PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT AVION City of San Diego, CA April 24, 2019 City Project #598173

Prepared For:

CalAtlantic Homes 16465 Via Esprillo, Suite 150 San Diego, CA 92127

Prepared By:



PROJECT DESIGN CONSULTANTS

701 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 619.235.6471 Tel 619.234.0349 Fax

Planning | Landscape Architecture | Engineering | Survey

PDC Job No. 3255.4



Prepared by: S. Li Under the supervision of

Debby Reece, PE RCE 56148 Registration Expires 12/31/20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	IN	TRODUCTION	. 1
2.	EX	XISTING AND PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS	. 2
3.	ΗY	DROLOGY CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS	, 3
3	.1	Hydrology Criteria	. 3
3	.2	Hydrology Methodology	. 3
3	.3	Hydrology Results	. 4
4.	CC	DNCLUSION	. 5

FIGURES

Figure 1: Project Vicinity Map	•••••	2
--------------------------------	-------	---

TABLES

Table 1: Hydrology Criteria	. 3
Table 2: Summary of Hydrology Results	. 5

APPENDICES

1	Supporting Documentation (IDF Curve, Runoff Coefficients, FEMA
	Firmette)

- 2 Existing Conditions 100-year Rational Method Computer Output
- 3 Proposed Conditions 100-year Rational Method Computer Output
- 4 Hydraulic Calculations
- 5 Exhibits

1. INTRODUCTION

This preliminary drainage report has been prepared in support of a Tentative Map Entitlement submittal for the Avion San Diego Project (the Project), which is located in the City of San Diego, California. The purpose of this report is to determine the hydrologic impact, if any, to the existing storm drain facilities or natural drainage, and to provide peak 100-year discharge values for the project.

The drainage analysis presented herein reflects a Tentative Map level-of-effort, which includes peak 100-year storm event hydrologic analyses using preliminary grades. Hydraulic analyses for inlets, pipe sizes and inverts, and HGL's will be provided during final engineering. Therefore, the purpose of this report submittal is to acquire from the City of San Diego: 1) concept approval of the proposed storm drain layout, 2) approval of the methodology used in the evaluation of the project storm drain system hydrology, and 3) identification of critical path drainage issues that need to be addressed during final engineering.

The Avion Project is a proposed community located in the City of San Diego. The site is approximately 14 acres in size and is located south of Carmel Valley Road, and northeast of Black Mountain Road. Specifically, the site is located to the southeast of the Taburno Way and Winecreek Drive intersection. The property is located in the Black Mountain Ranch Subarea. The surrounding land (except for an adjacent Heritage Bluffs II project area) is designated as open space in the Subarea Plan and is part of the MHPA. The project involves the construction of a residential subdivision with 84 single family residential units and surrounding recreation areas. The vicinity map is shown in Figure 1.

The project proposes to develop 84 single-family units with a maximum 30' height buildings, private streets, and private underground infrastructure. The project is currently vacant and identified as Parcel "C" of BMR Subarea Plan. A RWQCB 401 Certification and an Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit are both not required for this project since the project will not disturb the adjacent creek involved. The project includes a clear span bridge that avoids any special environmental permits.



Figure 1: Project Vicinity Map

Treatment of storm water prior to discharging into the downstream systems will be facilitated by one biofiltration basin. For a detailed discussion of the project's stormwater quality BMP and hydromodification management approach, refer to the Preliminary Stormwater Quality Management Plan (SWQMP) report. The final post-construction BMP design will be provided during final engineering.

2. EXISTING AND PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS

Under exising conditions, the project area currently consists of terrain sloping in the northerly direction, with natural ground cover. The majority of the site is situated west of a natural drainage course, which conveys stormwater from upstream areas. There are minimal on-site drainage facilities. For this study, existing condition and proposed condition analyses were prepared for comparison.

Under proposed conditions, the drainage system will consist of culverts, brow ditches, curb, gutter, storm drain inlets, and pipes. The drainage analysis system consists of natural canyon areas upstream, downstream, and surrounding the project. Refer to Exhibit B for the drainage areas. For any proposed storm drain discharging to unimproved channels, energy dissipation will minimize erosion potential.

3. HYDROLOGY CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS

This section of the report summarizes the drainage criteria that were used in the hydrologic analysis and key elements of the methodology.

3.1 Hydrology Criteria

Table 1 summarizes the key hydrology assumptions and criteria used for the hydrologic modeling.

Existing and Proposed Hydrology:	100-year storm frequency
Soil Type:	Hydrologic Soil Group D per Drainage Design Manual requirements
Runoff coefficients:	Based on land use in sub-drainage area, from C=0.45 to 0.95. See Rational Method output.
Rainfall intensity:	Based on the City of San Diego Intensity Frequency Duration Curves presented in the 2017 City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual.

Table 1: Hydrology Criteria

3.2 Hydrology Methodology

Hydrology calculations were completed for existing and proposed conditions accounting for all areas draining to the onsite storm drain systems. Drainage areas were defined from existing and proposed topographic maps of the area. Hydrologic analysis was completed utilizing the Rational Method, outlined in the 2017 City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual. The goal of the Rational Method analysis was to determine the peak 100-year flow rates for the storm drain

pipes by developing a node link model of the contributing drainage area and applying the intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curve to the areas. See Appendix 1 for the City of San Diego IDF curve.

The Civil-D computer program was used to obtain peak flow rates for the offsite and onsite drainage areas in existing and proposed conditions. The Civil-D Modified Rational Method Hydrology Program is a computer-aided design program where the user develops a node link model of the watershed. Developing independent node link models for each interior watershed and linking these sub-models together at confluence points creates the node link model. The intensity-duration-frequency relationships are applied to each of the drainage areas in the model to get the peak flow rates at each point of interest.

