

September 16, 2020

Grace Ehm, Ehm Architecture By email only to <u>gracem@ehmarch.com</u>

Subject: Old Town Escape Barn Assessment Letter; Project No. 658052, Internal Order No. 24008534, Old Town

Dear Grace:

The Development Services Department has completed the Second review of the project referenced above, and described as:

Planned Development Permit and Conditional Use Permit for an escape room with two residences, located at 3886 Ampudia St. The 0.11-acre site is in the OTMCR-1-2 Zone, within the Old Town San Diego Community Plan area.

Enclosed is a Cycle Issues Report (Enclosure 1) which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines and outside agencies. The purpose of this assessment letter is to summarize the significant project issues and identify a course of action for the processing of your project.

If any additional requirements should arise during the subsequent review of your project, we will identify the issue and the reason for the additional requirement. To resolve any outstanding issues, please provide the information that is requested in the Cycle Issues Report. If you choose not to provide the requested additional information or make the requested revisions, processing may continue. However, the project may be recommended for denial if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved and the appropriate findings for approval cannot be made.

The Development Services Department will generally formulate a formal recommendation for your project subsequent to completion of the following milestones: 1) After the City Council recognized Community Planning Group has provided a formal project recommendation; 2) After all City staff project-review comments have been adequately addressed; and 3) During the final stages of the environmental review process.

As your Development Project Manager, I will coordinate all correspondence, emails, phone calls, and meetings directly with the applicants assigned "Point of Contact." The addressee on this letter has been designated as the Point of Contact for your project. Please notify me if you should decide to change your Point of Contact while I am managing this project.

Page 2 Grace Ehm September 16, 2020

- I. **REQUIRED APPROVALS/FINDINGS:** Your project as currently proposed requires the processing of:
 - Process Two Neighborhood Development Permit (NDP), with findings located at <u>SDMC</u> <u>126.0404(a) and (f)</u>, for deviations to setbacks at an infill site per <u>SDMC 143.0915(b)(2) and</u> <u>143.0920</u>, for alteration of a previously conforming structural envelope per <u>SDMC</u> <u>127.0106(b)</u>, and also for addition to or alteration of any non-historical structure which is major in scope per <u>SDMC Table 1516-01A</u>.
 - This permit would not be required for setback deviations if the proposed stairs for the residential units can conform to the current required side setbacks.
 - This permit would not be required for expansion of a previously conforming structural envelope if the stairs remain within the existing structural envelope.
 - This permit would not be required for alteration of a building in Old Town if info is submitted per LDR-Planning comment 7 showing that the project doesn't meet the criteria.
 - Process Three Conditional Use Permit (CUP), with findings located at <u>SDMC 126.0305</u>, for an assembly use accommodating 25 or more people per <u>SDMC Table 1516-01D</u>, unless the use can be considered an instructional studio accommodating up to 24 people for entertainment, instruction and recreation.
 - This permit would not be required if it can be demonstrated that there will be no more than 24 people allowed at any one time.
 - Other permit types may be required based on information requested in review comments.

Per LDR-Planning, the project could be allowed ministerially with the design changes and the occupancy requirements listed above.

If multiple discretionary permits are required, all actions will be consolidated under this application and processed concurrently, pursuant to the Consolidation of Processing regulations contained in Municipal Code Section 112.0103. The process level (staff decision, Hearing Officer, Planning Commission, City Council) cannot be determined until the permit types are identified.

- Required Findings: In order to recommend approval of your project, certain findings must be substantiated in the record. Please see the sections listed/linked above for findings. The required findings may change as permit types change.
- II. SIGNIFICANT PROJECT ISSUES: The significant project issues are summarized below. Resolution of these issues could affect your project. Additional explanation is provided in the Cycle Issues Report.
 - LDR-Planning, Long Range Planning, LDR-Engineering and LDR-Transportation identified several uncleared issues from the first review.
 - Long-Range Planning continues to identify the need to appear before the Old Town San Diego Planned District Design Review Board; however, as mentioned in your last

Page 3 Grace Ehm September 16, 2020

assessment letter, this is only required for Process Three, Four, and Five decisions. While your CURRENT submittal appears to be a Process Three, with the Hearing Officer as the decision maker, if you no longer require a CUP, and only require an NDP, you would be a Process Two, and you would not be required to go to the Old Town San Diego Planned District Design Review Board. Long-Range Planning has also identified landscaping and parking lot texturing issues.

- LDR-Planning has identified a range of possible processing options that require additional project details. Some or all the discretionary permits applied for may not potentially be required. Based on which permits are eventually required, your project may need to appear before the Old Town San Diego Planned District Design Review Board. There are several requested plan check comments.
- LDR-Planning has identified several clarifications (mentioned under **REQUIRED APPROVALS/FINDINGS** above) that could change the process level of your project.

 Please provide the requested information. There are also several plan check comments.
 If the project is a Process Three or higher, it will need to go to the Old Town San Diego
 Planned District Design Review Board. LDR-Planning has also identified the design criteria for the Old Town Planned District, which your project must meet regardless of process.
- LDR-Environmental has requested revisions to the Climate Action Plan (CAP) Checklist and has pointed out that other disciplines have CAP Checklist issues as well.
- LDR-Engineering has requested information on stormwater and notes on plans.
- SECOND REQUEST: Determination of consistency with the Airport Land Use Plan is required by the San Diego County Regional Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC). Please fill out <u>THIS FORM</u> and email to your project manager, along with an electronic copy of your grant deed or title report, plans, and FAA Determination. Your project manager will submit to the Airport Authority (ALUC) on your behalf at the time of your resubmittal.
- III. STUDIES/REPORTS REQUIRED: A number of documents have been identified as necessary to the project's review. Reference the attached Submittal Requirements Report (Enclosure 3).
- IV. PROJECT ACCOUNT STATUS: Our current accounting system does not provide for real-time information regarding account status; however, our records show a remaining balance of \$5,035.30. No additional deposits are required at this time. Additional deposits can be made online through Open DSD by entering your project number in the Project ID field: <u>http://opendsd.sandiego.gov/web/approvals/</u>.

During the processing of your project, you will continue to receive statements with the break-down of staff charges to your account. Should you have questions about those charges, please feel free to contact me directly.

V. **TIMELINE:** Upon your review of the attached Cycle Issues Report, you may wish to schedule a meeting with staff and your consultants prior to resubmitting the project. Please telephone me if you wish to schedule a meeting with staff. During the meeting, we will also focus on key milestones that must be met in order to facilitate the review of your proposal

Page 4 Grace Ehm September 16, 2020

and to project a potential timeline for a hearing date. Your next review cycle should take approximately 18 business days to complete.

Municipal Code Section 126.0114 requires that a development permit application be closed if the applicant fails to submit or resubmit requested materials, information, fees, or deposits within 90 calendar days. Once closed, the application, plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the applicant or destroyed. To reapply, the applicant shall be required to submit a new development permit application with required submittal materials and shall be subject to all applicable fees and regulations in effect on the date the new application is deemed complete.

If you wish to continue processing this project, please note that delays in resubmitting projects and/or responding to City staff's inquiries negatively impact this Department's ability to effectively manage workload, which can lead to both higher processing costs and longer timelines for your project.

VI. RESUBMITTALS/NEXT STEPS: <u>During the COVID-19 crisis, please arrange to resubmit</u> with me directly. Please be prepared to provide the following:

A. <u>Plans and Reports</u>: Provide the number of sets of plans and reports as shown on the attached Submittal Requirements Report. The plans should be folded to an approximate 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ x 11-inch size.

B. <u>Response to Cycle Issues Report</u>: Prepare a cover letter that specifically describes how you have addressed each of the issues identified in the Cycle Issues Report and any issues identified in this cover letter, if applicable. Or, you may choose to simply submit the Cycle Issues Report, identifying within the margins how you have addressed the issue. If the issue is addressed on one or more sheets of the plans or the reports, please reference the plan, sheet number, report or page number as appropriate. If it is not feasible to address a particular issue, please indicate the reason. <u>Include a copy of this Assessment Letter, Cycle Issues Report and your response letter if applicable, with each set of plans</u>.

- VII. **STAFF REVIEW TEAM:** Should you require clarification about specific comments from the staff reviewing team, please contact me, or feel free to contact the reviewer directly. The names and telephone numbers of each reviewer can be found on the enclosed Cycle Issues Report.
- VIII. **PROJECT ISSUE RESOLUTION CONFERENCE:** Project Issue Resolution (PIR) conferences provide customers an opportunity to have issues heard and considered by executive department management. A PIR will be considered if, after the issuance of the third Assessment Letter for discretionary projects, customers and staff have been unable to resolve project issues. The PIR would address issues such as disagreements between the applicant and staff on interpretations of codes or ordinances, requests for additional information or studies, or project-related processing requirements. Any determinations from a PIR are not binding on any City decision-making body, such as City Council, Planning

Page 5 Grace Ehm September 16, 2020

Commission, or Hearing Officer. Qualifying PIR requests should be coordinated with your Development Project Manager.

In conclusion, please note that information forms and bulletins, project submittal requirements, and the Land Development Code may be accessed on line at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u>. Many land use plans for the various communities throughout the City of San Diego are now available on line at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/profiles/index.shtml</u>.

Open DSD: To view project details online, visit: <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/opendsd/</u>.

