

Library & Recreation Center Facility Analysis

Spring 2026

Overview

On January 30, 2026, the Department of Finance released the FY26 Mid-Year Budget Monitoring Report, which detailed a greater-than-expected budgetary deficit. In anticipation of additional required budget reductions for FY27 budget development, an analysis was initiated to examine the impacts of potential reductions to operating hours of library branches (37 Citywide) and recreation centers (56 Citywide).

Objective

The objective of the analysis is to provide key budget decision-makers with a tool to help analyze potential community impacts of reductions to library and recreation facilities, helping to support equitable decision making and minimize disproportionate impacts across communities.

What's in the Analysis

The analysis is made up of two components: the facility scoring and the geospatial display. Both components rely on a set of criteria to help identify where operating hour reductions may impact neighborhoods differently. The list of criteria was developed according to the section below. The specific measures for each of these categories may be found in the appendix.

Evaluation Criteria

A preliminary list of criteria was identified based on subject-matter-expert (SME) feedback from various departments as well as the IBA's Division of Race & Equity. Measures of criteria were evaluated based on the quality, availability, recency, and granularity (comparability) of data sources.

The 9 criteria cover a wide range of community, education, access, social, and socioeconomic factors that may be impacted in some way by facility access. The categories of these criteria include:

- Population with Access (Service area)
- Alternative Service Capacity: (Public, Non-Profit & Private Providers)
- Next Nearest Opportunity (alternative City libraries and rec center sites)
- Transportation Cost Burden
- Crime Rate
- Educational Attainment
- Childcare Scarcity
- Program Adequacy
- Socioeconomic Factors: Low-Moderate Income Population

Facility Scoring

The facility score indicates the overall need or impact of a facility on the area it serves (service area). A lower score indicates lower protective factors and indicates that reductions to facility access may have a greater impact for that service area. A lower score also indicates a higher need to protect access to a facility where possible.

Library facilities and recreation facilities were scored as two separate groups. The score is composed of the sum of values for each criterion.

Geospatial Display

The geospatial display visualizes the facility scoring in a map-based format. Facilities are mapped onto median income of each census tract. Each facility is labeled with the rank of their score, one list for library facilities and another list for recreation facilities.

Subsequent pages of the geospatial infographic show a district-level breakdown, showing how each facility was ranked for each evaluation criterion. In addition, it details the next closest City facilities for each location.

Appendix

The appendix contains additional details on the analysis, including data sources, service area details, calculation methods, and data caveats and considerations.

Map of Libraries, with rank

Libraries were ranked according to the need they serve within the surrounding community, with the top Libraries serving the greatest need. Reductions for those facilities would have a greater impact on the community.

After being ranked, facilities were sorted into 4 groups based on their criteria values. Each group contains facilities with similar values, so group sizes may not be the same.

Need was quantified using 9 evaluation criteria. Details about each facility and their criteria values can be found in the following Council District detail pages.

Median household income + Recreation Centers shown for context

Key


Median household income



● Recreation Center

ⓧ Library

Group colors



RANK	GRP.	FACILITY NAME (COUNCIL DISTRICT)
1	■	Oak Park (4)
2	■	Valencia Park /Malcolm X (4)
3	■	City Heights/Weingart (9)
4	■	Mira Mesa (6)
5	■	San Diego Central (3)
6	■	Otay Mesa/Nestor (8)
7	■	San Ysidro (8)
8	■	Logan Heights (8)
9	■	Rancho Penasquitos (5)
10	■	University Heights (3)
11	■	Mountain View/Beckwourth (4)
12	■	College-Rolando (9)
13	■	Pacific Beach/Taylor (1)
14	■	Paradise Hills (4)
15	■	Point Loma/Hervey (2)
16	■	North Park (3)
17	■	North University Community (6)
18	■	Mission Valley (9)
19	■	North Clairemont (2)
20	■	Balboa (2)
21	■	Skyline Hills (4)
22	■	Clairemont (2)
23	■	Kensington - Normal Heights (9)
24	■	Scripps Miramar Ranch (5)
25	■	Ocean Beach (2)
26	■	Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa (7)
27	■	Linda Vista (7)
28	■	Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox (3)
29	■	Tierrasanta (7)
30	■	San Carlos (7)
31	■	Carmel Mountain Ranch (5)
32	■	Rancho Bernardo (5)
33	■	Pacific Highlands Ranch (1)
34	■	Carmel Valley (1)
35	■	University Community (6)
36	■	Allied Gardens / Benjamin (7)
37	■	La Jolla/Riford (1)

Map of Recreation Centers, with rank

Recreation Centers were ranked according to the need they serve within the surrounding community, with the top Recreation Centers serving the greatest need. Reductions for those facilities would have a greater impact on the community.

After being ranked, facilities were sorted into 4 groups based on their criteria values. Each group contains facilities with similar values, so group sizes may not be the same.

Need was quantified using 9 evaluation criteria. Details about each facility and their criteria values can be found in the following Council District detail pages.

Median household income + Libraries shown for context

Key

Median household income



● Library


ⓧ Recreation Center†

†Joint-use, teen, and senior facilities were not scored and ranked

Group colors



*Facility has a gymnasium



RANK	GRP.	FACILITY NAME (COUNCIL DISTRICT)
1	■	Colina Del Sol* (9)
2	■	Stockton (9)
3	■	South Bay* (8)
4	■	Presidio* (2)
5	■	San Ysidro Larsen Field* (8)
6	■	Martin L. King, Jr. Memorial* (4)
7	■	Lopez Ridge (6)
8	■	Municipal Gymnasium* (3)
9	■	Balboa Park Activity Center* (3)
10	■	Scripps Ranch* (5)
11	■	Azalea (9)
12	■	Encanto* (4)
13	■	Robb Field (2)
14	■	Linda Vista* (7)
15	■	Tecolote (7)
16	■	Cabrillo (2)
17	■	Rancho Bernardo* (5)
18	■	Colonel Salomon* (8)
19	■	Mountain View* (4)
20	■	Mid-City Gymnasium* (9)
21	■	North Park* (3)
22	■	Doyle* (6)
23	■	Silver Wing (8)
24	■	City Heights (9)
25	■	Ocean Beach* (2)
26	■	Mira Mesa* (6)
27	■	Tierrasanta* (7)
28	■	Serra Mesa* (7)
29	■	San Carlos* (7)
30	■	Bay Terraces (4)
31	■	Golden Hill* (3)
32	■	Hilltop (5)
33	■	Pacific Beach* (1)
34	■	North Clairemont* (2)
35	■	Skyline* (4)
36	■	Penn (4)
37	■	Carmel Mountain* (5)
38	■	Canyonside* (5)
39	■	Allied Gardens* (7)
40	■	Southcrest* (8)
41	■	Paradise Hills* (4)
42	■	Memorial* (8)
43	■	Park de la Cruz* (9)
44	■	Willie Henderson (4)
45	■	Cadman (2)
46	■	Kearny Mesa* (7)
47	■	So. Clairemont (2)
48	■	Montgomery-Waller* (8)
49	■	Santa Clara Point (2)
50	■	Carmel Valley* (1)
51	■	Standley* (6)
52	■	Ocean Air* (1)
53	■	Pacific Highlands Ranch* (1)
54	■	La Jolla (1)
55	■	Nobel* (6)
56	■	Adams (9)


Council District detail pages how-to

Council District detail pages have two purposes: to provide a visual overview of all facilities within and directly outside of the district through a combined library and recreation center map, and to show how a single facility ranked for the 9 criteria. Each district has at least two pages, one with info cards for the libraries in the District and one with info cards for the

recreation centers in the District. Districts with many facilities may have additional library or recreation center pages. Below is an explanation of the major features on these pages and instructions for how to interpret them.

Feature 1: Combined map

District 1 example




The map is **zoomed in** and **cropped** to accommodate the district boundaries as well as the page size. All districts are at the same zoom level.

Each library and recreation center within the district boundary are included and labeled with their overall rank (see pages 1 and 2), group color, and facility name. Some facility names have been shortened for space.

Because rank numbers are determined within library and recreation center groups separately, but the map displays both combined, facilities might be labeled with the same rank. To differentiate, libraries have a striped overlay and a dashed black outline while recreation centers have no overlay and a solid white outline.

Each page contains the map keys to the right. The key for median household income is simplified. Please refer to page 1 or 2 for the exact income ranges.



Feature 2: Info cards

Facility name

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	X/37
Low-to-moderate income	X/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	X/37
Child care desert	X/37
Transportation cost burden	X/37
Walking distance to next facility	X/37
Crime rate	X/37
Alternative service providers	X/37
Programs per population (2025)	X/37

The facility's ranking for all 9 criteria are provided in the top table of the info card. The ranking is out of total facilities ranked, which was 37 for libraries and 56 for recreation centers. Facilities that tied for a rank were both assigned the lower rank.

ⓘ Keep in mind a higher rank (lower number) indicates a facility is more needed for that criterion. See the appendices for criterion definitions.

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: <i>Nearby Rec Center</i>	xx.x%
L: <i>Nearby Library</i>	xx.x%
R: <i>Nearby Rec Center</i>	xx.x%
L: <i>Nearby Library</i>	xx.x%
R: <i>Nearby Rec Center</i>	xx.x%

The 5 closest facilities sorted by walking distance are provided in the bottom table of the info card. The match % indicates how similar the facilities are to help determine whether the same services could be provided nearby.

