3Roots San Diego Project Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2018041065; Project No. 587128

Appendix A

Notices of Preparation, Scoping Meeting Transcript, and Comment Letters Received

June 2019

Appendix A.1

Notices of Preparation



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: May 4, 2018

PUBLIC NOTICE FOR PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND SCOPING MEETING

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT SAP No. 24007634

This Public Notice replaces a Public Notice for Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report and Scoping Meeting posted on April 26, 2018 for the referenced project, which has been rescinded. The City of San Diego will be recommencing the public comment period as well as rescheduling the Scoping Meeting as indicated below. Any comments submitted to date will be considered by the City.

PUBLIC NOTICE: The City of San Diego as the Lead Agency has determined that the project described below will require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This Notice of Preparation of a project EIR and Scoping Meeting was publicly noticed and distributed on May 4, 2018. This notice was published in the SAN DIEGO DAILY TRANSCRIPT and placed on the City of San Diego website at: <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/city-clerk/officialdocs/notices/index.shtml</u> under the "California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Notices & Documents" section. In addition, the Public Notice was also distributed to the Central Library as well as the Mira Mesa Branch Library.

SCOPING MEETING: A public scoping meeting will be held by the City of San Diego's Development Services Department on **May 23, 2018, beginning at 6:30 PM and running no later than 8:30 PM at the Mira Mesa Branch Library, located at 8405 New Salem Street, San Diego, CA 92126. Please note that depending on the number of attendees, the meeting could end earlier than 8:30 PM.** Verbal and written comments regarding the scope and alternatives of the proposed EIR will be accepted at the meeting.

Written comments may be sent to the following address: **E. Shearer-Nguyen, Environmental Planner, City of San Diego Development Services Department, 1222 First Avenue, MS 501, San Diego, CA 92101** or submitted via e-mail to <u>DSDEAS@sandiego.gov</u> with the Project Name and Number in the subject line within 30 days of the receipt of this notice. Responsible agencies are requested to indicate their statutory responsibilities in connection with this project when responding. An EIR incorporating public input will then be prepared and distributed for the public to review and comment.

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION:

- PROJECT NAME / NUMBER: 3 ROOTS / 587128
- COMMUNITY AREA: Mira Mesa
- COUNCIL DISTRICT: 6

DESCRIPTION: A request for a General Plan Amendment; Community Plan Amendment to Mira Mesa Community Plan; Master Plan Amendment to the Carroll Canyon Master Plan; a Rezone from AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 to RX-1-2, RM-2-6, RM-3-9, CC-2-4, OP-1-1, OR-1-1, and OC-1-1; a Reclamation Plan Amendment; Vesting Tentative Map; Master Plan Development Permit; Easement Vacations; Site Development Permit; Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to amendment CUP No. 89-0585; and a Multi-Habitat Planning Area Boundary Line Adjustment to redevelop the Hanson Aggregates site, a former aggregate mining quarry. The proposed redevelopment of the Hansen Aggregates Carroll Canyon mine would include the following: approximately 186 single-family detached units, 981 condominiums (both attached and detached), and 633 multi-family units (of which 180 would be designated affordable); approximately 160,000 combined square feet of commercial retail/office uses; and a 1.5-acre mobility hub, identified as a nexus for public and private transportation alternatives. The project would also create approximately 256 acres of open space (including approximately 178 acres of natural open space, 26 acres of landscaped slopes, 43 acres of parks and trails which includes a 25-acre public community park, and nine acres of water quality/retention basins). The project would construct the on-site extension of Carroll Canyon Road, establishing a portion of a main arterial, facilitating a future connection between Interstate 805 (I-805) and Interstate 15 (I-15) as well as internal circulation consisting of approximately 50-acres of on-site roads and parkways as well as a San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) easement for relocated facilities. The SDG&E facilities comprised of existing overhead dual-circuit and single-circuit 69kV overhead system spanning approximately 6500 feet to be relocated and partially converted underground. This conversion relocation would consist of approximately 5100 feet of overhead lines and 3300 feet of underground lines. Additionally, there is an existing dual-circuit 69kV pole with dual 12KV underbuilt that would be relocated. The approximate 413-acre project site is located east of Camino Santa Fe between Flanders Drive and Trade Street. The site is approximately three-guarters of a mile north of Miramar Road, two miles west of I-15, and two miles east of I-805. The site is designated medium residential density (15-30 du/ac) and medium-high residential density (3-44 du/ac) and zoned AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 within the Carroll Canyon Master Plan of the Mira Mesa Community Plan. Additionally, the site is within the Airport Influence Area (MCAS-Miramar / Review Area 1), Airport Noise (MCAS-Miramar / 60-65 CNEL & 65-70 CNEL), Federal Aviation Administration Part 77 Notification Area art 77 Notification Area (MCAS-Miramar / 495' AMSL), Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Transit Priority Area. (Assessor parcel number(s): 341-050-3800, 3900, 341-050-4000, 341-050-4100, 341-050-4200, 341-051-1700, 341-051-1800, and 341-060-8200). The site is not included on any Government Code listing of hazardous waste sites.

APPLICANT: Mesa Canyon Community Partners, LLC

RECOMMENDED FINDING: Pursuant to Section 15060(d) of the CEQA Guidelines, it appears that the proposed project may result in significant environmental impacts in the following areas: Land Use, Transportation/Circulation, Air Quality and Odor, Energy, Geologic Conditions, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Health and Safety, Hydrology, Historical Resources, Noise, Paleontological Resources, Public Services and Facilities, Public Utilities, Tribal Cultural Resources, Visual Effects/Neighborhood Character, Water Quality, and Cumulative Effects.

AVAILABILITY IN ALTERNATIVE FORMAT: To request this Notice or any additional information in an alternative format, call the Development Services Department at (619) 446-5460 (800) 735-2929 (TEXT TELEPHONE).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: For environmental review information, contact Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen at (619) 446-5369. The Scoping Letter and supporting documents may be reviewed, or purchased for the cost of reproduction, at the Fifth floor of the Development Services Department. For information regarding public meetings/hearings on this project, contact the Project Manager, William Zounes at (619) 687-5942. This notice was published in the SAN DIEGO DAILY TRANSCRIPT and distributed on May 4, 2018.

ATTACHMENTS: Figure 1: Regional Map Figure 2: Vicinity Map Figure 3: Site Plan



F

Regional Location



E





3Roots San Diego

Proposed Site Plan



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: April 26, 2018

PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE PREPARATION OF A ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND SCOPING MEETING

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT SAP No. 24007634

PUBLIC NOTICE: The City of San Diego as the Lead Agency has determined that the project described below will require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This Notice of Preparation of a project EIR and Scoping Meeting was publicly noticed and distributed on April 26, 2018. This notice was published in the SAN DIEGO DAILY TRANSCRIPT and placed on the City of San Diego website at: <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/city-clerk/officialdocs/notices/index.shtml</u> under the "California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Notices & Documents" section. In addition, the Public Notice was also distributed to the Central Library as well as the Mira Mesa Branch Library.

SCOPING MEETING: A public scoping meeting will be held by the City of San Diego's Development Services Department on **May 15, 2018, beginning at 6:30 PM and running no later than 8:30 PM at the Mira Mesa Branch Library, located at 8405 New Salem Street, San Diego, CA 92126. Please note that depending on the number of attendees, the meeting could end earlier than 8:30 PM.** Verbal and written comments regarding the scope and alternatives of the proposed EIR will be accepted at the meeting.

Written comments may be sent to the following address: **E. Shearer-Nguyen, Environmental Planner, City of San Diego Development Services Department, 1222 First Avenue, MS 501, San Diego, CA 92101** or submitted via e-mail to <u>DSDEAS@sandiego.gov</u> with the Project Name and Number in the subject line within 30 days of the receipt of this notice. Responsible agencies are requested to indicate their statutory responsibilities in connection with this project when responding. An EIR incorporating public input will then be prepared and distributed for the public to review and comment.

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION:

- PROJECT NAME / NUMBER: 3 ROOTS/ 587128
- COMMUNITY AREA: Mira Mesa
- COUNCIL DISTRICT: 6

Description: A request for a General Plan Amendment; Community Plan Amendment to Mira Mesa Community Plan; Specific Plan Amendment to the Carroll Canyon Master Plan; a Rezone from AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 to RX-1-2, RM-2-6, RM-3-9, CC-2-4, OP-1-1, OR-1-1, and OC-1-1; a Reclamation Plan Amendment; Vesting Tentative Map; Master Plan Development Permit (MPDP); Site Development Permit (SDP); Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to amendment CUP No. 89-0585; a Multi-Habitat Planning Area Boundary Line Adjustment to redevelop the former Hanson Aggregates, a former aggregate mining quarry. The project proposes the redevelopment of the Hansen Aggregates Carroll

Canyon mine. The project would include the following: approximately 186 single-family detached units, 981 condominiums (both attached and detached), and 633 multi-family units (of which 180 would be designated affordable); approximately 160,000 combined square feet of commercial retail/office uses; and a 1.5-acre mobility hub, identified as a nexus for public and private transportation alternatives. The project would also create approximately 256 acres of open space (including approximately 178 acres of natural open space, 26 acres of landscaped slopes, 43 acres of parks and trails which includes a 25-acre public community park, and 9 acres of water quality/retention basins). The project would construct the on-site extension of Carroll Canyon Road, establishing a portion of a main arterial, facilitating a future connection between Interstate 805 (I-805) and Interstate 15 (I-15) as well as internal circulation consisting of approximately 50-acres of on-site roads and parkways as well as a San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) easement for relocated facilities. The SDG&E facilities comprised of existing overhead dual-circuit and single-circuit 69kV overhead system spanning approximately 6500 feet to be relocated and partially converted underground. This conversion relocation would consist of approximately 5100 feet of overhead lines and 3300 feet of underground lines. Additionally, there is an existing dual-circuit 69kV pole with dual 12KV underbuilt that would be relocated. The approximate 413-acre project site is located east of Camino Santa Fe between Flanders Drive and Trade Street. The site is approximately three-quarters of a mile north of Miramar Road, two miles west of I-15, and two miles east of I-805. The site is designated medium residential density (15-30 du/ac) and medium-high residential density (3-44 du/ac) and zoned AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 within the Carroll Canyon Master Plan of the Mira Mesa Community Plan. Additionally, the site is within the Airport Influence Area (MCAS-Miramar / Review Area 1), Airport Noise (MCAS-Miramar / 60-65 CNEL & 65-70 CNEL), Federal Aviation Administration Part 77 Notification Area art 77 Notification Area (MCAS-Miramar / 495' AMSL), Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Transit Priority Area. (Assessor parcel number(s): 341-050-3800, 3900, 341-050-4000, 341-050-4100, 341-050-4200, 341-051-1700, 341-051-1800, and 341-060-8200). The site is not included on any Government Code listing of hazardous waste sites.

APPLICANT: Mesa Canyon Community Partners, LLC

RECOMMENDED FINDING: Pursuant to Section 15060(d) of the CEQA Guidelines, it appears that the proposed project may result in significant environmental impacts in the following areas: Land Use, Transportation/Circulation, Air Quality and Odor, Energy, Geologic Conditions, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Health and Safety, Hydrology, Historical Resources, Noise, Paleontological Resources, Public Services and Facilities, Public Utilities, Tribal Cultural Resources, Visual Effects/Neighborhood Character, Water Quality, and Cumulative Effects.

AVAILABILITY IN ALTERNATIVE FORMAT: To request the this Notice or the City's Scoping Letter to the applicant detailing the required scope of work in alternative format, call the Development Services Department at (619) 446-5460 (800) 735-2929 (TEXT TELEPHONE).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: For environmental review information, contact Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen at (619) 446-5369. The Scoping Letter and supporting documents may be reviewed, or purchased for the cost of reproduction, at the Fifth floor of the Development Services Department. For information regarding public meetings/hearings on this project, contact the Project Manager, William Zounes at (619) 687-5942. This notice was published in the SAN DIEGO DAILY TRANSCRIPT and distributed on April 26, 2018.

ATTACHMENTS: Figure 1: Regional Map Figure 2: Vicinity Map Figure 3: Site Plan



F

Regional Location



E





3Roots San Diego

Proposed Site Plan

Appendix A.2

Scoping Meeting Transcript

1	CERT	IFIED
2	TRANS	
3	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND SCOPING	MEETING
4	3 ROOTS, PROJECT NUMBER 587128	
5		•
6		
7		
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
9	San Diego, California	
10	Wednesday, May 23, 2018	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	·	
17	· · ·	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Reported by:	
	LISA ANDREASEN	
23	CSR No. 9584	
24	Job No. 2925577	
25	PAGES 1 - 11	
		Page 1

866 299-5127

San Diego, California, Wednesday, May 23, 2018 6:41 P.M.

MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Good evening and thank you for attending and welcome to the scoping meeting for the environment impact report for the 3 Roots project. I'm Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen. I'm the environmental analyst for the project, and I'm with the City of San Diego's Development Services Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 This meeting is referred to as a scoping 12 meeting, and the purpose is to give the public and 13 interested parties an opportunity to submit comments regarding the potential environmental impacts of the 14 15 proposed project. The information gathered tonight will be used to guide the scope and content of the 16 17 Environmental Impact Report. We are not here to 18 respond to questions about the project but to gather 19 input from the public on the issues you believe should 20 be analyzed in the EIR.

21 Comments may be provided verbally or in 22 writing. In order to facilitate written comments, 23 there are comment forms provided. Please ensure you 24 include your name, address, email, and ensure that it 25 is legible so I can send it to the right individuals.

Page 2

Additionally, comments can be emailed to the address indicated on the scoping meeting notice.