For comparison purposes, existing condition drainage areas are similar to the post-project drainage systems. City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual runoff coefficients, based on land use, were assigned for each subarea within CivilD.

3.3 Hydrology Results

The results of the Rational Method hydrology modeling are provided in Appendices 2 and 3 and the results are summarized in this section. Development of the project site increases the 100-year runoff from 20.6 cfs to 25.7 cfs, however, the site will detain post-project 100-year flows to less than pre-project 100-year flows with the proposed detention/biofiltration basin. Final detention routing will be provided during final engineering.

For the results of the analysis, see Exhibit A for the existing conditions hydrology map and Exhibit B for the proposed conditions hydrology map in Appendix 5. Refer to the appendices for the hydrology calculations. Table 2 summarizes the hydrology results and compares existing and proposed conditions.

	EXISTING CONDITION			PROPOSED CONDITION (W/ DETENTION)				
<u>Outfall</u> <u>of</u>	SYSTEM	AREA	TC	Q100	SYSTEM	AREA	тс	Q100
<u>Interest</u>		(ac)	(min)	(cfs)		(ac)	(min)	(cfs)
					1000 (W/O			
	100	14.1	11.4	20.6	DETENTION)	10.2	11.5	18.5
					1000 (W/			
					DETENTION)	10.2		<13.4
					2000	1.9	6.7	3.3
					2000	0.05	F 7	0.1
					3000	0.05	5.7	0.1
					4000	2.0	5.7	3.8
					TOTAL			
#1	TOTAL	14.1	-	20.6	W/DETENTION	13.7		<20.6

Table 2: Summary of Hydrology Results

Private drive (Winecreek Drive extension) will span over the natural stream east of the site. Due to environmental setback requirements, the crossing is much larger than it needs to be to convey the runon underneath the roadway. The onsite storm drain system will collect site drainage and discharge flows for treatment into the biofiltration basin. Riprap energy dissipators have been provided to mitigate erosive velocities for drainage entering and exiting the basin. Final velocities will be provided during final engineering.

4. CONCLUSION

This drainage report has been prepared to support the Tentative Map for the proposed Avion development. This report was prepared to ensure that project development would not adversely affect existing drainage patterns. Hydrology calculations indicate that redevelopment will result in an overall increase in flows from the site, but the site will detain post-project 100-year flows to less than pre-project 100-year flows. Small onsite re-direction of flows does not alter general drainage patterns as onsite storm drain systems ultimately discharge to the same location downstream of the project. As such, the project redevelopment should not have an adverse effect on local or global drainage patterns. The drainage system will be designed appropriately to accommodate the peak-flow conditions for the site.

1

.

.

APPENDIX 1

Supporting Documentation (IDF Curve, Runoff Coefficients)

	Runoff Coefficient (C)
Land Use	Soil Type (1)
Residential:	
Single Family	0.55
Multi-Units	0.70
Mobile Homes	0.65
Rural (lots greater than ½ acre)	0.45
Commercial (2)	
80% Impervious	0.85
Industrial (2)	
90% Impervious	0.95

Table A-1. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Type D soil to be used for all areas.

⁽²⁾ Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.

Actual imperviousness	=	50%
Tabulated imperviousness	=	80%
Revised C = $(50/80) \ge 0.85$	=	0.53

The values in Table A–1 are typical for urban areas. However, if the basin contains rural or agricultural land use, parks, golf courses, or other types of nonurban land use that are expected to be permanent, the appropriate value should be selected based upon the soil and cover and approved by the City.

A.1.3. Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensity (I) is the rainfall in inches per hour (in/hr.) for a duration equal to the T_c for a selected storm frequency. Once a particular storm frequency has been selected for design and a T_c calculated for the drainage area, the rainfall intensity can be determined from the Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart (Figure A-1).







APPENDIX A **RATIONAL METHOD AND MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD**



APPENDIX 2

Existing Conditions 100-year Rational Method Computer Output

P:\3255.4\EngrReports\Drainage\HYDRO\EXISTING\S100E100.out	P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\EXISTING\S100E100.out
San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software,(c)1991-2003 Version 6.3 Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 04/18/19	Channel base width = 10.000(Ft.) Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 1.000 Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 1.000 Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel = 10.752(CFS) Manning's 'N' = 0.015 Maximum depth of channel = 2.000(Ft.) Flow(g) thru subarea = 10.752(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.107(Ft.), Average velocity = 9.902(Ft/s) Channel flow top width = 10.215(Ft.)
3255.4 AVION EXISTING CONDITIONS SYSTEM 100 FILE: S100E100 	<pre>Flow Velocity = 9.90(Ft/s) Travel time = 1.43 min. Time of concentration = 11.44 min. Critical depth = 0.328(Ft.) Adding area flow to channel Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Rainfall intensity = 3.214(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm</pre>
Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0 English (in-lb) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.4 Subarea runoff = 11.989(CFS) for 8.290(Ac.) Total runoff = 16.450(CFS) Total area = 11.23(Ac.)
Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000 Only used if inside City of San Diego San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method	<pre>t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+</pre>
<pre>++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	Time of concentration = 11.44 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.214(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.4 Subarea runoff = 4.150(CFS) for 2.870(Ac.) Total runoff = 20.601(CFS) Total area = 14.10(Ac.) End of computations, total study area = 14.100 (Ac.)
Subarea runoff = 4.462(CFS) Total initial stream area = 2.940(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
Upstream point elevation = 854.000(Ft.) Downstream point elevation = 684.000(Ft.) Channel length thru subarea = 847.000(Ft.)	
Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:19:45 PM PM Page 1 of 2	Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:19:45 PM PM Page 2