Council District 1

Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center

Libraries

13 Pacific Beach/Taylor

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	6/37
Low-to-moderate income	20/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	29/37
Child care desert	11/37
Transportation cost burden	28/37
Walking distance to next facility	20/37
Crime rate	9/37
Alternative service providers	3/37
Programs per population (2025)	11/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Pacific Beach Rec Center	48.3%
R: Santa Clara Point Rec Center	37.9%
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	37.9%
R: Robb Field Rec Center	41.4%
R: Cadman Rec Center	41.4%

33 Pacific Highlands Ranch


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	23/37
Low-to-moderate income	37/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	25/37
Child care desert	22/37
Transportation cost burden	1/37
Walking distance to next facility	34/37
Crime rate	37/37
Alternative service providers	13/37
Programs per population (2025)	23/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Pacific Highlands Ranch	44.8%
L: Carmel Valley	86.2%
R: Carmel Valley Rec Center	48.3%
R: Ocean Air Rec Center	48.3%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	41.4%

34 Carmel Valley

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	11/37
Low-to-moderate income	35/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	33/37
Child care desert	8/37
Transportation cost burden	9/37
Walking distance to next facility	27/37
Crime rate	36/37
Alternative service providers	28/37
Programs per population (2025)	26/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Carmel Valley Rec Center	44.8%
R: Pacific Highlands Ranch	41.4%
L: Pacific Highlands Ranch	93.1%
R: Ocean Air Rec Center	44.8%
R: Lopez Ridge Rec Center	37.9%



37 La Jolla/Riford

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	27/37
Low-to-moderate income	33/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	35/37
Child care desert	34/37
Transportation cost burden	8/37
Walking distance to next facility	32/37
Crime rate	29/37
Alternative service providers	17/37
Programs per population (2025)	35/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: La Jolla Rec Center	37.9%
R: Pacific Beach Rec Center	44.8%
L: Pacific Beach/Taylor	82.8%
R: Santa Clara Point Rec Center	34.5%
R: Doyle Rec Center	34.5%

Council District 1

Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center

Recreation Centers

33 Pacific Beach Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	28/56
Low-to-moderate income	37/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	37/56
Child care desert	9/56
Transportation cost burden	35/56
Walking distance to next facility	31/56
Crime rate	28/56
Alternative service providers	3/56
Programs per population (2025)	41/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Pacific Beach/Taylor	44.8%
R: Santa Clara Point Rec Center	82.8%
R: Cadman Rec Center	82.8%
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	72.4%
L: Clairemont	41.4%

50 Carmel Valley Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	23/56
Low-to-moderate income	50/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	48/56
Child care desert	3/56
Transportation cost burden	13/56
Walking distance to next facility	44/56
Crime rate	53/56
Alternative service providers	40/56
Programs per population (2025)	49/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Carmel Valley	41.4%
R: Ocean Air Rec Center	96.6%
R: Pacific Highlands Ranch	86.2%
L: Pacific Highlands Ranch	48.3%
R: Lopez Ridge Rec Center	86.2%

52 Ocean Air Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	40/56
Low-to-moderate income	56/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	56/56
Child care desert	43/56
Transportation cost burden	10/56
Walking distance to next facility	2/56
Crime rate	56/56
Alternative service providers	8/56
Programs per population (2025)	52/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Carmel Valley Rec Center	96.6%
L: Carmel Valley	41.4%
R: Pacific Highlands Ranch	89.7%
L: Pacific Highlands Ranch	48.3%
R: Lopez Ridge Rec Center	89.7%



53 Pacific Highlands Ranch Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	20/56
Low-to-moderate income	55/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	35/56
Child care desert	45/56
Transportation cost burden	1/56
Walking distance to next facility	52/56
Crime rate	55/56
Alternative service providers	35/56
Programs per population (2025)	26/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Pacific Highlands Ranch	44.8%
L: Carmel Valley	37.9%
R: Carmel Valley Rec Center	86.2%
R: Ocean Air Rec Center	89.7%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	82.8%

54 La Jolla Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	26/56
Low-to-moderate income	52/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	52/56
Child care desert	50/56
Transportation cost burden	12/56
Walking distance to next facility	40/56
Crime rate	46/56
Alternative service providers	26/56
Programs per population (2025)	19/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: La Jolla/Riford	41.4%
R: Pacific Beach Rec Center	89.7%
L: Pacific Beach/Taylor	37.9%
R: Standley Rec Center	82.8%
L: North Clairemont	37.9%

Council District 2

Libraries

15 Point Loma/Hervey

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	13/37
Low-to-moderate income	22/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	27/37
Child care desert	5/37
Transportation cost burden	23/37
Walking distance to next facility	12/37
Crime rate	4/37
Alternative service providers	23/37
Programs per population (2025)	21/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Ocean Beach Rec Center	27.6%
R: Robb Field Rec Center	41.4%
L: Ocean Beach	79.3%
R: Cabrillo Rec Center	37.9%
R: Presidio Rec Center	37.9%

19 North Clairemont


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	29/37
Low-to-moderate income	19/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	14/37
Child care desert	25/37
Transportation cost burden	13/37
Walking distance to next facility	20/37
Crime rate	23/37
Alternative service providers	9/37
Programs per population (2025)	20/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	34.5%
R: Cadman Rec Center	44.8%
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	41.4%
R: Standley Rec Center	41.4%
L: Balboa	96.6%

20 Balboa

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	14/37
Low-to-moderate income	16/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	11/37
Child care desert	35/37
Transportation cost burden	16/37
Walking distance to next facility	5/37
Crime rate	26/37
Alternative service providers	26/37
Programs per population (2025)	16/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	31.0%
R: Kearny Mesa Rec Center	44.8%
R: Linda Vista Rec Center	44.8%
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	37.9%
L: North Clairemont	96.6%



22 Clairemont

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	32/37
Low-to-moderate income	21/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	20/37
Child care desert	19/37
Transportation cost burden	22/37
Walking distance to next facility	15/37
Crime rate	16/37
Alternative service providers	15/37
Programs per population (2025)	9/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	41.4%
R: Linda Vista Rec Center	48.3%
R: Tecolote Rec Center	41.4%
L: Linda Vista	93.1%
L: North Clairemont	100.0%

25 Ocean Beach

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	33/37
Low-to-moderate income	23/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	36/37
Child care desert	4/37
Transportation cost burden	19/37
Walking distance to next facility	35/37
Crime rate	6/37
Alternative service providers	10/37
Programs per population (2025)	27/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Ocean Beach Rec Center	27.6%
R: Robb Field Rec Center	41.4%
L: Point Loma/Hervey	96.6%
R: Cabrillo Rec Center	37.9%
R: Presidio Rec Center	41.4%

Council District 2

Recreation Centers pg 1/2

4 Presidio Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	41/56
Low-to-moderate income	32/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	44/56
Child care desert	8/56
Transportation cost burden	46/56
Walking distance to next facility	6/56
Crime rate	4/56
Alternative service providers	9/56
Programs per population (2025)	16/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Tecolote Rec Center	93.1%
L: Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox	44.8%
L: Point Loma/Hervey	44.8%
L: Linda Vista	37.9%
L: Clairemont	44.8%

13 Robb Field Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	11/56
Low-to-moderate income	27/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	50/56
Child care desert	5/56
Transportation cost burden	39/56
Walking distance to next facility	29/56
Crime rate	13/56
Alternative service providers	27/56
Programs per population (2025)	10/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Ocean Beach Rec Center	75.9%
L: Ocean Beach	34.5%
L: Point Loma/Hervey	37.9%
R: Santa Clara Point Rec Center	89.7%
R: Cabrillo Rec Center	93.1%

16 Cabrillo Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	29/56
Low-to-moderate income	43/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	40/56
Child care desert	20/56
Transportation cost burden	21/56
Walking distance to next facility	11/56
Crime rate	33/56
Alternative service providers	4/56
Programs per population (2025)	1/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Point Loma/Hervey	34.5%
R: Ocean Beach Rec Center	82.8%
L: Ocean Beach	31.0%
R: Robb Field Rec Center	93.1%
R: Presidio Rec Center	86.2%



25 Ocean Beach Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	11/56
Low-to-moderate income	27/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	50/56
Child care desert	5/56
Transportation cost burden	39/56
Walking distance to next facility	54/56
Crime rate	13/56
Alternative service providers	27/56
Programs per population (2025)	17/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Ocean Beach	17.2%
R: Robb Field Rec Center	75.9%
L: Point Loma/Hervey	27.6%
R: Cabrillo Rec Center	82.8%
R: Presidio Rec Center	79.3%

34 North Clairemont Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	21/56
Low-to-moderate income	31/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	24/56
Child care desert	26/56
Transportation cost burden	14/56
Walking distance to next facility	28/56
Crime rate	34/56
Alternative service providers	24/56
Programs per population (2025)	46/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: North Clairemont	27.6%
L: Balboa	27.6%
R: Cadman Rec Center	75.9%
L: University Community	31.0%
R: Standley Rec Center	86.2%

Council District 2

Recreation Centers pg 2/2

45 Cadman Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	50/56
Low-to-moderate income	33/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	23/56
Child care desert	53/56
Transportation cost burden	22/56
Walking distance to next facility	23/56
Crime rate	43/56
Alternative service providers	17/56
Programs per population (2025)	3/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: North Clairemont	37.9%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	79.3%
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	79.3%
R: Standley Rec Center	86.2%
R: Pacific Beach Rec Center	86.2%

47 South Clairemont Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	39/56
Low-to-moderate income	36/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	38/56
Child care desert	42/56
Transportation cost burden	27/56
Walking distance to next facility	25/56
Crime rate	38/56
Alternative service providers	31/56
Programs per population (2025)	21/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Clairemont	37.9%
L: North Clairemont	37.9%
R: Cadman Rec Center	82.8%
L: Balboa	37.9%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	72.4%

49 Santa Clara Point Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	35/56
Low-to-moderate income	25/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	54/56
Child care desert	40/56
Transportation cost burden	47/56
Walking distance to next facility	19/56
Crime rate	5/56
Alternative service providers	7/56
Programs per population (2025)	53/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Pacific Beach/Taylor	41.4%
R: Pacific Beach Rec Center	89.7%
L: Ocean Beach	31.0%
R: Ocean Beach Rec Center	82.8%
R: Robb Field Rec Center	96.6%



