# SD CLIMATE ACTION PLAN CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST INTRODUCTION

In December 2015, the City adopted a Climate Action Plan (CAP) that outlines the actions that City will undertake to achieve its proportional share of State greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions. The purpose of the Climate Action Plan Consistency Checklist (Checklist) is to, in conjunction with the CAP, provide a streamlined review process for proposed new development projects that are subject to discretionary review and trigger environmental review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).<sup>1</sup>

Analysis of GHG emissions and potential climate change impacts from new development is required under CEQA. The CAP is a plan for the reduction of GHG emissions in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15064(h)(3), 15130(d), and 15183(b), a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative GHG emissions effect may be determined not to be cumulatively considerable if it complies with the requirements of the CAP.

This Checklist is part of the CAP and contains measures that are required to be implemented on a project-by-project basis to ensure that the specified emissions targets identified in the CAP are achieved. Implementation of these measures would ensure that new development is consistent with the CAP's assumptions for relevant CAP strategies toward achieving the identified GHG reduction targets. Projects that are consistent with the CAP as determined through the use of this Checklist may rely on the CAP for the cumulative impacts analysis of GHG emissions. Projects that are not consistent with the CAP must prepare a comprehensive project-specific analysis of GHG emissions, including quantification of existing and projected GHG emissions and incorporation of the measures in this Checklist to the extent feasible. Cumulative GHG impacts would be significant for any project that is not consistent with the CAP.

The Checklist may be updated to incorporate new GHG reduction techniques or to comply with later amendments to the CAP or local, State, or federal law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Certain projects seeking ministerial approval may be required to complete the Checklist. For example, projects in a Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone may be required to use the Checklist to qualify for ministerial level review. See Supplemental Development Regulations in the project's community plan to determine applicability.

This page intentionally left blank

# SUBMITTAL APPLICATION

- The Checklist is required only for projects subject to CEQA review.<sup>2</sup>
- If required, the Checklist must be included in the project submittal package. Application submittal procedures can be found in <u>Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures</u> of the City's Municipal Code.
- The requirements in the Checklist will be included in the project's conditions of approval.
- The applicant must provide an explanation of how the proposed project will implement the requirements described herein to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

			. •
Ann	ication	Inform	nation
	leacion		

Contact Information		
Project No./Name:		
Property Address:		
Applicant Name/Co.:		
Contact Phone:	Contact Email:	
Was a consultant retained to complete this checklist? Consultant Name:	□ Yes □ No Contact Phone:	If Yes, complete the following
Company Name:	Contact Email:	
Project Information		
1. What is the size of the project (acres)?		
<ol> <li>Identify all applicable proposed land uses:</li> <li>□ Residential (indicate # of single-family units):</li> </ol>		
Residential (indicate # of multi-family units):		
Commercial (total square footage):		
Industrial (total square footage):		
<ul> <li>Other (describe):</li> <li>3. Is the project or a portion of the project located in a Transit Priority Area?</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No	

4. Provide a brief description of the project proposed:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Certain projects seeking ministerial approval may be required to complete the Checklist. For example, projects in a Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone may be required to use the Checklist to qualify for ministerial level review. See Supplemental Development Regulations in the project's community plan to determine applicability.



### Step 1: Land Use Consistency

The first step in determining CAP consistency for discretionary development projects is to assess the project's consistency with the growth projections used in the development of the CAP. This section allows the City to determine a project's consistency with the land use assumptions used in the CAP.

Step 1: Land Use Consistency				
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box	and provide explanation and supporting documentation for your answer)	Yes	No	
<ul> <li>zoning designations?;<sup>3</sup></li> <li>B. If the proposed project includes a land use pla result in an increased actions, as determined</li> <li>C. If the proposed project the project include a la</li> </ul>	consistent with the existing General Plan and Community Plan land use and <u>OR</u> , is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, and n and/or zoning designation amendment, would the proposed amendment density within a Transit Priority Area (TPA) <sup>4</sup> and implement CAP Strategy 3 in Step 3 to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department?; <u>OR</u> , is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, does nd use plan and/or zoning designation amendment that would result in an -intensive project when compared to the existing designations?			

If "**Yes**," proceed to Step 2 of the Checklist. For question B above, complete Step 3. For question C above, provide estimated project emissions under both existing and proposed designation(s) for comparison. Compare the maximum buildout of the existing designation and the maximum buildout of the proposed designation.

If "**No**," in accordance with the City's Significance Determination Thresholds, the project's GHG impact is significant. The project must nonetheless incorporate each of the measures identified in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacts unless the decision maker finds that a measure is infeasible in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15091. Proceed and complete Step 2 of the Checklist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This question may also be answered in the affirmative if the project is consistent with SANDAG Series 12 growth projections, which were used to determine the CAP projections, as determined by the Planning Department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This category applies to all projects that answered in the affirmative to question 3 on the previous page: Is the project or a portion of the project located in a transit priority area.

## Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency

The second step of the CAP consistency review is to review and evaluate a project's consistency with the applicable strategies and actions of the CAP. Step 2 only applies to development projects that involve permits that would require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official or projects comprised of one and two family dwellings or townhouses as defined in the California Residential Code and their accessory structures.<sup>5</sup> All other development projects that would not require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official shall implement Best Management Practices for construction activities as set forth in the <u>Greenbook</u> (for public projects).

Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency	/		
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation for your answer)	Yes	No	N/A
Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings			
1. Cool/Green Roofs.			
<ul> <li>Would the project include roofing materials with a minimum 3-year aged solar reflection and thermal emittance or solar reflection index equal to or greater than the values specified in the voluntary measures under <u>California Green Building Standards Code</u> (Attachment A)?; <u>OR</u></li> <li>Would the project roof construction have a thermal mass over the roof membrane, including areas of vegetated (green) roofs, weighing at least 25 pounds per square foot as specified in the voluntary measures under <u>California Green Building Standards Code</u>?; <u>OR</u></li> <li>Would the project include a combination of the above two options? Check "N/A" only if the project does not include a roof component.</li> </ul>			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Actions that are not subject to Step 2 would include, for example: 1) discretionary map actions that do not propose specific development, 2) permits allowing wireless communication facilities, 3) special events permits, 4) use permits or other permits that do not result in the expansion or enlargement of a building (e.g., decks, garages, etc.), and 5) non-building infrastructure projects such as roads and pipelines. Because such actions would not result in new occupancy buildings from which GHG emissions reductions could be achieved, the items contained in Step 2 would not be applicable.

With respect to plumbing fixtures or fittings provided as part of the project, would			
those low-flow fixtures/appliances be consistent with each of the following:			
Residential buildings:			
<ul> <li>Kitchen faucets: maximum flow rate not to exceed 1.5 gallons per minute at 60</li> </ul>	)		
psi; • Standard dishwashers: 4.25 gallons per cycle;			
<ul> <li>Compact dishwashers: 3.5 gallons per cycle; and</li> </ul>			
• Clothes washers: water factor of 6 gallons per cubic feet of drum capacity?			
Nonresidential buildings:			
Plumbing fixtures and fittings that do not exceed the maximum flow rate			
specified in <u>Table A5.303.2.3.1 (voluntary measures) of the California Green</u> <u>Building Standards Code</u> (See Attachment A); and			
	£		
<ul> <li>Appliances and fixtures for commercial applications that meet the provisions or Section A5.303.3 (voluntary measures) of the California Green Building Standar</li> </ul>		П	
Code (See Attachment A)?	<u>us</u>		
Check "N/A" only if the project does not include any plumbing fixtures or fittings.			
check where only in the project does not include any plainbing incluses of intlings.			
			1

Strategy 3: Bicycling, Walking, Transit & Land Use		
3. Electric Vehicle Charging		
<ul> <li><u>Multiple-family projects of 17 dwelling units or less</u>: Would 3% of the total parking spaces required, or a minimum of one space, whichever is greater, be provided with a listed cabinet, box or enclosure connected to a conduit linking the parking spaces with the electrical service, in a manner approved by the building and safety official, to allow for the future installation of electric vehicle supply equipment to provide electric vehicle charging stations at such time as it is needed for use by residents?</li> <li><u>Multiple-family projects of more than 17 dwelling units</u>: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle supply equipment installed to provide active electric vehicle charging stations ready for use by residents?</li> <li><u>Non-residential projects</u>: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle charging stations ready for use by residents?</li> <li><u>Non-residential projects</u>: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle supply equipment installed to provide active electric vehicle charging stations ready for use?</li> <li><u>Check "N/A" only if the project is a single-family project</u> or would not require the provision of listed cabinets, boxes, or enclosures connected to a conduit linking the parking spaces with electrical service, e.g., projects requiring fewer than 10 parking spaces.</li> </ul>		
Strategy 3: Bicycling, Walking, Transit & Land Use (Complete this section if project includes non-residential or mixed uses)		
<ul> <li><i>Bicycle Parking Spaces</i></li> <li>Would the project provide more short- and long-term bicycle parking spaces than required in the City's Municipal Code (<u>Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 5</u>)?<sup>6</sup></li> <li>Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project.</li> </ul>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Non-portable bicycle corrals within 600 feet of project frontage can be counted towards the project's bicycle parking requirements.