1

2

3 As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the 4 5 project's Environmental Impact Report. The 6 environmental review staff is required by the 7 California Environmental Quality Act as well as the 8 City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the 9 decision-makers with independently prepared 10 environmental documents which disclose impacts to the 11 physical environment. Environmental documents are 12 prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires 13 analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be 14 15 avoided or significantly reduced.

16 The environmental document itself does not 17 recommend approval or denial of the project, but 18 rather this information is utilized by the City's 19 decision-makers as part of the deliberating process in 20 approving or denying a project.

A few comments about how the meeting will be conducted. First a brief description of the project provided by Allegra Parisi will take place, and then we will open the meeting to public comment. The meeting is designed to get as much public input as

Page 3

1 possible on areas that need to be addressed in the EIR 2 in the time allocated for this meeting. Your verbal 3 comments will be recorded, and, therefore, each 4 speaker is asked to introduce themselves and complete 5 their comments within the time allotted. Please 6 refrain from trying to conduct debates on the merits 7 of the project at this meeting, for that is not the 8 purpose tonight's gathering. I must emphasize that 9 the focus of the comments must stay on the 10 environmental impacts you believe need to be 11 thoroughly analyzed in the project's environmental document. 12

13 Thank you in advance for your patience. We14 will now begin. Allegra.

15 MS. PARISI: Good evening. My name is 16 Allegra Parisi, and I represent Mesa Canyon Community 17 Partners. The site in question that we will be 18 discussing tonight sits on the former Hanson Aggregate 19 land mine, about a 412-acre parcel located east of 20 Camino Santa Fe in between Mira Mesa Boulevard and 21 Miramar Road. The project fits the mining site, which 22 finished its mining activities in March of 2016. 23 Since then reclamation obligations have begun, meaning 24 the site is restoring any impacts that were brought 25 forth with the mining activity.

Page 4

Hanson Aggregates transferred the parcel to Mesa Canyon Community Partners in December of last year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial.

11 This site was currently conceived in 1994 as 12 part of a master plan. The master plan is called 13 Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within 14 the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 15 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the 16 technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of 17 residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre 18 industrial park and 20 acres of public park.

When we started to analyze the best uses for this site, we kept the 1800 units and -- we maintained the 1800 units, and we looked at the -- we maintained the 1800 units and continued Carroll Canyon Road throughout the site. But what we did decide to do is dedicated 25 acres to a public park land. The park that we're talking about will be Mira Mesa's third

Page 5

community park. And so how our plan currently lays out it's rated medium-low residential density, medium-high residential density and a 40-acre community collective core, which will include apartment residential units as well as 160,000 square feet of retail use.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 With the project will be the construction of 8 Carroll Canyon Road through the site and will connect 9 to the existing built section of Carroll Canyon Road 10 to the east and connect to Camino Santa Fe at 2,000 11 linear feet west of Camino Santa Fe. So that would be 12 the major road network that will be coming through the 13 site, and I believe there would be more (inaudible) on 14 the community.

15 Also as part of the land use plan is a 16 concept called a mobility hub. It's one and a half 17 acres dedicated to public and private partnerships in 18 the future of mobility and transportation. So our 19 site is currently 412 acres. The map that you're 20 looking at represents 60 percent open space. The 21 project will also include the restoration of Carroll 22 Canyon Creek. It was a natural common watershed for 23 Carroll Canyon Creek that passed through the site. It 24 was disrupted during mining activity, and we will 25 restore it to its natural condition. We will have a

Page 6

protected section. We will have an enhanced section, and then we will have a rerouted section. That kind of covers the main components of the project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

14

16

25

MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Great. So T have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself.

7 MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member 9 of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have 10 a concern that was called to my attention by one of 11 the candidates for District 6 city council in the 12 future. It has to do with animal corridors in this 13 area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's 15 different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community.

17 So my question is: Will there be access that 18 will extend from the site that is the Fenton -- or I'm 19 sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually 20 enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the 21 way, say, up north to Rattlesnake Canyon or to the 22 west so that ultimately they would make it down 23 underneath I-805. That's the question to be answered 24 in the EIR.

> MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Perfect. Thank you.

> > Page 7

Robert Miyon?

1

2 MR. MIYON: I'm also from the community 3 planning group. We are looking to see the highway road go through, and we want it to be completed before 4 5 any major construction. And then we have the part 6 going through the cemetery, which is going to be a 7 problem as we see forward. And so the tie in on the 8 creek with the rumble and everything up Camino Ruiz 9 and the other property up north. You know, Black Mountain Road south we've got a set of plans, but we 10 11 need to get together and talk about setting a time. 12 And we did put -- the bridge was put in at Carroll Canyon -- Rattlesnake Creek. We put the culverts in 13 so they would have adequate control and move to the 14 15 right. We've got to think about that.

MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Great. Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to speak?

18 MR. JACKSON: Let me make one other comment19 if I might.

20 MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Sure. Could you state 21 your name again, please.

22 MR. JACKSON: Craig Jackson. The extension 23 of Carroll Canyon Road to 805, there is an extension 24 of it that has been recently completed to the 25 interchange there that enables both northbound,

Page 8

1 southbound and to the industrial sections for traffic, 2 and the traffic is extensive during the coming-to-work and leaving-work hours. Traffic is also extremely 3 heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, 4 5 westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 6 7 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the 8 environmental impact. Because if this is not 9 completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of 10 the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar 11 12 Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard.

13 MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other 14 individuals who would like to provide some verbal 15 comments? Great. This closes the public 16 environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. 17 Your input will be considered by City staff in the 18 scope of the EIR and included as part of the official 19 record for the document. The information and/or 20 comments submitted will become part of the 21 administrative record and included in the 22 environmental document.

23 Speakers and commenters who provided contact 24 information during this entire process, not just 25 tonight, will also be placed on an interested parties

Page 9

1	list for both the environmental document and any other
2	proceedings related to the project. Therefore, please
3	ensure that you have provided your address and your
4	email. I would also like to remind everyone this is
5	just the start of the environmental review process.
6	There will be other opportunities to provide comments
7	on the environmental document and the project such as
8	during the community group meetings, public review of
9	the draft environmental document, as well as any
10	public hearings held for the project. Thank you and
11	have a great evening.
12	
13	(TIME NOTED: 6:53 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 10

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 3 certify: 4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 6 testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of 7 the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand 8 which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; 9 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the 10 11 testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 15 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of 18 any attorney or any party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: May 24, 2018 22 23 isa andreason 24 LISA ANDREASEN 25 CSR No. 9584, RPR Page 11 Veritext Legal Solutions

866 299-5127



[1 - continued]

1	a	apartment 6:5	certified 11:1
1 1:25 5:15	absolutely 9:7	approval 3:17	certify 11:3,16
1 1.25 5.15 11 1:25	access 7:14,15,17	approving 3:20	challenge 7:8
160,000 6:5	accident 9:10	area 7:13	city 2:9 5:3 7:11
1800 5:16,20,21,22	acre 4:19 5:17 6:3	areas 4:1	9:17
1994 5:11,15	acres 5:18,24 6:17	asked 4:4	city's 3:8,18
	6:19	attending 2:5	closes 9:15
2	act 3:7	attention 7:10	code 3:8
2,000 6:10	action 11:17,18	attorney 11:18	collective 6:4
20 5:18	active 5:7	avoided 3:15	coming 6:12 9:2
2007 5:9	activities 4:22	b	comment 2:23
2016 4:22	activity 4:25 6:24	begun 4:23	3:24 8:18
2018 1:10 2:1	addition 5:8	believe 2:19 4:10	commenters 9:23
11:21	additionally 3:1	6:13	comments 2:13,21
23 1:10 2:1	address 2:24 3:1	best 5:19	2:22 3:1,21 4:3,5
24 11:21	10:3	black 8:9	4:9 9:15,20 10:6
25 5:24	addressed 4:1	boulevard 4:20	common 6:22
2925577 1:24	adequate 8:14	9:4,12	community 4:16
3	administered 11:7	bridge 8:12	5:2,14 6:1,4,14
3 1:4 2:6 9:16	administrative	brief 3:22	7:9,16 8:2 10:8
4	9:21	brought 4:24	complete 4:4
40 6:3	advance 4:13	built 5:9,15 6:9	completed 8:4,24
40 0.5 412 4:19 6:19	affiliated 5:5	business 5:10	9:9
	aggregate 4:18	с	completion 9:5
5	aggregates 5:1		11:14
52 5:17	allegra 3:23 4:14	california 1:9 2:1	components 7:3
587128 1:4	4:16	3:7 11:2	conceived 5:11,14
6	allocated 4:2	called 5:12 6:16	5:16
6 7:11	allotted 4:5	7:10	concept 6:16
60 6:20	analysis 3:13	camino 4:20 6:10	concern 7:10
600,000 5:10	analyst 2:8	6:11 8:8 9:6	condition 6:25
6:41 2:2	analyze 5:19	candidates 7:11	conduct 4:6
6:53 10:13	analyzed 2:20	canyon 4:16 5:2 5:13,22 6:8,9,22	conducted 3:22
8	4:11	6:23 7:21 8:13,23	connect 6:8,10
	andreasen 1:22	carroll 5:13,22 6:8	considered 9:17
805 7:23 8:23 9:6	11:24	6:9,21,23 8:12,23	construction 6:7
9	animal 7:12	case 11:14	8:5
9584 1:23 11:25	animals 7:20	case 11.14 cemetery 8:6	contact 9:23
	annoying 9:10	ceqa 3:12,12	content 2:16
	answered 7:23	vya 5.12,12	continued 5:22
		l	L

Page 1

.

[control - kind]

control 8:14	district 7:11	extensive 9:2	held 10:10
core 6:4	document 3:16	extremely 9:3	high 6:3
corporate 5:5	4:12 9:19,22 10:1	f	highway 8:3
corridors 7:12	10:7,9		hours 9:3
council 7:11	documents 3:10	facilitate 2:22	houses 5:9
covers 7:3	3:11 5:4	fe 4:20 6:10,11 9:6	hub 6:16
coyotes 7:20	draft 10:9	federal 11:13	
craig 7:5,8 8:22		feet 5:10 6:6,11	i
creek 6:22,23 8:8	e	fenton 5:9 7:18	identify 3:14
8:13	east 4:19 5:7 6:10	financially 11:16	impact 1:3 2:6,17
csr 1:23 11:25	7:19 9:11	finished 4:22	3:5 9:8
culverts 8:13	eastbound 9:4	first 3:22 7:8	impacts 2:14 3:10
currently 5:11 6:1	eir 2:20 4:1 5:5	fits 4:21	3:13,14 4:10,24
6:19	7:24 9:18	focus 4:9	inaudible 6:13
d	elizabeth 2:7	foregoing 11:4,6	include 2:24 6:4
	email 2:24 10:4	11:10,12	6:21
date 11:19	emailed 3:1	former 4:18	included 9:18,21
dated 11:21	embedded 5:13	forms 2:23	incorporated 9:7
debates 4:6	emphasize 4:8	forth 4:25 11:5	independently 3:9
december 5:2	employee 11:17	forward 8:7	indicated 3:2
decide 5:23	enable 7:20	further 11:12,16	individuals 2:25
decision 3:9,19	enables 8:25	future 6:18 7:12	8:17 9:14
dedicated 5:24	encouraging 9:10	g	industrial 5:10,18
6:17	enhanced 7:1	gather 2:18 3:4	9:1
deer 7:20	ensure 2:23,24	gathered 2:15	information 2:15
deliberating 3:19	10:3	gathering 4:8	3:18 9:19,24
denial 3:17	entire 9:24	give 2:12	input 2:19 3:4,25
density 6:2,3	environment 2:6	given 11:11	9:17
denying 3:20	3:11	go 8:4	interchange 8:25
department 2:10	environmental 1:3	going 8:6,6	interested 2:13
deposition 11:13	2:8,14,17 3:5,6,7	good 2:4 4:15	9:25 11:17
description 3:22	3:10,11,13,16 4:10	great 7:4,5 8:16	introduce 4:4 7:6
designed 3:25	4:11 9:8,16,22	9:15 10:11	issues 2:19
development 2:9	10:1,5,7,9	group 7:9 8:3 10:8	i
diego 1:9 2:1	evening 2:4 4:15	guide 2:16	jackson 7:5,7,8
diego's 2:9	10:11		8:18,22,22
different 7:15	exacerbation 9:9	<u>h</u>	job 1:24
direction 11:9	existing 6:9	half 6:16	
disclose 3:10	extend 7:18	hanson 4:18 5:1	<u>k</u>
discussing 4:18	extension 8:22,23	hearings 10:10	kept 5:20
disrupted 6:24	9:6	heavy 9:4	kind 7:2