Council District 3

Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center

Median household income
 Low → High

Libraries

5 Central


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	5/37
Low-to-moderate income	13/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	13/37
Child care desert	9/37
Transportation cost burden	37/37
Walking distance to next facility	9/37
Crime rate	1/37
Alternative service providers	18/37
Programs per population (2025)	32/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Municipal Gymnasium	34.5%
R: Balboa Park Activity Center	41.4%
L: Logan Heights	79.3%
R: Golden Hills Rec Center	24.1%
R: Memorial Rec Center	27.6%

10 University Heights

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	28/37
Low-to-moderate income	11/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	21/37
Child care desert	1/37
Transportation cost burden	36/37
Walking distance to next facility	16/37
Crime rate	15/37
Alternative service providers	1/37
Programs per population (2025)	6/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: North Park Rec Center	27.6%
L: Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox	89.7%
L: North Park	96.6%
R: Balboa Park Activity Center	48.3%
R: Municipal Gymnasium	41.4%



16 North Park

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	20/37
Low-to-moderate income	10/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	28/37
Child care desert	6/37
Transportation cost burden	34/37
Walking distance to next facility	22/37
Crime rate	19/37
Alternative service providers	7/37
Programs per population (2025)	18/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: North Park Rec Center	27.6%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	48.3%
L: University Heights	96.6%
R: Adams Rec Center	41.4%
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	51.7%

28 Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox Library

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	34/37
Low-to-moderate income	24/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	31/37
Child care desert	15/37
Transportation cost burden	33/37
Walking distance to next facility	8/37
Crime rate	10/37
Alternative service providers	8/37
Programs per population (2025)	29/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: University Heights	82.8%
R: Presidio Rec Center	41.4%
R: Municipal Gymnasium	41.4%
R: North Park Rec Center	27.6%
R: Balboa Park Activity Center	48.3%

Council District 3

Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a **Rec Center**

Median household income
Low → High

Recreation Centers

8 Municipal Gymnasium


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	2/56
Low-to-moderate income	29/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	28/56
Child care desert	15/56
Transportation cost burden	55/56
Walking distance to next facility	45/56
Crime rate	2/56
Alternative service providers	33/56
Programs per population (2025)	9/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Balboa Park Activity Center	96.6%
L: San Diego Central	44.8%
R: Golden Hills Rec Center	69.0%
L: University Heights	41.4%
L: Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox	41.4%

9 Balboa Park Activity Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	2/56
Low-to-moderate income	29/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	28/56
Child care desert	15/56
Transportation cost burden	55/56
Walking distance to next facility	45/56
Crime rate	2/56
Alternative service providers	33/56
Programs per population (2025)	11/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Municipal Gymnasium	89.7%
L: San Diego Central	44.8%
R: Golden Hills Rec Center	69.0%
L: University Heights	37.9%
L: Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox	37.9%



21 North Park Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	4/56
Low-to-moderate income	18/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	42/56
Child care desert	10/56
Transportation cost burden	54/56
Walking distance to next facility	30/56
Crime rate	26/56
Alternative service providers	2/56
Programs per population (2025)	32/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: North Park	17.2%
L: University Heights	20.7%
R: Adams Rec Center	79.3%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	65.5%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	20.7%

31 Golden Hill Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	47/56
Low-to-moderate income	10/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	27/56
Child care desert	4/56
Transportation cost burden	53/56
Walking distance to next facility	15/56
Crime rate	21/56
Alternative service providers	16/56
Programs per population (2025)	51/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Stockton Rec Center	72.4%
R: Balboa Park Activity Center	72.4%
L: Logan Heights	20.7%
L: San Diego Central	31.0%
R: Municipal Gymnasium	65.5%

Council District 4

Libraries

1 Oak Park

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	18/37
Low-to-moderate income	4/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	5/37
Child care desert	32/37
Transportation cost burden	21/37
Walking distance to next facility	11/37
Crime rate	11/37
Alternative service providers	6/37
Programs per population (2025)	1/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Colina Del Sol Rec Center	31.0%
R: City Heights Rec Center	34.5%
L: City Heights/Weingart	89.7%
L: Valencia Park /Malcolm X	86.2%
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	48.3%

2 Valencia Park/Malcolm X


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	8/37
Low-to-moderate income	5/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	4/37
Child care desert	31/37
Transportation cost burden	17/37
Walking distance to next facility	6/37
Crime rate	12/37
Alternative service providers	21/37
Programs per population (2025)	8/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Willie Henderson Rec Center	34.5%
L: Oak Park	75.9%
L: Mountain View/Beckwourth	79.3%
R: Encanto Rec Center	27.6%
R: Martin L. King, Jr. Rec Center	31.0%

21 Skyline Hills

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	17/37
Low-to-moderate income	17/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	9/37
Child care desert	33/37
Transportation cost burden	4/37
Walking distance to next facility	25/37
Crime rate	20/37
Alternative service providers	33/37
Programs per population (2025)	7/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Skyline Rec Center	37.9%
R: Bay Terraces	31.0%
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	37.9%
R: Penn Rec Center	37.9%
R: Encanto Rec Center	31.0%



11 Mountain View/Beckwourth

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	26/37
Low-to-moderate income	1/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	2/37
Child care desert	23/37
Transportation cost burden	24/37
Walking distance to next facility	29/37
Crime rate	5/37
Alternative service providers	27/37
Programs per population (2025)	33/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Southcrest Rec Center	34.5%
R: Willie Henderson Rec Center	44.8%
R: Mountain View Rec Center	37.9%
R: Stockton Rec Center	44.8%
L: Valencia Park /Malcolm X	89.7%

14 Paradise Hills

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	21/37
Low-to-moderate income	15/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	8/37
Child care desert	3/37
Transportation cost burden	11/37
Walking distance to next facility	17/37
Crime rate	25/37
Alternative service providers	36/37
Programs per population (2025)	2/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Penn Rec Center	41.4%
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	37.9%
R: Bay Terraces	34.5%
R: Martin L. King, Jr. Rec Center	37.9%
L: Skyline Hills	89.7%

Council District 4

Recreation Centers pg 1/2

6 Martin Luther King Jr. Memorial


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	25/56
Low-to-moderate income	15/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	9/56
Child care desert	47/56
Transportation cost burden	26/56
Walking distance to next facility	13/56
Crime rate	19/56
Alternative service providers	25/56
Programs per population (2025)	39/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Encanto Rec Center	86.2%
L: Valencia Park /Malcolm X	34.5%
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	72.4%
R: Bay Terraces	58.6%
R: Skyline Rec Center	75.9%

12 Encanto Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	37/56
Low-to-moderate income	12/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	13/56
Child care desert	46/56
Transportation cost burden	19/56
Walking distance to next facility	13/56
Crime rate	20/56
Alternative service providers	42/56
Programs per population (2025)	44/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Martin L. King, Jr. Rec Center	86.2%
L: Valencia Park /Malcolm X	34.5%
R: Skyline Rec Center	82.8%
L: Skyline Hills	31.0%
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	72.4%



19 Mountain View Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	43/56
Low-to-moderate income	4/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	2/56
Child care desert	29/56
Transportation cost burden	33/56
Walking distance to next facility	36/56
Crime rate	10/56
Alternative service providers	48/56
Programs per population (2025)	54/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Mountain View/Beckwourth	27.6%
R: Southcrest Rec Center	72.4%
R: Willie Henderson Rec Center	55.2%
R: Memorial Rec Center	79.3%
R: Stockton Rec Center	62.1%

30 Bay Terraces Comm. & Senior Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	44/56
Low-to-moderate income	34/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	21/56
Child care desert	28/56
Transportation cost burden	17/56
Walking distance to next facility	17/56
Crime rate	31/56
Alternative service providers	12/56
Programs per population (2025)	47/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	89.7%
R: Penn Rec Center	82.8%
L: Skyline Hills	31.0%
L: Paradise Hills	27.6%
R: Skyline Rec Center	72.4%

Council District 4

Recreation Centers pg 2/2

35 Skyline Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	31/56
Low-to-moderate income	26/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	17/56
Child care desert	53/56
Transportation cost burden	6/56
Walking distance to next facility	39/56
Crime rate	27/56
Alternative service providers	49/56
Programs per population (2025)	20/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Skyline Hills	34.5%
R: Bay Terraces	69.0%
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	82.8%
R: Encanto Rec Center	82.8%
R: Martin L. King, Jr. Rec Center	75.9%

36 Penn Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	36/56
Low-to-moderate income	23/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	20/56
Child care desert	17/56
Transportation cost burden	18/56
Walking distance to next facility	32/56
Crime rate	36/56
Alternative service providers	53/56
Programs per population (2025)	30/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Paradise Hills Rec Center	79.3%
L: Paradise Hills	34.5%
R: Bay Terraces	82.8%
L: Skyline Hills	37.9%
R: Martin L. King, Jr. Rec Center	72.4%



41 Paradise Hills Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	56/56
Low-to-moderate income	24/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	19/56
Child care desert	1/56
Transportation cost burden	16/56
Walking distance to next facility	32/56
Crime rate	37/56
Alternative service providers	38/56
Programs per population (2025)	56/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Penn Rec Center	75.9%
R: Bay Terraces	86.2%
L: Paradise Hills	27.6%
L: Skyline Hills	34.5%
R: Martin L. King, Jr. Rec Center	72.4%

44 Willie Henderson Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	48/56
Low-to-moderate income	1/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	10/56
Child care desert	35/56
Transportation cost burden	36/56
Walking distance to next facility	42/56
Crime rate	7/56
Alternative service providers	55/56
Programs per population (2025)	43/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Mountain View/Beckwourth	44.8%
R: Southcrest Rec Center	82.8%
R: Mountain View Rec Center	65.5%
L: Valencia Park /Malcolm X	37.9%
R: Memorial Rec Center	79.3%