Number of Tenant Occupants (Employees)	Shower/Changing Facilities Required	Two-Tier (12" X 15" X 72") Personal Effects Lockers Required		
0-10	0	0		
11-50	1 shower stall	2		
51-100	1 shower stall	3		
101-200	1 shower stall	4		
Over 200	1 shower stall plus 1 additional shower stall for each 200 additional tenant-occupants	1 two-tier locker plus 1 two-tier locker for each 50 additional tenant- occupants		
4" only if the project ntial development th is).	is a residential project, nat would accommoda	or if it does not includ te over 10 tenant occu	e pants	

	Number of Required Parking	Number of Designated Parking			
	Spaces	Spaces			
	0-9	0			
	10-25	2	_		
	26-50	4	_		
	51-75	6	_		
	76-100	9	_		
	101-150	11			
	151-200	18	_		
	201 and over	At least 10% of total			
be consider spaces are	red eligible for designated pa to be provided within the ove	stickers from expired HOV lane rking spaces. The required desi erall minimum parking requiren	gnated parking		
be consider spaces are addition to Check "N/A"	red eligible for designated pa to be provided within the ove it.	rking spaces. The required desi	gnated parking nent, not in		
be consider spaces are addition to Check "N/A'	red eligible for designated pa to be provided within the ove it. ' only if the project is a reside	rking spaces. The required desi erall minimum parking requiren	gnated parking nent, not in		

			1	
7.	Transportation Demand Management Program			
	If the project would accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees), would it include a transportation demand management program that would be applicable to existing tenants and future tenants that includes:			
	At least one of the following components:			
	Parking cash out program			
	<ul> <li>Parking management plan that includes charging employees market-rate for single-occupancy vehicle parking and providing reserved, discounted, or free spaces for registered carpools or vanpools</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Unbundled parking whereby parking spaces would be leased or sold separately from the rental or purchase fees for the development for the life of the development</li> </ul>			
	And at least three of the following components:			
	<ul> <li>Commitment to maintaining an employer network in the SANDAG iCommute program and promoting its RideMatcher service to tenants/employees</li> </ul>			
	On-site carsharing vehicle(s) or bikesharing			
	Flexible or alternative work hours			
	Telework program			
	Transit, carpool, and vanpool subsidies			
	Pre-tax deduction for transit or vanpool fares and bicycle commute costs	_		
	<ul> <li>Access to services that reduce the need to drive, such as cafes, commercial stores, banks, post offices, restaurants, gyms, or childcare, either onsite or within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of the structure/use?</li> </ul>			
	Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project or if it would not accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees).			

# Step 3: Project CAP Conformance Evaluation (if applicable)

The third step of the CAP consistency review only applies if Step 1 is answered in the affirmative under option B. The purpose of this step is to determine whether a project that is located in a TPA but that includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment is nevertheless consistent with the assumptions in the CAP because it would implement CAP Strategy 3 actions. In general, a project that would result in a reduction in density inside a TPA would not be consistent with Strategy 3.The following questions must each be answered in the affirmative and fully explained.

1. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's City of Villages strategy in an identified Transit Priority Area (TPA) that will result in an increase in the capacity for transit-supportive residential and/or employment densities?

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed land use and zoning designation associated with the project provide capacity for transit-supportive residential densities within the TPA?
- Is the project site suitable to accommodate mixed-use village development, as defined in the General Plan, within the TPA?
- Does the land use and zoning associated with the project increase the capacity for transit-supportive employment intensities within the TPA?
- 2. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's Mobility Element in Transit Priority Areas to increase the use of transit? Considerations for this question:
  - Does the proposed project support/incorporate identified transit routes and stops/stations?
  - Does the project include transit priority measures?
- 3. Would the proposed project implement pedestrian improvements in Transit Priority Areas to increase walking opportunities? Considerations for this question:
  - Does the proposed project circulation system provide multiple and direct pedestrian connections and accessibility to local activity centers (such as transit stations, schools, shopping centers, and libraries)?
  - Does the proposed project urban design include features for walkability to promote a transit supportive environment?

#### 4. Would the proposed project implement the City of San Diego's Bicycle Master Plan to increase bicycling opportunities? Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project circulation system include bicycle improvements consistent with the Bicycle Master Plan?
- Does the overall project circulation system provide a balanced, multimodal, "complete streets" approach to accommodate mobility needs of all users?
- 5. Would the proposed project incorporate implementation mechanisms that support Transit Oriented Development? <u>Considerations for this question:</u>
  - Does the proposed project include new or expanded urban public spaces such as plazas, pocket parks, or urban greens in the TPA?
  - Does the land use and zoning associated with the proposed project increase the potential for jobs within the TPA?
  - Do the zoning/implementing regulations associated with the proposed project support the efficient use of parking through mechanisms such as: shared parking, parking districts, unbundled parking, reduced parking, paid or time-limited parking, etc.?

#### 6. Would the proposed project implement the Urban Forest Management Plan to increase urban tree canopy coverage?

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project provide at least three different species for the primary, secondary and accent trees in order to accommodate varying parkway widths?
- Does the proposed project include policies or strategies for preserving existing trees?
- Does the proposed project incorporate tree planting that will contribute to the City's 20% urban canopy tree coverage goal?