For modifications to the project scope, submittal requirements or questions regarding any of the above, please contact me prior to resubmittal. I may be reached by telephone at (619) 446-5407 or via e-mail at <u>tcleveland@sandiego.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Iran Cerdand

Travis Cleveland Development Project Manager

Enclosures:

- 1. Cycle Issues Report
- 2. Submittal Requirements Report
- cc: File Chair, Old Town Community Planning Group Reviewing Staff

L64A-003A-2

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department

1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

9/15/20 2:24 pm

Page 1 of 13

Project Nbr: 658052 Project Mgr: Cleveland, Tra	Title:Old Town Escape Baavis(619) 44		Cleveland@sa	ndiego.gov	
Review Information					
Cycle Type:	6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	08/11/2020	Deemed Complete on 08/11/2	2020
Reviewing Discipline:	LDR-Planning Review	Cycle Distributed:	08/11/2020		
Reviewer:	Bautista, Patricia	Assigned:	08/13/2020		
	(619) 446-5386	Started:	08/28/2020		
	PLBautista@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	09/01/2020		
Hours of Review:	6.00	Completed:	09/11/2020	COMPLETED LATE	
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	09/15/2020		
	hanged to 09/04/2020 from 09/04/ I they want to review this project a	1 0			
Last month LDR-Planning	Review performed 91 reviews, 56.	0% were on-time, and 42.6	% were on pro	ojects at less than < 3 complete	submittals.
⊵ 5/12/2020					
🖻 Site Info					
No outstanding Issu	es				
🖻 Permits					
Issue	sue Text				
Cleared? Num Is					
	lease indicate how many people n 24 people or less, please note that from Cycle 2)				
16 P 16 (f	24 people or less, please note that				

Cleared?	Num	<u>Issue Text</u>

18	Sheet 1, address appears to be incorrect. Sheet 1 shows 3889 Ampudia St. Planning staff believes it should be
	3886. Please review and update as necessary. (From Cycle 2)

- 19 Sheet 1 Summary of Request outlines that scope includes "remodel existing second floor apartment and add an apartment." Sheet 3 existing floor plan/demo outlines two office spaces and no apartment. Please update scope to be accurate. (From Cycle 2)
- 20 OTMCR-1-2 allows for 1 unit per 800sf of lot area. Please provide a density calculation on Sheet 1. (From Cycle 2)
 - 21 Sheet 1, please provide the maximum FAR permitted per Table 1516-01E. Max FAR is 2.0 with a 0.2 bonus for residential mixed use. Total allowable FAR is 2.2, or 10,696.4sf. (From Cycle 2)
- 22 Sheet 1, please provide a setback table outlining the required setbacks and the existing setbacks for the building and the proposed setbacks. (From Cycle 2)
- 24 Sheet 1, please demonstrate compliance to pedestrian paths and walkways as outlined in SDMC 1516.0127(d). (From Cycle 2)
- 28 Sheet 1, please dimension the curb cut. (From Cycle 2)

Community Plan/Design

1		Issue	
	Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
		30	Per SDMC 1516.0103(b) it shall be the duty of the Old Town San Diego Planned District Design Review Board to make recommendations to the appropriate decision-making authority on applications for permits that require
			Process Three, Process Four and Process Five decisions. Please provide feedback from the Review Board when available. (From Cycle 2)
		32	Per SDMC 1516.0125(a) all development must conform to one of three architecture types. Please note the architecture type with corresponding year range on Sheet 1. (From Cycle 2)



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

6

L64A-003A-2

	-0007-2		
	Cleared?	<u>lssue</u> Num	Issue Text
			Appendices A, B, and C provide visual references and descriptions of architectural features, site design, and streetscape features. Appendix D provided acceptable plant and tree species for landscaping. Appendix E provides visual references and descriptions related to sign design. Appendix F provides the list of acceptable exterior building colors. Please explicitly address and provide code references for all required design features as noted in the Appendices within the plan set. (From Cycle 2) Please be very specific and detailed about what demolition is proposed to occur. SDMC 1516.0126 describes when previously conforming regulations apply for buildings and structures in regards to the required
		35	architectural period design as mentioned above. Non-historic structures with architectural features that are not consistent with one of the three architectural periods shall be brought into conformance if proposed demolition would remove, destroy, demolish, or alter 50% or more of the structures building façade. (From Cycle 2) It appears this could happen along the front where the stairs are proposed but a calculation is required to demonstrate the actual amount of alteration. Planning must confer with Historic review staff for historic potential.
1		36	(From Cycle 2) Building facade means all walls, or portions thereof, of a building that are visible when projected perpendicularly
		37	to a single plane that is most parallel to the closest public right-of-way. [Info Only] (From Cycle 2) If 50% or more of the building façade is being altered, SDMC 1516.0127 applies and criteria must be met. (Design must comply with one of the three allowable architectural types) Please clearly outline how projects
		39	meets all requirements if applicable based on façade alteration along Ampudia St. (From Cycle 2) The following comments do not superceed Long-Range Planning comments. In the even the process is reduced lower than a Process 4, Current Planning provides Community Plan comments. Please see Long-Raange review for conformance to Community Plan. Following comments (44-48) are applicable only if process is
		40	reduced to a Process 2 or 3. [Info Only] (From Cycle 2) Development is consistent with Land Use Policy 2.1 which is to encourage mixed-use development incorporating residential units in areas designated Community Commercial - Residential Permitted and Mixed
		41	Commercial Residential (p.38). [Info Only] (From Cycle 2) Development is consistent with Land Use Policy 6.1 of the Hortensia Subdistrict which envisions to allow a mix of retail, office, hotel, and residential uses in Hortensia, which can be combined within a single building or in
		42	multiple buildings (p.48). [Info Only] (From Cycle 2) Per Hortensia Land Use Policy 6.5 there should be an incentive for buildings in the Hortensia Sub-District that are currently not consistent with the Architectural Criteria and Architectural Periods policies in the Urban Design
		43	Element to redevelop to be consistent with the Community Plan. Current architectural style has yet to be confirmed. Please ensure architecture type is clear on resubmitted plans. This comment will be revisited upon more information being submitted. (From Cycle 2) Per Urban Design Policy 1.3 Design renovations and additions to non-historical buildings that would alter or demolish or alter a majority of the building's street-facing facade to reflect one of the three pre-1872 architectural periods of Old Town prior to 1872. This mirrors Comment #42 above. Comment will be revisited after more
		44	information regarding frontage alteration has been provided. Calculation is needed. (From Cycle 2) Per Urban Design Policy 1.5 Design renovations and additions to non-historical buildings that would alter or demolish or alter a majority of the building's street-facing facade to reflect one of the three pre-1872 architectural periods of Old Town prior to 1872. Addition of stairs to the front of the building does not comply with this policy
		45	until architectural period design is confirmed and façade calculation has been provided. (From Cycle 2) For staff's information only - It appears to be Early American. See Old Town San Diego Community Plan Building Design: Architectural Periods (Chapter 5), pages 87-91 for architectural elements. [Information Only] (From
	Airport		Cycle 2)
1	Cleared?	<u>lssue</u> Num	Issue Text
			The site is located in the Airport Approach Overlay Zone (SDIA 250-262 feet AMSL), The Airport Influence Areas Overlay one (SDIA - Review Area 1), and the FAA Part 77 Noticing Areas Overlay Zone (SDIA threshold at 65 feet AMSL & North Island NAS threshold at 176 feet AMSL). [Info Only] (From Cycle 2)
		47	Per ALUC Review criteria, the Airport Authority requires a Consistency Determination when there is an increase in residential dwelling units and/or change of use proposed within the AIA Review area 1. Because project is proposing an additional dwelling unit and a change of use project must be reviewed for a consistency
		48	determination by the ALUC. (From Cycle 2) Please complete the highlighted areas on the enclosed "SDCRAA Application for Determination of Consistency" and provide the documents requested in the application to the City, so that City staff can review and sign it before forwarding it to SDCRAA. Also find form at the following URL:
		49	http://www.san.org/Portals/0/Documents/Land%20Use%20Compatibility/2018-075-07_ALUC-Application-Deter mination-Consistency.pdf (From Cycle 2) Please provide a copy the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Determination letter(s) (i.e. Determination of No Hazard) if the project/permit requires a Part 77 notice to the FAA and a FAA Determination has been obtained (for more information, see Information Bulletin 520). URL below.
			https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/dsdib520.pdf (From Cycle 2)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Patricia Bautista at (619) 446-5386. Project Nbr: 658052 / Cycle: 6



L64A-003A-2

1	Cleared?	<u>Issue</u> Num	Issue Text
		50	Please provide electronic copies of the plans (structural, electrical and mechanical not needed) so staff can
		51	email as attachments to the Airport Authority. (From Cycle 2) Note that the SDCRAA consistency determination process could take up to 60 calendar days once the SDCRAA has determined that the application is complete and the package has all the required documentation. (From Cycle 2)
	CAP Che	ecklist	• •
1	Cleared?	<u>Issue</u> Num	Issue Text
1			Step 1 Land Use Consistency and Step 2 CAP Strategies Consistency - Strategy 1: Instructions are to check the
	_		approriate box AND provide explanation for your answer. Please provide explanation/dialogue in the box provided. (From Cycle 2)
🖻 9/1 [·]	1/2020		
Þ	Permits		
1	Cleared?	<u>lssue</u> Num	Issue Text
I			Follow-up to Issue #5 (for reference only): Per Environmental reviewer, the project premises is not a designated
		54	archaeological site, thus the project is not subject to a Site Development Permit. (New Issue) Follow-up to Issue #16: Sheet 1 does not include the total maximum allowable occupancy load for the building
		54	(Item #11 shows the Occupancy Group). Please include the maximum answable occupancy load for the entertainment use. (New Issue)
È	Review		
1	Cleared	lssue	leave Text
1	Cleared?	<u>Num</u> 55	Issue Text Follow-up to Issue #18: Address is still inconsistent. On Sheet 1 within Project Development Summary Table #2,
			the address is shown as 3889. The title block (right side of page) shows address as 3886. The address listed within the City's GIS mapping is 3886. (New Issue)
		56	Follow-up to Issue #19: It is still unclear where the location of the original apartment unit is on the floor plan. Please show on the floor plan the location of the original apartment. (New Issue)
			Follow-up to Issue #20: Density calculation is still not provided. (New Issue)
			Follow-up to Issue #21: The proposed FAR is provided, but not the maximum allowable per the zone (this is shown as "X"). Please revise to include the maximum allowed, as outlined in Issue #21 above. (New Issue)
i i		59 60	Follow-up to Issue #22: Setback table is not provided. (New Issue) Follow-up to Issue #24: The walkway is not shown on the site plan (Sheet 1), but it is shown on the landscape
		00	plan (Sheet 11). Revise the site plan to be consistent. Callout dimension of walkway width and material of pathway. (New Issue)
		61	Follow-up to Issue #28: The curbcut width is not indicated. Please call-out the existing and proposed curbcut widths. (New Issue)
È	Commu	nity Pl	an/Design
1	Cleared?	<u>lssue</u> Num	Issue Text
i I		62	
		63	(New Issue) Issue #32 - 35: These are not shown on the plans. (New Issue)
i I		64	Issue #36-45: These will be cleared once additional documentation is provided. (New Issue)
	Airport		