Council District 5

Libraries

9 Rancho Peñasquitos

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	2/37
Low-to-moderate income	32/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	19/37
Child care desert	14/37
Transportation cost burden	3/37
Walking distance to next facility	13/37
Crime rate	35/37
Alternative service providers	16/37
Programs per population (2025)	12/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	34.5%
R: Hilltop Rec Center	44.8%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	44.8%
R: Carmel Mtn Ranch Rec Center	41.4%
R: Hourglass Rec Center	44.8%

24 Scripps Miramar Ranch

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	9/37
Low-to-moderate income	36/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	34/37
Child care desert	27/37
Transportation cost burden	2/37
Walking distance to next facility	4/37
Crime rate	31/37
Alternative service providers	30/37
Programs per population (2025)	14/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Hourglass Rec Center	48.3%
R: Mira Mesa Rec Center	37.9%
L: Mira Mesa	89.7%
R: Scripps Ranch Rec Center	44.8%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	48.3%


31 Carmel Mountain Ranch

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	30/37
Low-to-moderate income	34/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	24/37
Child care desert	12/37
Transportation cost burden	12/37
Walking distance to next facility	7/37
Crime rate	32/37
Alternative service providers	29/37
Programs per population (2025)	19/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Carmel Mtn Ranch Rec Center	41.4%
L: Rancho Bernardo	89.7%
L: Rancho Penasquitos	93.1%
R: Hilltop Rec Center	44.8%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	37.9%



Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center



32 Rancho Bernardo

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	19/37
Low-to-moderate income	31/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	30/37
Child care desert	28/37
Transportation cost burden	5/37
Walking distance to next facility	3/37
Crime rate	34/37
Alternative service providers	34/37
Programs per population (2025)	31/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Rancho Bernardo Rec Center	41.4%
L: Carmel Mountain Ranch	79.3%
R: Carmel Mtn Ranch Rec Center	41.4%
R: Hilltop Rec Center	44.8%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	37.9%

Council District 5

Recreation Centers

10 Scripps Ranch Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	6/56
Low-to-moderate income	54/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	49/56
Child care desert	37/56
Transportation cost burden	2/56
Walking distance to next facility	1/56
Crime rate	47/56
Alternative service providers	29/56
Programs per population (2025)	8/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Scripps Miramar Ranch	44.8%
L: Rancho Penasquitos	37.9%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	93.1%
R: Carmel Mtn Ranch Rec Center	96.6%
R: Hourglass Rec Center	96.6%

17 Rancho Bernardo Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	13/56
Low-to-moderate income	48/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	47/56
Child care desert	39/56
Transportation cost burden	8/56
Walking distance to next facility	5/56
Crime rate	51/56
Alternative service providers	19/56
Programs per population (2025)	7/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Rancho Bernardo	44.8%
L: Carmel Mountain Ranch	44.8%
R: Carmel Mtn Ranch Rec Center	96.6%
R: Hilltop Rec Center	89.7%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	86.2%


32 Hilltop Ranch Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	5/56
Low-to-moderate income	49/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	46/56
Child care desert	13/56
Transportation cost burden	5/56
Walking distance to next facility	43/56
Crime rate	52/56
Alternative service providers	52/56
Programs per population (2025)	5/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	86.2%
L: Rancho Penasquitos	41.4%
R: Carmel Mtn Ranch Rec Center	89.7%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	93.1%
L: Carmel Mountain Ranch	48.3%



Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center



37 Carmel Mountain Ranch Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	27/56
Low-to-moderate income	53/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	41/56
Child care desert	18/56
Transportation cost burden	15/56
Walking distance to next facility	8/56
Crime rate	48/56
Alternative service providers	50/56
Programs per population (2025)	14/56


NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Carmel Mountain Ranch	44.8%
L: Rancho Penasquitos	41.4%
R: Hilltop Rec Center	89.7%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	86.2%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	93.1%

38 Canyonside Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	34/56
Low-to-moderate income	51/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	34/56
Child care desert	41/56
Transportation cost burden	4/56
Walking distance to next facility	10/56
Crime rate	50/56
Alternative service providers	6/56
Programs per population (2025)	42/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Rancho Penasquitos	41.4%
R: Hourglass Rec Center	89.7%
R: Black Mtn Multi-Purpose Gym	86.2%
R: Mira Mesa Rec Center	75.9%
R: Hilltop Rec Center	89.7%

Council District 6



Libraries

4 Mira Mesa

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	1/37
Low-to-moderate income	28/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	12/37
Child care desert	13/37
Transportation cost burden	10/37
Walking distance to next facility	33/37
Crime rate	28/37
Alternative service providers	5/37
Programs per population (2025)	17/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Mira Mesa Rec Center	31.0%
R: Hourglass Rec Center	41.4%
R: Lopez Ridge Rec Center	34.5%
L: Scripps Miramar Ranch	89.7%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	41.4%

17 North University Community

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	7/37
Low-to-moderate income	12/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	32/37
Child care desert	2/37
Transportation cost burden	32/37
Walking distance to next facility	37/37
Crime rate	24/37
Alternative service providers	2/37
Programs per population (2025)	22/37


NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Nobel Rec Center	44.8%
R: Doyle Rec Center	37.9%
L: University Community	86.2%
R: Standley Rec Center	34.5%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	31.0%

35 University Community

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	37/37
Low-to-moderate income	30/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	37/37
Child care desert	24/37
Transportation cost burden	6/37
Walking distance to next facility	23/37
Crime rate	33/37
Alternative service providers	19/37
Programs per population (2025)	24/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Standley Rec Center	41.4%
R: Doyle Rec Center	37.9%
R: Nobel Rec Center	44.8%
L: North University Community	100.0%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	31.0%

Council District 6



Recreation Centers

7 Lopez Ridge Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	38/56
Low-to-moderate income	47/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	33/56
Child care desert	11/56
Transportation cost burden	3/56
Walking distance to next facility	4/56
Crime rate	54/56
Alternative service providers	30/56
Programs per population (2025)	2/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Mira Mesa	31.0%
R: Mira Mesa Rec Center	82.8%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	86.2%
R: Hourglass Rec Center	89.7%
R: Ocean Air Rec Center	93.1%

22 Doyle Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	19/56
Low-to-moderate income	21/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	55/56
Child care desert	2/56
Transportation cost burden	50/56
Walking distance to next facility	9/56
Crime rate	40/56
Alternative service providers	45/56
Programs per population (2025)	36/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: North University Community	34.5%
R: Nobel Rec Center	79.3%
L: University Community	31.0%
R: Standley Rec Center	79.3%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	82.8%

26 Mira Mesa Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	14/56
Low-to-moderate income	44/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	26/56
Child care desert	7/56
Transportation cost burden	20/56
Walking distance to next facility	51/56
Crime rate	44/56
Alternative service providers	1/56
Programs per population (2025)	22/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Mira Mesa	31.0%
R: Hourglass Rec Center	82.8%
L: Scripps Miramar Ranch	37.9%
R: Lopez Ridge Rec Center	79.3%
R: Canyonside Rec Center	75.9%

51 Standley Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	45/56
Low-to-moderate income	46/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	53/56
Child care desert	32/56
Transportation cost burden	9/56
Walking distance to next facility	37/56
Crime rate	49/56
Alternative service providers	13/56
Programs per population (2025)	35/56


NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: University Community	37.9%
R: Doyle Rec Center	86.2%
R: Nobel Rec Center	89.7%
L: North University Community	41.4%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	86.2%

55 Nobel Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	53/56
Low-to-moderate income	40/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	32/56
Child care desert	14/56
Transportation cost burden	45/56
Walking distance to next facility	56/56
Crime rate	32/56
Alternative service providers	18/56
Programs per population (2025)	34/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: North University Community	44.8%
R: Doyle Rec Center	82.8%
L: University Community	37.9%
R: Standley Rec Center	82.8%
R: North Clairemont Rec Center	82.8%

Council District 7



Libraries

26 Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	15/37
Low-to-moderate income	25/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	16/37
Child care desert	21/37
Transportation cost burden	26/37
Walking distance to next facility	19/37
Crime rate	17/37
Alternative service providers	22/37
Programs per population (2025)	15/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Serra Mesa Rec Center	41.4%
R: Kearny Mesa Rec Center	44.8%
L: Mission Valley	100.0%
R: Linda Vista Rec Center	48.3%
L: Tierrasanta	86.2%

27 Linda Vista

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	24/37
Low-to-moderate income	6/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	10/37
Child care desert	17/37
Transportation cost burden	27/37
Walking distance to next facility	18/37
Crime rate	13/37
Alternative service providers	24/37
Programs per population (2025)	36/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Linda Vista Rec Center	41.4%
R: Kearny Mesa Rec Center	37.9%
R: Tecolote Rec Center	31.0%
L: Clairemont	86.2%
R: Presidio Rec Center	31.0%

29 Tierrasanta

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	22/37
Low-to-moderate income	26/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	26/37
Child care desert	7/37
Transportation cost burden	20/37
Walking distance to next facility	14/37
Crime rate	27/37
Alternative service providers	12/37
Programs per population (2025)	34/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Tierrasanta Rec Center	48.3%
L: Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa	93.1%
R: Serra Mesa Rec Center	41.4%
L: Mission Valley	96.6%
L: Balboa	89.7%

30 San Carlos

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	16/37
Low-to-moderate income	29/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	18/37
Child care desert	10/37
Transportation cost burden	7/37
Walking distance to next facility	10/37
Crime rate	30/37
Alternative service providers	37/37
Programs per population (2025)	10/37


NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: San Carlos Rec Center	37.9%
L: Allied Gardens / Benjamin	89.7%
R: Allied Gardens Rec Center	37.9%
L: College-Rolando	93.1%
R: Tierrasanta Rec Center	44.8%