[know - refrain]

know 8:9	mining 4:21,22,25	original 11:13	process 3:19 9:24
1	5:7 6:24	р	10:5
land 4:19 5:24	mira 4:20 5:14,25	p.m. 2:2 10:13	project 1:4 2:7,8
6:15	7:9,16 9:4,12	pages 1:25	2:15,18 3:17,20,22
launching 5:3	miramar 4:21	parcel 4:19 5:1	4:7,21 6:7,21 7:3
lays 6:1	9:11	parisi 3:23 4:15,16	9:16 10:2,7,10
leaving 9:3	miyon 8:1,2	park 5:9,16,18,18	project's 3:5,13
legible 2:25	mobility 6:16,18	5:24,24 6:1	4:11
linear 6:11	morning 9:5	part 3:19 5:12	property 8:9
lisa 1:22 11:24	mountain 8:10	6:15 8:5 9:18,20	proposed 2:15
list 10:1	move 7:20 8:14	parties 2:13 9:25	3:13
located 4:19	municipal 3:8	partners 4:17 5:2	protected 7:1
looked 5:21	n	partnerships 6:17	provide 3:8 9:14
looking 6:20 8:3	name 2:24 4:15	party 11:18	10:6
lot 7:13,14	8:21 11:20	party 11.10 passed 6:23	provided 2:21,23
low 6:2	natural 6:22,25	patience 4:13	3:23 9:23 10:3
m	need 4:1,10 8:11	percent 6:20	public 2:12,19 3:8
	needs 9:7	perfect 7:25	3:24,25 5:18,24
machine 11:8	neither 11:16	pertains 11:12	6:17 9:15 10:8,10
main 7:3	network 6:12	phase 5:15	purpose 2:12 4:8
maintained 5:20 5:21	nguyen 2:4,7 7:4	physical 3:11	put 8:12,12,13
	7:25 8:16,20 9:13	place 3:23 11:5	q
major 6:12 8:5	night 9:4	placed 9:25	quality 3:7
makers 3:9,19 map 6:19	north 7:21 8:9	plan 5:12,12,13,14	question 4:17 7:17
map 0.19 march 4:22	northbound 8:25	6:1,15	7:23
march 4.22 master 5:12,12,13	noted 10:13	planning 5:5 7:9	questions 2:18
master 5.12,12,15 meaning 4:23	notice 3:2	8:3	r
medium 6:2,3	number 1:4	plans 8:10	rated 6:2
meeting 1:3 2:5,11	0	please 2:23 4:5	rated 0.2 rattlesnake 7:21
2:12 3:2,3,21,24	oath 11:7	8:21 10:2	8:13
3:25 4:2,7 9:16	obligations 4:23	possible 4:1	real 9:11
meetings 5:3 10:8	obstructions 7:13	potential 2:14	reclamation 4:23
member 7:8	official 9:18	prepared 3:9,12	recommend 3:17
mentioned 3:3	open 3:24 6:20	preparing 3:4	record 9:19,21
merits 4:6	7:15	presenting 5:4	11:7,10
mesa 4:16,20 5:2	operation 5:8	previously 3:3	recorded 4:3
5:14 7:9,16 9:4,12	opportunities 10:6	prior 3:4 11:6	reduced 3:15
mesa's 5:25	opportunity 2:13	private 6:17	referred 2:11
mine 4:19	order 2:22 3:14	problem 8:7	refrain 4:6
		proceedings 1:8	
		10:2 11:4,6,8,14	

[regarding - year]

regarding 2.14	section 6:0 7:1 1 2	tashmalager 5.016	T
regarding 2:14 related 10:2	section 6:9 7:1,1,2 sections 9:1	technology 5:9,16 terms 5:4	V
relative 11:17	sections 9:1 see 8:3,7 9:9		verbal 4:2 9:14
remind 10:4	see 8.5,79.9 send 2:25	testifying 11:7	verbally 2:21
	services 2:9	testimony 11:11 thank 2:4 4:13 7:4	vulcan 5:7 7:19
report 1:3 2:6,17 3:5	set 8:10 11:5		W
reported 1:22		7:25 8:16 9:13 10:10	want 8:4
reporter 11:2	setting 8:11 shearer 2:4,7 7:4	think 8:15	watershed 6:22
represent 4:16	7:25 8:16,20 9:13	third 5:25	way 7:21
represents 6:20	shorthand 11:1,8		ways 3:14
requested 11:15	signature 11:24	thoroughfares 9:11	we've 5:3 8:10,15
required 3:6	significantly 3:15		wednesday 1:10
requires 3:12		thoroughly 4:11 tie 8:7	2:1
requires 5.12 rerouted 7:2	site 4:17,21,24 5:6 5:11,17,20,23 6:8	time 4:2,5 8:11	welcome 2:5
residential 5:17	6:13,19,23 7:18,19	10:13 11:5	west 5:8 6:11 7:22
6:2,3,5	sits 4:18	tonight 2:15 4:18	9:11
respond 2:18	slips 7:5	9:25	westbound 9:5
restoration 6:21	sorry 7:19	tonight's 4:8	whereof 11:19
restore 6:25	south 8:10	traffic 9:1,2,3,10	witness 11:19
restoring 4:24	south 8.10 southbound 9:1	transcribed 11:9	witnesses 11:6
retail 6:6	space 6:20 7:15	transcript 1:8	work 9:2,3
review 3:6 10:5,8	speak 8:17	11:10,13,15	writing 2:22
11:14	speaker 4:4 7:5	transferred 5:1	written 2:22
right 2:25 8:15	speakers 9:23	transportation	y
road 4:21 5:22 6:8	square 5:10 6:5	6:18	
6:9,12 8:4,10,23	staff 3:6 9:17	true 11:10	year 5:3
9:12	stand 7:7	trying 4:6	
robert 8:1	start 10:5	two 9:11	
roots 1:4 2:6 9:16	started 5:19	type 7:15	
rpr 11:25	state 8:20 11:2	u	
ruiz 8:8	stay 4:9		-
rumble 8:8	submit 2:13	ultimately 7:22	
S	submitted 9:20	underneath 7:23	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	subscribed 11:20	undersigned 11:1	
san 1:9 2:1,9	sure 8:20	units 5:16,20,21	
santa 4:20 6:10,11	t	5:22 6:5	
9:6		use 6:6,15	
scheduled 3:4	take 3:23	uses 5:10,17,19	
scope 2:16 9:18	taken 11:4	utilized 3:18	
scoping 1:3 2:5,11	talk 8:11		
3:2 9:16	talking 5:25		

1	
2	
3	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND SCOPING MEETING
4	3 ROOTS, PROJECT NUMBER 587128
5	
6	
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	San Diego, California
10	Wednesday, May 23, 2018
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Reported by:
	LISA ANDREASEN
23	CSR No. 9584
24	Job No. 2925577
25	PAGES 1 - 11
	Page 1
L	Veritext Legal Solutions

.

 ζ

____; }∮;

1

-²,⊭ ;

866 299-5127

1			
1		1	possible on areas that need to be addressed in the EIR
2	6:41 P.M.	2	in the time allocated for this meeting. Your verbal
3		3	comments will be recorded, and, therefore, each
4	core evening and mank	4	speaker is asked to introduce themselves and complete
	you for attending and welcome to the scoping meeting	5	their comments within the time allotted. Please
6	for the environment impact report for the 3 Roots	6	refrain from trying to conduct debates on the merits
	project. I'm Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen. I'm the	7	of the project at this meeting, for that is not the
8	environmental analyst for the project, and I'm with	8	purpose tonight's gathering. I must emphasize that
9	the City of San Diego's Development Services	9	the focus of the comments must stay on the
10	Department.	10	environmental impacts you believe need to be
11	This meeting is referred to as a scoping	11	thoroughly analyzed in the project's environmental
12	meeting, and the purpose is to give the public and	12	document.
13	interested parties an opportunity to submit comments	13	Thank you in advance for your patience. We
14	regarding the potential environmental impacts of the	14	will now begin. Allegra.
15	proposed project. The information gathered tonight	15	MS. PARISI: Good evening. My name is
16	will be used to guide the scope and content of the	16	Allegra Parisi, and I represent Mesa Canyon Community
17	Environmental Impact Report. We are not here to		Partners. The site in question that we will be
18	respond to questions about the project but to gather		discussing tonight sits on the former Hanson Aggregate
	input from the public on the issues you believe should		land mine, about a 412-acre parcel located east of
	be analyzed in the EIR.		Camino Santa Fe in between Mira Mesa Boulevard and
21	Comments may be provided verbally or in		Miramar Road. The project fits the mining site, which
22	writing. In order to facilitate written comments,		finished its mining activities in March of 2016.
23	there are comment forms provided. Please ensure you		Since then reclamation obligations have begun, meaning
24	include your name, address, email, and ensure that it		the site is restoring any impacts that were brought
25	is legible so I can send it to the right individuals.		forth with the mining activity.
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Additionally, comments can be emailed to the address	1	Hanson Aggregates transferred the parcel to
2	indicated on the scoping meeting notice.		
	malcated on the scoping meeting notice.	2	Mesa Canyon Community Partners in December of last
3	As previously mentioned, this meeting has		Mesa Canyon Community Partners in December of last year, and we've been launching meetings with the City
3		3	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City
3 4	As previously mentioned, this meeting has	3 4	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are
3 4 5	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the	3 4 5	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City
3 4 5 6	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The	3 4 5	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site.
3 4 5 6 7	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the	3 4 5 6 7	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining
3 4 5 6 7 8	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the	3 4 5 6 7 8	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but rather this information is utilized by the City's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park. When we started to analyze the best uses for
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but rather this information is utilized by the City's decision-makers as part of the deliberating process in approving or denying a project.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park. When we started to analyze the best uses for this site, we kept the 1800 units and we maintained
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but rather this information is utilized by the City's decision-makers as part of the deliberating process in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park. When we started to analyze the best uses for this site, we kept the 1800 units and we maintained the 1800 units, and we looked at the we maintained
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but rather this information is utilized by the City's decision-makers as part of the deliberating process in approving or denying a project. A few comments about how the meeting will be conducted. First a brief description of the project	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park. When we started to analyze the best uses for this site, we kept the 1800 units and we maintained the 1800 units, and we looked at the we maintained the 1800 units and continued Carroll Canyon Road
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but rather this information is utilized by the City's decision-makers as part of the deliberating process in approving or denying a project. A few comments about how the meeting will be conducted. First a brief description of the project provided by Allegra Parisi will take place, and then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park. When we started to analyze the best uses for this site, we kept the 1800 units and we maintained the 1800 units, and we looked at the we maintained the 1800 units and continued Carroll Canyon Road throughout the site. But what we did decide to do is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	As previously mentioned, this meeting has been scheduled to gather input prior to preparing the project's Environmental Impact Report. The environmental review staff is required by the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the City's Municipal Code to provide the public and the decision-makers with independently prepared environmental documents which disclose impacts to the physical environment. Environmental documents are prepared in accordance with CEQA. CEQA requires analysis of a proposed project's environmental impacts in order to identify ways that those impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced. The environmental document itself does not recommend approval or denial of the project, but rather this information is utilized by the City's decision-makers as part of the deliberating process in approving or denying a project. A few comments about how the meeting will be conducted. First a brief description of the project	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	year, and we've been launching meetings with the City in terms of presenting the documents that are affiliated with both the EIR and corporate planning on this site. To the east is the active Vulcan mining operation. In addition to us to the west is the Fenton Technology Park built in 2007 and houses 600,000 square feet of business uses and industrial. This site was currently conceived in 1994 as part of a master plan. The master plan is called Carroll Canyon Master Plan. It was embedded within the Mira Mesa Community Plan. What that conceived in 1994 was Phase 1, which is already built, the technology park, and it also conceived 1800 units of residential uses on the site, as well as a 52-acre industrial park and 20 acres of public park. When we started to analyze the best uses for this site, we kept the 1800 units and we maintained the 1800 units, and we looked at the we maintained the 1800 units and continued Carroll Canyon Road

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

(

ſ

(

(

(

(

(

C

	community park. And so how our plan currently lays	1	Robert Miyon?
1	2 out it's rated medium-low residential density,	2	MR. MIYON: I'm also from the community
	B medium-high residential density and a 40-acre	3	planning group. We are looking to see the highway
4	community collective core, which will include	4	road go through, and we want it to be completed before
	apartment residential units as well as 160,000 square	5	any major construction. And then we have the part
6	i feet of retail use.	6	going through the cemetery, which is going to be a
	With the project will be the construction of	7	problem as we see forward. And so the tie in on the
8	Carroll Canyon Road through the site and will connect	8	creek with the rumble and everything up Camino Ruiz
9	to the existing built section of Carroll Canyon Road		and the other property up north. You know, Black
10	to the east and connect to Camino Santa Fe at 2,000		Mountain Road south we've got a set of plans, but we
1	linear feet west of Camino Santa Fe. So that would be		need to get together and talk about setting a time.
	the major road network that will be coming through the		And we did put the bridge was put in at Carroll
	site, and I believe there would be more (inaudible) on		Canyon Rattlesnake Creek. We put the culverts in
	the community.	l	so they would have adequate control and move to the
15			right. We've got to think about that.
	concept called a mobility hub. It's one and a half	16	MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Great. Thank you. Any
	acres dedicated to public and private partnerships in		other individuals who would like to speak?
	the future of mobility and transportation. So our	17	MR. JACKSON: Let me make one other comment
	site is currently 412 acres. The map that you're		if I might.
			-
	looking at represents 60 percent open space. The	20	MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Sure. Could you state
	project will also include the restoration of Carroll		your name again, please.
	Canyon Creek. It was a natural common watershed for	22	MR. JACKSON: Craig Jackson. The extension
	Carroll Canyon Creek that passed through the site. It	ł	of Carroll Canyon Road to 805, there is an extension
	was disrupted during mining activity, and we will		of it that has been recently completed to the
25	restore it to its natural condition. We will have a Page 6	25	interchange there that enables both northbound,
-			Page 8
	protected section. We will have an enhanced section,		southbound and to the industrial sections for traffic,
2	and then we will have a rerouted section. That kind	2	and the traffic is extensive during the coming-to-work
3	of covers the main components of the project.	3	and leaving-work hours. Traffic is also extremely
		1	
4	MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Great. So I	4	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night,
	MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Great. So I have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great.		-
5	-	5	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night,
5	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself.	5 6	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of
5 6 7	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself.	5 6 7	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805
5 6 7 8	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is	5 6 7 8	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the
5 6 7 8 9	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member	5 6 7 8 9	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not
5 6 7 8 9 10	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have	5 6 7 8 9 10	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or comments submitted will become part of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the way, say, up north to Rattlesnake Canyon or to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or comments submitted will become part of the administrative record and included in the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the way, say, up north to Rattlesnake Canyon or to the west so that ultimately they would make it down	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or comments submitted will become part of the administrative record and included in the environmental document.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the way, say, up north to Rattlesnake Canyon or to the west so that ultimately they would make it down underneath I-805. That's the question to be answered	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or comments submitted will become part of the administrative record and included in the environmental document.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the way, say, up north to Rattlesnake Canyon or to the west so that ultimately they would make it down underneath I-805. That's the question to be answered in the EIR.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or comments submitted will become part of the administrative record and included in the environmental document. Speakers and commenters who provided contact information during this entire process, not just
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have a few speaker slips here. Craig Jackson. Great. Can you just introduce yourself. MR. JACKSON: Yes. Let me stand, which is the first challenge. I'm Craig Jackson. I'm a member of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group, and I have a concern that was called to my attention by one of the candidates for District 6 city council in the future. It has to do with animal corridors in this area. There are a lot of obstructions now, and there's a lot of access, as well; although, it's different from any of the open-space type access that we have within the Mira Mesa community. So my question is: Will there be access that will extend from the site that is the Fenton or I'm sorry, the Vulcan site to the east that would actually enable deer, coyotes, other animals to move all the way, say, up north to Rattlesnake Canyon or to the west so that ultimately they would make it down underneath I-805. That's the question to be answered	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 24 25	heavy on Mira Mesa Boulevard eastbound at night, westbound more so in the morning. The completion of at least the extension from Camino Santa Fe to 805 absolutely needs to be incorporated into the environmental impact. Because if this is not completed before, I can only see an exacerbation of the already annoying and accident-encouraging traffic on the only two real east/west thoroughfares, Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. MS. SHEARER-NGUYEN: Thank you. Any other individuals who would like to provide some verbal comments? Great. This closes the public environmental scoping meeting for the 3 Roots project. Your input will be considered by City staff in the scope of the EIR and included as part of the official record for the document. The information and/or comments submitted will become part of the administrative record and included in the environmental document.