APPENDIX 3

Proposed Conditions 100-year Rational Method Computer Output

P:\3255.4\EngrtReports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out	P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out
San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program	End of street segment elevation = 820.500(Ft.) Length of street segment = 380.000(Ft.)
CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3	Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(In.) Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.)
tional method hydrology program based on	Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000 (Ft.) Slope from gutter to grade break (v/hz) = 0.020
n Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 04/18/19	Slope from grade break to crown $(v/hz) = 0.020$ Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street
ROJECT AVION	Distance from curb to property line = 15.000(Ft.) Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020
OPOSED CONDITIONS 000P100	Gutter width = 1.500(Ft.) Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.)
۹-	Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150 Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180
******** Hydrology Study Control Information **********	Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180 Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = 0.121(CFS)
	Depth of flow = 0.102(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.930(Ft/s) Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel:
	Halfstreet flow width = 1.500(Ft.) Flow velocity = 1.93(Ft/s) Travel time = 3.28 min. TC = 8.28 min.
rogram License Serial Number 4049	Travel time = 3.28 min. TC = 8.28 min. Adding area flow to street
ational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0	Decimal fraction soil group $A = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$
nglish (in-lb) input data Units used nglish (in) rainfall data used	Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$
tandard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and	[SINGLE FAMILY area type] Rainfall intensity = 3.613(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
levation 0 - 1500 feet actor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000 nly used if inside City of San Diego	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.
nly used if inside City of San Diego an Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used	Subarea runoff = 2.107 (CFS) for 1.060 (Ac.) Total runoff = 2.186 (CFS) Total area = 1.10 (Ac.) Street flow at end of street = 2.186 (CFS)
unoff coefficients by rational method	Half street flow at end of street = 2.186(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.270(Ft.), Average velocity = 2.609(Ft/s)
****	Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 8.754(Ft.)
rocess from Point/Station 1000.000 to Point/Station 1001.000 *** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****	**********************
ecimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Process from Point/Station 1002.000 to Point/Station 1010.00 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
ecimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 ecimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	Upstream point/station elevation = 820.000(Ft.)
ecimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]	Downstream point/station elevation = 810.500 (Ft.) Pipe length = 117.96 (Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
nitial subarea flow distance = 44.000(Ft.) ighest elevation = 845.000(Ft.) owest elevation = 829.000(Ft.)	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2.186(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.)
levation difference = 16.000(Ft.)	Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.186(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 4.32(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 8.99(In.)
ime of concentration calculated by the urban reas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.34 min.	Critical Depth = 7.97(In.)
C = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] C = [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(44.000^.5)/(36.364^(1/3)] = 2.34	Pipe flow velocity = 10.44(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.19 min.
etting time of concentration to 5 minutes ainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm	Time of concentration (TC) = 8.47 min.
Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is $C = 0.450$ Subarea runoff = 0.079(CFS)	**********
otal initial stream area = 0.040(Ac.)	Process from Point/Station 1002.000 to Point/Station 1010.00 **** CONFLUENCE OF MAIN STREAMS ****
******	The following data inside Main Stream is listed: In Main Stream number: 1
Process from Doint/Station 1001 000 to Doint/Station 1000 000	The main Stream Humber: 1
Process from Point/Station 1001.000 to Point/Station 1002.000 *** STREET FLOW TRAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****	Stream flow area = 1.100(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 2.186(CFS)

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out Rainfall intensity = 3.584(In/Hr) Half street flow at end of street = 1.450(CFS) Program is now starting with Main Stream No. 2 Depth of flow = 0.223(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.012(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 6.405(Ft.) Process from Point/Station 1003.000 to Point/Station 1004.000 **** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION **** Process from Point/Station 1005.000 to Point/Station 1006.000 **** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION **** Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000SINGLE FAMILY area type [SINGLE FAMILY area type Initial subarea flow distance = 75.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 825.300(Ft.) Time of concentration = 9.19 min. Lowest elevation = 824.000(Ft.) Rainfall intensity = 3.479(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Elevation difference = 1.300(Ft.) Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.550 Time of concentration calculated by the urban Subarea runoff = 0.708(CFS) for 0.370(Ac.) areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 7.14 min. Total runoff = 2.158(CFS) Total area = 1.12(Ac.) $TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)})$ $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.5500)*(75.000^{-1.5})/(1.733^{-1.5})] = 7.14$ Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.818(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.550Process from Point/Station 1006.000 to Point/Station 1009.000 Subarea runoff = 0.168(CFS) **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) **** Total initial stream area = 0.080(Ac.) Upstream point/station elevation = 810.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 809.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 11.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 Process from Point/Station 1004.000 to Point/Station 1006.000 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2.158(CFS) **** STREET FLOW TRAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION **** Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.158(CFS) Top of street segment elevation = 824.000(Ft.) Normal flow depth in pipe = 5.09(In.) End of street segment elevation = 810.000(Ft.) Flow top width inside pipe = 8.92(In.)Length of street segment = 346.000(Ft.) Critical Depth = 7.94(In.)8.38(Ft/s) Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(Tn.) Pipe flow velocity = Travel time through pipe = 0.02 min. Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000 (Ft.) Time of concentration (TC) = 9.21 min. Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000(Ft.) Slope from gutter to grade break (v/hz) = 0.020Slope from grade break to crown (v/hz) = 0.020Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street Process from Point/Station 1006.000 to Point/Station Distance from curb to property line = 15.000(Ft.) 1009.000 Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020**** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS **** Gutter width = 1.500(Ft.) Along Main Stream number: 2 in normal stream number 1 Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.) Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150Stream flow area = 1.120(Ac.) 2.158(CFS) Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180 Runoff from this stream = Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180 Time of concentration = 9.21 min. Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = 0.224 (CES) Rainfall intensity = 3.476(In/Hr) Depth of flow = 0.115(Ft.), Average velocity = 2.813(Ft/s) Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel: Halfstreet flow width = 1.500(Ft.) Flow velocity = 2.81(Ft/s) Travel time = 2.05 min. Process from Point/Station 1007.000 to Point/Station 1008.000 9.19 min. **** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION **** ¶C == Adding area flow to street Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[SINGLE FAMILY area type [SINGLE FAMILY area type Initial subarea flow distance = 77.000(Ft.) Rainfall intensity = 3.479(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.550 Highest elevation = 812.800(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 812.000(Ft.) 1.282(CFS) for 0.670(Ac.) Subarea runoff = Total runoff = 1.450(CFS) Total area = 0.75(Ac.) Elevation difference = 0.800(Ft.) 1.450(CFS) Time of concentration calculated by the urban Street flow at end of street = Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Page 4 of 18 Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Page 3 of 18 Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out	
areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 8.58 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/($\$$ slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.5500)*(77.000^.5)/(1.039^(1/3)] = 8.58 Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.567 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 0.157 (CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.080 (Ac.)	1 2 Qma
Total Initial Stream area - 0.000(AC.)	Qma
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Tot. Flo
Top of street segment elevation = 812.000(Ft.) End of street segment elevation = 810.000(Ft.)	Max
Length of street segment = 175.000(Ft.)	
Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(In.) Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.)	Are
Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000 (Ft.) Slope from gutter to grade break (v/hz) = 0.020	Res Tot
Slope from grade break to crown (v/hz) = 0.020	Tim
Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street Distance from curb to property line = 15.000 (Ft.) Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020	Eff
Gutter width = 1.500(Ft.)	+++
Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.) Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150	Pro ***
Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180 Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180	Ups
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = 0.183(CFS)	Dow
Depth of flow = 0.146(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.335(Ft/s)	Pip
Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel:	No.
Halfstreet flow width = 2.563(Ft.)	Nea
Flow velocity = 1.34 (Ft/s)	Cal
Travel time = 2.18 min. TC = 10.76 min.	Norn Flor
Adding area flow to street Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Cri
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Pip
Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$	Tra
Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$	Tim
[SINGLE FAMILY area type]	
Rainfall intensity = 3.286(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 0.596(CFS) for 0.330(Ac.) Total runoff = 0.753(CFS) Total area = 0.41(Ac.)	+++ Pro
Street flow at end of street = 0.753(CFS)	
Half street flow at end of street = 0.753(CFS)	The
Depth of flow = 0.222(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.593(Ft/s)	In I
Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 6.337(Ft.)	Str
	Run
	Tim Rai
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Sum
Along Main Stream number: 2 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 0.410(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 0.753(CFS)	Str No
Time of concentration = 10.76 min.	1
Rainfall intensity = 3.286(In/Hr)	2
Summary of stream data:	Qma
Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)	Qma:
	Drinta
Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM Page 5 of 18	Printe