36 Allied Gardens/Benjamin

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	36/37
Low-to-moderate income	27/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	22/37
Child care desert	36/37
Transportation cost burden	14/37
Walking distance to next facility	30/37
Crime rate	22/37
Alternative service providers	20/37
Programs per population (2025)	30/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Allied Gardens Rec Center	44.8%
L: San Carlos	96.6%
L: College-Rolando	93.1%
L: Mission Valley	96.6%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	93.1%

Council District 7



Recreation Centers pg 1/2

14 Linda Vista Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	7/56
Low-to-moderate income	20/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	22/56
Child care desert	25/56
Transportation cost burden	44/56
Walking distance to next facility	26/56
Crime rate	9/56
Alternative service providers	23/56
Programs per population (2025)	27/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Linda Vista	37.9%
R: Kearny Mesa Rec Center	82.8%
L: Clairemont	41.4%
L: Balboa	41.4%
R: Serra Mesa Rec Center	89.7%

15 Tecolote Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	46/56
Low-to-moderate income	39/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	45/56
Child care desert	21/56
Transportation cost burden	34/56
Walking distance to next facility	3/56
Crime rate	25/56
Alternative service providers	14/56
Programs per population (2025)	12/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Clairemont	34.5%
R: Presidio Rec Center	86.2%
R: So. Clairemont Rec Center	82.8%
L: Ocean Beach	31.0%
R: Ocean Beach Rec Center	82.8%

27 Tierrasanta Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	17/56
Low-to-moderate income	38/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	43/56
Child care desert	12/56
Transportation cost burden	29/56
Walking distance to next facility	22/56
Crime rate	42/56
Alternative service providers	10/56
Programs per population (2025)	28/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Tierrasanta	44.8%
L: Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa	41.4%
L: San Carlos	37.9%
R: Serra Mesa Rec Center	79.3%
R: Allied Gardens Rec Center	75.9%

28 Serra Mesa Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	10/56
Low-to-moderate income	35/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	30/56
Child care desert	27/56
Transportation cost burden	41/56
Walking distance to next facility	27/56
Crime rate	17/56
Alternative service providers	46/56
Programs per population (2025)	13/56


NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa	37.9%
R: Kearny Mesa Rec Center	79.3%
L: Mission Valley	41.4%
R: Linda Vista Rec Center	89.7%
L: Linda Vista	31.0%

29 San Carlos Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	18/56
Low-to-moderate income	45/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	36/56
Child care desert	22/56
Transportation cost burden	11/56
Walking distance to next facility	16/56
Crime rate	45/56
Alternative service providers	47/56
Programs per population (2025)	25/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: San Carlos	31.0%
L: College-Rolando	31.0%
R: Allied Gardens Rec Center	82.8%
L: Allied Gardens / Benjamin	31.0%
R: Tierrasanta Rec Center	82.8%

Council District 7



Recreation Centers pg 2/2

39 Allied Gardens Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	24/56
Low-to-moderate income	42/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	39/56
Child care desert	33/56
Transportation cost burden	28/56
Walking distance to next facility	49/56
Crime rate	30/56
Alternative service providers	15/56
Programs per population (2025)	15/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Allied Gardens / Benjamin	41.4%
L: College-Rolando	37.9%
L: San Carlos	37.9%
L: Mission Valley	41.4%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	37.9%

46 Kearny Mesa Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	42/56
Low-to-moderate income	22/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	25/56
Child care desert	31/56
Transportation cost burden	32/56
Walking distance to next facility	12/56
Crime rate	41/56
Alternative service providers	32/56
Programs per population (2025)	45/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Linda Vista Rec Center	82.8%
L: Linda Vista	31.0%
L: Balboa	37.9%
R: Serra Mesa Rec Center	79.3%
L: Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa	41.4%

Council District 8 Libraries

6 Otay Mesa/Nestor

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	3/37
Low-to-moderate income	9/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	6/37
Child care desert	29/37
Transportation cost burden	15/37
Walking distance to next facility	31/37
Crime rate	21/37
Alternative service providers	32/37
Programs per population (2025)	4/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	44.8%
R: Silver Wing Rec Center	31.0%
R: South Bay Rec Center	37.9%
R: Colonel Salomon Rec Center	37.9%
L: San Ysidro	82.8%

7 San Ysidro


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	10/37
Low-to-moderate income	8/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	3/37
Child care desert	26/37
Transportation cost burden	18/37
Walking distance to next facility	26/37
Crime rate	14/37
Alternative service providers	35/37
Programs per population (2025)	5/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Colonel Salomon Rec Center	37.9%
R: San Ysidro Larsen Field	37.9%
R: Silver Wing Rec Center	31.0%
L: Otay Mesa/Nestor	89.7%
R: Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	44.8%

8 Logan Heights

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	25/37
Low-to-moderate income	2/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	7/37
Child care desert	18/37
Transportation cost burden	29/37
Walking distance to next facility	28/37
Crime rate	3/37
Alternative service providers	25/37
Programs per population (2025)	28/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Memorial Rec Center	27.6%
R: Stockton Rec Center	37.9%
R: Mountain View Rec Center	31.0%
L: San Diego Central	96.6%
R: Golden Hills Rec Center	24.1%



Council District 8

Recreation Centers pg 1/2

3 Robert Egger, Sr. - South Bay Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	15/56
Low-to-moderate income	13/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	4/56
Child care desert	23/56
Transportation cost burden	24/56
Walking distance to next facility	7/56
Crime rate	22/56
Alternative service providers	20/56
Programs per population (2025)	40/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Otay Mesa/Nestor	41.4%
R: Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	89.7%
R: Silver Wing Rec Center	79.3%
R: Colonel Salomon Rec Center	86.2%
L: San Ysidro	34.5%

5 San Ysidro Larsen Field


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	15/56
Low-to-moderate income	13/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	4/56
Child care desert	23/56
Transportation cost burden	24/56
Walking distance to next facility	41/56
Crime rate	22/56
Alternative service providers	20/56
Programs per population (2025)	23/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: San Ysidro	37.9%
R: Colonel Salomon Rec Center	86.2%
R: Silver Wing Rec Center	82.8%
L: Otay Mesa/Nestor	41.4%
R: Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	96.6%

18 Colonel Salomon Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	30/56
Low-to-moderate income	7/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	3/56
Child care desert	49/56
Transportation cost burden	31/56
Walking distance to next facility	34/56
Crime rate	15/56
Alternative service providers	39/56
Programs per population (2025)	48/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: San Ysidro	31.0%
R: San Ysidro Larsen Field	86.2%
R: Silver Wing Rec Center	79.3%
L: Otay Mesa/Nestor	37.9%
R: Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	82.8%



23 Silver Wing Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	22/56
Low-to-moderate income	41/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	15/56
Child care desert	30/56
Transportation cost burden	7/56
Walking distance to next facility	21/56
Crime rate	39/56
Alternative service providers	51/56
Programs per population (2025)	31/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Otay Mesa/Nestor	37.9%
R: Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	86.2%
R: Colonel Salomon Rec Center	82.8%
L: San Ysidro	31.0%
R: San Ysidro Larsen Field	86.2%

Council District 8

Recreation Centers pg 2/2

40 Southcrest Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	48/56
Low-to-moderate income	1/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	10/56
Child care desert	35/56
Transportation cost burden	36/56
Walking distance to next facility	48/56
Crime rate	7/56
Alternative service providers	55/56
Programs per population (2025)	38/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Mountain View/Beckwourth	31.0%
R: Willie Henderson Rec Center	79.3%
R: Mountain View Rec Center	79.3%
R: Memorial Rec Center	93.1%
L: Valencia Park /Malcolm X	34.5%

42 Dolores Magdaleno Memorial Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	52/56
Low-to-moderate income	5/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	12/56
Child care desert	38/56
Transportation cost burden	42/56
Walking distance to next facility	47/56
Crime rate	1/56
Alternative service providers	54/56
Programs per population (2025)	55/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Logan Heights	24.1%
R: Stockton Rec Center	69.0%
R: Mountain View Rec Center	79.3%
L: San Diego Central	31.0%
R: Southcrest Rec Center	86.2%

48 Montgomery-Waller Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	33/56
Low-to-moderate income	19/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	8/56
Child care desert	53/56
Transportation cost burden	23/56
Walking distance to next facility	50/56
Crime rate	35/56
Alternative service providers	22/56
Programs per population (2025)	50/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Otay Mesa/Nestor	44.8%
R: Silver Wing Rec Center	79.3%
R: South Bay	82.8%
R: Colonel Salomon Rec Center	82.8%
L: San Ysidro	41.4%



Council District 9

Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center



Libraries

3 City Heights/Weingart


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	4/37
Low-to-moderate income	3/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	1/37
Child care desert	36/37
Transportation cost burden	31/37
Walking distance to next facility	36/37
Crime rate	7/37
Alternative service providers	4/37
Programs per population (2025)	25/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: City Heights Rec Center	34.5%
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	44.8%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	48.3%
R: Azalea Rec Center	37.9%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	79.3%

12 College-Rolando

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	12/37
Low-to-moderate income	7/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	17/37
Child care desert	30/37
Transportation cost burden	25/37
Walking distance to next facility	1/37
Crime rate	8/37
Alternative service providers	31/37
Programs per population (2025)	13/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Colina Del Sol Rec Center	27.6%
R: Allied Gardens Rec Center	34.5%
L: Oak Park	79.3%
L: Allied Gardens / Benjamin	79.3%
R: San Carlos Rec Center	34.5%



18 Mission Valley

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	35/37
Low-to-moderate income	18/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	23/37
Child care desert	16/37
Transportation cost burden	35/37
Walking distance to next facility	2/37
Crime rate	2/37
Alternative service providers	14/37
Programs per population (2025)	37/37


NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Serra Mesa Rec Center	34.5%
L: Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa	89.7%
L: Linda Vista	75.9%
L: Allied Gardens / Benjamin	79.3%
R: Allied Gardens Rec Center	34.5%


23 Kensington-Normal Heights

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	31/37
Low-to-moderate income	14/37
Earning less than H.S. diploma	15/37
Child care desert	20/37
Transportation cost burden	30/37
Walking distance to next facility	24/37
Crime rate	18/37
Alternative service providers	11/37
Programs per population (2025)	3/37

