1	GARY WINUK, Special Counsel	
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission Murphy Austin Adams Schoenfeld LLP	· ·
3	Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: 916-446-2300	
4	Petitioner	
5		
б	BEFORE THE CI	TY OF SAN DIEGO
7	ETHICS C	OMMISSION
8		
9	In re the Matter of:) Ethics Commission Case No.: No. 2013-15) OAH Case No. 2015090579
10	ADVANTAGE TOWING COMPANY INC.,)) FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE
11	and AYMAN AREKAT,) COMPLAINT)
12	Respondents.) [SDMC § 26.0435]
13) Date: February 22-25, 2015) Time: 9:00 a.m.
14		Location: 1350 Front Street, Suite 3005
15) San Diego, CA 92101
16	Petitioner Gary Winuk, Special Counsel	to the City of San Diego Ethics Commission
17	[Ethics Commission], hereby alleges that the ab	
18	Diego Municipal Code as follows:	
19	<u>The P</u>	arties
20	1. Petitioner Gary Winuk is Special C	Counsel to the Ethics Commission and makes
21	this accusation in his official capacity. The Ethi	ics Commission is charged with a duty to
22	administer, implement, and enforce local govern	amental ethics laws contained in the San Diego
23	Municipal Code [SDMC] relating to, among oth	er things, the provisions of the City's Election
24	Campaign Control Ordinance [ECCO].	
25	2. At all times mentioned herein, Adv	antage Towing Company Inc. [Advantage
26	Towing] was a California corporation owned an	d operated by Ayman Arekat [Arekat]. Arekat
27	///	
28		4
		1- ATIVE COMPLAINT

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

is also Advantage Towing's agent for service of process. Advantage Towing and Arekat are 1 2 referred to herein as "Respondents."

3

General Allegations

4 ECCO requires City candidates to disclose contributions in the manner prescribed 3. 5 by state law to ensure that voters receive accurate information regarding the candidates' 6 financial supporters. SDMC § 27.2930. In addition, ECCO prohibits contributions from 7 organizations (other than political parties) and imposes limits on contributions to City 8 candidates in order to prevent the corruption and appearance of corruption that would result if 9 candidates for elective City office were permitted to accept large campaign contributions. 10 SDMC §§ 27.2935, 27.2950. At all times mentioned herein, the contribution limit for City 11 candidates was \$500 per election.

12 4. In order to ensure that the true sources of campaign contributions are disclosed, 13 and in order to prevent circumvention of the \$500 contribution limit, ECCO prohibits any 14 person from making a contribution in the name of another person, a practice commonly known 15 as "campaign money laundering," SDMC § 27.2943.

16 5. Respondent Arekat asked six (6) Advantage Towing employees to each make a 17 \$500 contribution to the Fletcher for Mayor 2012 committee [Fletcher Mayoral Committee] 18 with the understanding that they would be reimbursed by Respondent Advantage Towing. The 19 employees agreed to this arrangement. Each employee received \$500 from Advantage Towing 20 in exchange for making the requested contribution. The Fletcher Mayoral Committee reported 21 receiving these contributions as follows:

22	Date	<u>Contributor</u>	Amount
23	12/28/11	Seror Mikha	\$500
24	12/28/11	Mohammed Mohammed	\$500
25	12/28/11	Zyad Raheem	\$500
26	12/28/11	Husam Shuibat	\$500
27	12/29/11	Yazid Iriqat	\$500
28	12/29/11	Shaheen Shaheen	\$500
		-2-	
ļ		FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COMI	PLAINT

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

By making contributions in the names of the straw donors identified above in 1 б. paragraph 5, Respondents concealed the fact that Advantage Towing made contributions 2 3 totaling \$3,000 to a City candidate in violation of the source and amount restrictions in ECCO. 4 Respondent Arekat asked six (6) Advantage Towing employees and two (2) of the 7. employees' spouses to each make a \$500 contribution to the Bonnie Dumanis for Mayor 2012 5 committee [Dumanis Mayoral Committee] with the understanding that they would be 6 7 reimbursed by Respondent Advantage Towing. The employees and spouses agreed to this 8 arrangement. Each employee and spouse received \$500 from Advantage Towing in exchange for making the requested contribution. The Dumanis Mayoral Committee reported receiving 9 10 these contributions as follows:

11	Date	<u>Contributor</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12	01/19/12	Manal Asad	\$500
13	01/19/12	Wasan Khudhair	\$500
14	01/19/12	Mohammed Mohammed	\$500
15	01/19/12	Shaheen Shaheen	\$500
16	01/19/12	Dina Ziada	\$500
17	01/19/12	Mohammed Ziada	\$500
18	03/17/12	Yazid Iriqat	\$500
19	03/17/12	Husam Shuibat	\$500