0.753 10.76 3.286 ax(1) =1.000 * 1.000 * 2.158) +1.000 * 0.856 * 0.753) + =2.803 ax(2) = 0.945 * 1.000 * 2.158) +1.000 * 1.000 * 0.753) + =2.793 tal of 2 streams to confluence: ow rates before confluence point: 2.158 0.753 ximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 2.803 2.793 ea of streams before confluence: 1.120 0.410 sults of confluence: 2.803(CFS) tal flow rate = me of concentration = 9.209 min. fective stream area after confluence = 1.530(Ac.) ocess from Point/Station 1009.000 to Point/Station 1010.000 ** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out

3.476

2.158

9.21

```
Upstream point/station elevation = 809.500(Ft.)

Downstream point/station elevation = 808.500(Ft.)

Pipe length = 247.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013

No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2.803(CFS)

Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.)

Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.803(CFS)

Normal flow depth in pipe = 9.09(In.)

Flow top width inside pipe = 14.66(In.)

Critical Depth = 8.07(In.)

Pipe flow velocity = 3.60(Ft/s)

Travel time through pipe = 1.14 min.

Time of concentration (TC) = 10.35 min.
```

0.930 * 1.000 * 2.186) + 1.000 * 1.000 * 2.803) + = 4.835	Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Total of 2 main streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point:	Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 0.338(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.140(Ac.)
2.186 2.803 Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data:	
4.479 4.835 Area of streams before confluence: 1.100 1.530	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 4.835(CFS) Time of concentration = 10.351 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 2.630(Ac.)	Top of street segment elevation = 816.200(Ft.) End of street segment elevation = 808.000(Ft.) Length of street segment = 262.000(Ft.) Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(In.) Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000 (Ft.) Slope from gutter to grade break $(v/hz) = 0.020$ Slope from grade break to crown $(v/hz) = 0.020$ Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street
**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****	Distance from curb to property line = 15.000 (Ft.) Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020
Upstream point/station elevation = 808.500(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 808.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 34.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 4.835(CFS)	Gutter width = 1.500(Ft.) Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.) Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150 Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180
Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 4.835(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 8.52(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 14.86(In.)	Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180 Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = 1.533(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.234(Ft.), Average velocity = 2.752(Ft/s) Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel: Halfstreet flow width = 6.969(Ft.)
Critical Depth = 10.70(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 6.71(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.08 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 10.44 min.	Flow velocity = 2.75(Ft/s) Travel time = 1.59 min. TC = 6.59 min. Adding area flow to street Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [SINGLE FAMILY area type]
Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 2.630(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 4.835(CFS) Time of concentration = 10.44 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.322(In/Hr)	<pre>Rainfall intensity = 3.936(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.5 Subarea runoff = 2.143(CFS) for 0.990(Ac.) Total runoff = 2.481(CFS) Total area = 1.13(Ac.) Street flow at end of street = 2.481(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 2.481(CFS)</pre>
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Depth of flow = 0.267(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.058(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 8.603(Ft.)
Process from Point/Station 1011.000 to Point/Station 1012.000 **** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) **** Upstream point/station elevation = 808.000(Ft.)
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [SINGLE FAMILY area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 88.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 828.700(Ft.)	Downstream point/station elevation = 807.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 19.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2.481(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.)
Lowest elevation = 816.200(Ft.) Elevation difference = 12.500(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban	Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.481(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 6.83(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 7.70(In.)
areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 3.83 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.5500)*(88.000^.5)/(14.205^(1/3)]= 3.83	Critical Depth = 8.29(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 6.90(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.05 min.