1	Issue	
Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
	65	Follow-up to Issue #48-51 above: These documents were not provided. (New Issue)
🖻 New Cor	nment	
i I	Issue	
01	Maria	La sura Trat

Cleared? Num Issue Text 66 Please provide copy of the building permit records that show what the current structure was previously approved for (it is assumed this will be permit records from about 1986 as shown on plans). (New Issue) Many of the comments above were not consistent with the plans provided; please verify the plans and 67 supplemental information is consistent with the comment responses provided upon re-submittal. (New Issue)



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO **Development Services Department** 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

9/15/20 2:24 pm

Page 4 of 13

L64A-003A-2 Poviow Information

Review information				
Cycle Type:	6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	08/11/2020	Deemed Complete on 08/11/2020
Reviewing Discipline:	LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed:	08/11/2020	
Reviewer:	Kennedy, Jamie	Assigned:	08/12/2020	
	(619) 446-5445	Started:	08/31/2020	
	JMKennedy@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	09/04/2020	
Hours of Review:	8.00	Completed:	09/02/2020	COMPLETED ON TIME
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	09/15/2020	

. The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Conditions.

. We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).

. The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

Your project still has 13 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (10 of which are new issues).

. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 90 reviews, 66.7% were on-time, and 39.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Error Cycle 2 5-20-2020

No outstanding Issues

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Issue

	issue	
Cleared?	<u>Num</u>	Issue Text

5 EAS defers to LDR-Planning regarding the CAP Checklist Step 1: Land Use Consistency. (From Cycle 2) п

Health and Safety

Issue

Cleared? Num Issue Text

EAS will defer to LDR-Planning with respect to airport land use consistency and health and safety issues. 9 Please see their comments for further direction. (From Cycle 2)

Historical Resources

No outstanding Issues

Hydrology and Water Quality

Issue

п

Cleared? Num Issue Text

EAS defers to LDR-Engineering with regards to impacts regarding hydrology and water quality; please see the 12 п section's issues for further direction. (From Cycle 2)

Eand Use and Noise

lssue

Cleared? Num Issue Text

> EAS defers to Plan-Long Range Planning, LDR-Landscaping, and LDR-Planning with respect to land use 13 consistency. EAS defers to LDR-Planning with respect to noise and airports. Please see these reviews for further direction. The project will have to be consistent with the Noise Element of the city of San Diego General Plan, see Table NE-3, Land Use - Noise Compatibility Guidelines. Because a commercial (enterntainment) service use is planned and allowed in the zone, the project would not be expected to result in noise impacts. (From Cycle 2)

Paleontological Resources

No outstanding Issues

Public Utilities

	Issue	
Cleared?	Num	Issue Te

ext

16 EAS defers to PUD-Water & Sewer to review project requirements for water and sewer. Please see their comments for further direction. (From Cycle 2)

Transportation/Circulation

Issue

Cleared? Num Issue Text 17

EAS defers to LDR-Transportation regarding review of transportation/circulation, LDR-Landscaping, and LDR-Planning with respect to transportation impacts. (From Cycle 2)

Tribal Cultural Resources

or questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Jamie Kennedy at (619) 446-5445. Project Nbr: 658052 / Cycle: 6

9/15/20 2:24 pm

Page 5 of 13

L64A-003A-2

No outstanding Issues

Visual Effects + Neighborhood

Issue <u>Cleared?</u> Num Issue Text

EAS defers to LDR-Planning and Plan-Long Range Planning review with respect to the project's consistency with urban design under the applicable community plan. Please refer to their issues for further direction. Note that Review by the Old Town Design Review Board is required. Under the City's CEQA Significance Determination Thresholds, projects that severely contrast with the surrounding neighborhood character may have a significant impact, in particular in Old Town. (From Cycle 2)

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department

1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

CEQA Determination

<u>Issue</u> <u>Cleared?</u> <u>Num</u> <u>Issue Text</u>

20 Provided that the other review disciplines' remaining project review issues are addressed and their project reviews do not identify project issues that could potentially have a significant impact on the environment, the project may qualify for a Categorical Exemption from CEQA. However, since other disciplines have outstanding issues, this determination is preliminary. (From Cycle 2)

21 The CEQA compliance review for the project will remain in extended environmental initial study status and the project processing timeline Requirements under CEQA will be held in abeyance until the review issues of all reviewing disciplines are adequately addressed. (From Cycle 2)

in Cycle 6 9-2-2020

Project Description

No outstanding Issues

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

1		<u>Issue</u>	
į	Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
		23	Per applicant response to comments dated August 3, 2020, "Step 1 Option B is affirmative, as there is an increase in density in a TPA." Please note this option only applies to projects not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning deignations and includes a land use plan an/or zoning amendment. In the box for Step 1, an explanation must be provided per LDR-Planning comments in cycle 2. It appears the project is consistent with the land use and zoning designations, EAS defers to LDR-Planning for a formal determination. (New Issue)
		24	Step 2 of the CAP Checklist should be checked N/A. Please note EAS has provided a sample explanation for an answer of N/A in Issue 7. (New Issue)
		31	Please address LDR - Transportation and LDR-Planning issues on the CAP checklist. Regarding required bicycle parking spaces, please revise the CAP checklist to indicate what sheet of the plans to find the spaces. EAS checked sheets 1 and 11 and did not find parking spaces called out. (New Issue)
Ē	Historic	al Res	ources

No outstanding Issues

Paleontological Resources

No outstanding Issues

Transportation

No outstanding Issues

Tribal Cultural Resources

No outstanding Issues

CEQA Determination

Ì		<u>Issue</u>	
I	Cleared?	<u>Num</u>	Issue Text
		29	Other review disciplines still have outstanding issues, and EAS requires a revised CAP Checklist. Therefore issues 20 and 21 still apply. (New Issue)



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 6 of 13

9/15/20 2:24 pm

L64A-003A-2

Review Information				
Cycle Type:	6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	08/11/2020	Deemed Complete on 08/11/2020
Reviewing Discipline:	LDR-Landscaping	Cycle Distributed:	08/11/2020	
Reviewer:	Gamelin, Clare	Assigned:	08/12/2020	
	(619) 446-5228	Started:	08/31/2020	
	CGamelin@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	09/01/2020	
Hours of Review:	2.00	Completed:	08/31/2020	COMPLETED ON TIME
Next Review Method:	Conditions	Closed:	09/15/2020	

. The review due date was changed to 09/04/2020 from 09/04/2020 per agreement with customer.

. We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: Conditions.

. The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (5 of which are new issues).

. Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 60 reviews, 73.3% were on-time, and 40.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review 5/15/2020

🖻 2nd Review 8/31/2020

🖻 Conditio	ns	
Issue		
Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
	18	Prior to issuance of any public improvement permit, the Owner/Permittee shall submit complete landscape construction documents for right-of-way improvements to the Development Services Department for approval. Improvement plans shall show, label, and dimension a 40-square-foot area around each tree which is unencumbered by utilities. Driveways, utilities, drains, water and sewer laterals shall be designed so as not to prohibit the placement of street trees.
	19	(New Issue) Prior to issuance of any building permit (including shell), the Owner/Permittee shall submit complete landscape and irrigation construction documents, which are consistent with the Landscape Standards, to the Development Services Department for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Development Plan, on file in the Development Services Department. Construction plans shall provide a 40-square-foot area around each tree that is unencumbered by hardscape and utilities unless otherwise approved per §142.0403(b)6.
	20	(New Issue) The Owner/Permittee shall be responsible for the maintenance of all landscape improvements shown on the approved plans, including in the right-of-way, unless long-term maintenance of said landscaping will be the responsibility of another entity approved by the Development Services Department. All required landscape shall be maintained consistent with the Landscape Standards in a disease, weed, and litter free condition at all times. Severe pruning or "topping" of trees is not permitted.
	21	(New Issue) If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction documents is damaged or removed, the Owner/Permittee shall repair and/or replace

in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department within 30 days of damage or Certificate of Occupancy [Final Inspection (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Landscaping' review, please call Clare Gamelin at (619) 446-5228. Project Nbr: 658052 / Cycle: 6

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 7 of 13

L64A-003A-2

Review Information Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 08/11/2020 Deemed Complete on 08/11/2020 Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review Cycle Distributed: 08/11/2020 Reviewer: Florezabihi, Hoss Assigned: 08/13/2020 (619) 446-5348 Started: 09/01/2020 florezabihih@sandiego.gov Review Due: 09/01/2020 Hours of Review: 1.50 Completed: 09/01/2020 COMPLETED ON TIME Closed: 09/15/2020 Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

. The review due date was changed to 09/04/2020 from 09/04/2020 per agreement with customer.

. The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.

We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).

. The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 10 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (2 of which are new issues).

. Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 78 reviews, 84.6% were on-time, and 37.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

🖻 1st Review - 05-11-20

1		Issue	
	Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
		5	Submit a completed Form I-4 and Form I-5 that addresses how the 8 possible Low Impact Development (LID) BMPs and 6 possible Source Control BMPs have been incorporated into the project. If any of the 14 possible BMPs have not been applied in the project design, add a discussion in the form why the omitted BMPs are not feasible or not applicable. (From Cycle 2)
, , , ,		6	A copy of the Standard SWQMP forms I-4 and I-5 can be downloaded from:
i I I			. https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/january_2018_storm_water_standards_manual_0.pdf
1			(From Cycle 2)
i		7	Revise the Site Plan and call out curb to property line distance. (From Cycle 2)
1		8	On the Site Plan Sheet 1, call out to replace the existing curb with curb/gutter per current City Standards along Ampudia Street frontage. (From Cycle 2)
		9	On the Site Plan, call out to reconstruct the sidewalk, maintaining the existing sidewalk scoring pattern and preserving the contractor's stamp, adjacent to the site on Ampudia Street (From Cycle 2)
		11	Please note the project will be conditioned to obtain Encroachment Maintenance and Removal Agreement (EMRA) for proposed private street trees, landscape/irrigation within Ampudia Street public right of way. (From Cycle 2)
 		12	Development Permit Conditions will be determined on the next submittal when all requested information is provided. (From Cycle 2)
 			Please provide a written response to all comments whether you agree or not and in case of disagreement express your reasoning. (From Cycle 2)
Z	2nd Rev	riew - O	19/01/20
i		Issue	

1		13306	
į.	Cleared?	<u>Num</u>	Issue Text
- i		14	Unchecked comments of previous review are still standing and need to be addressed. (New Issue)
÷		15	please note most of our comments are not addressed and this will be considered as incomplete submittal. (New
			Issue)



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO **Development Services Department** 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 8 of 13

L64A-003A-2

Review Information				
Cycle Type:	6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	08/11/2020	Deemed Complete on 08/11/2020
Reviewing Discipline:	LDR-Transportation Dev	Cycle Distributed:	08/11/2020	
Reviewer:	Novoa, Carlos	Assigned:	08/11/2020	
	(619) 446-5493	Started:	09/01/2020	
	CNovoa@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	09/01/2020	
Hours of Review:	6.00	Completed:	09/01/2020	COMPLETED ON TIME
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	09/15/2020	

Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

. The review due date was changed to 09/04/2020 from 09/04/2020 per agreement with customer.

The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.

We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Transportation Dev on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).

The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 18 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (6 of which are new issues).

. Last month LDR-Transportation Dev performed 55 reviews, 56.4% were on-time, and 41.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

by 1st Review - 5/18/2020 Issue Cleared? Num Issue Text PARKING: п The minimum parking stall dimensions and aisle widths of all newly created or restriped spaces should comply with SDMC Section 142.0560, Table 142-05K, and Table 142-05L. Parking spaces and drive aisles must be dimensioned on the plans. Please revise and resubmit. (From Cycle 2) PARKING: 5 Demonstrate using a vehicular turning template how vehicles exiting from Parking Spaces #4-8 will not conflict with vehicles parked in Parking Spaces #1-3. (From Cycle 2) PARKING: 6 The project must provide accessible parking spaces per current California Building Code requirements and an accessible space is shown on the plans. This space must be designated, striped and signed, as van accessible with a minimum 8-foot aisle on the passenger side of the parking stall. Clearly dimension the proposed accessible spaces and provide a loading zone to the appropriate width specified above on the plans. (From Cycle 2) 7 PARKING: Please clarify if any of the proposed on-site parking spaces will be designated for exclusive use by tenants of the two proposed dwelling units. (From Cycle 2) CAP CHECKLIST STRATEGY 3: 9 Per CAP Checklist Strategy 3 section 4 bicycle parking will be provided, please identify location of bicycle parking on site plan. (From Cycle 2) TURNAROUND AREA: Aisles that that do not provide through circulation shall provide a turnaround area per Section 142.0560(d)(3) of the SDMC. Please show and label a turnaround space on Sheet 1. (From Cycle 2) 11 DEDICATION AND IMPROVEMENT: The existing functional classification and ultimate classification of Ampudia Street is a 2-Lane Local per the Old Town Community Plan. A minimum parkway of 10 ft with non-contiguous sidewalk is required per current City standards. (From Cycle 2) 12 CROSS-SECTION/FRONTAGE: The plans should clearly show and dimension all existing and proposed public improvements fronting the property and provide roadway cross sections of all fronting streets including centerline to property line distance, centerline to curb line distance, travel lane configuration and width, and location of sidewalk, in order to determine any potential street dedication or improvement requirements. (From Cycle 2)



L64A-003A-2

	. –	
1	Issue	
		Issue Text
		DRIVEWAY:
	10	Per SDMC 1516.0128(b): Driveways shall be perpendicular to the street and shall not exceed a width of 14 feet for projects with less than six residential units or 20 feet for all other projects, to be measured at the property line. Driveways that do not meet current City standards will need to be reconstructed to the current City standards per satisfaction of the City Engineer. Please label and dimension the project driveway to demonstrate it meets these requirements.
1		(From Cycle 2)
	14	SIDEWALK:
		Per SDMC 1516.0128(c): The minimum sidewalk width along all streets, except San Diego Avenue, shall be 6 feet. Label and dimension the proposed sidewalk along the project frontage. (From Cycle 2)
	15	VISIBILITY TRIANGLES:
		No obstruction including solid walls in the visibility area shall exceed 3 feet in height. Plant material, other than trees, within the public right-of-way that is located within visibility areas shall not exceed 24 inches in height, measured from the top of the adjacent curb per San Diego Municipal Code diagram 113-02SS.
	16	(From Cycle 2) ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (INFORMATION ONLY, NO ACTION REQUIRED):
	10	Pending a redesign and/or comments from other reviewing disciplines, LDR-Transportation staff reserves the right to provide additional comments on subsequent review cycles.
2nd Boy	O	(From Cycle 2)
2nd Rev	iew - s	// //2020
	<u>Issue</u>	
·		
	17	PREVIOUS UNCLEARED ISSUES: Please address all uncleared issues from previous cycles as well. These issues are still applicable to the project
		and have not been resolved.
	10	(New Issue) TRIP GENERATION:
	10	The expected daily trip generation for the project is 98 ADT with 6 AM (3 in, 3 out) peak hour trips and 14 PM (9
		in, 5 out) peak hour trips. This is based on a rate of 8 daily trips/dwelling unit for the 16 dwelling units for Multiple Dwelling Units (Over 20 dwelling units/acre) and 40 trips/1,000 SF for the 2,057 SF for Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial.
· _		(New Issue)
	19	VEHICLES MILES TRAVELED: Per the Transportation Study Manual (TSM); the project is presumed to have less than significant VMT impact
		because it is considered a small project and would generate less than 300 average daily vehicle trips (ADT).
	20	
	20	LOCAL MOBILITY ANALYSIS: Project is within the OTMCR1-2 zone which is consistent with Community Plan/Zoning designation and generate
1		less than 1,000 daily unadjusted driveway vehicle trips, therefore project is exempt from a local mobility
1		analysis.
	21	(New Issue) GENERAL:
		Plans provided do not reflect updates requested by staff. Please provide an updated set of plans that
		incorporate staff comments
	22	(New Issue) ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (INFORMATION ONLY, NO ACTION REQUIRED):
		Pending a redesign and/or comments from other reviewing disciplines, LDR-Transportation staff reserves the right to provide additional comments on subsequent review cycles.
1		(New Issue)

6

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department

1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 9 of 13



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO **Development Services Department** 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 10 of 13

L64A-003A-2

Review Information Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 08/11/2020 Deemed Complete on 08/11/2020 Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Long Range Planning Cycle Distributed: 08/11/2020 Reviewer: Anthony, Shannon Assigned: 08/13/2020 Started: 08/26/2020 (619) 533-6406 Santhony@sandiego.gov Review Due: 09/01/2020 Hours of Review: 6.00 Completed: 08/26/2020 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 09/15/2020

. The review due date was changed to 09/04/2020 from 09/04/2020 per agreement with customer.

The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.

We request a 3rd complete submittal for Plan-Long Range Planning on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).

The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 16 outstanding review issues with Plan-Long Range Planning (7 of which are new issues).

. Last month Plan-Long Range Planning performed 18 reviews, 55.6% were on-time, and 50.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

First Review 5/12/20

Reviewer Information

No outstanding Issues

🖻 Review I	Description
------------	-------------

<u> </u>		
Cleared?	lssue Num	Issue Text
		These comments pertain to a Planned Development Permit and Conditional Use Permit for an escape room business with two residences within an existing building on an 0.11-acre site located at 3886 Ampudia Street. Please address issues below with plan revisions and/or additional analysis as appropriate and provide
- Applical	hia Dia	responses with the cycle comments in a future submittal. INFORMATION ONLY (From Cycle 2)
Applical		115
Cleared?	lssue Num	Issue Text
	3	The project site is subject to the recommendations of the citywide General Plan. Community-specific
	5	recommendations are provided by the Old Town San Diego Community Plan, the City's adopted land use plan for this area. https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/profiles/oldtown/plan INFORMATION ONLY (From Cycle 2)
🖻 Land Us	e	
1	Issue	
Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
	4	The Community Plan land use map (Figure 3-1) designates the subject site as Mixed Commercial Residential. This land use provides for standalone office, visitor serving commercial, residential, or a mix of residential and commercial on smaller parcels. The proposal for a mix of residential and commercial uses within the site is consistent with community plan land use. INFORMATION ONLY (From Cycle 2)
📩 Urban D	esign	
	Issue	
Cleared?		Issue Text
	5	Comments provided in this section will refer to the Urban Design Element of the community plan. This section provides policies that relate to building and site design, landscaping, streetscape design and signs to direct development and public improvements within Old Town San Diego. Link to document: https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/5_otsd_urban_design.pdf INFORMATION ONLY (From Cycle 2)
	6	
	7	
🖻 Correcti	ons	