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Adams Rec Center	41.4%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	48.3%
L: City Heights/Weingart	86.2%
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	51.7%
R: City Heights Rec Center	37.9%

Council District 9

 Outline & pattern denote a **Library** vs a Rec Center



Recreation Centers

1 Colina Del Sol Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	1/56
Low-to-moderate income	11/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	16/56
Child care desert	48/56
Transportation cost burden	38/56
Walking distance to next facility	18/56
Crime rate	16/56
Alternative service providers	11/56
Programs per population (2025)	6/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Oak Park	31.0%
L: City Heights/Weingart	34.5%
R: City Heights Rec Center	75.9%
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	75.9%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	34.5%

2 Stockton Rec Center


CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	54/56
Low-to-moderate income	3/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	1/56
Child care desert	19/56
Transportation cost burden	43/56
Walking distance to next facility	24/56
Crime rate	6/56
Alternative service providers	43/56
Programs per population (2025)	37/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Memorial Rec Center	75.9%
L: Logan Heights	37.9%
R: Golden Hills Rec Center	72.4%
R: Mountain View Rec Center	69.0%
L: San Diego Central	41.4%

24 City Heights Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	8/56
Low-to-moderate income	8/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	6/56
Child care desert	51/56
Transportation cost burden	48/56
Walking distance to next facility	55/56
Crime rate	11/56
Alternative service providers	36/56
Programs per population (2025)	24/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: City Heights/Weingart	31.0%
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	65.5%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	69.0%
R: Azalea Rec Center	79.3%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	27.6%



11 Azalea Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	32/56
Low-to-moderate income	16/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	18/56
Child care desert	34/56
Transportation cost burden	30/56
Walking distance to next facility	20/56
Crime rate	24/56
Alternative service providers	5/56
Programs per population (2025)	18/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	79.3%
R: City Heights Rec Center	89.7%
L: City Heights/Weingart	41.4%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	79.3%
L: Oak Park	37.9%

43 Park de la Cruz Gymnasium

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	55/56
Low-to-moderate income	6/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	14/56
Child care desert	53/56
Transportation cost burden	52/56
Walking distance to next facility	35/56
Crime rate	18/56
Alternative service providers	44/56
Programs per population (2025)	29/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: Mid-City Gymnasium	79.3%
R: City Heights Rec Center	65.5%
L: City Heights/Weingart	41.4%
L: North Park	41.4%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	41.4%

20 Mid-City Gymnasium

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	8/56
Low-to-moderate income	8/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	6/56
Child care desert	51/56
Transportation cost burden	48/56
Walking distance to next facility	53/56
Crime rate	11/56
Alternative service providers	36/56
Programs per population (2025)	4/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
R: City Heights Rec Center	72.4%
L: City Heights/Weingart	48.3%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	82.8%
R: Azalea Rec Center	79.3%
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	48.3%

56 Adams Rec Center

CRITERIA	RANK
Total population	51/56
Low-to-moderate income	17/56
Earning less than H.S. diploma	31/56
Child care desert	44/56
Transportation cost burden	51/56
Walking distance to next facility	38/56
Crime rate	29/56
Alternative service providers	41/56
Programs per population (2025)	33/56

NEAREST FACILITIES (by walking distance)	MATCH
L: Kensington - Normal Heights	34.5%
R: Park de la Cruz Gym	75.9%
L: North Park	34.5%
R: North Park Rec Center	79.3%
L: City Heights/Weingart	37.9%

Library Ranking

Facility Name	Rank	Facility Condition Category	Operational Budget*
Oak Park	1	Fair	\$ 800,000
Valencia Park /Malcolm X	2	Poor	\$ 1,300,000
City Heights/Weingart	3	Fair	\$ 1,100,000
Mira Mesa	4	Good	\$ 1,400,000
San Diego Central	5	Good	\$ 7,300,000
Otay Mesa/Nestor	6	Good	\$ 1,000,000
San Ysidro	7	Good	\$ 1,000,000
Logan Heights	8	Good	\$ 900,000
Rancho Penasquitos	9	Poor	\$ 1,100,000
University Heights	10	Poor	\$ 800,000
Mountain View/Beckwourth	11	Good	\$ 800,000
College-Rolando	12	Fair	\$ 800,000
Pacific Beach/Taylor	13	Fair	\$ 1,200,000
Paradise Hills	14	Poor	\$ 800,000
Point Loma/Hervey	15	Good	\$ 1,000,000
North Park	16	Fair	\$ 900,000
North University Community	17	Good	\$ 1,100,000
Mission Valley	18	Good	\$ 1,100,000
North Clairemont	19	Poor	\$ 600,000
Balboa	20	Fair	\$ 500,000
Skyline Hills	21	Good	\$ 1,000,000
Clairemont	22	Good	\$ 800,000
Kensington - Normal Heights	23	Fair	\$ 700,000
Scripps Miramar Ranch	24	Fair	\$ 1,000,000
Ocean Beach	25	Poor	\$ 500,000
Serra Mesa-Kearny Mesa	26	Good	\$ 1,000,000
Linda Vista	27	Good	\$ 1,000,000
Mission Hills-Hillcrest/Knox	28	Good	\$ 1,100,000
Tierrasanta	29	Good	\$ 500,000
San Carlos	30	Poor	\$ 1,000,000
Carmel Mountain Ranch	31	Fair	\$ 1,200,000
Rancho Bernardo	32	Poor	\$ 1,100,000
Pacific Highlands Ranch	33	Good	\$ 800,000
Carmel Valley	34	Fair	\$ 1,300,000
University Community	35	Good	\$ 900,000
Allied Gardens / Benjamin	36	Fair	\$ 700,000
La Jolla/Riford	37	Good	\$ 800,000

* Figures are provided as rough order of magnitudes and do not represent operating actuals

Recreation Center Ranking

Facility Name	Rank	Facility Condition Category	Operational Budget*
Colina Del Sol Rec Center	1	Poor	\$ 200,000
Stockton Rec Center	2	Good	\$ 400,000
Robert Egger, Sr. - South Bay Rec Center	3	Fair	\$ 400,000
Presidio Rec Center	4	Poor	\$ 100,000
San Ysidro / Larsen Field Community Center	5	Good	\$ 300,000
Martin L. King, Jr. Memorial Rec Center	6	Fair	\$ 400,000
Lopez Ridge Rec Center	7	Good	\$ 100,000
Municipal Gymnasium	8	Good	\$ 300,000
Balboa Park Activity Center	9	Good	\$ 300,000
Scripps Ranch Rec Center	10	Good	\$ 300,000
Azalea Rec Center	11	Fair	\$ 100,000
Encanto Rec Center & Encanto Teen Center	12	Fair	\$ 200,000
Robb Field Rec Center	13	Poor	\$ 100,000
Linda Vista Rec Center	14	Poor	\$ 300,000
Tecolote Rec Center	15	Poor	\$ 100,000
Cabrillo Rec Center	16	Poor	\$ 100,000
Rancho Bernardo Rec Center	17	Fair	\$ 400,000
Colonel Salomon Rec Center & San Ysidro Teen Center	18	Fair	\$ 300,000
Mountain View Rec Center	19	Good	\$ 400,000
Mid-City Gymnasium	20	Good	\$ 200,000
North Park Rec Center	21	Poor	\$ 300,000
Doyle Rec Center	22	Poor	\$ 400,000
Silver Wing Rec Center	23	Poor	\$ 100,000
City Heights Rec Center	24	Good	\$ 700,000
Ocean Beach Rec Center	25	Poor	\$ 200,000
Gil Johnson Mira Mesa Rec Center	26	Fair	\$ 300,000
Tierrasanta Rec Center	27	Good	\$ 400,000
Serra Mesa Rec Center	28	Fair	\$ 300,000
San Carlos Rec Center	29	Poor	\$ 300,000
Bay Terraces Community & Senior Center	30	Good	\$ 100,000
Golden Hill Rec Center	31	Poor	\$ 200,000
Hilltop Rec Center	32	Good	\$ 100,000
Pacific Beach Rec Center	33	Poor	\$ 400,000
North Clairemont Rec Center (Officer Austin Machitar Memorial Park) & Cathy Hopper Friendship Senior Center	34	Poor	\$ 200,000
Skyline Rec Center	35	Fair	\$ 300,000
Penn Rec Center	36	Fair	\$ 100,000
Carmel Mtn Ranch / Sabre Springs Rec Center	37	Good	\$ 300,000
Lois J. Mangarelli (Canyonside) Rec Center	38	Fair	\$ 400,000
Allied Gardens Rec Center	39	Poor	\$ 200,000
Southcrest Rec Center	40	Good	\$ 300,000
Paradise Hills Rec Center	41	Good	\$ 300,000
Dolores Magdaleno Memorial Rec Center & Memorial Senior Center	42	Good	\$ 300,000
Park de la Cruz Gymnasium & Community Center	43	Good	\$ 200,000
Willie Henderson Rec Center	44	Fair	\$ 100,000
Cadman Rec Center	45	Poor	\$ 100,000
Kearny Mesa Rec Center	46	Poor	\$ 300,000
So. Clairemont Rec Center	47	Poor	\$ 200,000
Montgomery-Waller Rec Center	48	Good	\$ 300,000
Santa Clara Point Rec Center	49	Poor	\$ 200,000
Carmel Valley Rec Center	50	Poor	\$ 400,000
Standley Rec Center	51	Poor	\$ 500,000
Ocean Air Rec Center	52	Good	\$ 400,000
Pacific Highlands Ranch Rec Center	53	Good	\$ 400,000
La Jolla Rec Center	54	Poor	\$ 200,000
Nobel Rec Center	55	Good	\$ 300,000
Adams Rec Center	56	Poor	\$ 100,000


* Figures are provided as rough order of magnitudes and do not represent operating actuals

Appendix A

Recreation Center Service Areas

These service areas, comprised of census tracts, were developed for purposes of analyzing the need each facility serves in its surrounding community. Each Census tract falling within the City boundary was assigned to the closest Recreation Center based on distance. Some tracts were adjusted to account for travel barriers, and some very close facilities were combined into one service area.