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 3 (Pages 6 - 9)

[regarding - year]

<u> </u>			1
regarding 2:14	section 6:9 7:1,1,2	technology 5:9,16	V
related 10:2	sections 9:1	terms 5:4	verbal 4:2 9:14
relative 11:17	see 8:3,7 9:9	testifying 11:7	verbally 2:21
remind 10:4	send 2:25	testimony 11:11	vulcan 5:7 7:19
report 1:3 2:6,17	services 2:9	thank 2:4 4:13 7:4	W
3:5	set 8:10 11:5	7:25 8:16 9:13	
reported 1:22	setting 8:11	10:10	want 8:4
reporter 11:2	shearer 2:4,7 7:4	think 8:15	watershed 6:22
represent 4:16	7:25 8:16,20 9:13	third 5:25	way 7:21
represents 6:20	shorthand 11:1,8	thoroughfares	ways 3:14
requested 11:15	signature 11:24	9:11	we've 5:3 8:10,15
required 3:6	significantly 3:15	thoroughly 4:11	wednesday 1:10
requires 3:12	site 4:17,21,24 5:6	tie 8:7	2:1
rerouted 7:2	5:11,17,20,23 6:8	time 4:2,5 8:11	welcome 2:5
residential 5:17	6:13,19,23 7:18,19	10:13 11:5	west 5:8 6:11 7:22
6:2,3,5	sits 4:18	tonight 2:15 4:18	9:11
respond 2:18	slips 7:5	9:25	westbound 9:5
restoration 6:21	sorry 7:19	tonight's 4:8	whereof 11:19
restore 6:25	south 8:10	traffic 9:1,2,3,10	witness 11:19
restoring 4:24	southbound 9:1	transcribed 11:9	witnesses 11:6
retail 6:6	space 6:20 7:15	transcript 1:8	work 9:2,3
review 3:6 10:5,8	speak 8:17	11:10,13,15	writing 2:22
11:14	speaker 4:4 7:5	transferred 5:1	written 2:22
right 2:25 8:15	speakers 9:23	transportation	y
road 4:21 5:22 6:8	square 5:10 6:5	6:18	year 5:3
6:9,12 8:4,10,23	staff 3:6 9:17	true 11:10	J
9:12	stand 7:7	trying 4:6	
robert 8:1	start 10:5	two 9:11	
roots 1:4 2:6 9:16	started 5:19	type 7:15	
rpr 11:25	state 8:20 11:2	u	
ruiz 8:8	stay 4:9	ultimately 7:22	
rumble 8:8	submit 2:13	underneath 7:23	
S	submitted 9:20	undersigned 11:1	
san 1:92:1,9	subscribed 11:20	units 5:16,20,21	
santa 4:20 6:10,11	sure 8:20	5:22 6:5	
9:6	t	use 6:6,15	
scheduled 3:4	take 3:23	uses 5:10,17,19	
scope 2:16 9:18	taken 11:4	utilized 3:18	
scoping 1:3 2:5,11	talk 8:11		
3:2 9:16	talking 5:25		•
			1

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 Page 4

, (

l

(

Ç

(

(

(

Ç

C

Ç

(

[1 - continued]

			4 m 1 4 4 4
1	a	apartment 6:5	certified 11:1
1 1:25 5:15	absolutely 9:7	approval 3:17	certify 11:3,16
11 1:25	access 7:14,15,17	approving 3:20	challenge 7:8
160,000 6:5	accident 9:10	area 7:13	city 2:9 5:3 7:11
1800 5:16,20,21,22	acre 4:19 5:17 6:3	areas 4:1	9:17
1994 5:11,15	acres 5:18,24 6:17	asked 4:4	city's 3:8,18
2	6:19	attending 2:5	closes 9:15
	act 3:7	attention 7:10	code 3:8
2,000 6:10	action 11:17,18	attorney 11:18	collective 6:4
20 5:18	active 5:7	avoided 3:15	coming 6:12 9:2
2007 5:9	activities 4:22	b	comment 2:23
2016 4:22	activity 4:25 6:24	begun 4:23	3:24 8:18
2018 1:10 2:1	addition 5:8	believe 2:19 4:10	commenters 9:23
11:21	additionally 3:1	6:13	comments 2:13,21
23 1:10 2:1	address 2:24 3:1	best 5:19	2:22 3:1,21 4:3,5
24 11:21	10:3	black 8:9	4:9 9:15,20 10:6
25 5:24	addressed 4:1	boulevard 4:20	common 6:22
2925577 1:24	adequate 8:14	9:4,12	community 4:16
3	administered 11:7	bridge 8:12	5:2,14 6:1,4,14
3 1:4 2:6 9:16	administrative	brief 3:22	7:9,16 8:2 10:8
4	9:21	brought 4:24	complete 4:4
40 6:3	advance 4:13	built 5:9,15 6:9	completed 8:4,24
40 0.5 412 4:19 6:19	affiliated 5:5	business 5:10	9:9
	aggregate 4:18		completion 9:5
5	aggregates 5:1	C	11:14
52 5:17	allegra 3:23 4:14	california 1:9 2:1	components 7:3
587128 1:4	4:16	3:7 11:2	conceived 5:11,14
6	allocated 4:2	called 5:12 6:16	5:16
6 7:11	allotted 4:5	7:10	concept 6:16
60 6:20	analysis 3:13	camino 4:20 6:10	concern 7:10
600,000 5:10	analyst 2:8	6:11 8:8 9:6	condition 6:25
6:41 2:2	analyze 5:19	candidates 7:11	conduct 4:6
6:53 10:13	analyzed 2:20	canyon 4:16 5:2	conducted 3:22
	4:11	5:13,22 6:8,9,22	connect 6:8,10
8	andreasen 1:22	6:23 7:21 8:13,23	considered 9:17
805 7:23 8:23 9:6	11:24	carroll 5:13,22 6:8	construction 6:7
9	animal 7:12	6:9,21,23 8:12,23	8:5
9584 1:23 11:25	animals 7:20	case 11:14	contact 9:23
	annoying 9:10	cemetery 8:6	content 2:16
	answered 7:23	ceqa 3:12,12	continued 5:22

Page 1

[control - kind]

control 8:14	Jie4-1-4 7.11		
	district 7:11	extensive 9:2	held 10:10
core 6:4	document 3:16	extremely 9:3	high 6:3
corporate 5:5	4:12 9:19,22 10:1	f	highway 8:3
corridors 7:12	10:7,9	facilitate 2:22	hours 9:3
council 7:11	documents 3:10	fe 4:20 6:10,11 9:6	houses 5:9
covers 7:3	3:11 5:4	federal 11:13	hub 6:16
coyotes 7:20	draft 10:9	feet 5:10 6:6,11	i
craig 7:5,8 8:22	e	fenton 5:97:18	identify 3:14
creek 6:22,23 8:8	east 4:19 5:7 6:10	financially 11:16	impact 1:3 2:6,17
8:13	7:19 9:11	finished 4:22	3:5 9:8
csr 1:23 11:25	eastbound 9:4	first 3:22 7:8	impacts 2:14 3:10
culverts 8:13	eir 2:20 4:1 5:5	fits 4:21	3:13,14 4:10,24
currently 5:11 6:1	7:24 9:18	focus 4:9	inaudible 6:13
6:19	elizabeth 2:7	foregoing 11:4,6	include 2:24 6:4
d	email 2:24 10:4	11:10,12	6:21
date 11:19	emailed 3:1	former 4:18	included 9:18,21
dated 11:21	embedded 5:13	forms 2:23	incorporated 9:7
debates 4:6	emphasize 4:8	forth 4:25 11:5	independently 3:9
december 5:2	employee 11:17	forward 8:7	indicated 3:2
decide 5:23	enable 7:20	further 11:12,16	individuals 2:25
decision 3:9,19	enables 8:25	future 6:18 7:12	8:17 9:14
dedicated 5:24	encouraging 9:10	g	industrial 5:10,18
6:17	enhanced 7:1	gather 2:18 3:4	9:1
deer 7:20	ensure 2:23,24	gathered 2:15	information 2:15
deliberating 3:19	10:3	gathering 4:8	3:18 9:19,24
denial 3:17	entire 9:24	give 2:12	input 2:19 3:4,25
density 6:2,3	environment 2:6	give 2.12 given 11:11	9:17
denying 3:20	3:11	go 8:4	interchange 8:25
department 2:10	environmental 1:3	go 8.4 going 8:6,6	interested 2:13
deposition 11:13	2:8,14,17 3:5,6,7	good 2:4 4:15	9:25 11:17
description 3:22	3:10,11,13,16 4:10	great 7:4,5 8:16	introduce 4:4 7:6
designed 3:25	4:11 9:8,16,22	9:15 10:11	issues 2:19
development 2:9	10:1,5,7,9	group 7:9 8:3 10:8	i
diego 1:9 2:1	evening 2:4 4:15	guide 2:16	jackson 7.579
diego's 2:9	10:11		jackson 7:5,7,8 8:18,22,22
different 7:15	exacerbation 9:9	h	job 1:24
direction 11:9	existing 6:9	half 6:16	
disclose 3:10	extend 7:18	hanson 4:18 5:1	<u>k</u>
discussing 4:18	extension 8:22,23	hearings 10:10	kept 5:20
disrupted 6:24	9:6	heavy 9:4	kind 7:2

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 Page 2

(

(

(

(

(

Ç

Ć.

(
[know - refrain]

)(

)

know 8:9	mining 4:21,22,25	original 11:13	process 3:19 9:24
1	5:7 6:24		10:5
	mira 4:20 5:14,25	p	project 1:4 2:7,8
land 4:19 5:24	7:9,16 9:4,12	p.m. 2:2 10:13	2:15,18 3:17,20,22
6:15	miramar 4:21	pages 1:25	4:7,21 6:7,21 7:3
launching 5:3	9:11	parcel 4:19 5:1	9:16 10:2,7,10
lays 6:1	miyon 8:1,2	parisi 3:23 4:15,16	project's 3:5,13
leaving 9:3	mobility 6:16,18	park 5:9,16,18,18	4:11
legible 2:25	morning 9:5	5:24,24 6:1	property 8:9
linear 6:11	mountain 8:10	part 3:19 5:12	proposed 2:15
lisa 1:22 11:24	move 7:20 8:14	6:15 8:5 9:18,20	3:13
list 10:1	municipal 3:8	parties 2:13 9:25	protected 7:1
located 4:19		partners 4:17 5:2	provide 3:8 9:14
looked 5:21	n	partnerships 6:17	10:6
looking 6:20 8:3	name 2:24 4:15	party 11:18	provided 2:21,23
lot 7:13,14	8:21 11:20	passed 6:23	3:23 9:23 10:3
low 6:2	natural 6:22,25	patience 4:13	public 2:12,19 3:8
<u> </u>	need 4:1,10 8:11	percent 6:20	3:24,25 5:18,24
machine 11:8	needs 9:7	perfect 7:25	6:17 9:15 10:8,10
main 7:3	neither 11:16	pertains 11:12	purpose 2:12 4:8
maintained 5:20	network 6:12	phase 5:15	put 8:12,12,13
5:21	nguyen 2:4,7 7:4	physical 3:11	
major 6:12 8:5	7:25 8:16,20 9:13	place 3:23 11:5	<u>q</u>
makers 3:9,19	night 9:4	placed 9:25	quality 3:7
map 6:19	north 7:21 8:9	plan 5:12,12,13,14	question 4:17 7:17
march 4:22	northbound 8:25	6:1,15	7:23
master 5:12,12,13	noted 10:13	planning 5:5 7:9	questions 2:18
meaning 4:23	notice 3:2	8:3	r
medium 6:2,3	number 1:4	plans 8:10	rated 6:2
meeting 1:3 2:5,11	0	please 2:23 4:5	rattlesnake 7:21
2:12 3:2,3,21,24	oath 11:7	8:21 10:2	8:13
3:25 4:2,7 9:16	obligations 4:23	possible 4:1	real 9:11
meetings 5:3 10:8	obstructions 7:13	potential 2:14	reclamation 4:23
member 7:8	official 9:18	prepared 3:9,12	recommend 3:17
mentioned 3:3	open 3:24 6:20	preparing 3:4	record 9:19,21
merits 4:6	7:15	presenting 5:4	11:7,10
mesa 4:16,20 5:2	operation 5:8	previously 3:3	recorded 4:3
5:14 7:9,16 9:4,12	opportunities 10:6	prior 3:4 11:6	reduced 3:15
mesa's 5:25	opportunity 2:13	private 6:17	referred 2:11
mine 4:19	order 2:22 3:14	problem 8:7	refrain 4:6
		proceedings 1:8	
		10:2 11:4,6,8,14	