For questions regarding the 'Plan-Long Range Planning' review, please call Shannon Anthony at (619) 533-6406. Project Nbr: 658052 / Cycle: 6

L64

9/15/20 2:24 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department
L64A-003A-2	1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154
Issue	
Cleared? Num	Issue Text
	Please correct the Keynotes 9 and 10 on sheets 6 and 7; they are mislabeled. Keynote 9 states that the existing window to remain, but it is labeled on a door and Keynote 10 states existing door to remain, and it is
🔲 11	labeled on a window. (From Cycle 2) Please correct the sheet number within the note on Sheet 1 that states ¿Demolish and Reconstruct Parking Lot. See Sheet 9 for conceptual Landscape Plan¿. The Landscape Plan is on Sheet 11 (not Sheet 9) - the note
	needs to be corrected to reflect this change. (From Cycle 2) gn Review Board
Issue	
	Issue Text
	Review by the Old Town Design Review Board (OTDRB) is required. The Board will work with the applicant on the project's consistency with the Standards and Criteria. Once the project design is finalized and the application is ready to proceed to hearing, a final review and recommendation from the OTDRB is required. To schedule this project for an OTDRB meeting, please contact Shannon Anthony, Assistant Planner at: SAnthony@sandiego.gov . (From Cycle 2)
Second Review 8/2	
🖻 Urban Design -	New Issues:
🖻 Landscape:	
Issue	
Cleared? Num	
	On the landscape plan, Sheet 11, please correct the common name of the large shrub "Tecoma Stans": from "Orange Bells" to "Yellow Bells" for consistent nomenclature with the plant list in Table 5-2: Planting Palette B - Landscape Tree and Shrub Species located on pages 109-110. (New Issue)
🖻 :Parking Lot:	
Issue	
Cleared? Num	Issue Text
	In reference to the Parking Lot Design, Sheet 11: please refer to Policy UD-4.22: "Design the interior of parking areas in a manner representative of a rural context, with dirt landscaped islands with arid landscaping and gravel-textured rural-type concrete or other soil-colored paving material. Discourage use of black asphalt and other dark colored asphalt as parking lot paving material to reduce the urban heat island effect." (Urban Design Element: Page 95) (New Issue)
15	
	The proposed 8' grid layout throughout the entire parking lot is too decorative and evokes a pattern that is too similar to the adjacent sidewalk. This design is also not consistent with Policy UD-4.22 "gravel-textured rural-type concrete". A single pour is preferred to meet the policy; but if a segmented pour is considered, perhaps to avoid future cracking in the cement, a larger pattern should be proposed and illustrated on the plans. (New Issue)
	The color proposed for the Parking lot, "Scofield Adobe Tan", is not consistent with Policy UD-4.22. The policy states that a "gravel-textured rural-type concrete or other soil-colored paving material." Staff does not view the "Scofield Adobe Tan" color as similar to that of the natural soil. Please choose a color that is consistent with the policy as stated above and provide color samples in your next submittal. (New Issue)
🖻 Unresolved Iss	ues:
lssue	
	<u>Issue Text</u>
	Issues 6, 7, 10 and 11 from the May 2020 review, were addressed in the applicant's response to comments;
	however, these issues were not addressed in the plans. Please address issues 6, 7, 10 and 11 in the plans prior to your next submittal. (New Issue) gn Review Board::
Issue	
Cleared? Num	Issue Text
	Please note, as stated in the previously (Issue 12), review by the Old Town Design Review Board (OTDRB) is required. The Board will work with the applicant on the project's consistency with the Standards and Criteria; this includes a review of all outstanding staff design comments (as well as the project as-a-whole). Once the project design is finalized and the application is ready to proceed to hearing, a final review and recommendation from the OTDRB is required.

To schedule this project for an OTDRB meeting, please contact Shannon Anthony, Assistant Planner at: SAnthony@sandiego.gov. (New Issue)

Additional Comments:

For questions regarding the 'Plan-Long Range Planning' review, please call Shannon Anthony at (619) 533-6406. Project Nbr: 658052 / Cycle: 6



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

9/15/20 2:24 pm

Page 12 of 13

L64A-003A-2

I.		lssue	
į.	Cleared?	Num	Issue 1
÷		19	These
1	_		Manag
			change

Text

comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project ger in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan es and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (New Issue)

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

9/15/20 2:24 pm

Page 13 of 13

L64A-003A-2 **Review Information**

6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	08/11/2020	Deemed Complete on 08/11/2020
PUD-Water & Sewer Dev	Cycle Distributed:	08/11/2020	
Purdy, Jay	Assigned:	08/12/2020	
(619) 446-5456	Started:	09/03/2020	
JPurdy@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	09/01/2020	
1.00	Completed:	09/03/2020	COMPLETED LATE
Conditions	Closed:	09/15/2020	
	JPurdy@sandiego.gov	PUD-Water & Sewer DevCycle Distributed:Purdy, JayAssigned:(619) 446-5456Started:JPurdy@sandiego.govReview Due:1.00Completed:	PUD-Water & Sewer Dev Cycle Distributed: 08/11/2020 Purdy, Jay Assigned: 08/12/2020 (619) 446-5456 Started: 09/03/2020 JPurdy@sandiego.gov Review Due: 09/01/2020 1.00 Completed: 09/03/2020

. The review due date was changed to 09/04/2020 from 09/04/2020 per agreement with customer.

. We request a 3rd complete submittal for PUD-Water & Sewer Dev on this project as: Conditions.

. The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 2 outstanding review issues with PUD-Water & Sewer Dev (2 of which are new issues).

. Last month PUD-Water & Sewer Dev performed 194 reviews, 87.1% were on-time, and 61.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review; Cycle 2:

Action Issues:

No outstanding Issues

2nd Review: Cycle 6:

Draft Conditions:

	onunio	115.
1	lssue	
Cleared?	<u> Num</u>	Issue Text
	12	Prior to any Building Construction Permit being issued, all domestic, irrigation, and fire water lines serving this development must pass through a permitted, private, above ground, backflow prevention device (BFPD) or include the following note on the site plan: THIS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT WILL HAVE A COMBINED DOMESTIC/FIRE PROTECTION WATER SERVICE WHICH UTILIZES A PASSIVE PURGE STYLE OF DESIGN. IT IS THEREFORE EXEMPT FROM THE REQUIREMENT FOR A BACKFLOW PREVENTION DEVICE.
I I		(New Issue)
	13	Prior to any Building Construction Permit being issued, any existing sewer lateral to be reused within the public ROW must be inspected by a California licensed plumbing contractor to verify (via a signed statement on company letterhead) all the following: The lateral has an appropriate cleanout, it is in good condition, it is free of roots and debris, and it is properly connected to a public sewer main. If the lateral is not suitable for reuse, it must be cleared and/or repaired and re-inspected or abandoned/removed and replaced in a manner satisfactory to the City.
1		

(New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'PUD-Water & Sewer Dev' review, please call Jay Purdy at (619) 446-5456. Project Nbr: 658052 / Cycle: 6

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services Department 1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

9/16/20 12:34 pm

5

6

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

Project Information Project Nhr: 659052 Title: Old Town Esc

COUL	I Town Escape Barn NDP/CUP				
Project Mgr: Cleveland, Travis	(619)446-5407	TCleveland@sandiego.gov			
Review Cycle Information					
Review Cycle: 8 Submitted (Multi-Disci	pline) Opened:	09/16/2020 12:32 pm	Submitted:		
	Due:	Due:			
Required Documents:					
Package Type	Pkg Qty Document Type	<u>)</u>	Qty Needed		
Storm Water	3 Storm Water Req. (DS-560)	Applicability Checklist	3		
Development Plans	6 Site Development	Plans	6		

Climate Action Plan Consistency Checklist Applicant Correspondence

6 Site Development Plans5 Climate Action Plan Consistency Checklist

6 Applicant Correspondence



DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY SITE PLAN / ACCESS NOTES

۱.	SUMMARY OF REQUEST
	CONVERT FORMER PHOTOGRAPHY STUDIO TO ENTERTAINMENT USE PERFORM SELECTIVE DEMOLITION OF INTERIOR NON-BEARING PARTITIONS
-	REMODEL EXISTING SECOND-FLOOR APARTMENT AND ADD AN APARTMENT CONSTRUCT NEW EXTERIOR STAIR AND SECOND-FLOOR EXIT BALCONY
	REPAVE PARKING LOT
-	PROJECT REQUIRES A DEVIATION FROM SIDE AND REAR SETBACKS DUE TO EXISTING PERMITTED NON-CONFORMITY OF THE EXISTING BUILDING
-	
-	
-	SITE GRADING: REMEDIAL ONLY AS NEEDED FOR PARKING LOT PAVING REPLACEMENT
-	REQUIRED DISCRETIONARY PERMITS: DEVIATION TO INTERIOR SIDE AND REAR YARD SETBACKS FROM 5' TO 1' AT WEST SIDE, 1'-6" AT REAR AND 3' AT EAST SIDE, DUE TO PREVIOUSLY-PERMITTED LOCATION OF EXISTING BUILDING
.	
2. -	STREET ADDRESS 3889 AMPUDIA STREET NOTE: BUILDING WAS CONSTRUCTED IN 1986 SAN DIEGO, CA 92110
3. -	PLANNED DISTRICT OLD TOWN PLANNED DISTRICT
	OVERLAY ZONES
5.	AEOZ: FAA PART 77 NOTICING AREA
	SDIA - LINDBERGH FIELD NORTH ISLAND NAS
6.	ZONING COMMUNITY PLAN
	OTMCR-1-2 OLD TOWN SAN DIEGO
7.	LEGAL DESCRIPTION
	PARCEL 1 - LOTS 6 AND 7 IN BLOCK 23 OF BREED & CHASE'S SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE MAP THEREOF IN BOOK 14, PAGE 640 EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE SOUTH 70 FEET THEREOF
7.	ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 535-260-05-00
9.	USE
	EXISTING: PHOTOGRAPHY STUDIO WITH RESIDENCE PROPOSED: ENTERTAINMENT USE WITH RESIDENCE
10.	OF CONSTRUCTION EXISTING: TYPE V-B PROPOSED: TYPE V-B
11.	OCCUPANCY GROUP EXISTING: B-1 WITH R-3 PROPOSED: B-1 WITH R-3
12.	SITE AREA: 4,862 S.F. (.11 AC.) IOT COVERAGE: 41000000000000000000000000000000000000
	LOT COVERAGE: ALLOWED = 1,576 S.F. (50%) PROPOSED = 1,543 S.F. (44%)
13.	
	FIRST FLOOR: 1,720 S.F. FIRST FLOOR COMMERCIAL (B-2): 1,720 S.F. SECOND FLOOR: 1,280 S.F. SECOND FLOOR COMMERCIAL (B-2): 337 S.F.
	SECOND FLOOR RESIDENTIAL (R-3): 943 S.F. TOTAL: 3,000 S.F.
	F.A.R. = 3,000 S.F. / 4,862 S.F. = .62
14.	FLOOR AREA RATIO (F.A.R.) MAXIMUM F.A.R. PERMITTED: X ACTUAL F.A.R.: .62
15.	PARKING
16	6 SPACES INCL. (1) ACCESSIBLE VAN SP.
	EXISTING: 2 STORIES
17.	WATER SERVICE / SEWER SERVICE
	CITY OF SAN DIEGO WATER / CITY OF SAN DIEGO WASTEWATER
18.	BUILDING CODE 2019 C.B.C., 2019 C.M.C., 2019 C.P.C., 2018 N.E.C., 2019 C.F.C
19.	OWNER'S NAME & ADDRESS HUNG AND JIM NGUYEN 3889 AMPUDIA STREET
	SAN DIEGO, CA 92110
20.	EASEMENTS NO EXISTING OR PROPOSED EASEMENTS
NOT	TE: PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, THE OWNER/ PERMITTEE SHALL INCORPORATE ANY CONSTRUCTION BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH CHAPTER 14, ARTICLE 2, DIVISION 1 (GRADING REGULATIONS) OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE, INTO THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS OR SPECIFICATIONS.
ТОИ	E: PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, THE OWNER/ PERMITTEE SHALL SUBMIT A WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN (WPCP). THE WPCP SHALL BE PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES IN PART 2 CONSTRUCTION BMP STANDARDS CHAPTER 4 OF THE CITY'S STORM WATER STANDARDS.

GENERAL SITE AND BUILDING ELEMENTS 1. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be provided in number and kind required per Section 11B-208 Parking Spaces. §11B-208 2. Provide accessible parking spaces as required by Table 11B-208.2. §11B-208.2 (See exceptions) 3. One in every six or fraction of six parking spaces required by Section 11B-208.2 Minimum Number, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 inches wide minimum placed on the side opposite the driver's side when the vehicle is going forward into the parking space and shall be designated "van accessible." All such spaces may be grouped on one level of a parking structure. §11B-208.2.4 & §11B-502 4. Clearly show minimum vertical clearance of 8 feet 2 inches at accessible parking spaces and along at least one vehicle access route to such spaces from site entrances and exits. §11B-502.5 5. Parking space identification signs shall include the International Symbol of Accessibility complying with Section 11B-703.7.2.1 International Symbol of Accessibility. §11B-502.6, Figure 11B-703.7.2.1 6. Signs identifying van parking spaces shall contain additional language or an additional sign with the designation "van accessible." Signs shall be 60 inches minimum above the finish floor or ground surface measured to the bottom of the sign. §11B-502.6 7. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized with a minimum area of 70 square inches. §11B-502.6.1 8. Additional language or an additional sign below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state "Minimum Fine \$250." §11B-502.6.2 9. Each accessible car and van space shall have surface identification complying with either of the following schemes: §11B-502.6.4 a. The parking space shall be marked with an International Symbol of Accessibility complying with Section 11B-703.7.2.1 International Symbol of Accessibility in white on a blue background a minimum 36 inches wide by 36 inches high. The centerline of the International Symbol of Accessibility shall be a maximum of 6 inches from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the length of the parking space and its lower corner at, or lower side aligned with, the end of the parking space length. §11B-502.6.4.1 b. The parking space shall be outlined in blue or painted blue and shall be marked with an International Symbol of Accessibility complying with Section 11B-703.7.2.1 International Symbol of Accessibility a minimum 36 inches wide by 36 inches high in white or a suitable contrasting color. The centerline of the International Symbol of Accessibility shall be a maximum of 6 inches from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the length of the parking space and its lower corner at, or lower side aligned with, the end of the parking space. §11B-502.6.4.2 10. An additional sign shall be posted either; 1) in a conspicuous place at each entrance to an off-street parking facility or 2) immediately adjacent to on-site accessible parking and visible from each parking space. §11B-502.8 a. The additional sign shall not be less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. §11B-502.8.1 b. The additional sign shall clearly state in letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: §11B-502.8.2 "Unauthorized vehicles parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner's expense. Towed vehicles may be reclaimed at: _____ Blank spaces shall be filled in with appropriate information as a permanent part of the sign. NOTE: Walkways shall be less than 5% slope with a maximum 2% cross slope. Accessible Parking Stalls Keynotes: 1. Accessible Parking Stall 2. Van-Accessible Parking Stall 3. Accessible Path to Accessible Bldg. Entrance 4. Parking Space Identification Sign 5. Sign Post 6. Access Aisle 7. Intl. Symbol of Accessibility Parking Spaces: Total No. of Parking Spaces Accessible Spaces 1 (.8%)



NOTE: NO TRANSIT STOPS ARE ADJACENT TO THE SITE. THE NEAREST TRANSIT STOP IS EAST AT SAN DIEGO AVENUE. THE OLD TOWN TRANSIT CENTER IS .47 MILES NORTH

AMPUDIA STREET

Å 🗗 2

DWELLING UNIT SUMMARY

Total per category	Future Demo	Bonus	Extremely Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	Total DUs
total per coregory				1				
APARTMENT 1 388 S.F.	0	0	0	D	0	1	Ó	
APARTMENT 2 555 S.F.	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	







- No person shall de
- approval from the
- 2. A separate approv shall show the typ
- Demolition must b engineering contra signed contract is
- the demolition.
 Demolition permits contractor for a net the new building, I demolished is a or
- structures over 35 Special permits. In in the public way of comply with the in shall be obtained p removes the latera shall be considere for a public way, a demolished. A per flammable liquids a permit to demoli sewer cap permit
- Protection device i inspected and app
 Field card. The fie by the inspector ap started. A separat If the method of de
- inspector must be method is started. Sewer cap inspect an inspection has at least 24 hours b
- 9. The storage and h accordance with th
 10. Free-fall dumping
- height greater than 11. Protection devices provided and cons agencies. Exception (b) a protection ca public way is parti and vehicular traffio operation.
- Hand wrecking. H provided the requir may include the us pneumatic tools w
 Cable wrecking. "
- Cable wrecking. " whether applied to allowed only in the way and adjoining of the building. W distance required r Prior to the issuan method and seque taken shall be sub
- 14. Use of explosives. the specific writter the use of explosiv permits and proce the use of explosiv
 15. Equivalent protecti
- Equivalent protecti demolition of build provided equivaler be well detailed an commencing any
- Application for mod application for mod must be accompar demolition.
- Dangerous condition during the demolition barricade the dange to minimize the haz proceed is given by



GENERAL NOTES	DEMOLITION KEYNOTES		
Jan General Notes: lemolish any building or structure unless he/she has obtained e required regulatory agencies. val shall be obtained for each building or structure. The approval pe of demolition procedure to be used. be performed by a licensed wrecking contractor or a general actor provided that a notarized letter from the owner or a copy of the s provided indicating that the contractor has been hired to perform ts may be issued to a licensed general contractor if he/she is the rew building to be erected on the site and plans have been filed for but only under the following conditions: (a) the structure to be one story Type II or V building, and (b) OSHA clearance required for 5 feet in height. In all cases where a protection fence or canopy must be constructed or where barricading a street or portion thereof is necessary to nstructions set forth herein. Approval by the regulatory agencies prior to the issuance of a permit for any demolition work which al support from a public way. The lateral support of a public way ed to have been removed when the wall that provides lateral support and/or any of the building elements that stabilize the wall are ermit for the removal of any underground tank used for storage of a shall be obtained from the Fire Department. Prior to the issuance of lish a building which has a sewer line, the applicant shall obtain a (plumbing permit). inspection. All required protection devices must be in place and proved by the Building Inspector prior to starting any work.	 REMOVE EXISTING WALL SECTION AND SINK, COUNTER, AND PREPARE FOR FRAMED PER PLAN EXISTING 1-HR WALL TO REMAIN EXISTING 20-MIN. DOOR EXISTING CEILING ABOVE TO BE REMOVED IN ALL ITS ENTIRETY WITHIN PERIMETER WALLS OF PROPOSED ESCAPE ROOM REMOVE AND SALVAGE EXISTING FLUORESCENT LIGHTING FIXTURES PROTECT IN PLACE EXISTING PLUMBING, LOW VOLTAGE, AND ANY ELECTRICAL CONDUIT WITHIN INTERSTITIAL CEILING SPACE 		
eld card must be posted on the job site. This card must be signed approving the method of demolition to be used before work is te approval signature is required for each demolition method used. demolition is to be changed during the course of the job, the e called and a new approval signature obtained before the new 			
ired protection devices are installed and approved. Hand wrecking use of any type of hand held tools. The use of small wheel mounted will be permitted if first approved by the Building Inspector. "Cable wrecking" shall include all wrecking methods using a cable, o a single wall or to an entire building. Cable wrecking will be ose cases where the building is located a distance from the public g property greater than one and one-half times the maximum height Where cable wrecking is to be used on a single wall, the clear need not exceed twice the height of the wall being demolished. hace of a permit for cable wrecking, a detailed description of the ence for demolishing the building and the safety precautions to be bomitted to the regulatory agency for approval.	LEGEND (E) WALL TO REMAIN (E) WALL TO BE REMOVED LIMITS OF PROJECT AREA		
Explosives shall not be used in the demolition of a building without en approval of the regulatory agency. No approval will be granted for ives in a manner which would violate any codes. All necessary edure approvals must be obtained from the Fire Department prior to ives. tion. Deviations from the requirements specified above for the dings or structures may be requested where conditions warrant and protection is furnished. This modification of requirements must	OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110		
ent protection is furnished. This modification of requirements must nd requires an approval in writing from the regulatory agency prior to demolition work. odification. Before any request for deviations can be acted upon, an odification shall be filed with the regulatory agency. The application anied by an adequately detailed demolition plan and procedure of	EHM 3966 Falcon Street San Diego, CA 92103-2943 619 299 5453 x306 619 299 0957 fax www.ehmarch.com Randal Jay Ehm AIA, NCARB - CA Architect C22476		
tions during demolition. Should a dangerous condition develop ition of a structure, the demolition contractor shall immediately gerous area, notify the regulatory agency and take immediate steps azard. No further demolition work shall be done until approval to by the regulatory agency.	ARCHITECTURE OWNER: HUNG AND JIM NGUYEN 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 ARCHITECT: EHM ARCHITECTURE INC. RANDAL JAY EHM AIA - PRESIDENT/CEO 3966 FALCON STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92103-2943		
ONS / DEMOLITION FLOOR PLAN	PHONE #: (619) 299-5453 x306 (619) 299-0957 FAX (619) 299-0957 FAX PROJECT ADDRESS: 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 REVISION 5: PROJECT NAME: - OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN REVISION 2: SHEET TITLE: ORIGINAL DATE: 01/20/2020 SHEET TITLE: ORIGINAL DATE: 01/20/2020		