# SD CLIMATE ACTION PLAN CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST ATTACHMENT A

This attachment provides performance standards for applicable Climate Action Pan (CAP) Consistency Checklist measures.

Land Use Type	Roof Slope	Minimum 3-Year Aged Solar Reflectance	Thermal Emittance	Solar Reflective Index
Law Diag Desidential	≤2:12	0.55	0.75	64
Low-Rise Residential	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16
High-Rise Residential Buildings,	≤2:12	0.55	0.75	64
Hotels and Motels	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16
Nex Desidential	≤2:12	0.55	0.75	64
Non-Residential	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16

CALGreen does not include recommended values for low-rise residential buildings with roof slopes of  $\leq$  2:12 for San Diego's climate zones (7 and 10). Therefore, the values for climate zone 15 that covers Imperial County are adapted here.

Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) equal to or greater than the values specified in this table may be used as an alternative to compliance with the aged solar reflectance values and thermal emittance.

able 2 Fixture Flow Rates for Non-Residential Buildings related to Question 2: Plumbing Fixtures an Fittings supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Plan				
	Fixture Type	Maximum Flow Rate		
	Showerheads	1.8 gpm @ 80 psi		
	Lavatory Faucets	0.35 gpm @60 psi		
	Kitchen Faucets	1.6 gpm @ 60 psi		
	Wash Fountains	1.6 [rim space(in.)/20 gpm @ 60 psi]		
	Metering Faucets	0.18 gallons/cycle		
Metering	Faucets for Wash Fountains	0.18 [rim space(in.)/20 gpm @ 60 psi]		
Gravit	y Tank-type Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush		
Flusho	meter Tank Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush		
Flusho	meter Valve Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush		
Electromec	nanical Hydraulic Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush		
	Urinals	0.5 gallons/flush		
Electromec	nanical Hydraulic Water Closets Urinals	1.12 gallons/flush		

Source: Adapted from the <u>California Green Building Standards Code</u> (CALGreen) Tier 1 non-residential voluntary measures shown in Tables A5.303.2.3.1 and A5.106.11.2.2, respectively. See the <u>California Plumbing Code</u> for definitions of each fixture type.

Where complying faucets are unavailable, aerators rated at 0.35 gpm or other means may be used to achieve reduction.

Acronyms:

gpm = gallons per minute psi = pounds per square inch (unit of pressure)

in. = inch

	es and Fixtures for Commercial Applications and Fixtures for Commercial Applications ittings supporting Strategy 1: Energy & V	-		
Appliance/Fixture Type	Standard			
Clothes Washers	Maximum Water Factor (WF) that will reduce the use of water by 10 percent below the California Energy Commissions' WF standards for commercial clothes washers located in Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations.			
Conveyor-type Dishwashers	0.70 maximum gallons per rack (2.6 L) (High-Temperature)	0.62 maximum gallons per rack (4.4 L) (Chemical)		
Door-type Dishwashers	0.95 maximum gallons per rack (3.6 L) (High-Temperature)	1.16 maximum gallons per rack (2.6 L) (Chemical)		
Undercounter-type Dishwashers	0.90 maximum gallons per rack (3.4 L) (High-Temperature)	0.98 maximum gallons per rack (3.7 L) (Chemical)		
Combination Ovens	Consume no more than 10 gallons per hour (3	8 L/h) in the full operational mode.		
Commercial Pre-rinse Spray Valves (manufactured on or after January 1, 2006)       Function at equal to or less than 1.6 gallons per minute (0.10 L/s) at 60 psi (414 kPa) and seconds per plate.         Be capable of cleaning 60 plates in an average time of not more than 30 seconds per plate.         Be equipped with an integral automatic shutoff.         Operate at static pressure of at least 30 psi (207 kPa) when designed for a flor rate of 1.3 gallons per minute (0.08 L/s) or less.				
Source: Adapted from the <u>California Green Building Standa</u> the <u>California Plumbing Code</u> for definitions of each applia		asures shown in Section A5.303.3. See		
Acronyms: L = liter L/h = liters per hour L/s = liters per second psi = pounds per square inch (unit of pressure) kPa = kilopascal (unit of pressure)				

Source Control BMP for Standard	For	m I-4A				
All development projects must implement source control BMPs. Ref	fer to Chap	ter 4 and	ł			
Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual for information to implement BMPs shown in this checklist.						
Note: All selected BMPs must be shown on the construction plans.						
Source Control Requirement		Applied	<sup>(1)</sup> ?			
4.2.1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	D N/A			
4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal						
4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall,	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal						
4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff,	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
and Wind Dispersal						
4.2.6 BMPs based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants			( )			
On-site storm drain inlets	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Interior parking garages	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Food service	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Refuse areas	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Industrial processes	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Fuel Dispensing Areas	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Loading Docks	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Fire Sprinkler Test Water	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	D N/A			
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
SC-6A: Large Trash Generating Facilities	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
SC-6B: Animal Facilities	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
SC-6C: Plant Nurseries and Garden Centers	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A			
SC-6D: Automotive Facilities	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Discussion / justification for <u>all</u> "No" answers shown above:						