	need to be a first of the first of the first of the second s		
Time of co	oncentration (TC) =	6.63 min.	Runoff from this stream = 6.935(CFS) Time of concentration = 10.84 min.
			Rainfall intensity = 3.277 (In/Hr)
++++++++++	*****	·+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
		013.000 to Point/Station	1015.000
**** CONFI	LUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS	\$ ****	***************************************
			Process from Point/Station 1016.000 to Point/Station 1017.0
	n Stream number: 1 in n		**** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****
	ow area = 1.130(Ac om this stream = 2	2.) 2.481(CFS)	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
	oncentration = 6.63		Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
	intensity = 3.926(I		Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Summary of	f stream data:		Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$
			[SINGLE FAMILY area type]
	Flow rate TC	Rainfall Intensity	Initial subarea flow distance = 79.000(Ft.)
No.	(CFS) (min)	(In/Hr)	Highest elevation = 816.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 802.600(Ft.)
			Elevation difference = 13.400(Ft.)
	4.835 10.44	3.322	Time of concentration calculated by the urban
2 2	2.481 6.63	3.926	areas overland flow method (App X- \overline{C}) = 3.42 min.
)max(1) =			$TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(\$ slope^{(1/3)}]$
	1.000 * 1.000 *	4.835) +	$TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.5500)*(79.000^{-5})/(16.962^{-1}(1/3))] = 3.42$
)max(2) =	0.846 * 1.000 *	2.481) + = 6.935	Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = $4.389(In/Hr)$ for a 100.0 year storm
200A (4) 2	1.000 * 0.636 *	4.835) +	Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.550
	1.000 * 1.000 *	2.481) + = 5.554	Subarea runoff = 0.555 (CFS)
			Total initial stream area = 0.230(Ac.)
	2 streams to confluence		
	s before confluence poi	.nt:	
	835 2.481 low rates at confluence	using above data.	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
6.	.935 5.554 treams before confluence	-	**** STREET FLOW TRAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
	.630 1.130		Top of street segment elevation = 802.600(Ft.)
	f confluence:		End of street segment elevation = 783.000(Ft.)
Cotal flow			Length of street segment = 382.000(Ft.)
	oncentration = 10.43		Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(In.)
SILECTIVE	stream area after conf	luence = 3.760 (Ac.)	Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.) Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000(Ft.)
			Slope from gutter to grade break $(v/hz) = 0.020$
+++++++++	**+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	*****	
Process fr	rom Point/Station 1	015.000 to Point/Station	1026.000 Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street
**** PIPEE	FLOW TRAVEL TIME (Progr	am estimated size) ****	Distance from curb to property line = 15.000(Ft.)
		- 907 200 (Ph)	Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020
	point/station elevation n point/station elevati		Gutter width = 1.500(Ft.) Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.)
	th = 331.23 (Ft.) M		Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150
	pes = 1 Required pipe		Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180
learest co	omputed pipe diameter	= 12.00(In.)	Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180
Calculated	d individual pipe flow	= 6.935(CFS)	Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = 2.342(CFS)
Normal flo	ow depth in pipe = 7 width inside pipe = 1	.43(In.)	Depth of flow = 0.246(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.660(Ft/s)
	width inside pipe = 1 depth could not be calc		Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel: Halfstreet flow width = 7.540(Ft.)
	velocity = 13.58(F		Flow velocity = $3.66(Ft/s)$
	The through pipe = 0 .		Flow velocity = $3.66(Ft/s)$ Travel time = 1.74 min. TC = 6.74 min.
		10.84 min.	Adding area flow to street
			Decimal fraction soil group $A = 0.000$
		*****	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Decimal fraction soil group B} = 0.000\\ \text{Decimal fraction coil group C} = 0.000 \end{array}$
		015.000 to Point/Station	++++++++++Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.0001026.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
	LUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS		[SINGLE FAMILY area type]
			Rainfall intensity = 3.902(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Jong Mair	n Stream number: 1 in n		Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0
			Subarea runoff = 3.176 (CFS) for 1.480 (Ac.)
tream flo	ow area = 3.760 (Ac	•)	Subarea funori - 5.170(cr3) for 1.400(Ac.)

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out	P:3255.4\EngrReports/Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out
Total runoff = 3.731(CFS) Total area = 1.71(Ac.) Street flow at end of street = 3.731(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.731(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.279(Ft.), Average velocity = 4.061(Ft/s)	Total of 2 streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point: 6.935 7.650
Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 9.207(Ft.)	Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 13.374 11.986 Area of streams before confluence:
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	3.760 3.540 Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 13.374(CFS)
Upstream point/station elevation = 783.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 782.500(Ft.)	Time of concentration = 10.842 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 7.300(Ac.)
Pipe length = 19.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 3.731(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 12.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 3.731(CFS)	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Normal flow depth in pipe = 7.02(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 11.83(In.) Critical Depth = 9.87(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.82(Ft/s)	Upstream point/station elevation = 782.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 754.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 252.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
Travel time through pipe = 0.04 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 6.78 min.	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 13.374(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 13.374(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 8.55(In.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Flow top width inside pipe = 14.85(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 18.49(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.23 min.
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	Time of concentration (TC) = 11.07 min.
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [SINGLE FAMILY area type] Time of concentration = 6.78 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.893(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 3.918(CFS) for 1.830(Ac.) Total runoff = 7.650(CFS) Total area = 3.54(Ac.)	Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 7.300(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 13.374(CFS) Time of concentration = 11.07 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.252(In/Hr)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 3.540(Ac.)	**** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****
Runoff from this stream = 7.650(CFS) Time of concentration = 6.78 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.893(In/Hr) Summary of stream data:	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [SINGLE FAMILY area type]
Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)	Initial subarea flow distance = 74.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 810.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 802.700(Ft.) Elevation difference = 7.300(Ft.)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 3.97 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.5500)*(74.000^.5)/(9.865^(1/3)] = 3.97
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 0.193(CFS)
Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM Page 11 of 18	Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM Page 12 of 18
	1