- No person shall der
- approval from the re 2. A separate approva
- shall show the type Demolition must be engineering contrac signed contract is p
- the demolition. Demolition permits contractor for a new the new building, bu
- structures over 35 f Special permits. In in the public way or comply with the ins shall be obtained pr removes the lateral shall be considered for a public way, ar demolished. A perr flammable liquids s a permit to demolis sewer cap permit (p Protection device in inspected and appro Field card. The field
- by the inspector app started. A separate If the method of den inspector must be c method is started. Sewer cap inspection an inspection has be
- at least 24 hours be 9. The storage and ha accordance with th 10. Free-fall dumping o height greater than
- Protection devices. provided and const agencies. Exceptio (b) a protection can public way is partia and vehicular traffic operation.
- 12. Hand wrecking. Ha provided the require may include the use pneumatic tools wil
- Cable wrecking. "C whether applied to allowed only in thos way and adjoining p of the building. Wh distance required no Prior to the issuanc method and sequer taken shall be subn
- Use of explosives. the specific written the use of explosive permits and proced the use of explosive
 Equivalent protection demolition of buildin provided equivalent be well detailed and commencing any d
- 16. Application for mod application for mod must be accompandemolition.
- Dangerous condition during the demolition barricade the danger to minimize the haz proceed is given by



GENERAL NOTES	DEMOLITION KEYNOTES		
an General Notes: another any building or structure unless he/she has obtained required regulatory agencies. a) shall be obtained for each building or structure. The approval e of demolition procedure to be used. e performed by a licensed wrecking contractor or a general actor provided that a notarized letter from the owner or a copy of the provided indicating that the contractor has been hired to perform s may be issued to a licensed general contractor if he/she is the woulding to be erected on the site and plans have been filed for but only under the following conditions: (a) the structure to be ne story Type II or V building, and (b) OSHA clearance required for if ect in height. n all cases where a protection fence or canopy must be constructed or where barricading a street or portion thereof is necessary to structions set forth herein. Approval by the regulatory agencies prior to the issuance of a permit for any demolition work which al support from a public way. The lateral support of a public way d to have been removed when the wall that provides lateral support and/or any of the building elements that stabilize the wall are mit for the removal of any underground tank used for storage of shall be obtained from the Fire Department. Prior to the issuance of shall be obtained from the Fire Department. Prior to the issuance of shall be obtained from the sa eswer line, the applicant shall obtain a (plumbing permit). Inspection. All required protection devices must be in place and roved by the Building Inspector prior to starting any work. Id card must be posted on the job site. This card must be signed proving the method of demolition to be used before work is te approval signature is required for each demolition method used. endition is to be changed during the course of the job, the called and a new approval signature obtained before the new tion. When the sewer has been capped, it shall not be covered until been made by the Department. This inspection shall be in he require	 REMOVE EXISTING WALL SECTION AND SINK, COUNTER, AND PREPARE FOR FRAMED PER PLAN EXISTING 1-HR WALL TO REMAIN EXISTING 20-MIN. DOOR EXISTING CEILING ABOVE TO BE REMOVED IN ALL ITS ENTIRETY WITHIN PERIMETER WALLS OF PROPOSED ESCAPE ROOM REMOVE AND SALVAGE EXISTING FLUORESCENT LIGHTING FIXTURES PROTECT IN PLACE EXISTING PLUMBING, LOW VOLTAGE, AND ANY ELECTRICAL CONDUIT WITHIN INTERSTITIAL CEILING SPACE REMOVE EXISTING DOOR 		
land wrecking methods may be used on any type of building, red protection devices are installed and approved. Hand wrecking se of any type of hand held tools. The use of small wheel mounted ill be permitted if first approved by the Building Inspector. Cable wrecking" shall include all wrecking methods using a cable, o a single wall or to an entire building. Cable wrecking will be ose cases where the building is located a distance from the public property greater than one and one-half times the maximum height here cable wrecking is to be used on a single wall, the clear need not exceed twice the height of the wall being demolished. ce of a permit for cable wrecking, a detailed description of the ence for demolishing the building and the safety precautions to be mitted to the regulatory agency for approval. Explosives shall not be used in the demolition of a building without a paproval of the regulatory agency. No approval will be granted for ves in a manner which would violate any codes. All necessary dure approvals must be obtained from the Fire Department prior to ves. on. Deviations from the requirements specified above for the lings or structures may be requested where conditions warrant and at protection is furnished. This modification of requirements must id requires an approval in writing from the regulatory agency prior to demolition work	LEGEND (E) WALL TO REMAIN (E) WALL TO BE REMOVED LIMITS OF PROJECT AREA OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110		
demolition work. diffication. Before any request for deviations can be acted upon, an diffication shall be filed with the regulatory agency. The application nied by an adequately detailed demolition plan and procedure of ons during demolition. Should a dangerous condition develop ion of a structure, the demolition contractor shall immediately perous area, notify the regulatory agency and take immediate steps zard. No further demolition work shall be done until approval to y the regulatory agency.	San Diego, CA 92103-2943 619 299 0957 fax www.ehmarch.com Randal Jay Ehm AIA, NCARB - CA Architect C22476 A R C H I T E C T U R E OWNER: HUNG AND JIM NGUYEN 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 ARCHITECT: EHM ARCHITECTURE INC. RANDLGO, CA 92103-2943 PHONE #. (619) 299-5453 x306 (619) 299-5453 x306 (619) 299-5957 FAX PROJECT ADDRESS: 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 REVISION 5: PROJECT ADDRESS: 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 REVISION 5: PROJECT NAME: OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN REVISION 1: OJCJ020 SHEET TITLE: ORIGINAL DATE: ORIGINAL DATE: OTI20/2020		
SCALE: $1/4" = 1'-0"$			



NOTE: Per CBC Section 1008.1.9.3, in buildings in occupancy Group A having an occupant load of 300 or less, Groups B, F, M and S, and in places of religious worship, the main exterior door or doors are permitted to be equipped with key-operated locking devices from the egress side provided: a. The locking device is readily distinguishable as locked; and b. A readily visible durable sign is posted on the egress side on or adjacent to the door stating: THIS DOOR TO REMAIN UNLOCKED WHEN BUILDING IS OCCUPIED. The sign shall be in letters 1 inch high on a contracting background.

NOTE: All exit doors shall be readily openable from the egress side without the use of a key or special knowledge.

NOTE: Activities in this tenant space shall be limited to office and associated assembly uses involving no hazardous materials per CBC 414. s.d. Regional Hazmat questionnaire submitted to City of San Diego, CA per CBC 414.1.3.