Source Control BMP Checklist for PDPs	F	Form I-4	·B
Source Control BMPs			
All development projects must implement source control B feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manua Standards) for information to implement source control BMPs shown in	l (Part 1 c	of the Sto	
<ul> <li>Answer each category below pursuant to the following.</li> <li>"Yes" means the project will implement the source control BM and/or Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justifier "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is Discussion / justification must be provided.</li> <li>"N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site be include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project storage areas). Discussion / justification may be provided.</li> </ul>	fication is in the field of the	not requi ible to ir e project	red. nplement. does not
Source Control Requirement		Applied	?
4.2.1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage Discussion / justification if 4.2.2 not implemented:	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run- On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal Discussion / justification if 4.2.3 not implemented:	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal Discussion / justification if 4.2.4 not implemented:	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal Discussion / justification if 4.2.5 not implemented:	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A



Form I-4B Page 2 of 2					
Source Control Requirement Applied?					
4.2.6 Additional BMPs Based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants (must answer for each					
source listed below)					
On-site storm drain inlets	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Interior parking garages	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	□ Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Food service	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Refuse areas	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Industrial processes	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	□ Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Fuel Dispensing Areas	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Loading Docks	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Fire Sprinkler Test Water	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
SC-6A: Large Trash Generating Facilities	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
SC-6B: Animal Facilities	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
SC-6C: Plant Nurseries and Garden Centers	□ Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		
SC-6D: Automotive Facilities	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A		

Discussion / justification if 4.2.6 not implemented. Clearly identify which sources of runoff pollutants are discussed. Justification must be provided for <u>all</u> "No" answers shown above.



S	ite Design BMP Checkli for Standard Project	FOL	m I-5A
All development projects must implement site des of the BMP Design Manual for information to in Note: All selected BMPs must be shown on the cons	nplement BMPs shown in t		
Site Design Requirement		Applied	<sup>(1)</sup> ?
4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydi Features	rologic 🗆 Ye		□ N/A
4.3.2 Conserve Natural Areas, Soils, and Vegetation	🗆 Ye	s 🗆 No	D N/A
4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area	🗆 Ye	s 🗆 No	D N/A
4.3.4 Minimize Soil Compaction	🗆 Ye	s 🗆 No	□ N/A
4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion	□ Ye	s 🗆 No	D N/A
4.3.6 Runoff Collection	🗆 Ye	s 🗆 No	D N/A
4.3.7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant S	Species 🗆 🗆 Ye	s 🗆 No	D N/A
4.3.8 Harvest and Use Precipitation	🗆 Ye	s 🗆 No	D N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> Answer for each source control and site design category shall be pursuant to the following:

- "Yes" means the project will implement the BMP as described in Chapter 4 and/or Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justification is not required.
- "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feasible to implement. Discussion / justification must be provided.
- "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because the project does not include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project has no outdoor materials storage areas). Discussion / justification may be provided.



Site Design BMP Checklist for PDPs	F	Form I-5	B
Site Design BMPs			
All development projects must implement site design BMPs where app Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm V information to implement site design BMPs shown in this checklist. Answer each category below pursuant to the following.	Water Stan	dards) for	
<ul> <li>"Yes" means the project will implement the site design BMP as Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justification</li> <li>"No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is Discussion / justification must be provided.</li> <li>"N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site b</li> </ul>	n is not req 5 not feas	uired. ible to in	nplement.
include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the proje areas to conserve). Discussion / justification may be provided.			
A site map with implemented site design BMPs must be included at the	end of this		
Site Design Requirement 4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic Features	□ Yes	Applied?	□ N/A
1-1 Are existing natural drainage pathways and hydrologic features mapped on the site map?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
1-2 Are trees implemented? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
1-3 Implemented trees meet the design criteria in 4.3.1 Fact	□ Yes	□ No	
Sheet (e.g. soil volume, maximum credit, etc.)?			□ N/A
Sheet (e.g. soil volume, maximum credit, etc.)?1-4Is tree credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.1 and SD-1 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A □ N/A
1-4 Is tree credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.1 and	□ Yes	□ No □ No	



Form I-5B Page 2 of 4			
Site Design Requirement		Applied?	
4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.3 not implemented:			
4.3.4 Minimize Soil Compaction	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.4 not implemented:		1	I
4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion	🗆 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.5 not implemented:			
5-1 Is the pervious area receiving runon from impervious area identified on the site map?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
5-2 Does the pervious area satisfy the design criteria in 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E (e.g. maximum slope, minimum length, etc.)	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
5-3 Is impervious area dispersion credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.1 and 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A