○ Recreation Center location




Appendix A

Library Service Areas

These service areas, comprised of census tracts, are currently in use by the Library Department and were developed prior to the analysis.

○ Library location



Appendix B

Evaluation Criteria

CATEGORY ¹	WHY IT'S INCLUDED	CRITERIA ¹	DATA SOURCE
POPULATION WITH ACCESS	Looks at the number of people who are able to access facility. Measures opportunity, rather than behavior (utilization).	Service Area	SANDAG: Vintage 24 Population & Housing Estimates by Census Tract SanGIS Open Data , for Public Providers
ALTERNATIVE SERVICE CAPACITY (PUBLIC, NON-PROFIT & PRIVATE PROVIDERS)	If a facility is the only provider in an area, loss of service can have an outsized impact compared against areas with ample alternatives. Public providers may tend to provide more services at no or lower cost compared to private providers.	Density: public and private providers with similar core services within the service area.	City SME-identified list for NFP/Private Providers
NEXT NEAREST OPPORTUNITY (CITY LIBRARIES & REC CENTERS)	If a facility is the only provider in an area, loss of service can have an outsized impact compared against areas with ample alternatives. The 'next nearest opportunity' will examine the next closest City facility with similar service offerings.	Walking distance to next nearest facility	Distance between branches calculated via Open Route Service API
TRANSPORTATION COST BURDEN	Distance is not the only factor that matters for facility access. The ability to get there also determines how accessible a facility is and has a larger role in areas where private vehicle availability is lower.	Average cost of transportation within service area	Center for Neighborhood Technology: 2022 Housing + Transportation Index
CRIME RATE	Access to library and recreation facilities can be a protective factor against future crime. Prioritizing communities where higher crime exists can improve community safety and may provide a non-enforcement-based way to reduce crime long-term.	Violent crime rate by service area	San Diego Open Data 2025 violent crimes rate
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT	Areas with lower educational attainment may have less access to learning resources, and have the most to gain from open facilities.	Percent of population within service area with less than a high school diploma	US Census Bureau: 2024 ACS-5yr Estimate for Educational Attainment
PROGRAM ADEQUACY	Reflects the total number of programs offered through each facility, accounting for under- or overprogramming by normalizing program offerings against the size of the population within the service area for each facility.	Number of programs per population within service area	Parks & Recreation and Library Departments: program statistics
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS: LMI	For low-moderate income communities, public facilities may move from not just <i>an</i> option, but the <i>only</i> option for education opportunities or physical activity opportunities; access to free and low-cost public services reduces the burden on households that may already be under financial stress.	% of population within service area that is low-moderate income (LMI)	US Dept of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Low to Moderate Income Population by Tract

¹ Categories are the large factors for consideration. **What** will be measured. Criteria are the actual measurements – **how** it will be measured.

CATEGORY ¹	WHY IT'S INCLUDED	CRITERIA ¹	DATA SOURCE
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS: CHILDCARE DESERTS	Public facilities can play a crucial role in supporting families and caregivers with programs, education, and resources, where childcare facilities may not be as readily available.	% of population within service area that is also within a childcare desert	YMCA of San Diego County 2025 San Diego County Child Care Supply Map

Descriptive and Key Factors

Descriptive factors are larger considerations that will be considered either prior to or after the evaluation criteria are applied. They will not be inputs to the scoring method but may otherwise be a component of decision-making.

CATEGORY	WHAT IS IT	MEASUREMENT
CLOSURE COST SAVINGS / OPERATING COSTS	Smaller branches, which by space constraints, operating hours, or other factors, may have less patron visitation. However, the cost savings as a result may be insignificant compared against a larger branch with similar rates of utilization. If a service reduction does not result in meaningful cost savings, it may be worth considering alternative options.	Total budgeted and planned expenditures for facility (library or rec center) operations and maintenance (O&M) and planned expenditure of capital improvements.
FACILITY CONDITION	Facility Condition Index is a scoring method used to weigh the cost of repairing asset deficiencies against the cost of replacing the asset. The last comprehensive Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) was conducted in 2016. Given the latency of the data and the overall condition of facilities across the board, this criterion was not included for scoring but has been included as a broader factor for consideration for decisionmakers.	2016 Facility Condition Index Score

Excluded Criteria

Criteria reflected here represent which factors were considered, but not ultimately able to be incorporated to the scoring.

CATEGORY	EXCLUDED CRITERIA	WHY IT'S EXCLUDED
HEALTH OUTCOMES	Incidence of heat-related illness by Service Area Incidence Rates of Cardiovascular disease (CVD) by Service Area Food Deserts: >1mi to nearest supermarket Incidence of traffic collisions, pedestrian fatalities and serious injuries	Given the breadth of this category, it was not possible to narrow down to a single measure of health outcomes for a community. Additionally, it is noted by the US Dept Health & Human Services and CA Dept of Public Health that social factors (a handful of which are reflected in the final criteria measures) have a significant role in health outcomes, which is to say, upstream factors affecting health may already be accounted for in other criteria included in the analysis.

Appendix C

Methods

Service Areas

Service areas represent the neighborhoods in the vicinity of each facility for this analysis. It should be noted that the service areas in this analysis may or may not align to service areas maintained/used by asset-maintaining departments. Service areas were drawn separately for library facilities and rec center facilities, and can be seen in Appendix A. Every part of the City is assigned to a service area, meaning service areas will differ in size depending on the number of facilities within any given area.

Libraries Service Areas

Census-tract-based service areas for libraries already existed and were retrieved from the City's enterprise geospatial data repository.

Recreation Centers Service Areas

The census tract shape map from the U.S. Census Bureau was joined with the City boundary shape map to create the relevant census tract shape map of the City of San Diego. For each census tract, a centroid (median point) was derived. The distance between each facility and each census tract centroid was calculated. Census tracts were assigned to the facilities with the shortest distance calculation, and initial service areas were determined by combining all the tracts assigned to a facility. After initial assignment, natural barriers, such as rivers and hills, and unnatural barriers, such as highways were identified and reviewed by staff to manually reassign census tracts that had barriers affecting easy access. Clusters of very close recreation centers and satellite facilities were combined into the same service area. One examples of this is the cluster of Balboa Park Municipal Gym, Balboa Park Activity Center, and Balboa Park Senior Lounge. Rather than treat each as having a separate, smaller service area, they were combined as having the same service area.

If a service area originally contained just one census tract, those centers were absorbed into another service area to create a single, combined service area. One example of this was San Ysidro Larsen Field Community Center, which initially had just one census tract; after adjustment, it was combined with Robert Egger Sr. South Bay Rec Center to create a combined South Bay and San Ysidro Service Area.

Criteria Scoring

How facility scoring was performed

The scoring was performed utilizing a final set of evaluation criteria. The criteria, rationale for inclusion, and data sources may be found in Appendix B.

Library & Recreation Center Facility Analysis

Attributes were assigned to each facility based on either the service area of the facility (e.g. community statistics) or based on the facility itself (e.g. program offerings or facility condition index score). After the attributes for each facility were acquired based on the evaluation criteria, a simple scaled scoring method was applied for each attribute, where minimum (or maximum depending on the attribute) was given a score of 1 and the maximum (or minimum depending on the attribute) was given a score of 10, and the rest scaled between.

A lower scoring indicates lower protective factors and indicates that reductions to facility access may have a greater impact for that service area. A lower score also indicates a higher need to protect access to a facility where possible.

For example, with the “population served” (service area) criterion, having a higher population within the service resulted in a lower score and having a lower population had a higher score. Accordingly, the highest population had a score of 1 assigned to the service area and the lowest population had a score of 10 with the rest scaled between them. This was done for each criterion so that they all had a base score scaled from 1 to 10.

CATEGORY	CRITERION	INTERPRETATION	RESULT
POPULATION WITH ACCESS	Service Area	“The greater the population within the service area, the greater number of people benefit from the facility”	Higher population, lower score
ALTERNATIVE SERVICE CAPACITY	Density: public and private providers with similar core services within the service area.	“The fewer the alternative providers in a service area, the greater the role the facility may play in the area”	Lower alternative service capacity, lower score
NEXT NEAREST OPPORTUNITY	Walking distance to next nearest City facility	“The greater the distance to the next City facility, the greater the impact of reductions”	Greater facility distance, lower score
TRANSPORTATION COST BURDEN	Average cost of transportation within service area	“The higher the cost of transportation, the greater the impact of traveling to another location”	Higher cost burden, lower score
CRIME RATE	Violent crime rate by service area	“The higher the rate of crime, the greater benefit communal spaces may play”	Higher crime rate, lower score
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT	Percent of population within service area with less than a high school diploma	“The lower the community education rate, the greater the value public facilities may have”	Lower educational attainment rate, lower score
PROGRAM ADEQUACY	Number of programs per population within service area	“The fewer the number programs available to the community, the greater the importance of any single one program”	Lower program adequacy, lower score
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS: LMI	% of population that is low-moderate income (LMI)	“The lower the socioeconomic status of the community, the greater the benefit a facility may have”	Higher LMI rate, lower score

CATEGORY	CRITERION	INTERPRETATION	RESULT
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS: CHILDCARE DESERTS	% of population within service area that is also within a childcare desert	“The lower availability of childcare services, the greater supportive impact a facility may have”	Higher rate within childcare desert, lower score

Weighting and Cumulative Score

Each criterion is scored on a scale from 1 to 10. For the final analysis, all criteria are given equal importance. The weighting system is designed to adjust based on the relationship between the weights of the criteria, not the exact values. This makes it so adjustments the weights can be done, if needed. However, it is recommended to make only a few meaningful adjustments. Small frequent changes to the weights are unlikely to affect the ranking of facilities significantly. On the other hand, making 1 to 3 changes where the weights are significantly different (like 2:1) is more likely to result in noticeable changes. The cumulative score is the total of all the weighted scores, adjusted to keep it on a scale from n to 10n, where n is the number of criteria (for example, if all 9 criteria are used, the cumulative score ranges from 9 to 90).