Page 3

	l list for both the environmental document and any other	
	2 proceedings related to the project. Therefore, please	
	ensure that you have provided your address and your	
4	email. I would also like to remind everyone this is	
	5 just the start of the environmental review process.	
	5 There will be other opportunities to provide comments	
	on the environmental document and the project such as	
1	during the community group meetings, public review of	
9	the draft environmental document, as well as any	
1() public hearings held for the project. Thank you and	
1	have a great evening.	
12		
13	(TIME NOTED: 6:53 p.m.)	
14	- · ·	
15		
16		
17	,	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 10	
1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand	
	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby	
	certify:	
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken	
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that	
6	any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to	
7	testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of	
	the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand	
9	which was thereafter transcribed under my direction;	
	that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the	
1	testimony given.	
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to	
4	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal	
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the	
1	transcript [] was [] was not requested.	
16	I further certify I am neither financially	
	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or any party to this action.	
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date	
	subscribed my name.	
	Dated: May 24, 2018	
21		
23		
23	the All	
	Lisa Andreasen	
25	CSR No. 9584, RPR	
	Page 11	

4 (Pages 10 - 11)

(

(

(

(

(

(

(

Ċ

(

C

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

Appendix A.3

Comment Letters Received

Shearer-Nguyen, Elizabeth

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Gower, Patrick <patrick_gower@fws.gov> Monday, June 04, 2018 12:52 PM Schlitt, Paul@Wildlife Shearer-Nguyen, Elizabeth Re: [EXTERNAL] Notice of Preparation for the 3 Roots Draft Environmental Impact Report (SCH#2018041065)

The Service has reviewed and agrees with CDFW's comments below.

Patrick Gower Fish and Wildlife Biologist Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office (760) 431-9440 ext 352

On Mon, Jun 4, 2018 at 10:20 AM, Schlitt, Paul@Wildlife <<u>Paul.Schlitt@wildlife.ca.gov</u>> wrote:

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen,

According to the recommended finding that was provided in the Public Notice for Preparation of an Environmental Impact (dated May 4, 2018), the potential for impacts to biological resources was not identified for analysis in the draft EIR (DEIR). The Wildlife Agencies (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife) met with the project applicant on May 19, 2017 to discuss steps for processing a Multi-Habitat Planning Area (MHPA) Boundary Line Adjustment (BLA), options for restoring the portion of Carrol Canyon Creek that extends through the project site, and increasing vernal pool buffers and fencing options to an existing City preserve. The meeting also included the steps for processing a wetland deviation under the City's biological superior option. The NOP does not identify whether these aforementioned issues are still part of the project proposal. If the project includes an MHPA BLA or would impact City defined wetlands (per the Environmentally Sensitive Lands regulations), then the DEIR should analyze these issues for conformance to section 5.4.2 of the Final Regional Plan for the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), Section 1.1.1 of the City's MSCP Subarea Plan, and section 143.0150(3) of the San Diego Municipal Code, respectively. Additionally, based on the proximity Carrol Canyon Creek to proposed development areas, the DEIR should include a thorough discussion on buffers provided to the creek, as well as conformance to section 143.0141 (b)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5) of the San Diego Municipal Code. Thank you for considering each of these issues.

Regards,

Paul

Paul Schlitt

Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist)

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

South Coast Region, Habitat Conservation Planning

3883 Ruffin Road

San Diego, CA 92123

Phone (858) 637-5510

Fax (858) 467-4299

Paul.Schlitt@wildlife.ca.gov

Every Californian should conserve water. Find out how at:



SaveOurWater.com · Drought.CA.gov



Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

May 4, 2018

Re:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Governor's Office of Planning and Research State Clearinghouse and Planning Unit



Director

Notice of Preparation

RECEIVED

MAY 11 2018

Development Services

To: Reviewing Agencies

3 Roots SCH# 2018041065

Attached for your review and comment is the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the 3 Roots draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Responsible agencies must transmit their comments on the scope and content of the NOP, focusing on specific information related to their own statutory responsibility, within 30 days of receipt of the NOP from the Lead Agency. This is a courtesy notice provided by the State Clearinghouse with a reminder for you to comment in a timely manner. We encourage other agencies to also respond to this notice and express their concerns early in the environmental review process.

Please direct your comments to:

Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101

with a copy to the State Clearinghouse in the Office of Planning and Research. Please refer to the SCH number noted above in all correspondence concerning this project.

If you have any questions about the environmental document review process, please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613.

Sincerely, an mpagan

Scott Morgan Director, State Clearinghouse

Attachments cc: Lead Agency

> 1400 TENTH STREET P.O. BOX 3044 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95812-3044 TEL 1-916-445-0613 FAX 1-916-558-3164 www.opr.ca.gov

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

SCH#2018041065Project Title3 RootsLead AgencySan Diego, City of

Type NOP Notice of Preparation

Description

A request for a General Plan Amendment; Community Plan Amendment to Mira Mesa Community Plan; Specific Plan Amendment to the Carroll Master Plan; a Rezone from AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 to RX-1-2, RM-2-6, RM-3-9, CC-2-4, OP-1-1, OR-1-1, and OC-1-1; a Reclamation Plan Amendment; Vesting Tentative Map; Master Plan Development Permit (MPDP); Site Development Permit (SDP); Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to amendment CUP No. 89-0585; a Multi-Habitat Planning Area Boundary Line Adjustment to redevelop the former Hanson Aggregates, a former aggregate mining quarry. The project proposes the redevelopment of the Hansen Aggregates Carroll Canyon mine. The project would include the following: Approx. 186 single family detached units, 981 condominiums (both attached and detached), and 633 multi-family units (of which 180 would be designated affordable); approx. 160,000 combined sq. ft. of commercial retail/office uses; and a 1.5-acre mobility hub, identified as a nexus for public and private transportation alternatives. The project would also create approx. 256 acres of open space (including approx. 178 acres of natural open space, 26 acres of landscaped slopes, 43 acres of parks and trails which include a 25-acre public community park, and 9 acres of water quality/retention basins). The project would construct the on-site extension of Carroll Canyon Road, establishing a portion of a main arterial, facilitating a future connection between I-805 and I-15 as well as internal circulation consisting of approx. 50-acres of on-site roads and parkways as well as a San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) easement for relocated facilities. The SDG&E facilities comprised of existing overhead dual-circuit and single-circuit 59kV overhead system spanning approx. 6500 feet to be relocated and partially converted underground. This conversion relocation would consist of approx. 5100 feet of overhead lines and 3300 feet of underground lines. Additionally, there is an existing dual-circuit 69kV pole with dual 12 KV underbuilt that would be relocated. The approx. 413-acre project site is located east of Camino Santa Fe between Flanders Dr. and Trade Street. The site is approx. three-quarters of a mile north of Miramar Road, two miles west of I-15, and two miles east of I-805. The site is designated medium residential density (15-30 du/ac) and medium-high residential density (3-44 du/ac) and zoned AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 within the Carroll Canyon Master Plan of the Mira Mesa Community Plan. Additionally, the site is within the Airport Influence Area (MCAS-Miramar / Review Area 1), Airport Noise (MCAS-Miramar / 60-65 CNEL & 65-70 CNEL), Federal Aviation Administration Part 77 Notification Area art 77 Notification Area (MCAS-Miramar / 495' AMSL), Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Transit Priority Area. (APN: 341-050-3800, 3900, 341-050-4000, 341-050-4100, 341-050, 4200, 341-051-1700, 341-051-1700, 341-051-1800, and 341-060-8200.

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

Lead Agend	cv Contact				-	
Name	Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen					
Agency	City of San Diego					
Phone	619-446-5369		Fa	~		
email			r a.	x		
Address	1222 First Avenue, MS-501				<i>.</i>	
City	San Diego		State CA	Zip	92101	
Project Loc	ation				9 - 1	
County	San Diego					
City	San Diego					
Region						
Cross Streets	Camino Santa Fe/Carroll Canyon F	Road				
Lat / Long	,					
Parcel No.						
Township	Range		Section		Base	
Proximity to	:					
Highways	I-8/I-5/SR-163/I-805/I-15					1
Airports	MCAS Miramar					
Railways					3	
Waterways	Carroll Canyon Creek					
Schools	Challenger MS					
Land Use						
Project Issues			÷			
Reviewing Agencies			5 9 0		2	
Date Received	05/04/2018 Start of Review	05/04/201	8 End of	Review	06/04/2018	

Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P. O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SCH # _____

Project Title: <u>3 Roots</u>			
Lead Agency: <u>City of San Diego</u>	Contact Person: Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen		
Mailing Address: 1222 First Avenue, MS 501	Phone: (619) 446-5369		
City: San Diego, CA Zip: 92101	County: San Diego		
Project Location: County: San Diego City/Nearest	Community: City of San Diego/Mira Mesa		
Cross Streets: Camino Santa Fe/Carroll Canyon Road			
	Zip Code: <u>92108</u> Total Acres: <u>approx. 413 acres</u>		
Assessor's Parcel No.: Section: Twp.: Ran	ge: Base		
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: <u>I-8/I-5/SR-163/I-805/I-15</u> Waterways: <u>Carroll</u>			
Airports: MCAS Miramar Railways:			
CEQA: NOP Draft EIR NEPA: Early Cons Supplement/Subsequent EIR Neg Dec (Prior SCH No.) Mit Neg Dec Other	EA Final Document		
Local Action Type:			
□ General Plan Update □ Specific Plan □ Rezone □ General Plan Amendment □ Master Plan □ Rezone □ General Plan Element □ Planned Unit Development □ Use Pee □ Community Plan □ Site Plan □ Land D □ Tentative Map, Planned Development Permit, Site Development Permit, Corr Reclamation Plan Amendment, Multi-Habitat Planning Area Boundary Line □ Development Type:	TECLEARINGHOUSE Redevelopment Coastal Permit Division (Subdivision, etc.) Other: Vesting		
Residential: Units 1 800 Acres	ilities: Type MGD		
Commercial:Sq.ft. 23,000 Acres Employees Mining: Industrial: Sq.ft Acres Employees Mining: Educational	Mineral		
Waste Trea	atment: Type MCD		
Other: 1.5-acre mobility hub, 178 acres of open space, 43 acres of parks and trails	s, 25-acre community-park		
Project Issues Discussed in Document:			
Aesthetic/Visual Fiscal Recreation/Park Agricultural Land Flood Plain/Flooding Schools/Universion Air Quality Forest Land/Fire Hazard Septic Systems Archeological/Historical Geologic/Seismic Sewer Capacity	sities Water Quality Water Supply/Groundwater Wetland/Riparian mpaction/Grading Wildlife Growth Inducing		
Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation: See attached Revised Pul	blic Notice / Project Description		

Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary) See attached Revised Public Notice / Project Description





Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

Re:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Governor's Office of Planning and Research State Clearinghouse and Planning Unit



Ken Alex Director

Notice of Preparation

April 27, 2018

RECEIVED

APR 30 2018

Development Services

To: Reviewing Agencies

3 Roots SCH# 2018041065

Attached for your review and comment is the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the 3 Roots draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Responsible agencies must transmit their comments on the scope and content of the NOP, focusing on specific information related to their own statutory responsibility, within 30 days of receipt of the NOP from the Lead Agency. This is a courtesy notice provided by the State Clearinghouse with a reminder for you to comment in a timely manner. We encourage other agencies to also respond to this notice and express their concerns early in the environmental review process.

Please direct your comments to:

Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101

with a copy to the State Clearinghouse in the Office of Planning and Research. Please refer to the SCH number noted above in all correspondence concerning this project.

If you have any questions about the environmental document review process, please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613.