otal initial stream area = 0.080(Ac.)	Process from Point/Station 1031.000 to Point/Station 1032.000 **** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****
******	Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2
rocess from Point/Station 1028.000 to Point/Station 1031.000	Stream flow area = 0.920 (Ac.)
*** STREET FLOW TRAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****	Runoff from this stream = 1.887(CFS)
	Time of concentration = 8.04 min.
op of street segment elevation = 802.700(Ft.)	Rainfall intensity = $3.653(In/Hr)$
nd of street segment elevation = 784.000(Ft.)	Summary of stream data:
ength of street segment = 485.000(Ft.)	
eight of curb above gutter flowline = $6.0(In.)$	Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity
idth of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.)	No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)
istance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000(Ft.)	
lope from gutter to grade break $(v/hz) = 0.020$	
lope from grade break to crown $(v/hz) = 0.020$	1 13.374 11.07 3.252
treet flow is on [1] side(s) of the street	2 1.887 8.04 3.653
istance from curb to property line = 15.000(Ft.)	Qmax(1) =
lope from curb to property line $(v/hz) = 0.020$	1.000 * 1.000 * 13.374) +
utter width = $1.500(Ft.)$	$0.890 \times 1.000 \times 1.887) + = 15.053$
utter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.)	Qmax(2) =
Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150	1.000 * 0.726 * 13.374) +
Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180	1.000 * 1.000 * 1.887) + = 11.599
Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180	
stimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = 0.274 (CFS)	Total of 2 streams to confluence:
epth of flow = 0.129(Ft.), Average velocity = 2.727(Ft/s)	Flow rates before confluence point:
treetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel:	13.374 1.887
alfstreet flow width = 1.712 (Ft.)	Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 15.053 11.599
low velocity = 2.73 (Ft/s) ravel time = 2.96 min. TC = 7.96 min.	Area of streams before confluence:
Adding area flow to street	Area of screams before confidence: $7.300 0.920$
ecimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Results of confluence:
ecimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Total flow rate = 15.053(CFS)
ecimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	Time of concentration = 11.069 min.
acimal fraction soil group D = 1.000	Effective stream area after confluence = 8.220(Ac.)
SINGLE FAMILY area type]	
ainfall intensity = 3.666(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm	
unoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, $Q=KCIA$, C = 0.550	******************************
ubarea runoff = 1.694 (CFS) for 0.840 (Ac.)	Process from Point/Station 1032.000 to Point/Station 1039.000
otal runoff = 1.887(CFS) Total area = 0.92(Ac.)	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
treet flow at end of street = 1.887(CFS)	
alf street flow at end of street = 1.887(CFS)	Upstream point/station elevation = 754.000(Ft.)
epth of flow = 0.241(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.123(Ft/s)	Downstream point/station elevation = 708.000(Ft.)
low width (from curb towards crown) = 7.299(Ft.)	Pipe length = 379.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 15.053(CFS)
	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.)
	Calculated individual pipe flow = 15.053(CFS)
rocess from Point/Station 1031.000 to Point/Station 1032.000	Normal flow depth in pipe = 8.98(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 14.71(In.)
*** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****	Critical depth could not be calculated.
ostream point/station elevation = 784.000(Ft.)	Pipe flow velocity = 19.65 (Ft/s)
	Travel time through pipe = 0.32 min.
ownstream point/station elevation = 754.000(Ft.) ipe length = 80.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013	Time of concentration $(TC) = 11.39$ min.
p. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 1.887(CFS)	
earest computed pipe diameter = 6.00(In.)	
alculated individual pipe flow = 1.887(CFS)	*****
prmal flow depth in pipe = 3.17(In.)	Process from Point/Station 1032.000 to Point/Station 1039.00
low top width inside pipe = 5.99(In.)	**** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****
ritical depth could not be calculated.	
ipe flow velocity = 17.91(Ft/s)	Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1
ravel time through pipe = 0.07 min.	Stream flow area = 8.220 (Ac.)
ime of concentration (TC) = 8.04 min.	Runoff from this stream = 15.053(CFS)
	Time of concentration = 11.39 min.
	Rainfall intensity = 3.219(In/Hr)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
ted: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM Page 13 of 18	Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM Modified: 4/18/2019 2:29:57 PM PM Page 14

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out

Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[SINGLE FAMILY area type Initial subarea flow distance = 69.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 786.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 776.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 10.000(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 3.37 min. $TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)}]$ $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.5500)*(69.000^{-5})/(14.493^{-1/3})] = 3.37$ Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.550Subarea runoff = 0.362(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.150(Ac.)