Form I-5B Page 3 of 4			
Site Design Requirement		Applied	>
4.3.6 Runoff Collection	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.6 not implemented:			
6a-1 Are green roofs implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6A Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6a-2 Is the green roof credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.2 and 4.3.6A Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6b-1 Are permeable pavements implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6B Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6b-2 Is the permeable pavement credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.3 and 4.3.6B Fact Sheet in Appendix	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4.3.7 Land Caping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	🗆 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A
4.3.8 Harvest and Use Precipitation	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.8 not implemented:			
8-1 Are rain barrels implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.8 Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
8-2 Is the rain barrel credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.2 and 4.3.8 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A



Form I-5B Page 4 of 4 Insert Site Map with all site design BMPs identified:	
Insert Site Map with all site design BMPs identified:	





# HYDROLOGY/DRAINAGE STUDY

FOR

# EPB RESIDENCE REMODEL PTS# 640358

#### City of San Diego

Applicant/Developer: Andy Darragh 2275 Via Aprilia Del Mar, CA 92111

Prepared By:

Snipes-Dye associates

civil engineers and land surveyors

8348 Center Drive, Suite G La Mesa, CA 91942-2910 (619) 697-9234, Fax (619) 460-2033 DM0432

Dated: January 6, 2020

#### **DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE**

I, HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM THE CIVIL ENGINEER OF WORK FOR THIS PROJECT, THAT I HAVE EXERCISED RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OVER THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6703 OF THE BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE, AND THAT THE DESIGN IS CONSISTENT WITH CURRENT STANDARDS.

I UNDERSTAND THAT THE CHECK OF PROJECT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO IS CONFINED TO A REVIEW ONLY AND DOES NOT RELIEVE ME, AS ENGINEER OF WORK, OF MY RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROJECT DESIGN.

MARS

3/13/2020 DATE

WILLIAM A SNIPES R.C.E. 50477 EXP. 06-30-21

#### HYDROLOGY/DRAINAGE STUDY FOR EPB Residence Remodel

**INTRODUCTION:** The project proposes to remodel the existing residence at 2275 Via Aprilia, Del Mar, CA, generally described as Assessor's Parcel Number 301-083-01. The project includes revisions to the layout and landscape of the surrounding property. The pre-development and post-development conditions are generally very similar, and are described in the following sections.

PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS: The existing site gently slopes from the general northeast to the general southwest, and is comprised of a single-family residence on a corner lot at the intersection of Via Aprilia and Via Borgia in Del Mar, CA. The site is divided into two sub-basins, described as "Basin A" and "Basin B" as shown on the Pre-Development Drainage Exhibit. Basin A is approximately 5,615 square feet and comprises the existing residence, rear yard, and side yards. The site drainage sheet-flows in a southwesterly direction from an elevation of 37 to an elevation of 27. The runoff coefficient of the predevelopment Basin A is 0.50. Basin B consists of the existing adjacent streets and right-of-way, and consists of approximately 3,415 square feet. Basin B also sheet-flows in a general southwest direction from an elevation of 38 to an elevation of 27. The runoff coefficient of the pre-development Basin B is 0.80. The two basins confluence at the southwest corner of the site along Via Borgia. The calculated peak discharge for the pre-development site in the 100-year storm is approximately 0.29 cubic feet per second (cfs). See the attached calculations.

Summary Table 1:

PRE-DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR DISCHARGE						
	AREA (ACRE) TIME OF DISCHARGE					
		CONCENTRATION	(CFS)			
		(MIN.)				
BASIN A	0.13	8.06	0.15			
BASIN B	0.08	3.74	0.14			
TOTAL	0.21		0.29			

**POST-CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS:** The proposed improvements consist of remodeling the existing residence and yard. The proposed post-development condition introduces little change to the drainage basins described in the pre-development condition. The main difference from the pre-development condition to the post-development condition is an increase in impervious area in the form of rooftops and concrete patio areas. Basin A consists of the proposed residence, rear yard, and side yard. It sheet flows in a general southwesterly direction from an elevation of 37 to an elevation of 27. The post-development runoff coefficient is 0.71 over the 5,045 square foot Basin A. Basin B consists of the existing adjacent streets and right-of-way in the post-development condition.

It consists of approximately 3,985 square feet. Basin B sheet-flows in a general southwest direction from an elevation of 38 to an elevation of 27. The runoff coefficient of the post-development Basin B is 0.72. The two basins confluence at the southwest corner of the site along Via Borgia. The total peak discharge from post-development site in the 100-year storm is 0.34 cfs. See the attached calculations.

