

## CITY OF SAN DIEGO

## INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION

DATE Sept. 23, 1940FROM Carol B. McFadden, Welfare InvestigatorTO Fred A. Rhodes, City ManagerNO. \_\_\_\_\_ SUBJECT Application of Tony Covina for dine-dance license at 47th & University

## SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Upon making an investigation of the sentiment of the community regarding the granting of a dine-dance license for the Hacienda, 47th and University, it was found that the following persons expressed objections to the granting of the license:

Mrs. W. C. Moody, 3862 47th St., who lives two doors from the building;  
Mrs. Florence Campbell, 3856 47th St., who had signed the petition in favor of the dine-dance license very much against her better judgment;  
Mrs. Beatty, 4688 Wightman,  
Mr. and Mrs. John L. Golden, who signed the petition favoring the dine-dance license under the impression it was to be a sandwich shop with beer, and not a dine-dance to which they are definitely opposed;  
Mrs. Durfee, 3843 47th St.;  
Mrs. L. S. Caruthers, 4042 47th St.,  
Tom W. Johnston, 3861 Menlo Ave.

The other residents in the vicinity expressed no objection.

About fifty percent of the names on the petition favoring the granting of the license are names of persons in business but who are not residents in the community.

Due to the objections on the part of residents most concerned, and the fact that this place is located in a section of the city where there is a large minor population, and due also to the fact that the past history of the place and the experience of this department shows that there will always be trouble with minors patronizing this place, I hereby recommend, in the interests of public welfare and morals, under Section 8 of Ordinance 581, that this license be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

*Carol B. McFadden*

Carol B. McFadden  
Welfare Investigator



Date of reply \_\_\_\_\_

By \_\_\_\_\_

Please take action  
indicated not  
later than ☐

Please take up  
with ☐

Please investigate  
and report to ☐

Please set time when  
we may discuss  
this ☐

Please express your  
opinion ☐

Please make  
recommendation ☐

Please retain  
enclosures ☐

Please RETURN  
enclosures ☐

Please initial and  
date here as soon  
as read

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 4 34 PM 1940

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA



## CITY OF SAN DIEGO

## INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION

DATE 9/23/40

FROM Clifford E. Peterson, Chief of Police

TO E.A. Rhodes, City Manager

NO. SUBJECT

Please take action  
indicated not  
later than ☐Please take up  
with ☐Please investigate  
and report to ☐Please set time when  
we may discuss  
this ☐Please express your  
opinion ☐Please make  
recommendation ☐Please retain  
enclosures ☐Please RETURN  
enclosures ☐Please initial and  
date here as soon  
as read

## POLICE DEPARTMENT

With reference to the attached application of Tony Covina to operate a dine and dance hall at 4693 University Ave., I have the following:

The application has been in the hands of this department for some time during which an investigation has been conducted to determine the desirability of granting a license for this location. At the suggestion of this office a representative of Mr. Covina, the applicant, made a survey of the neighborhood and obtained signatures on a petition signifying that the signers were not opposed to the granting of the license. This petition was submitted to this department, was rechecked by members of this department, a report filed on their findings, and the petition and report submitted to the Social Welfare Department. It is my understanding that a further check was made by the Social Welfare Department, and persons whom our officers were unable to contact were contacted by that department, with the result that an additional report will be filed by the Social Welfare Dept.

The information obtained by members of this department and the Social Welfare Department indicates that a large majority of the persons who signed the petition would not be materially effected by the granting or denial of the license, but that persons who refused to sign the petition, as well as some persons who did sign without completely understanding the situation, would be effected by the possible noise and disturbance arising from the operation of the business, and, therefore, would vigorously oppose the granting of the license.

While the location is fronting on University Ave., it is immediately adjoined to the South by a residential section, and I am of the opinion that this department would receive many complaints from residents of the area regarding the activities of persons after they have left the dine and dance hall. My recommendations are not meant to reflect in any manner against the possible operation of the dine and dance hall itself, but our experience has shown that the management of any business cannot regulate the conduct of the patrons after they have left the premises.

You will note that at one time earlier this month this application was approved by this department, but further investigation subsequent to the stamp of approval being placed on the application, had indicated the advisability of recommending a denial. It is therefore my recommendation that the application be denied.

Date of reply

By

Chief of Police

CEP:VK

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 4 34 PM 1940

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA