San Diego, Cal. May 18, '04.

TO THE HEALTH AND MORALS COMMITTEE OF THE COMMON COUNCIL. Gentlemen:-

We wish to protest against the greating of a license **§** to the Andersons at 2nd. and H. Sts. for the reason that three of the endorsers of the application seem to us to be disqualified for signing, as follows:

While C.S.Hardy has a stable and blacksmith shop nearby, we understand they are in connection with his private business. He is not running a livery+stable nor doing custom work as a blacksmith end so is not gualified to sign the application.

Ed Cromley, or Crowely works for Mr. Hardy in the stables above refered to, so we are informed. Surely a common laborer is not "doing business" in the meaning of the ordinance.

G. Anderson resides and does business at or near the corner of B. and State Sts., ten blocks distant.

We, therefore, ask you to recommend that the license be refused because the application does not meet the conditions of the ordinance.

Respectfully yourd,

THE ANTI-SALOON LEAGUE. Per. <u>R. H. Young</u> Secty.

Ligne DOCUMENT No. 5919 Filed May 18th 1904. City Clerk, *Β*γ..... Deputy. COMMUNICATION FROM anti-Salam League protesting against Granting Rieman to Underson Bros it 20# PRESENTED TO THE COUNCIL AND ORDERED FILED May, 2-3 rd 1904