Sincerely -m/gan

Scott Morgan Director, State Clearinghouse

Attachments cc: Lead Agency

> 1400 TENTH STREET P.O. BOX 3044 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95812-3044 TEL 1-916-445-0613 FAX 1-916-558-3164 www.opr.ca.gov

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

SCH#	2018041065
Project Title	3 Roots
Lead Agency	San Diego, City of

Type Description

NOP Notice of Preparation

A request for a General Plan Amendment; Community Plan Amendment to Mira Mesa Community Plan; Specific Plan Amendment to the Carroll Master Plan; a Rezone from AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 to RX-1-2, RM-2-6, RM-3-9, CC-2-4, OP-1-1, OR-1-1, and OC-1-1; a Reclamation Plan Amendment; Vesting Tentative Map; Master Plan Development Permit (MPDP); Site Development Permit (SDP); Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to amendment CUP No. 89-0585; a Multi-Habitat Planning Area Boundary Line Adjustment to redevelop the former Hanson Aggregates, a former aggregate mining quarry. The project proposes the redevelopment of the Hansen Aggregates Carroll Canyon mine. The project would include the following: Approx. 186 single family detached units, 981 condominiums (both attached and detached), and 633 multi-family units (of which 180 would be designated affordable); approx. 160,000 combined sq. ft. of commercial retail/office uses; and a 1.5-acre mobility hub, identified as a nexus for public and private transportation alternatives. The project would also create approx. 256 acres of open space (including approx. 178 acres of natural open space, 26 acres of landscaped slopes, 43 acres fo parks and trails which include a 25-acre public community park, and 9 acres of water quality/retention basins). The project would construct the on-site extension of Carroll Canyon Road, establishing a portion of a main arterial, facilitating a future connection between I-805 and I-15 as well as internal circulation consisting of approx. 50-acres of on-site roads and parkways as well as a San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) easement for relocated facilities. The SDG&E facilities comprised of existing overhead dual-circuit and single-circuit 59kV overhead system spanning approx. 6500 feet to be relocated and partially converted underground. This conversion relocation would consist of approx. 5100 feet of overhead lines and 3300 feet of underground lines. Additionally, there is an existing dual-circuit 69kV pole with dual 12 KV underbuilt that would be relocated. The approx. 413-acre project site is located east of Camino Santa Fe between Flanders Dr. and Trade Street. The site is approx. three-quarters of a mile north of Miramar Road, two miles west of I-15, and two miles east of I-805. The site is designated medium residential density (15-30 du/ac) and medium-high residential density (3-44 du/ac) and zoned AR-1-1 & IL-2-1 within the Carroll Canyon Master Plan of the Mira Mesa Community Plan. Additionally, the site is within the Airport Influence Area (MCAS-Miramar / Review Area 1), Airport Noise (MCAS-Miramar / 60-65 CNEL & 65-70 CNEL), Federal Aviation Administration Part 77 Notification Area art 77 Notification Area (MCAS-Miramar / 495' AMSL), Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Transit Priority Area. (APN: 341-050-3800, 3900, 341-050-4000, 341-050-4100, 341-050, 4200, 341-051-1700, 341-051-1700, 341-051-1800, and 341-060-8200.

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

Lead Agend	cy Contact	
Name	Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen	
Agency	City of San Diego	
Phone	619-446-5369	Fax
email		
Address	1222 First Avenue, MS-501	
City	San Diego	State CA Zip 92101
Project Loc	ation	2.5 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
County	San Diego	
City	San Diego	
Region		
Cross Streets	Camino Santa Fe/Carroll Canyon Road	2
Lat / Long		
Parcel No.		
Township	Range	Section Base
Railways Waterways Schools Land Use	Carroll Canyon Creek Challenger MS	
Project Issues	Aesthetic/Visual; Air Quality; Archaeologic-ł Plain/Flooding; Geologic/Seismic; Noise; Pu Water Quality; Wetland/Riparian; Wildlife; L	Historic; Biological Resources; Drainage/Absorption; Flood ublic Services; Solid Waste; Traffic/Circulation; Vegetation; anduse; Cumulative Effects; Other Issues
Reviewing Agencies	Water Resources; Department of Fish and V California; Department of Housing and Com Commission; Public Utilities Commission; C	ation; Department of Parks and Recreation; Department of Wildlife, Region 5; Office of Emergency Services, imunity Development; Native American Heritage altrans, Division of Aeronautics; California Highway Patrol; State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking rd, Region 9; San Diego River Conservancy
Date Received	Caltrans, District 11; Air Resources Board; S	State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking rd, Region 9; San Diego River Conservancy

Date Received 04/27/2018

Start of Review 04/27/2018

End of Review 05/29/2018

2018041065

Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P. O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SCH #

Project Title: <u>3 Roots</u>				
Lead Agency: <u>City of San Diego</u>	Contact Person: Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen			
Mailing Address: 1222 First Avenue, MS 501				
City: San Diego, CA Zip: 92101	County: San Diego			
	t Community: City of San Diego/Mira Mesa			
Cross Streets: Camino Santa Fe/Carroll Canyon Road	Zip Code: <u>92108</u>			
Lat. / Long.: 32.896656, -117.163413	Total Acres: approx. 413 acres			
Assessor's Parcel No.: Section: Twp.: Ran				
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: <u>I-8/I-5/SR-163/I-805/I-15</u> Waterways: <u>Carroll</u>	Canyon Creek			
Airports: MCAS Miramar Railways:	Schools: Challenger Middle School			
Document Type: CEQA: NOP Draft EIR NEPA:	NOI Other: Joint Document			
Early Cons Supplement/Subsequent EIR Neg Dec (Prior SCH No.) Mit Neg Dec Other	EA Draft ERS Office of Perting Deservicit Other FONSI APR 2.7 2018			
Local Action Type:	STATECLEARINGHOUSE			
General Plan Update Specific Plan Rezone Annexation General Plan Amendment Master Plan Prezone Redevelopment General Plan Element Planned Unit Development Use Permit Coastal Permit Community Plan Site Plan Land Division (Subdivision, etc.) Other: Vesting Tentative Map, Planned Development Permit, Site Development Permit, Conditional Use Permit, Easement Vacations, Reclamation Plan Amendment, Multi-Habitat Planning Area Boundary Line Adjustment Other: Vesting				
Development Type:				
Residential: Units 1800 Acres Water Fa Office: Sq.ft. Acres Employees Transport Commercial:Sq.ft. 160000 Acres Employees Mining: Industrial: Sq.ft. Acres Employees Power: Educational Waste Transport Recreational Hazardow	cilities: Type MGD tation: Type Mineral MW eatment: Type MGD is Waste: Type MGD 5-acre mobility hub, 178 acres of open space, 43			
Project Issues Discussed in Document:				
Aesthetic/Visual Fiscal Recreation/Par Agricultural Land Flood Plain/Flooding Schools/Unive Air Quality Forest Land/Fire Hazard Septic Systems Archeological/Historical Geologic/Seismic Sewer Capacit Biological Resources Minerals Soil Erosion/C Coastal Zone Noise Solid Waste Drainage/Absorption Population/Housing Balance Toxic/Hazardo Economic/Jobs Public Services/Facilities Traffic/Circula	ersities Water Quality s Water Supply/Groundwater y Wetland/Riparian Compaction/Grading Wildlife Growth Inducing Land Use			

Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation: See attached Public Notice / Project Description

Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary) See attached Public Notice / Project Description



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT 11, DIVISION OF PLANNING 4050 TAYLOR ST, M.S. 240 SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 PHONE (619) 688-6960 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Serious drought. Help save water!

RECEIVED

MAY 16 2018

Development Services

11-SD-805 PM 25.94 NOP SCH 2018041065

Ms. Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101

May 11, 2018

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen:

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) appreciates the opportunity to review and comment on the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the 3 Roots project, which will be located between Interstate 805 (I-805) and Interstate 15 (I-15) with the nearest major cross streets of Miramar Road and Mira Mesa Boulevard. Thank you for including Caltrans in the environmental review process for the project referenced above. The mission of Caltrans is to provide a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system to enhance California's economy and livability. To ensure a safe, efficient, and reliable transportation system, we encourage early consultation and coordination with local jurisdictions and project proponents on all land development projects. Caltrans would like to submit the following comments:

A traffic impact study (TIS) is necessary to determine this proposed project's potential near-term and long-term impacts to the State facilities – existing and proposed – and to propose appropriate mitigation measures. The data used in the TIS should not be more than 2 years old. Please also provide the complete set of electronic Synchro version 10 files.

All freeway entrance and exit ramps where a proposed project will add a significant number of peakhour trips that may cause any traffic queues to exceed storage capacities should be analyzed. If ramp metering is to occur, a ramp queue analysis for all nearby Caltrans metered on-ramps is required to identify the delay to motorists using the on-ramps and the storage necessary to accommodate the queuing. The effects of ramp metering should be analyzed in the traffic study. The ramps of most concern to Caltrans would be I-805 from Miramar Road to Mira Mesa Boulevard, and likewise for I-15 from Miramar Road to Mira Mesa Boulevard, or where significant trips are added.

Caltrans endeavors that any direct and cumulative impacts to the State Highway System be eliminated or reduced to a level of insignificance pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) standards.

Mitigation measures to State facilities should be included in TIS. Mitigation identified in the traffic study, subsequent environmental documents, and mitigation monitoring reports, should be coordinated with Caltrans to identify and implement the appropriate mitigation. This includes the actual

Ms. Shearer-Nguyen May 11, 2018 P a g e | **2**

implementation and collection of any "fair share" monies, as well as the appropriate timing of the mitigation. Mitigation improvements should be compatible with Caltrans concepts.

Mitigation measures for proposed intersection modifications are subject to the Caltrans Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) policy (Traffic Operation Policy Directive 13-02). Alternative intersection design(s) will need to be considered in accordance with the ICE policy. Please refer to the policy for more information and requirements. <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/trafficops/ice.html</u>

Any work performed within Caltrans right-of-way (R/W) will require discretionary review and approval by Caltrans and an encroachment permit will be required for any work within the Caltrans R/W prior to construction.

Additional information regarding encroachment permits may be obtained by contacting the Caltrans Permits Office at (619) 688-6158. Early coordination with Caltrans is strongly advised for all encroachment permits. If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact Trent Clark at (619) 688-3140 or by email at trent.clark@dot.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

JACOB ARMSTRONG, Chief Development Review Branch

Shearer-Nguyen, Elizabeth

From:	Schlitt, Paul@Wildlife <paul.schlitt@wildlife.ca.gov></paul.schlitt@wildlife.ca.gov>
Sent:	Monday, June 04, 2018 10:20 AM
To:	Shearer-Nguyen, Elizabeth
Cc:	Gower, Patrick
Subject:	Notice of Preparation for the 3 Roots Draft Environmental Impact Report (SCH#2018041065)
Importance:	High

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen,

According to the recommended finding that was provided in the Public Notice for Preparation of an Environmental Impact (dated May 4, 2018), the potential for impacts to biological resources was not identified for analysis in the draft EIR (DEIR). The Wildlife Agencies (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife) met with the project applicant on May 19, 2017 to discuss steps for processing a Multi-Habitat Planning Area (MHPA) Boundary Line Adjustment (BLA), options for restoring the portion of Carrol Canyon Creek that extends through the project site, and increasing vernal pool buffers and fencing options to an existing City preserve. The meeting also included the steps for processing a wetland deviation under the City's biological superior option. The NOP does not identify whether these aforementioned issues are still part of the project proposal. If the project includes an MHPA BLA or would impact City defined wetlands (per the Environmentally Sensitive Lands regulations), then the DEIR should analyze these issues for conformance to section 5.4.2 of the Final Regional Plan for the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), Section 1.1.1 of the City's MSCP Subarea Plan, and section 143.0150(3) of the San Diego Municipal Code, respectively. Additionally, based on the proximity Carrol Canyon Creek to proposed development areas, the DEIR should include a thorough discussion on buffers provided to the creek, as well as conformance to section 143.0141 (b)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5) of the San Diego Municipal Code. Thank you for considering each of these issues.

Regards,

Paul

Paul Schlitt Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist) California Department of Fish and Wildlife South Coast Region, Habitat Conservation Planning 3883 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123

Phone (858) 637-5510 Fax (858) 467-4299 Paul.Schlitt@wildlife.ca.gov

Every Californian should conserve water. Find out how at:



STATE OF CALIFORNIA NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION Cultural and Environmental Department 1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100 West Sacramento, CA 95691 Phone (916) 373-3710



May 3, 2018

Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101 MAY 1 0 2018

RECEIVED

Development Services

Also sent via e-mail: DSDEAS@sandiego.gov

RE: SCH# 2018041065, 3 Roots Project, City of San Diego; San Diego County, California

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen:

The Native American Heritage Commission has received the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for Draft Environmental Impact Report for the project referenced above. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub. Resources Code § 21000 et seq.), specifically Public Resources Code section 21084.1, states that a project that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment. (Pub. Resources Code § 21084.1; Cal. Code Regs., tit.14, § 15064.5 (b) (CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 (b)). If there is substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before a lead agency, that a project may have a significant effect on the environment, an environmental impact report (EIR) shall be prepared. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080 (d); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15064 subd. (a)(1) (CEQA Guidelines § 15064 (a)(1)). In order to determine whether a project will cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource, a lead agency will need to determine whether there are historical resources with the area of project effect (APE).

CEQA was amended significantly in 2014. Assembly Bill 52 (Gatto, Chapter 532, Statutes of 2014) (AB 52) amended CEQA to create a <u>separate category of cultural resources</u>, "tribal cultural resources" (Pub. Resources Code § 21074) and provides that a project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment (Pub. Resources Code § 21084.2). Please reference California Natural Resources Agency (2016) "Final Text for tribal cultural resources update to Appendix G: Environmental Checklist Form,"

http://resources.ca.gov/ceqa/docs/ab52/Clean-final-AB-52-App-G-text-Submitted.pdf. Public agencies shall, when feasible, avoid damaging effects to any tribal cultural resource. (Pub. Resources Code § 21084.3 (a)). AB 52 applies to any project for which a notice of preparation or a notice of negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration is filed on or after July 1, 2015. If your project involves the adoption of or amendment to a general plan or a specific plan, or the designation or proposed designation of open space, on or after March 1, 2005, it may also be subject to Senate Bill 18 (Burton, Chapter 905, Statutes of 2004) (SB 18). Both SB 18 and AB 52 have tribal consultation requirements. If your project is also subject to the federal National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq.) (NEPA), the tribal consultation requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (154 U.S.C. 300101, 36 C.F.R. § 800 et seq.) may also apply.

The NAHC recommends **lead agencies consult with all California Native American tribes** that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of your proposed project as early as possible in order to avoid inadvertent discoveries of Native American human remains and best protect tribal cultural resources. Below is a brief summary of <u>portions</u> of AB 52 and SB 18 as well as the NAHC's recommendations for conducting cultural resources assessments. **Consult your legal counsel about compliance with AB 52 and SB 18 as well as compliance with any other applicable laws**.