Summary Table 2:

POST-DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR DISCHARGE					
	AREA (ACRE) TIME OF DISCHARG				
		CONCENTRATION	(CFS)		
		(MIN.)			
BASIN A	0.12	5.24	0.19		
BASIN B	0.09	4.74	0.15		
TOTAL	0.21		0.34		

The following table combines the pre-development and post-development 100year discharge results. It also compares the runoff factor used in the discharge calculations for each basin in both conditions.

Summary Table 3:

	100-YEAR DISCHARGE							
	PRE-DEVELOPMENT			POST-DEVELOPMENT			,	
	RUNOFF	AREA	DISCHARGE	VELOCITY	RUNOFF	AREA	DISCHARGE	VELOCITY
	FACTOR	(ACRE)	(CFS)	(FPS)	FACTOR	(ACRE)	(CFS)	(FPS)
BASIN	0.50	0.13	0.15	0.98	0.71	0.12	0.19	2.53
Α								
BASIN	0.80	0.08	0.14	2.86	0.72	0.09	0.15	2.79
В								
TOTAL		0.21	0.29			0.21	0.34	

It should be noted that the proposed project does not discharge runoff directly into the Los Peñasquitos Lagoon, a navigable water, and is therefore not required to obtain approval from the Regional Water Quality Control Board under Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) section 401. There are no dredged or fill materials being discharged into the navigable waters of the United States and is not subject to CWA section 404 as a result.

#### CONCLUSION:

The proposed development will cause an increase in the 100-year peak discharge of 0.05 cfs. This increase is considered negligible for the overall Los Peñasquitos watershed. The site is not located in a 100-year flood hazard area or within the influence of flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. Therefore, the proposed development will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death. In conclusion, the increase in the peak discharge will not cause significant impacts to downstream drainage facilities, streams, rivers, and/or adjacent properties.





# Figure A-1. Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart





# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



# Rainfall Isopluvials

#### **100 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours**



Isopluvial (inches)







This products may contain information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has been reproduced with permission granted by Thomas Brothers Maps.

#### 3 Miles



# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



# Rainfall Isopluvials

#### **100 Year Rainfall Event - 24 Hours**



Isopluvial (inches)







THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright SanGIS. All Rights Reserved.

This products may contain information from the SANDAG Regiona Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has bee permission granted by Thomas Brothers Maps.

#### APPENDIX A: RATIO NAL METHO D AND MODIFIED RATIO NAL METHOD

#### Table A-1. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

Land Use	Runoff Coefficient (C) Soil Type <sup>(1)</sup>
Residential:	
Single Family (Assumed 50% Imperviousness)	0.55
Multi-Units	0.70
Mobile Homes	0.65
Rural (lots greater than ½ acre)	0.45
Commercial <sup>(2)</sup>	
80% Impervious	0.85
Industrial <sup>(2)</sup>	
90% Impervious	0.95

#### Note:

<sup>(1)</sup> Type D soil to be used for all areas.

<sup>(2)</sup> Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.

Actual impe	rviou	sness	=	60%
Tabulated in Single-Famil	1	viousness (For	=	50%
Revised C	=	(60/50) x 0.55	=	0.66

The values in Table A–1 are typical for urban areas. However, if the basin contains rural or agricultural land use, parks, golf courses, or other types of nonurban land use that are expected to be permanent, the appropriate value should be selected based upon the soil and cover and approved by the City.

Basin	Pre-A	Pre-B	Post-A	Post-B	
Impervious Area (SF)	1,565	2,475	3,235	2,600	
Total Basin Area (SF)	5,615	3,415	5,045	3,985	
Actual imperviousness <b>(AI) =</b> Imp. Area/Total Area	28%	72%	64%	65%	
Tabulated imperviousness =	50%	50%	50%	50%	
For Single-Unit: Revised C = (AI/50) x 0.55, 0.50 Minimum 0.95 Maximum For Rural: C = 0.45	0.31	0.80	0.71	0.72	
Use	0.50	0.80	0.71	0.72	

#### **Runoff Factor - EPB Residence Remodel**

DRAINAGE EXHIBITS



DISCHARGE (CFS) O.15 0.14 0.29

# **LEGEND**



STUDY NODE W/ ELEVATION \_\_\_\_\_ C=0.50 0.13

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT "C" SUB-BASIN AREA (ACRE)

19) 697-9234, FAX (619) 460-2033		8348 CENTER DRIVE, SUITE G, LA MESA, CA 91942-2910 (6	SNIPES-DYE ASSOCIATES	NO. DMO432 (A-8)
	DAIE II/OI/19			
VIA APRILIA / DARRAGH RESIDENCE	WAS			
				_

# PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE EXHIBIT



DISCHARGE (CFS)

O.19

O.15

0.34

# <u>LEGEND</u>



STUDY NODE W/ ELEVATION \_\_\_\_\_ C=0.50 0.13

\_\_\_\_\_

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT "C" SUB-BASIN AREA (ACRE)

**PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS** 

#### THE PEAK 100-VEAR DISCHARGES (0)

	AN TOU-TEAR	DISCHARGES			
BASIN B: Q 100					
	<b>~</b> 1.				
<u>COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (</u>	<u></u>				
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area			
SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL	0.80	0.08	AC		
City of San Diego Drainage Desig Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for F					
C= Runoff Factor					
				= 0.8	<u>30</u>
RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):					
$\Delta E$ = Change in elevation along	g the Effective Slope			=	10.67 Feet
				_	
D= Water Course Distance				=	166 Feet
$S=Slope=(\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$				=	6.43 %
Tc = Time of Concentration				_	
$T_c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^{1/2})]/[S^{(1/2)}]$	3)] =			=	3.74 Minutes
Urban Areas Overland Time of	Flow Curves Pg. A-8				
City of San Diego- Drainage D	esign Manual 2017				
Intensity = Intensity-Duration-F	requency Curves Pg.	A-4		=	2.30 Inches/Hour
City of San Diego- Drainage D	esign Manual 2017				
<u>PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):</u>					
A = Area of the basin				=	0.08 Acres
0 - 014					0.14 ft <sup>3</sup> /sec
Q = CIA				=	0.14 IL /Sec

1

#### 

	EAK 100-TEAR	DISCHARGES			
BASIN A: Q 100					
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	<u>(C):</u>				
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area			
SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL		0.13	AC		
City of San Diego Drainage Desi Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for					
C= Runoff Factor					
			:	= <u>0.50</u>	
RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):					
$\Delta E$ = Change in elevation alor	ng the Effective Slope		:	=	9.88 Feet
				-	
D= Water Course Distance			:	=	176 Feet
S= Slope = (			:	=	5.61 %
Tc = Time of Concentration					
$T_c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^{1/2})]/[S^{1/2}]$	/3)] =		:	=	8.06 Minutes
Urban Areas Overland Time o					
City of San Diego- Drainage I	-				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0				
Intensity = Intensity-Duration-	Frequency Curves Pa.	A-4	:	_	2.30 Inches/Hour
City of San Diego- Drainage L				<u>.</u>	
PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):					
					0.40 Asre
$A = Area  ext{ of the basin}$				=	0.13 Acres
Q = CIA				=	0.15 ft <sup>3</sup> /sec

1

**POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS** 

#### THE DEAK 100 VEAD DISCHADCES (O)

	EAK 100-YEAR	DISCHARGES	· (Q)	
BASIN A: Q 100				
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	<u>(C):</u>			
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area		
SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIA		0.12	AC	
City of San Diego Drainage Des. Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for				
C= Runoff Factor				
			=	0.71
RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):				
				r
$\Delta E$ = Change in elevation alo	ng the Effective Slope		=	9.88 Feet
				·
D= Water Course Distance			=	176 Feet
$S=Slope=(\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$			=	5.61 %
Tc = Time of Concentration				
$T_c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^{1/2})]/[S^{1/2}]$	[/3)] =		=	5.24 Minutes
Urban Areas Overland Time	of Flow Curves Pg. A-8			
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017			
Intensity = Intensity-Duration	-Frequency Curves Pg.	A-4	=	2.30 Inches/Hour
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017			
<u>PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):</u>				
A = Area of the basin			=	0.12 Acres
				0.40 (*3)
Q = CIA			=	0.19 ft <sup>3</sup> /sec

1

#### THE PEAK 100-YEAR DISCHARGES (Q)

		AN TOU-TEAR	DISCHARGES	) (W)		
BASIN B: Q <sub>1</sub>	00					
COMBINED RUNO	FF COEFFICIENT (	<u>C):</u>				
	Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area			
SINGLE-F	AMILY RESIDENTIAL	0.72	0.09	AC		
		n Manual - January 2017 Rational Method. (See En				
C= Runof	f Factor					
				=	= <mark>0.72</mark>	
RAINFALL INTENS	<u>SITY (I):</u>					
$\Delta E = Char$	nge in elevation along	g the Effective Slope		=	=	10.67 Feet
					<b></b>	
D= Water	Course Distance			=	=	166 Feet
					<b>—</b>	
S= Slope	= (∆E/D) X 100%			=	=	6.43 %
	e of Concentration	0.17			<b>—</b>	
	1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^(1/:			=	= [	4.74 Minutes
		Flow Curves Pg. A-8				
City of Sa	n Diego- Drainage D	esign Manual 2017				
la ta na itu	Interneite Demotion F					0.00 laskss/llasm
-	-	requency Curves Pg.	A-4	=	=	2.30 Inches/Hour
City of Sa	n Diego- Drainage D	esign Manual 2017				
<u>PEAK DISCHARGI</u>	<u>ES (Q):</u>					
A = Area	of the basin			:	=	0.09 Acres
Q = CIA					-	0.15 ft <sup>3</sup> /sec
				•	-	0.10 11 /300

1