20 By making contributions in the names of the straw donors identified above in 8. paragraph 7, Respondents concealed the fact that Advantage Towing made contributions 21 totaling \$4,000 to a City candidate in violation of the source and amount restrictions in ECCO. 22 23 Respondent Arekat asked one (1) Advantage Towing employee to make a \$500 9. contribution to the Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012 committee [DeMaio Mayoral Committee] 24 with the understanding that she would be reimbursed by Respondent Advantage Towing. The 25 employee agreed to this arrangement. The employee received \$500 from Advantage Towing 26 in exchange for making the requested contribution. The DeMaio Mayoral Committee reported' 2728 receiving this contribution as follows:

				,	
1		Date	Contributor	Amount	
2		10/05/12	Manal Asad	\$500	
3	10.	By making a	contribution in the nan	ne of the straw donor identified a	bove in
4	paragraph 9			Advantage Towing made a cont	
5			of the source restrictic		
6			Cou	<u>nts</u>	
7		Counts 1	through 15 - Violatic	ons of SDMC section 27.2943	
8	11.	Respondents	violated SDMC sectior	a 27.2943 by making six (6) cam	paign
9	contributions	s of \$500 each	in December of 2011 i	n the names of the Advantage Te	owing
10	employees ic	lentified above	e in paragraph 5 to the 1	Fletcher Mayoral Committee, wh	nile
11	concealing A	dvantage Tow	ving as the true source of	of the contributions.	
12	12.	Respondents	violated SDMC section	27.2943 by making eight (8) ca	mpaign
13	contributions	of\$500 each	between January and N	farch of 2012 in the names of th	e Advantage
14	Towing emp	loyees and the	ir spouses identified ab	ove in paragraph 7 to the Dumar	is Mayoral
15	Committee, v	vhile conceali	ng Advantage Towing	as the true source of the contribu	tions.
16	13.	Respondents	violated SDMC section	27.2943 by making one (1) cam	paign
17	contribution (of \$500 in Oct	ober of 2012 in the nar	ne of the Advantage Towing emp	ployee
18	identified abc	ove in paragra _l	oh 9 to the DeMaio Ma	yoral Committee, while conceali	ng
19	Advantage To	owing as the tr	ue source of the contri	oution.	
20		Counts 16	through 30 - Violatio	ns of SDMC section 27.2950	
21	14.	Respondents v	iolated SDMC section	27.2950 by making fifteen (15) o	campaign
22	contributions	from an organ	ization to a City candid	late, as described above in parag	raphs 5, 7,
23	and 9.				
24		Counts 3	31 and 32 - Violation o	f SDMC section 27.2935	
25	15. H	Respondents v	iolated SDMC section :	27.2935 by making six (6) contri	butions
26	totaling \$3,00	0 to the Fletch	er Mayoral Committee	, an amount far in excess of the \$	\$500
27	contribution li	mit in effect a	t the time.		
28	111				
	<u></u>		-4- FINAL ADMINISTRATI	VECOMPLAINT	

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

1	16. Respondents violated SDMC section 27.2935 by making eight (8) contributions
. 2	totaling \$4,000 to the Dumanis Mayoral Committee, an amount far in excess of the \$500
3	contribution limit in effect at the time.
4	WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays as follows:
5	1. That the Ethics Commission find that Respondents violated the San Diego
6	Municipal Code as alleged herein;
. 7	2. That the Ethics Commission order Respondents to pay a monetary penalty to the
8	General Fund of the City of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation; and
9	3. That the Ethics Commission grant such other relief as it deems just and proper.
10	
11	Dated: <u>hec</u> / 2015 CITY OF SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION
12	
13	ByGary Winuk, Special Counsel
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-5- FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

<u>AMENDED</u> <u>NOTICE OF ASSIGNED HEARING DATES</u>

Case Name: Advantage Towing Company Inc., and
Ayman ArekatOAH Case No. 2015090579Agency:Agency Case No. 2013-15

The following time(s), hearing date(s), and location(s) have been assigned to the case entitled above:

Date:	Time	Location
02/22/2016	9:00AM	OAH/SD
02/23/2015	9:00AM	OAH/SD
02/24/2016	9:00AM	OAH/SD
02/25/2016	9:00AM	OAH/SD

This case has been filed with the San Diego regional office of the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). All further communications related to this case shall reference the OAH file number and be directed to OAH, 1350 Front Street, Suite 3005, San Diego, CA 92101- Telephone No. (619) 525-4475/Facsimile No. (916) 376-6325/ Email: SanFilings@dgs.ca.gov.

OAH is dedicated to ensuring that all qualified individuals with disabilities have equal access to our facilities and legal proceedings. More information about accessibility can be found on our website at <u>www.dgs.ca.gov/oah</u>.

The agency shall serve on all parties and file with OAH the Notice of Hearing pursuant to Government Code section 11509.

This notice has been mailed, faxed, or electronically transmitted to:

Gary Winuk Murphy Austin Adams, et al. 555 Capitol Mall, #850 Sacramento, CA 94814 Stephen F. Lopez Attorney at Law 600 B Street, Ste. 2230 San Diego, CA 92101

Date: October 08, 2015

OAH - San Diego - General Jurisdiction, Office of Administrative Hearings