Facility Grouping

On the geospatial display and score tables, a color scale is used to categorize each set of facilities (i.e. library facilities and rec center facilities) into four groups. These groups are used only for understanding relative similarity/dissimilarity between facility scores, where facilities around a similar score are grouped together.

The groupings utilize a methodology known as “Jenks natural breaks”, which finds natural groups within datasets based on maximizing similarities *within* groups but minimizing similarities *between* them. It may broadly be considered a more accurate way of group than arbitrarily creating ‘bins’ or simply dividing the score scale into four parts.

Match Percentages

Council district details pages contain information about each facility – recreation centers and libraries - within the district. Facilities directly outside the district boundary are labeled for context. Each facility has a card with individual criteria ranking as well as a list of the closest 5 facilities. For each nearby facility, a match percentage was calculated. The purpose of this percentage is to give decision-makers an idea of whether the nearby facility could reasonably absorb any services and programs in the event of reductions. The percentage was calculated regardless of facility type to help inform conversations about moving programs and services to facilities of different types.

The match percentage is calculated off of inventory data collected from both Parks & Recreation and Library departments and is a simple measure of inventory in common. See the table below for the exact inventory items included in the calculation.

Inventory item	Description/notes
facility_type	High-level facility type, either Library or Rec Center
size	Building size
facility_age	Will be calculated based on year built/opened
pool	Whether the facility has a pool, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. Will be marked 0 for all libraries
adult_center	Whether the facility has a senior center within or nearby, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. Will be marked 0 for all libraries
computers	Whether the facility has computers for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 1 for all libraries
dance_room	Whether the facility has a Dance Room for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
game_room	Whether the facility has a Game Room for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
gymnasium	Whether the facility has a Gymnasium for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
kiln	Whether the facility has a kiln for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
kiln_room	Whether the facility has a kiln room for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
kitchen	Whether the facility has a kitchen for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
open_rooms	Whether the facility has open rooms that can be set up for various purposes, for example, community meetings, yoga classes, etc. This includes purposes that could reasonably be conducted at both libraries and rec centers, and is not meant to be used for sports or other activities that require specialized equipment. Those things should be represented elsewhere.
racquetball	Whether the facility has racquetball courts for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
stage	Whether the facility has a stage for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
teen_center	Whether the facility has a teen center within or nearby for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
tiny_tot_room	Whether the facility has a tiny tots room for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries

Library & Recreation Center Facility Analysis

weight_room	Whether the facility has a weight room for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all libraries
wifi	Whether the facility has wifi for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 1 for all libraries
cooling	Whether the facility has heating/cooling. This will be marked 1 for all libraries and 1 for Rec Centers with an 'X' in the HVAC column
visual_arts	Whether the facility displays visual arts that are part of the Civic Art Collection. This will be marked 1/0 for libraries based on Yes/No in the data. This will be marked 0 for rec centers.
revenue_generating	Whether the facility generates revenue. This will be marked 1 for all rec centers that issue paid permits.
study_rooms	Whether the facility has study rooms for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all rec centers
idea_lab	Whether the facility has an IDEA Lab for patrons to use, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all rec centers
printer_3d	Excluded. Was not included in V2 of library facility information
adult_literacy	Whether the facility offers adult literacy programming, represented with 1 as Yes and 0 as No. This will be marked 0 for all rec centers
homework_services	Whether the facility offers homework programming, represented with 1 as Yes for those facilities with a populated count and 0 as No for those facilities with NA. This will be marked 0 for rec centers. Although teen areas provide space for completing homework, a formal homework help program does not exist at this time.
tech_checkouts	Whether the facility offers hotspot and/or chromebook checkout, represented with 1 as Yes for those facilities with a populated count and 0 as No for those facilities with no populated count. TBD for all rec centers with adult/senior centers
reader_seats	Number of seats available for patrons to sit and read. This will be marked 0 for all rec centers.

Appendix D

Analysis Notes and Considerations

This section of the appendix notes additional details about specific components of the analysis, and other considerations that may be helpful to those reading it. Content here is contributed to by staff from the Parks & Recreation, Library, Performance & Analytics, and General Services departments.

Acknowledgement and Nature of Analysis

Impacting community services, such as those offered through libraries and recreation services, is never a first choice when it comes to addressing budgetary shortfalls. This analysis was conducted anticipating difficult decisions that will likely come about as part of the FY27 budget development cycle. The analysis does not make any recommendations about which facilities should or should not be impacted by potential budget reduction measures; it presents a scoring of where potential reduction measures may have a larger impact on the community in some areas more than others. The data provided do not make these budget decisions any easier but may allow policy- and decision-makers the opportunity to weigh decisions with additional detail about community-level impacts.

Scoring Notes

As noted in Appendix C, a scaled scoring method was applied to data for each criterion where the most desired attributes were scored 1 and least desired attributes were scored a 10 and the rest scaled between 1 to 10. This allows for weighting and creating cumulative scores between all criteria. However, for criteria where the values are all fairly close such as Nearby Facility, this type of scoring might not best reflect the reality of their values. For libraries, the closest nearby facility was about 0.1 miles away and received a score of 10 while the furthest nearby facility was less than 2.9 miles away and received a score of 1. In a driving-heavy culture, 3 miles might not warrant the drastic difference in scores.

Criteria Notes

Criteria were identified from a broad group of subject-matter-experts from City departments and the IBA's Division of Race & Equity. The proposed list of categories and criteria were evaluated based on comparability, accuracy, and availability of data. Level of detail for geography was based on the same data factors, meaning data had to generally be available at the facility level or census-tract level in order for it to be used in the analysis.

Specific notes about each criterion, or their data source, are indicated in the table below.

CATEGORY	CRITERION	ANALYSIS NOTE / CONSIDERATION
POPULATION WITH ACCESS	Service Area	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See Appendix C (Methods) for details on how service areas were calculated. 2. It is noted that service areas are calculated at the facility/census tract level, not at the Council-district level. 3. It is acknowledged that facilities may serve specific residents and communities outside the boundaries analysis' service areas, as residents can visit any branch within the City. This may especially be the case where programming/services at one facility location are not available from other City- or non-City providers within the service area. 4. The Parks & Recreation Department utilizes Community Recreation Group (CRG) and Community Planning Group (CPG) service areas to coordinate resources and programs, minimizing duplicated services where appropriate. Additional details about CRGs and CPGs can be found on Parks & Recreation's website.
ALTERNATIVE SERVICE CAPACITY	Density: public and private providers with similar core services within the service area	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Lists of alternative service providers were created from department subject matter experts. 6. It is acknowledged that the list of providers cannot be 100% inclusive of every non-City alternative service provider, however, it does contain notable providers with substantially similar core service offerings. 7. It is acknowledged that not every alternative service provider is capable of provide the same level of high-quality programs or services as City facilities. 8. It is acknowledged that alternative service provider offerings may come at additional costs, sometimes significantly higher than what the City charges.
NEXT NEAREST OPPORTUNITY	Walking distance to next nearest City facility	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Walking distance was utilized to indicate the highest intensity / highest vulnerability mode of transit. The most conservative assumption indicates not all community have the same level of vehicle availability, and as a result, may be impacted differently by the hours of operation of their nearest facility.
TRANSPORTATION COST BURDEN	Average cost of transportation within service area	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10. Costs of transportation can vary greatly based on mode (i.e., drive, walk, bike, transit). The total transportation cost burden looks at the average total cost of vehicle transportation at the census-tract level spent by households in a typical year, and would account for the of cost traveling to the next nearest service provider.
CRIME RATE	Violent crime rate by service area	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. A handful of different crime-related statistics were examined to determine potential ways to reflect the impact of crime on a community and the potential protective factor public facilities can play. A number of crime statistics, when taken at the service-area or census-tract level did not result in meaningful variation for scoring purposes. Violent crime rate was ultimately selected as being both more granular and potentially impactful for the community.
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT	Percent of population within service area with	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 12. A number of measures were examined to look at appropriately capturing education attainment within a community. While limited school-site-specific educational outcomes were examined, the Census Bureau's measure of educational

CATEGORY	CRITERION	ANALYSIS NOTE / CONSIDERATION
	less than a high school diploma	attainment (less than high school diploma) captures the broad community-level educational factors, barriers, resources, and consideration, rather than aggregating individual site level information that may not be readily extrapolated to the service areas in the analysis.
PROGRAM ADEQUACY	Number of programs per population within service area	13. The criterion examines the total number of times every program was offered at the facility level throughout 2025. The criterion is normalized by taking this count of programs and dividing it by the number of people within the service area.
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS: LMI	% of population that is low-moderate income (LMI)	14. Socioeconomic outcomes are believed to play one of the greatest roles in determining community benefit. Many of the other community-based criteria in the evaluation method are highly correlated with the socioeconomic status of communities. As such, public facilities stand to offer the greatest benefit in these communities, where alternative options may be prohibitive, limited, or unavailable altogether.
SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS: CHILDCARE DESERTS	% of population within service area that is also within a childcare desert	15. While the City does not provide childcare services directly in any of its facilities, these facilities can serve as an important resource for caretakers and families to access enrichment programs for children that might otherwise be available in areas where there are more ample childcare service opportunities. 16. It is acknowledged that childcare needs can vary differently between age groups, especially noting the 4-years-and-younger group of children. This criterion looks at childcare availability as a whole, and does not look further into more specific needs of any particular subpopulations.