Top of street segment elevation = 776.000(Ft.) End of street segment elevation = 710.000(Ft.) Length of street segment = 657.000 (Ft.) Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(In.) Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.) Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000(Ft.) Slope from gutter to grade break (v/hz) = 0.020Slope from grade break to crown (v/hz) = 0.020Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street Distance from curb to property line = 15.000 (Ft.) Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020Gutter width = 1.500(Ft.) Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.) Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150 Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180 Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180 1.086(CFS) Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street = Depth of flow = 0.182(Ft.), Average velocity = 4.141(Ft/s) Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel: Halfstreet flow width = 4.371(Ft.) Flow velocity = 4.14 (Ft/s) Travel time = 2.64 min. TC = 7.64 min. Adding area flow to street Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[SINGLE FAMILY area type 3.722(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Rainfall intensity = Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 1.228(CFS) for 0.600(Ac.) Total runoff = 1.590(CFS) Total area = 0.75(Ac.) Street flow at end of street = 1.590(CFS) 1.590(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = Depth of flow = 0.202(Ft.), Average velocity = 4.419(Ft/s)

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 5.372(Ft.) Process from Point/Station 1035.000 to Point/Station 1038.000 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) **** Upstream point/station elevation = 710.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 709.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 19.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 1.590(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 1.590(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 4.99(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 8.95(In.)Critical Depth = 6.96(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 6.33(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.05 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.69 min. Process from Point/Station 1037.000 to Point/Station 1038.000 **** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION **** Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000(SINGLE FAMILY area type Time of concentration = 7.69 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.713(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.550 Subarea runoff = 1.348(CFS) for 0.660(Ac.) Total runoff = 2.938(CFS) Total area = 1.41(Ac.) Process from Point/Station 1038.000 to Point/Station 1039.000 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) **** Upstream point/station elevation = 709.500(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 708.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 79.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2,938(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 12.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.938(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 7.63(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 11.55(In.) Critical Depth = 8.82(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 5.57(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.24 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.93 min. Process from Point/Station 1038.000 to Point/Station 1039.000 **** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****

Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 1.410(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 2.938(CFS) Time of concentration = 7.93 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.671(In/Hr)

Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out

Summary of stream data:

(In/Hr)
3.219 3.671
) +) + = 17.629
) +
) + = 13.419
above data:

Maximum flow rates at confidence using doord door 17.629 13.419 Area of streams before confluence: 8.220 1.410 Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 17.629(CFS) Time of concentration = 11.391 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 9.630(Ac.)

Upstream point/station elevation = 708.500(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 706.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 36.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 17.629(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 18.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 17.629(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 10.43(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 17.77(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 16.60(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 11.43 min.

Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 11.43 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.215(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 0.839(CFS) for 0.580(Ac.) Total runoff = 18.468(CFS) Total area = 10.21(Ac.)

Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 1000\1000P100.out

**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****

Upstream point/station elevation = 706.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 698.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 64.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 18.468(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 18.468(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 10.23(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 13.97(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 20.72(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.05 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 11.48 min. End of computations, total study area = 10.210 (Ac.)

P:\3255.4\EngriReports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 2000\2000P100.out	P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 2000\2000P100.out		
	Downstream point elevation = 824.000(Ft.)		
San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program	Channel length thru subarea = 332.000(Ft.)		
	Channel base width = 1.000(Ft.)		
TLCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3	Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 1.000		
	Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 1.000		
nal method hydrology program based on	Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel = 1.057(CFS)		
ego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual	Manning's 'N' = 0.015		
Rational Hydrology Study Date: 04/18/19	Maximum depth of channel = 1.000(Ft.)		
	Flow(q) thru subarea = 1.057(CFS)		
CT AVION	Depth of flow = 0.218(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.990(Ft/s)		
ED CONDITIONS	Channel flow top width = 1.435 (Ft.)		
P100	Flow Velocity = 3.99(Ft/s) Travel time = 1.39 min.		
v ⁻	Traver time = 1.39 min. Time of concentration = 6.39 min.		
	Critical depth = 0.293 (Ft.)		
**** Hydrology Study Control Information *********	Adding area flow to channel		
", arony, order control information	Decimal fraction soil group $A = 0.000$		
***	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$		
	Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$		
	Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$		
ram License Serial Number 4049	[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]		
	Rainfall intensity = 3.983(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm		
	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, $Q=KCIA$, $C = 0.4$		
onal hydrology study storm event year is 100.0	Subarea runoff = 1.739 (CFS) for 0.970 (Ac.)		
lish (in-lb) input data Units used	Subarea runoff = 1.739(CFS) for 0.970(Ac.) Total runoff = 1.837(CFS) Total area = 1.02(Ac.)		
ish (in) rainfall data used			
ndard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and	*************		
vation 0 - 1500 feet	Process from Point/Station 2002.000 to Point/Station 2005.000		
or (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000	**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****		
used if inside City of San Diego			
Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used	Upstream point elevation = 824.000(Ft.)		
off coefficients by rational method	Downstream point elevation = 758.000(Ft.)		
	Channel length thru subarea = 214.000(Ft.)		
*++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Channel base width = 1.000(Ft.) Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 1.000		
ess from Point/Station 2000.000 to Point/Station 2001.000	Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 1.000		
INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****	Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel = 2.405(CFS)		
	Manning's 'N' = 0.015		
mal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Maximum depth of channel = 1.000(Ft.)		
mal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Flow(q) thru subarea = 2.405 (CFS)		
imal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$	Depth of flow = 0.153 (Ft.). Average velocity = 13.621 (Ft/s)		
imal fraction soil group D = 1.000	Channel flow top width = 1.306 (Ft.)		
RAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]	Channel flow top width = 1.306(Ft.) Flow Velocity = 13.62(Ft/s) Travel time = 0.26 min.		
tial subarea flow distance = 61.000(Ft.)	Travel time = 0.26 min.		
nest elevation = 850.000(Ft.)	Time of concentration = 6.65 min.		
est elevation = 830.000(Ft.)	Critical depth = 0.477(Ft.)		
vation difference = 20.000(Ft.)	Adding area flow to channel		
ne of concentration calculated by the urban	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000		
eas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.86 min.	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$		
$= [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)}]$	Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$		
$= [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(61.000^{.5})/(32.787^{(1/3)})] = 2.86$	Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$		
ting time of concentration to 5 minutes	[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]		
infall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm	Rainfall intensity = 3.922(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm		
fective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.		
barea runoff = 0.099(CFS)	Subarea runoff = 1.112 (CFS) for 0.630 (Ac.)		
tal initial stream area = 0.050(Ac.)	Total runoff = 2.949(CFS) Total area = 1.65(Ac.)		
pcess from Point/Station 2001.000 to Point/Station 2002.000	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		
IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****	**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****		

-⊅

I

Page 2 of 3

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\SYSTEM 2000\2000P100.out

Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 6.65 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.922(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 0.371(CFS) for 0.210(Ac.) Total runoff = 3.320(CFS) Total area = 1.86(Ac.) End of computations, total study area = 1.860 (Ac.)

