

eeting of June 25, 2026							
First Name	Last Name	Meeting Date	Agenda Item Number	Position	I would like to speak on the item during public testimony.	Comments	Attachments
HRB Public Comments - ADDED 6/25/2026							
ITEM 2							
Heather	Riley	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see attached.	https://www.sandiego.gov/system/files/webform/webform_994112/151024/lt-hrb-re-2026.06.25-meeting-2.pdf?access=558142&id=1604a548-52c7-4367-9eb6-e66447de3ead
Simone	Kanter	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	No	I currently live in Mission Hills and lived directly opposite the subject property at 3752 4th Avenue from 2001 to 2005. I have continuously frequented the area since that time as a loyal customer at the florist that is adjacent to the subject property, the cleaners that is around the corner as well as the many restaurants and stores within a few blocks.Never since 2001 did it ever occur to me that the subject property have any historical significance since there are so many other bungalow properties in the Hilcrest and Mission Hills area. Furthermore, virtually all of the other bungalow properties have far greater historical integrity than the subject property. I recall that when I lived across the street the property had a low frontage wall that has since been removed. I'm not surprised that the wall is no longer standing. I am surprised that the building are still standing given how dilapidated they were over 20 years ago.	
Graeme	Gabriel	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	Yes	My wife and I currently live in Mission Hills and we lived directly opposite the subject property at 3752 4th Avenue from 2001 to 2005. We have continuously frequented the area since that time as loyal customers at the florist that is adjacent to the subject property, the cleaners that is around the corner as well as the many restaurants and stores within a few blocks.Never since 2001 did it ever occur to me that the subject property has any historical significance since there are so many other bungalow properties in the Hilcrest and Mission Hills area. Furthermore, virtually all of the other bungalow properties have far greater historical integrity than the subject property. I recall that when I lived across the street the property had a low frontage wall that has since been removed. I'm not surprised that the wall is no longer standing. I am surprised that the buildings are still standing given how dilapidated they were over 20 years ago.	
Sharon	Gehl	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	Yes	Please give the attached comment on Item #2 to the Historical Resources Board for their June 25, 2026 meeting. Thank you.	https://www.sandiego.gov/system/files/webform/webform_994112/151248/hrb-bungalow-court-comment-june-25-2026.pdf?access=558186&id=59b6eb08-3446-4d9e-b8e1-be7e7e18393f
Heather	Riley	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see attached letters.	See Combined Letters 1-5
Heather	Riley	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see attached letters	See Combined Letters 6-10
Heather	Riley	6/25/2026	2	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see attached letters.	See Combined Letters 11-14
Kai	Kenner	6/25/2026	1/2/1900	In Opposition to Item	No	ITEM-2 PINGREE AND JUNE OSBURN BUNGALOW COURT I read both the staff report and the BFSa report and visited the site. The property is not a special bungalow court and no longer retains integrity. The evidence does not support designation under Criteria A or C. I respectfully ask the Board to decline designation.	