planning Director, Heidi Vonblum, will attend the November Mobility Board meeting to discuss the City Planning Department's role in the Mobility Governance Group and the City's Complete Streets Checklist. The Port of San Diego is also tentatively scheduled for the November meeting. Acting Chair Daniel Reeves noted that a future item for the nomination of Chair and Vice Chair will be added to the November meeting as well.

There were no public comments on this item.

Stephan Vance asked for clarification on the role and responsibilities of the Mobility Governance Group. Philip Trom, Mobility Board liaison, provided some additional information on the Governance Group and explained that a separate item solely focused on project implementation will also be brought forward to the Mobility Board. In addition, Stephan Vance added that an explanation of the City's budget process would be helpful prior to the budget subcommittee reconvening.

Future Meeting Dates:

- November 5, 2025
- December 3, 2025
- January 7, 2026
- February 4, 2026

Item 10: Adjournment

Acting Chair Reeves adjourned the meeting at 5:34 pm. The next regularly scheduled Mobility Board meeting is scheduled for November 5, 2025.

Chair and Vice Chair Roles and Responsibilities

Role of the Chair

As the chief volunteer officer, the board chair is responsible for leading the board. This position demands an exceptional commitment to the board/commission, first-rate leadership qualities, and personal integrity. The chair must earn the respect of fellow board members to be able to meet the challenges of this position.

To function effectively, groups need a leader. A board leader is approachable and available. He or she is objective and listens actively. He or she needs to be a strategist, knowledgeable about the organization and board practices, a coach, and a conciliator. The chair most commonly performs the following functions:

- Serves as the contact point for every board member /commissioner on board/commission issues.
- Sets goals and objectives for the board/commission and ensures that they are met.
- Ensures that all board members/commissioners are involved in committee activities; assigns committee chairs.
- Motivates board members/commissioners to attend meetings.
- Facilitator of board/commission meetings
- One of the trickiest responsibilities of a chair is to run effective and productive board meetings. Effective meeting facilitators must be able to create a purposeful agenda in collaboration and follow it.
- Know how to run a less formal and productive meeting.
- Engage each board member in deliberation.
- Control dominating or out-of-line behavior during meetings.

If the board/commission chair is not able to lead an effective meeting, it is better to delegate that task to someone else (such as the vice-chair) rather than risk unproductive or boring meetings.

Should the chair vote?

The chair has the same right to vote as other board members. Some chairs vote, while others abstain and vote only to break a tie.

Role of the Vice-Chair

The vice-chair most commonly performs the following functions:

- Prepares to assume the office of the board chair.
- Fulfills the board chair’s duties when the presiding officer is absent or if that office becomes vacant.
- Assists the board chair in the execution of their duties.
- Serves on committees as requested to learn the operations of the board.
- Works closely with the board chair to transfer knowledge and history to prepare for leadership.

ATI Work Plan Subcommittee Minutes

Meeting Minutes
Date: Friday, September 5, 2025
Time: 0:00 – 36:45 (approx.)
Location: Virtual Meeting

Attendance

- Michael Donovan
- Haylee Rea
- Steven Gelb
- Anar Salayev
- Wayne [not present, referenced as a member]

---

1. Call to Order

- Meeting started at 0:02.
- Transcript recording and live dashboard tested.

---

2. Discussion Items

A. Speed Reduction & Vision Zero Alignment

- Speed reduction directly supports **Vision Zero, Climate Action Plan, livable streets, and mode shift goals**.
- Case studies discussed: Hoboken (comprehensive quick builds), Fremont (school-focused interventions), Portland, Seattle.

- Emphasis on **projects, programs, policies (“3Ps”)** as a combined approach.

B. AB 43 Implementation

- Current rollout includes business districts; next phase should prioritize **school zones**.
- Recommendation to set **realistic timelines** for implementation.

C. Quick Builds & Road Diets

- Tools include: curb extensions, pedestrian refuge, roundabouts, crosswalks, LPIs, speed cushions/tables, daylighting, protected bike lanes, bus bulbs.
- Debate over terminology: prefer “**strategic use**” rather than “liberal use” of treatments.
- Agreement that quick builds should be seen as an interim tool toward **Complete Streets**.