P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYL	DRO/PROPOSED/SYSTEM 3000/3000P100.out		P:13255.4/Engr/Reports/Drainage/	HYDRO/PROPOSED/SYSTEM 3000/30	000P100.out
CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Enginee Rational method hydrology pr San Diego County Flood Contro	anty Rational Hydrology Program ering Software,(c)1991-2003 Versi cogram based on ol Division 1985 hydrology manual udy Date: 04/18/19		Downstream point elevation Channel length thru subarea Channel base width = Slope or 'Z' of left channe Slope or 'Z' of right chann Manning's 'N' = 0.015 Maximum depth of channel = Flow(q) thru subarea = Depth of flow = 0.023(Ft. Channel flow top width = Flow Velocity = 4.26(Ft/ Travel time = 0.72 min.	<pre>= 184.000(Ft.) 1.000(Ft.) 1 bank = 1.000 el bank = 1.000 1.000(Ft.) 0.099(CFS)), Average velocity = 1.045(Ft.) s)</pre>	4.262(Ft/s)
******* Hydrology Study	Control Information *********		Time of concentration = Critical depth = 0.065 End of computations, total :	(Ft.)	0.050 (Ac.)
Program License Serial Number					
Rational hydrology study stor English (in-lb) input data Un English (in) rainfall data us	rm event year is 100.0 hits used				
Standard intensity of Appendi Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply * intensi Only used if inside City of S San Diego hydrology manual 'C Runoff coefficients by ration	ty) = 1.000 an Diego ' values used				
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		++++++++ 3001.000			
Setting time of concentration Rainfall intensity (I) = Effective runoff coefficient Subarea runoff = 0.099(C Total initial stream area =	<pre>1 = 0.000 2 = 0.000 0 = 1.000 0.2 ha) area type] 2 = 80.000{Ft.} Ft.) 7t.) 100(Ft.) 100(Ft.) 100(Ft.) 100(-5)/(% slope^(1/3)] 1000^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] = 3.42 10.5 minutes 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0. FS) 0.050(Ac.) +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	storm 450			
Upstream point elevation =	900.000(Ft.)				
Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM	Modified: 4/18/2019 2:40:48 PM PM	Page 1 of 2	Printed: 4/23/2019 8:35:33 AM AM	Modified: 4/18/2019 2:	:40:48 PM PM

-⊅

....**9**

	P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PROPOSED\4000P100.out	P:\3255.4\Engr\Reports\Drainage\HYDRO\PR	.0P0SED\4000P100.out	
•	San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3 Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1995 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 08/07/18 PROJECT AVION PROFOSED CONDITIONS 4000P100 ********* Hydrology Study Control Information ********* Program License Serial Number 4049 	Downstream point elevation = 705.00 Channel length thru subarea = 437. Channel base width = 1.000(Ft Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint Manning's 'N' = 0.015 Maximum depth of channel = 1.000(Flow(q) thru subarea = 2.103(CFS Depth of flow = 0.179(Ft.), Average Channel flow top width = 1.358(Ft. Flow Velocity = 9.98(Ft/s)	<pre>rea type]) for a 100.0 year sto: Rational method,Q=KCIA, 1.910(Ac.) </pre>) rm
	Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 0.217(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.110(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			
	**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME **** Upstream point elevation = 766.000(Ft.)			
	Printed: 8/14/2018 9:54:51 AM AM Modified: 8/7/2018 4:16:59 PM PM Page 1 of 2	Printed: 8/14/2018 9:54:51 AM AM Moc	dified: 8/7/2018 4:16:59 PM PM	Page 2 of 2

·

4

APPENDIX 4 Hydraulic Calculations

To be submitted in Final Engineering



APPENDIX 5 Exhibits





) - 40INECREEK DRIVE **3** 775.4 1036 **84** (783.2) (81) 783.2 **83** 785.3 (6)**80** 785.3 786.9 **82** (787.1) 79 (787.1) PVT. ST 7 13+00 (11)785 74 1.83 AC 77 **78** 791.4 $\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{799.5} \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{72}{800.5} \\ \frac{72}{800.5} \\ \frac{72}{800.3} \\ \frac{71}{800.3} \\ \frac{70}{799.2} \\ \frac{69}{800.7} \\ \frac{69}{800.7} \\ \frac{68}{800.4} \\ \frac{800.4}{800.4} \\ \frac{1}{800.4} \\ \frac$ 799.8 -PVJ.ST'G' **64** 801.2 66 RAN 0.84 AC 1027 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{3} \\ \underline{58} \\ 0.33 \\ \underline{60.33} \\ 0.33 \\ \underline{60.33} \\ \underline{60.33$.08 AC) 14+00 PVT. ST'D' 1005 **51** *813.* 1 $\begin{array}{c|c}
\underline{1} & \underline{52} \\
\underline{3.1} & \underline{52} \\
\underline{0.37} & \underline{AC} \\
\end{array}$ i **54** i 811.4 **50** 823.0 **49** 821.5 **48 819.7 818.2** 3+0 8 AC PVX. STE 2005 **42** 824. **43** 822.8 **44** 821.5 (0.67 AC) 45 820.0 818.5 SYSTEM 2000 TOTAL Q100 = 2.7 CFS TOTAL AREA = 1.5 AC 2002 (0.21 AC 2003