<u>AB 52</u>

AB 52 has added to CEQA the additional requirements listed below, along with many other requirements:

- Fourteen Day Period to Provide Notice of Completion of an Application/Decision to Undertake a Project: Within
 fourteen (14) days of determining that an application for a project is complete or of a decision by a public
 agency to undertake a project, a lead agency shall provide formal notification to a designated contact of, or
 tribal representative of, traditionally and culturally affiliated California Native American tribes that have
 requested notice, to be accomplished by at least one written notice that includes:
 - a. A brief description of the project.
 - b. The lead agency contact information.
 - c. Notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (d)).
 - d. A "California Native American tribe" is defined as a Native American tribe located in California that is on the contact list maintained by the NAHC for the purposes of Chapter 905 of Statutes of 2004 (SB 18). (Pub. Resources Code § 21073).
- 2. Begin Consultation Within 30 Days of Receiving a Tribe's Request for Consultation and Before Releasing a <u>Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or Environmental Impact Report</u>: A lead agency shall begin the consultation process within 30 days of receiving a request for consultation from a California Native American tribe that is traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the proposed project. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.1, subds. (d) and (e)) and prior to the release of a negative declaration, mitigated negative declaration or environmental impact report. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.1, subds. (d) and (e)).
 - a. For purposes of AB 52, "consultation shall have the same meaning as provided in Gov. Code § 65352.4 (SB 18). (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (b)).
- 3. <u>Mandatory Topics of Consultation If Requested by a Tribe</u>: The following topics of consultation, if a tribe requests to discuss them, are mandatory topics of consultation:
 - a. Alternatives to the project.
 - b. Recommended mitigation measures.
 - c. Significant effects. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.2 (a)).
- 4. Discretionary Topics of Consultation: The following topics are discretionary topics of consultation:
 - a. Type of environmental review necessary.
 - b. Significance of the tribal cultural resources.
 - c. Significance of the project's impacts on tribal cultural resources.
 - d. If necessary, project alternatives or appropriate measures for preservation or mitigation that the tribe may recommend to the lead agency. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.2 (a)).
- 5. <u>Confidentiality of Information Submitted by a Tribe During the Environmental Review Process</u>: With some exceptions, any information, including but not limited to, the location, description, and use of tribal cultural resources submitted by a California Native American tribe during the environmental review process shall not be included in the environmental document or otherwise disclosed by the lead agency or any other public agency to the public, consistent with Government Code sections 6254 (r) and 6254.10. Any information submitted by a California Native American tribe during the consultation or environmental review process shall be published in a confidential appendix to the environmental document unless the tribe that provided the information consents, in writing, to the disclosure of some or all of the information to the public. (Pub. Resources Code § 21082.3 (c)(1)).
- 6. <u>Discussion of Impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources in the Environmental Document</u>: If a project may have a significant impact on a tribal cultural resource, the lead agency's environmental document shall discuss both of the following:
 - a. Whether the proposed project has a significant impact on an identified tribal cultural resource.
 - b. Whether feasible alternatives or mitigation measures, including those measures that may be agreed to pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21082.3, subdivision (a), avoid or substantially lessen the impact on the identified tribal cultural resource. (Pub. Resources Code § 21082.3 (b)).

- 7. <u>Conclusion of Consultation</u>: Consultation with a tribe shall be considered concluded when either of the following occurs:
 - a. The parties agree to measures to mitigate or avoid a significant effect, if a significant effect exists, on a tribal cultural resource; or
 - **b.** A party, acting in good faith and after reasonable effort, concludes that mutual agreement cannot be reached. (Pub. Resources Code § 21080.3.2 (b)).
- 8. <u>Recommending Mitigation Measures Agreed Upon in Consultation in the Environmental Document:</u> Any mitigation measures agreed upon in the consultation conducted pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.2 shall be recommended for inclusion in the environmental document and in an adopted mitigation monitoring and reporting program, if determined to avoid or lessen the impact pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21082.3, subdivision (b), paragraph 2, and shall be fully enforceable. (Pub. Resources Code § 21082.3 (a)).
- 9. <u>Required Consideration of Feasible Mitigation</u>: If mitigation measures recommended by the staff of the lead agency as a result of the consultation process are not included in the environmental document or if there are no agreed upon mitigation measures at the conclusion of consultation, or if consultation does not occur, and if substantial evidence demonstrates that a project will cause a significant effect to a tribal cultural resource, the lead agency shall consider feasible mitigation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21084.3 (b). (Pub. Resources Code § 21082.3 (e)).
- 10. Examples of Mitigation Measures That, If Feasible, May Be Considered to Avoid or Minimize Significant Adverse Impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources:
 - a. Avoidance and preservation of the resources in place, including, but not limited to:
 - i. Planning and construction to avoid the resources and protect the cultural and natural context.
 - **ii.** Planning greenspace, parks, or other open space, to incorporate the resources with culturally appropriate protection and management criteria.
 - b. Treating the resource with culturally appropriate dignity, taking into account the tribal cultural values and meaning of the resource, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - i. Protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource.
 - ii. Protecting the traditional use of the resource.
 - iii. Protecting the confidentiality of the resource.
 - c. Permanent conservation easements or other interests in real property, with culturally appropriate management criteria for the purposes of preserving or utilizing the resources or places.
 - d. Protecting the resource. (Pub. Resource Code § 21084.3 (b)).
 - e. Please note that a federally recognized California Native American tribe or a nonfederally recognized California Native American tribe that is on the contact list maintained by the NAHC to protect a California prehistoric, archaeological, cultural, spiritual, or ceremonial place may acquire and hold conservation easements if the conservation easement is voluntarily conveyed. (Civ. Code § 815.3 (c)).
 - f. Please note that it is the policy of the state that Native American remains and associated grave artifacts shall be repatriated. (Pub. Resources Code § 5097.991).
- 11. <u>Prerequisites for Certifying an Environmental Impact Report or Adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration or Negative Declaration with a Significant Impact on an Identified Tribal Cultural Resource</u>: An environmental impact report may not be certified, nor may a mitigated negative declaration or a negative declaration be adopted unless one of the following occurs:
 - a. The consultation process between the tribes and the lead agency has occurred as provided in Public Resources Code sections 21080.3.1 and 21080.3.2 and concluded pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.2.
 - **b.** The tribe that requested consultation failed to provide comments to the lead agency or otherwise failed to engage in the consultation process.
 - c. The lead agency provided notice of the project to the tribe in compliance with Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1 (d) and the tribe failed to request consultation within 30 days. (Pub. Resources Code § 21082.3 (d)).

This process should be documented in the Cultural Resources section of your environmental document.

The NAHC's PowerPoint presentation titled, "Tribal Consultation Under AB 52: Requirements and Best Practices" may be found online at: http://nahc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/AB52TribalConsultation_CalEPAPDF.pdf

<u>SB 18</u>

SB 18 applies to local governments and requires **local governments** to contact, provide notice to, refer plans to, and consult with tribes prior to the adoption or amendment of a general plan or a specific plan, or the designation of open space. (Gov. Code § 65352.3). Local governments should consult the Governor's Office of Planning and Research's "Tribal Consultation Guidelines," which can be found online at: https://www.opr.ca.gov/docs/09_14_05_Updated_Guidelines_922.pdf

Some of SB 18's provisions include:

- <u>Tribal Consultation</u>: If a local government considers a proposal to adopt or amend a general plan or a specific plan, or to designate open space it is required to contact the appropriate tribes identified by the NAHC by requesting a "Tribal Consultation List." If a tribe, once contacted, requests consultation the local government must consult with the tribe on the plan proposal. A tribe has 90 days from the date of receipt of notification to request consultation unless a shorter timeframe has been agreed to by the tribe. (Gov. Code § 65352.3 (a)(2)).
- 2. <u>No Statutory Time Limit on SB 18 Tribal Consultation</u>. There is no statutory time limit on SB 18 tribal consultation.
- 3. <u>Confidentiality</u>: Consistent with the guidelines developed and adopted by the Office of Planning and Research pursuant to Gov. Code section 65040.2, the city or county shall protect the confidentiality of the information concerning the specific identity, location, character, and use of places, features and objects described in Public Resources Code sections 5097.9 and 5097.993 that are within the city's or county's jurisdiction. (Gov. Code § 65352.3 (b)).
- 4. Conclusion of SB 18 Tribal Consultation: Consultation should be concluded at the point in which:
 - a. The parties to the consultation come to a mutual agreement concerning the appropriate measures for preservation or mitigation; or
 - b. Either the local government or the tribe, acting in good faith and after reasonable effort, concludes that mutual agreement cannot be reached concerning the appropriate measures of preservation or mitigation. (Tribal Consultation Guidelines, Governor's Office of Planning and Research (2005) at p. 18).

Agencies should be aware that neither AB 52 nor SB 18 precludes agencies from initiating tribal consultation with tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with their jurisdictions before the timeframes provided in AB 52 and SB 18. For that reason, we urge you to continue to request Native American Tribal Contact Lists and "Sacred Lands File" searches from the NAHC. The request forms can be found online at: http://nahc.ca.gov/resources/forms/

NAHC Recommendations for Cultural Resources Assessments

To adequately assess the existence and significance of tribal cultural resources and plan for avoidance, preservation in place, or barring both, mitigation of project-related impacts to tribal cultural resources, the NAHC recommends the following actions:

- Contact the appropriate regional California Historical Research Information System (CHRIS) Center (http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1068) for an archaeological records search. The records search will determine:
 - a. If part or all of the APE has been previously surveyed for cultural resources.
 - b. If any known cultural resources have been already been recorded on or adjacent to the APE.
 - c. If the probability is low, moderate, or high that cultural resources are located in the APE.
 - d. If a survey is required to determine whether previously unrecorded cultural resources are present.
- 2. If an archaeological inventory survey is required, the final stage is the preparation of a professional report detailing the findings and recommendations of the records search and field survey.
 - a. The final report containing site forms, site significance, and mitigation measures should be submitted immediately to the planning department. All information regarding site locations, Native American human remains, and associated funerary objects should be in a separate confidential addendum and not be made available for public disclosure.

- **b.** The final written report should be submitted within 3 months after work has been completed to the appropriate regional CHRIS center.
- 3. Contact the NAHC for:
 - a. A Sacred Lands File search. Remember that tribes do not always record their sacred sites in the Sacred Lands File, nor are they required to do so. A Sacred Lands File search is not a substitute for consultation with tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the project's APE.
 - **b.** A Native American Tribal Consultation List of appropriate tribes for consultation concerning the project site and to assist in planning for avoidance, preservation in place, or, failing both, mitigation measures.
- 4. Remember that the lack of surface evidence of archaeological resources (including tribal cultural resources) does not preclude their subsurface existence.
 - a. Lead agencies should include in their mitigation and monitoring reporting program plan provisions for the identification and evaluation of inadvertently discovered archaeological resources per Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, section 15064.5(f) (CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(f)). In areas of identified archaeological sensitivity, a certified archaeologist and a culturally affiliated Native American with knowledge of cultural resources should monitor all ground-disturbing activities.
 - **b.** Lead agencies should include in their mitigation and monitoring reporting program plans provisions for the disposition of recovered cultural items that are not burial associated in consultation with culturally affiliated Native Americans.
 - c. Lead agencies should include in their mitigation and monitoring reporting program plans provisions for the treatment and disposition of inadvertently discovered Native American human remains. Health and Safety Code section 7050.5, Public Resources Code section 5097.98, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, section 15064.5, subdivisions (d) and (e) (CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5, subds. (d) and (e)) address the processes to be followed in the event of an inadvertent discovery of any Native American human remains and associated grave goods in a location other than a dedicated cemetery.

Please contact me if you need any additional information at gayle.totton@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Gayle Totton

Gayle Totton, M.A., PhD. Associate Governmental Program Analyst (916) 373-3714

cc: State Clearinghouse



401 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101-4231

(619) 699-1900 Fax (619) 699-1905 sandag.org

> MEMBER AGENCIES Cities of Carlsbad Chula Vista Coronado Del Mar El Cajon Encinitas Escondido Imperial Beach La Mesa Lemon Grove National City Oceanside Poway San Diego San Marcos Santee Solana Beach Vista and County of San Diego

ADVISORY MEMBERS Imperial County California Department of Transportation

> Metropolitan Transit System

North County Transit District

United States Department of Defense

> San Diego Unified Port District

San Diego County Water Authority

Southern California Tribal Chairmen's Association

Mexico

June 1, 2018

File Number 3300300

Ms. Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen **Development Services Department** 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen:

SUBJECT: 3 Roots (Project No. 587128) Notice of Preparation

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the City of San Diego's 3 Roots Notice of Preparation (NOP). The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) appreciates the City of San Diego's efforts to implement the policies included in San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan (Regional Plan) that emphasize the need for better land use and transportation coordination. These policies will help provide people with more travel and housing choices, protect the environment, create healthy communities, and stimulate economic growth. SANDAG comments are based on policies included in the Regional Plan and are submitted from a regional perspective.

Smart Growth

Part of this project is located in a Potential Community Center (SD-MM-8), a Smart Growth Opportunity Area (SGOA) identified on the Smart Growth Concept Map. Potential smart growth areas are locations where smart growth development could occur if local land use plans are changed to include minimum levels of planned transit service. To become an existing/planned SGOA in the future, the minimum residential target would be 20 dwelling units per acre and a high frequency local bus or streetcar/shuttle would be needed to meet the minimum transit service characteristics.

SANDAG appreciates that the City of San Diego has prioritized transit-oriented development and land use changes that support the Smart Growth Concept Map and Regional Plan. A key goal of the Regional Plan is to focus growth in SGOAs. Development in these areas supports a sustainable and healthy region, a vibrant economy, and an outstanding quality of life for all. Since Community Centers require high-frequency local transit, please continue to support the plans for Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) within the project area.

Transportation Demand Management

Transportation demand management (TDM) strategies encourage transportation alternatives to the private automobile and can help mitigate traffic impacts and parking demand within and around the development. Mobility hubs integrate TDM programs and services to improve access to high-frequency transit and expand mobility choices. We appreciate the inclusion of a proposed mobility hub within the project and encourage the incorporation of TDM strategies that support the mobility hub concept, such as:

- Provide and promote subsidized transit passes for residents and employees.
- Consider pursuing partnerships with shared mobility service providers (on-demand rideshare, microtransit, scootershare, and bikeshare providers) that can serve the mobility needs within the community and enhance connections to other major destinations like employment sites, commercial centers, and major recreational destinations. These partnerships could provide dedicated fleets for the development to help fill the mobility gap for residents and employees as the proposed BRT route is established.
- Given the proximity to the Interstate 15 (I-15) Express Lanes, promote ridesharing to residents. The SANDAG Vanpool Program provides a \$400 per month subsidy for eligible vanpools. SANDAG also provides tools and incentives for carpooling. Consider dedicated parking for carpools and vanpools at employment sites and the transit station to encourage ridesharing.
- Given the potential for BRT and the opportunity for shared parking among commercial and
 residential uses, consider unbundling parking and implementing reduced parking requirements.
 Additional parking management strategies could include priced parking, parking cash-out, and
 priority parking for shared mobility options. Also, consider maximizing parking investments
 through smart parking solutions that indicate real-time space availability, and facilitate payment
 and parking reservations.
- Neighborhood electric vehicles (NEVs), including electric microtransit, provide a zero-emissions solution for short trips around the community and connecting to transit and nearby destinations. NEVs require fast charging points to support operations. Consider incorporating a shared fleet of NEVs as a transportation solution for the development and site charging infrastructure at the mobility hub to ensure a connected charging network. This infrastructure could be leveraged to also support operations of electric, shared mobility services that require fast charging points.
- Ensure that shared mobility services have dedicated curb space near commercial areas and at the proposed mobility hub to help facilitate safe and convenient rideshare pick-up and drop-off.
- Provision of secure and convenient group bike parking facilities and amenities, such as bicycle repair stands, at destinations throughout the development and at the proposed mobility hub.
- Where feasible, identify opportunities within the proposed mobility hub to site package delivery stations and other mobile services that are not duplicative of retail offerings provided by proximate commercial uses.

- Consider providing wayfinding kiosks that provide real-time travel and trip planning information for regional transit services, shared mobility services, parking, and other available transportation options.
- Consider establishing a designated transportation coordinator to manage and monitor TDM programs for employees and residents.

The iCommute Program can assist with promoting regional TDM programs that encourage the use of transportation alternatives and reduce traffic congestion. More information on regional TDM programs is available at iCommuteSD.com. For more detailed information on mobility hub services and amenities, please refer to the Mobility Hub Features Catalog. The Catalog and additional information on the Regional Mobility Hub Implementation Strategy are available at sdforward.com/mobility-planning/regionalMobilityHub.

Active Transportation

SANDAG encourages the inclusion of the following active transportation elements in the 3 Roots NOP:

- Cul de sacs and other dead-end streets should have bike/pedestrian easements that allow nonmotorized users convenient ingress and egress to neighborhood clusters and open space areas.
- Mid-block crossings on arterial and collector roadways should be provided for the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists, and to encourage more biking and walking trips instead of driving.
- Class 4 bikeways or shared-use paths are recommended on new arterial and collector roadways. Shared-use paths should have a separate space dedicated for people to walk and to bike to alleviate potential conflicts between the two.

Other Considerations

SANDAG has a number of additional resources that can be used for additional information or clarification on topics discussed in this letter. These can be found on our website at sandag.org:

- 1. Planning and Designing for Pedestrians, Model Guidelines for the San Diego Region
- 2. Integrating Transportation Demand Management into the Planning and Development Process A Reference for Cities
- 3. Trip Generation for Smart Growth
- 4. Parking Strategies for Smart Growth
- 5. Regional Multimodal Transportation Analysis: Alternative Approaches for Preparing Multimodal Transportation Analysis in EIRs
- 6. Riding to 2050, the San Diego Regional Bike Plan

7. Designing for Smart Growth, Creating Great Places in the San Diego Region

When available, please send any additional environmental documents related to this project to:

Intergovernmental Review c/o SANDAG 401 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the City of San Diego's 3 Roots NOP. If you have any questions, please contact me at (619) 699-1943 or via email at seth.litchney@sandag.org.

Sincerely,

SETH LITCHNEY Senior Regional Planner

SLI/KHE/nye



To:

San Diego County Archaeological Society, Inc.

Environmental Review Committee

6 May 2018

RECEIVED

MAY 1 0 2018

Ms. Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen Development Services Department City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, Mail Station 501 San Diego, California 92101

Development Services

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report Number: 3 Roots Project No. 587128

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen:

Thank you for the Notice of Preparation for the subject project, received by this Society last month.

We are pleased to note the inclusion of historical resources in the list of subject areas to be addressed in the DEIR, and look forward to reviewing it during the upcoming public comment period. To that end, please include us in the distribution of the DEIR, and also provide us with a copy of the cultural resources technical report(s).

SDCAS appreciates being included in the City's environmental review process for this project.

Sincerely,

mes Wan

James W. Royle, Jr., Charperson Environmental Review Committee

cc: SDCAS President File

RINCON BAND OF LUISEÑO INDIANS Cultural Resources Department

1 W. Tribal Road · Valley Center, California 92082 · (760) 297-2330 Fax:(760) 297-2339



May 10, 2018

E. Shearer-Nguyen The City of San Diego Development Services Center 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101 RECEIVED MAY 2 9 2018 Development Services

Re: 3 Roots Project No. 587128

Dear Ms. Shearer-Nguyen:

This letter is written on behalf of the Rincon Band of Luiseño Indians. Thank you for inviting us to submit comments on the 3 Roots Project No. 587128. Rincon is submitting these comments concerning your projects potential impact on Luiseño cultural resources.

The Rincon Band has concerns for the impacts to historic and cultural resources and the finding of items of significant cultural value that could be disturbed or destroyed and are considered culturally significant to the Luiseño people. This is to inform you, your identified location is not within the Luiseño Aboriginal Territory. We recommend that you locate a tribe within the project area to receive direction on how to handle any inadvertent findings according to their customs and traditions.

If you would like information on tribes within your project area, please contact the Native American Heritage Commission and they will assist with a referral.

Thank you for the opportunity to protect and preserve our cultural assets.

Sincerely,

Destiny Colocho Director Rincon Cultural Resources Department

Tishmall Turner Vice Chairwoman

Steve Stallings Council Member Laurie E. Gonzalez Council Member Alfonso Kolb Council Member



P.O Box 908 Alpine, CA 91903 #1 Viejas Grade Road Alpine, CA 91901

May 3, 2018

Phone: 619445.3810 Fax: 619445.5337 viejas.com

RECEIVED

MAY 07 2018

Development Services

E. Shearer-Nguyen Environmental Planner City of San Diego Development Services Center 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101

RE: 3 Roots/587128

Dear Mr. Shearer-Nguyen,

In reviewing the above referenced project the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians ("Viejas") would like to comment at this time.

The project area may contain many sacred sites to the Kumeyaay people. We request that these sacred sites be avoided with adequate buffer zones.

Additionally, Viejas is requesting, as appropriate, the following:

- All NEPA/CEQA/NAGPRA laws be followed
- Immediately contact Viejas on any changes or inadvertent discoveries.

Thank you for your collaboration and support in preserving our Tribal cultural resources. I look forward to hearing from you. Please call me at 619-659-2312 or Ernest Pingleton at 619-659-2314, or email, <u>rteran@viejas-nsn.gov</u> or <u>epingleton@viejas-nsn.gov</u>, for scheduling. Thank you.

Sincerely,

4

Ray Teran, Resource Management VIEJAS BAND OF KUMEYAAY INDIANS

Shearer-Nguyen, Elizabeth

From: Sent: To: Subject: Christiana Donovan <christianadonovan@gmail.com> Sunday, June 03, 2018 12:35 PM DSD EAS 3 ROOTS / 587128

Hi There,

I would like to know what the environmental impact is going to be on the wildlife in the area, how building in this area will affect the water health of the creek. I would also like to know what the impact of traffic will be in this heavily congested area of Mira Mesa.

Many Thanks,

Christiana



San Diego Yogini Christiana Donovan, Yoga Teacher & Reiki Master

858-245-8983 | christianadonovan@gmail.com | www.sandiegoyogini.com

Reiki, Yoga, Meditation and Essential Oils Private and Group Classes

From:	Joe Crowfoot
To:	DSD EAS
Subject:	3 ROOTS / 587128
Date:	Tuesday, June 12, 2018 11:05:48 AM

Hello,

My question about the scope of the 3 roots development -

Will Parkdale AVE be extended/used as a part of the development?

Thank you, Joe

Shearer-Nguyen, Elizabeth

 From:
 johnpollard@san.rr.com

 Sent:
 Monday, June 11, 2018 12:12 PM

 To:
 DSD EAS

 Subject:
 3 ROOTS / 587128

(this was also emailed to info@3rootssd.com a few days ago)

Seed park missing amenities (more acres ideas)

Hi, overall the plan looks good, but lacks space for many park amenities. Both Community parks in Mira Mesa are around 30 acres, not 25 acres. Hourglass I think serves as a good model. It has about 25 acres of fields, but it also has 5 acres for 8 tennis courts, a pool, a rec center, and admin/storage building. Seed Park could be expanded to include these amenities. Here are some videos demonstrating some tweaks to 3roots to accommodate more park space.

Potential boundary https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wGLG1723QNI

Hanson proposal with possible slight modifications https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tz4-iMksT30

Hanson/Vulcan overview ideas (each with a community park) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qSQsH-3BYIg

Carroll Canyon residents would be happier if they could just walk to these amenities and not overcrowd the ones up north. Soon there will be 100,000 folks in Mira Mesa, so these amenities would be very much needed!

Thanks for your time and consideration, John



1 510.836 4200 F 510.836.4205

410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, Ca 94607

www.lozeaudrury.com richard@lozeaudrury.com

Via Email and U.S. Mail

May 3, 2018

City of San Diego Planning Commission 1222 First Ave., Fifth Floor San Diego, CA 92101 planningcommission@sandiego.gov

Carmina Trajano. Recording Secretary Planning Commission City of San Diego 202 C Street San Diego, CA 92101 CTrajano@sandiego.gov Office of the City Clerk City of San Diego 202 C Street San Diego, CA 92101 cityclerk@sandiego.gov

Re: CEQA and Land Use Notice Request for the 3Roots San Diego Project

Dear Planning Commission, City Clerk and Ms. Trajano:

I am writing on behalf of the Laborers International Union of North America, Local Union 89 and its members living in the City of San Diego ("LIUNA") regarding the 3Roots San Diego Project, including all actions referring or related to the proposed development of a master-planned community with 1,800 residential units, 120,000 square feet of retail space, 20,000 square feet of office space, and a 23-acre community park located on the east side of Camino Santa Fe, approximately midway between Mira Mesa Boulevard and Miramar Road, in the City of San Diego ("Project").

We hereby request that the City of San Diego ("City") send by electronic mail, if possible or U.S. Mail to our firm at the address below notice of any and all actions or hearings related to activities undertaken, authorized, approved, permitted, licensed, or certified by the County and any of its subdivisions, and/or supported, in whole or in part, through contracts, grants, subsidies, loans or other forms of assistance from the County, including, but not limited to the following:

- Notice of any public hearing in connection with the Project as required by California Planning and Zoning Law pursuant to Government Code Section 65091.
- Any and all notices prepared for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), including, but not limited to:
 - Notices of any public hearing held pursuant to CEQA.

May 3, 2018 CEQA and Land Use Notice Request for the 3Roots San Diego Project Page 2 of 2

- Notices of determination that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") is required for a project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.4.
- Notices of any scoping meeting held pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.9.
 Notices of proparation of an FID.
- Notices of preparation of an EIR or a negative declaration for a project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21092.
- Notices of availability of an EIR or a negative declaration for a project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Section 15087 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.
- Notices of approval and/or determination to carry out a project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 or any other provision of law.
- Notices of approval or certification of any EIR or negative declaration, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 or any other provision of law.
- Notices of determination that a project is exempt from CEQA, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21152 or any other provision of law.
- Notice of any Final EIR prepared pursuant to CEQA.
- Notice of determination, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21108 or Section 21152.

Please note that we are requesting notices of CEQA actions and notices of any public hearings to be held under any provision of Title 7 of the California Government Code governing California Planning and Zoning Law. **This request is filed pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2 and 21167(f), and Government Code Section 65092**, which requires agencies to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

In addition, we request that the County send to us via email or U.S. Mail a copy of all Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors meeting and/or hearing agendas.

Please send notice by electronic mail, if possible or U.S. Mail to:

Richard Drury Daniel Charlier-Smith Lozeau Drury LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607 510 836-4200 richard@lozeaudrury.com Daniel@lozeaudrury.com

Law Office of Jonathan Weissglass 410 12th Street, Suite 250-B Oakland, CA 94607 510 836-4200 jonathan@weissglass.com

Please call if you have any questions. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely, MA

Daniel Charlier-Smith Paralegal Lozeau Drury LLP