D. Enforcement

- Acknowledged enforcement gaps in San Diego.
- Support for **automated speed enforcement**, with privacy and equity considerations.
- Consensus that roads should self-enforce safe behavior where possible; enforcement remains necessary as a supplement.

E. Data & Evaluation

- Importance of public-facing dashboards and **annual reporting**.
- Proposal to expand on Vision Zero dashboard to track measurable results and hold city accountable.

F. Prioritization

- Schools, seniors, and **high-injury network corridors** should be top focus.
- Hoboken principle highlighted: **“prioritize safety over driver delay.”**

G. Post-Collision Analysis

- Discussion on aviation-style crash investigation processes as a model.

- Suggestion: conduct **traffic audits** (observing violations, near misses).

H. Messaging & Advocacy

- Value in referencing other cities' successes to bolster recommendations.

- Interest in inviting experts (e.g., Jessie Singer, Families for Safe Streets) to council discussions.

I. Challenges Identified

- Implementation depends on funding, engineering guidelines, and city's commitment (binding vs. aspirational goals).

- Concerns raised over **AB 43's inconsistent rollout** in Hillcrest.
- How can we tie LPIs to NRTOR? How do we enforce existing NRTOR
- How can we incrementally roll out road reconfigurations and prioritize user safety over driver LOS?

---

3. Action Items

- **Draft Recommendation Letter:** Anar to draft based on discussion; circulate to subcommittee.

- **Asynchronous Vote:** Subcommittee members to vote via email once draft is finalized.

- **Forwarding:** Once approved, letter will be sent to Danny and Phil for inclusion in next full committee meeting.

---

4. Adjournment

- Meeting concluded at approximately 35:10.
- Next steps confirmed: draft, edit, motion, vote.

Re: Recommendations for Incorporation into the City of San Diego's Speed Management Plan

Dear Transportation Department,

The Mobility Board respectfully submits the following recommendations for inclusion in the City of San Diego's forthcoming Speed Management Plan. As an advisory body charged with supporting the City's transportation goals, we believe it is critical that the plan be both comprehensive and actionable, ensuring alignment with Vision Zero, the Climate Action Plan, and San Diego's commitment to safer, more livable streets.

We recommend that the Speed Management Plan incorporate the following:

1. **Affirm safety as the highest priority.** Establish policy language that explicitly directs operational and design decisions to prioritize **safety over driver delay** with a clear priority favoring more vulnerable modes of transportation and populations

2. **Adopt proven practices from peer cities.** Draw lessons from case studies in Hoboken (NJ), Fremont (CA), Portland (OR), Seattle (WA), and other jurisdictions that have successfully implemented speed management strategies.

3. **Pursue a comprehensive approach.** Integrate **projects, programs, and policies** in combination, recognizing that systemic change requires more than isolated interventions.

4. **Utilize state-authorized tools.** Apply **AB 43** and other speed management tools made available by the California Legislature to prioritize implementation in **school zones, senior-serving facilities, and pedestrian/cyclist activity zones**, with realistic timelines for rollout citywide.

5. **Advance Complete Streets.** Employ **quick builds** to rapidly effect safer streets in high risk areas **and road diets** strategically while maintaining a clear commitment to long-term Complete Streets design and implementation.

6. **Promote self-enforcing street design.** Prioritize roadway configurations that naturally encourage safe travel behavior. Where design alone is insufficient, deploy high visibility enforcement, including automated speed enforcement in a manner that safeguards privacy and equity.

7. **Ensure transparency and accountability.** Develop a **public-facing dashboard** that tracks progress and outcomes, with **annual reporting** to the Mobility Board, the Mobility Governance Committee, and the public.

8. **Institutionalize post-crash reviews and proactive traffic audits.** Implement structured **traffic audits** and **post-collision analyses** (similar to aviation investigations) to identify root causes, track high risk behavior, near misses and inform continuous improvement in street safety design.

San Diego has already laid important groundwork for safer streets. This Speed Management Plan represents an opportunity to solidify that progress with a framework that is measurable, accountable, and reflective of best practices. By incorporating these recommendations, the City will ensure that the plan is not only aspirational, but a practical tool for achieving safer, more equitable mobility.

On behalf of the Mobility Board, we thank you for your leadership and urge that these priorities be embedded in the Speed Management Plan.

Respectfully,