1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	All dimension Refer to det Refer to det All walls to storefront. Floor finish CBC 1008.1 landing for o
6.	at doorways units horizo All fire extin travel distar 5'-0" A.F.F.
7.	All contracted
8.	change. All discrepanci All existing to be fire pr
9.	partitions ar non-combu combustible specification Gypsum bo smoke zone each side o finished by full height o
10.	All joints m
11.	coats of joir Fire exits sh and ordinan
12.	prohibit the Bottom 10 i
13.	uninterrupte Affix an inte with CBC 1
14.	At floor: All

14. At floor; All p Mechanical, cap. Finish Architect pri

GENERAL NOTES	KEYNOTES		
ons taken from finish or GWB, U.N.O. tails - for typical door landing clearances. tail - for typical accessibility signage. be $\frac{1}{8}$ "type X GWB w/ level 4 finish; floor to deck, including inside of the shall be absolutely flush with the exterior floor finish or accessible. Per 1.7, thresholds at doorways shall not exceed $\frac{1}{8}$ above the finished floor or other doors. Raised thresholds and floor level changes greater than 1/4" s shall be beveled with a slope not greater than one unit vertical in two intal. uguishers have a min. rating of 2A10BC for every 3,000 s.f. of floor area, noce between all FE are not to exceed 75'-0". FE are mounted no more than and the bottom no less than 4" A.F.F. tors to start construction layout at storefront. All floor dimensions shall not 1 floor dimensions are to be verified prior to construction. Any ies are to be reported to Architect immediately. wall penetrations to be fire protected by Landlord. All new wall penetration rolected by the General Contractor. Penetrations on ron-rated walls, nd floors of non-rated combustible construction shall be fire stopped with istible materials. Penetration of non-rated walls, partitions and floors of e construction shall be fire stopped. Fire stopping shall comply with ns. and shall be provided between tenants and service/exit corridors, and at e partitions. Landlord will provide and install one (1) layer of $\frac{8}{2}$ GWB on if the partition; G.C. to veriy. ust be fire taped, as req. Exposed walls will be finished with three (3) it compound and sanded smooth, ready for paint; G.C. to veriy. hall be clearly marked and maintained in accordance with governing codes toes. Tenant shall not install any hardware or other devices that would use of any emergency fire exit. inches of all doors (except automatic and sliding) shall have a smooth, elsuitae. ermational accessibility symbol on all accessible entrances in compliance 18-216. permanently terminated and capped utilities including but not limited to , Flectrical and Plumbing shall be t	1 EXISTING ROOF STRUCTURE TO REMAIN 2 EXISTING PARAPET TO REMAIN 3 EXISTING EXTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN 4 EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB TO REMAIN 5 NEW HEAVY TIMBER EXTERIOR EXIT STAIR AND BALCONY - STAINED 6 NEW 42" HIGH GUARDRAIL 7 EXISTING SHED ROOF TO REMAIN 8 EXISTING ROLLING DOOR TO REMAIN 9 EXISTING WINDOW TO REMAIN 10 EXISTING DOOR TO REMAIN 11 NEW DOOR PER PLAN 12 EXISTING INTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN 13 NEW INTERIOR WALL 14 EXISTING PUBLIC RESTROOM - UPGRADE AS REQUIRED 15 EXISTING PUBLIC RESTROOM - UPGRADE AS REQUIRED 16 MECHANICAL MEZZANINE ABOVE (ACCESS AT STAIR LANDING 17 NEW RESIDENTIAL KITCHEN 18 NEW PRIVATE BATHROOM		
	EXISTING Construction Proposed Construction FE Fire Extinguisher w/ Cabinet: See ; Provide 2A-10BC extinguisher @ ea. cabinet		
	OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110		
	EHIM3966 Falcon StreetSan Diego, CA 92103-2943619 299 5453 x306619 299 0957 faxwww.ehmarch.comRandal Jay Ehm AIA, NCARB - CA Architect C22476		
	OWNER: <u>HUNG AND JIM NGUYEN</u> <u>3886 AMPUDIA STREET</u> SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 ARCHITECT: <u>EHM ARCHITECTURE INC.</u> <u>RANDAL JAY EHM AIA - PRESIDENT/CEO</u> <u>3966 FALCON STREET</u> <u>SAN DIEGO, CA 92103-2943</u> PHONE #: <u>(619) 299-5453 x306</u> <u>(619) 299-0957 FAX</u>		
PROPOSED FIRST FLOOR PLAN	PROJECT ADDRESS: 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 REVISION 5: PROJECT NAME: OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN REVISION 2: REVISION 1: 03/20/20 SHEET TITLE: PROPOSED FIRST FLOOR PLAN SHEET		



NOTE: Per CBC Section 1008.1.9.3, in buildings in occupancy Group A having an occupant load of 300 or less, Groups B, F, M and S, and in places of religious worship, the main exterior door or doors are permitted to be equipped with key-operated locking devices from the egress side provided: a. The locking device is readily distinguishable as locked; and b. A readily visible durable sign is posted on the egress side on or adjacent to the door stating: THIS DOOR TO REMAIN UNLOCKED WHEN BUILDING IS OCCUPIED. The sign shall be in letters 1 inch high on a contracting background.

NOTE: All exit doors shall be readily openable from the egress side without the use of a key or special knowledge.

NOTE: Activities in this tenant space shall be limited to office and associated assembly uses involving no hazardous materials per CBC 414. s.d. Regional Hazmat questionnaire submitted to City of San Diego, CA per CBC 414.1.3.

	GE
1.	All dimensior
2.	Refer to deta
3.	Refer to deta
4.	All walls to b
-	storefront.
5.	Floor finish s
	CBC 1008.1.
	landing for of at doorways
	units horizon
6.	All fire exting
0.	travel distance
	5'-0" A.F.F. a
7.	All contracto
	change. All f
	discrepancie
8.	All existing w
	to be fire pro
	partitions and
	non-combus
	combustible
-	specification
9.	Gypsum boa
	smoke zone
	each side of
	finished by th
10.	full height of All joints mu
10.	coats of joint
11.	Fire exits sha
	and ordinanc
	prohibit the u
12.	Bottom 10 in
	uninterrupted
13.	Affix an inter
	with CBC 11
14.	At floor; All p
	Mechanical,
	cap. Finish s

cap. Finish s Architect pric 15. See x/Axx fo

 alt. din yord alter before yord: bit yord	ENERAL NOTES	KEYNOTES
Image: Provide 2Å-10BC extinguisher @ ea. cabinet OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN 3886 AMPUDA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 Sin Diego CA 92100 Sin Diego CA 92100 </td <td>ons taken from finish or GWB, U.N.O. tails - for typical accessibility signage. be $\frac{3}{2}$" type 'X' GWB w/ level 4 finish; floor to deck, including inside of the shall be absolutely flush with th exterior floor finish or accessible. Per 1.7, thresholds at doorways shall not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$" above the finished floor or other doors. Raised thresholds and floor level changes greater than 1/4" s shall be beveled with a slope not greater than one unit vertical in two intal. uguishers have a min. rating of 2A10BC for every 3,000 s.f. of floor area, noce between all FE are not to exceed 75-0°. FE are mounted no more than and the bottom no less than 4" A.F.F. ors to start construction layout at storefront. All floor dimensions shall not floor dimensions are to be verified prior to construction. Any ies are to be reported to Architect immediately. wall penetrations to be fire protected by Landlord. All new wall penetration ordected by the General Contractor. Penetrations of non-rated walls, and floors of non-rated combustible construction shall be fire stopped with stible materials. Penetration of non-rated walls, partitions and floors of e construction shall be fire stopped. Fire stopping shall comply with ns. and shall be provided between tenants and service/exit corridors, and at e partitions. Landlord will provide and install one (1) layer of $\frac{1}{2}$ GWB on if the partition to the underside of the roof structure. Wallboard is to be the Tenant, ultimately providing a one (1) hour rated walls system for the if the partition, G.C. to verify. all be clearly marked and maintained in accordance with governing codes toces. Tenant shall not install any hardware or other devices that woud use of any emergency fire exit. inches of all doors (except automatic and sliding) shall have a smooth, at surface. mathional accessibility symbol on all accessible entrances in compliance 1B-216.0. permanently terminated and capped utilities including but not limited to , Electrical and Plumbing shall be terminated with a flush cover</td> <td> EXISTING PARAPET TO REMAIN EXISTING EXTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB TO REMAIN EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB TO REMAIN NEW HEAVY TIMBER EXTERIOR EXIT STAIR AND BALCONY - STAINED NEW 42" HIGH GUARDRAIL EXISTING SHED ROOF TO REMAIN EXISTING ROLLING DOOR TO REMAIN EXISTING WINDOW TO REMAIN EXISTING DOOR TO REMAIN EXISTING DOOR TO REMAIN EXISTING INTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN EXISTING INTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN NEW INTERIOR WALL EXISTING PUBLIC RESTROOM - UPGRADE AS REQUIRED MEW RESIDENTIAL KITCHEN NEW RESIDENTIAL KITCHEN NEW PRIVATE BATHROOM </td>	ons taken from finish or GWB, U.N.O. tails - for typical accessibility signage. be $\frac{3}{2}$ " type 'X' GWB w/ level 4 finish; floor to deck, including inside of the shall be absolutely flush with th exterior floor finish or accessible. Per 1.7, thresholds at doorways shall not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ " above the finished floor or other doors. Raised thresholds and floor level changes greater than 1/4" s shall be beveled with a slope not greater than one unit vertical in two intal. uguishers have a min. rating of 2A10BC for every 3,000 s.f. of floor area, noce between all FE are not to exceed 75-0°. FE are mounted no more than and the bottom no less than 4" A.F.F. ors to start construction layout at storefront. All floor dimensions shall not floor dimensions are to be verified prior to construction. Any ies are to be reported to Architect immediately. wall penetrations to be fire protected by Landlord. All new wall penetration ordected by the General Contractor. Penetrations of non-rated walls, and floors of non-rated combustible construction shall be fire stopped with stible materials. Penetration of non-rated walls, partitions and floors of e construction shall be fire stopped. Fire stopping shall comply with ns. and shall be provided between tenants and service/exit corridors, and at e partitions. Landlord will provide and install one (1) layer of $\frac{1}{2}$ GWB on if the partition to the underside of the roof structure. Wallboard is to be the Tenant, ultimately providing a one (1) hour rated walls system for the if the partition, G.C. to verify. all be clearly marked and maintained in accordance with governing codes toces. Tenant shall not install any hardware or other devices that woud use of any emergency fire exit. inches of all doors (except automatic and sliding) shall have a smooth, at surface. mathional accessibility symbol on all accessible entrances in compliance 1B-216.0. permanently terminated and capped utilities including but not limited to , Electrical and Plumbing shall be terminated with a flush cover	 EXISTING PARAPET TO REMAIN EXISTING EXTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB TO REMAIN EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB TO REMAIN NEW HEAVY TIMBER EXTERIOR EXIT STAIR AND BALCONY - STAINED NEW 42" HIGH GUARDRAIL EXISTING SHED ROOF TO REMAIN EXISTING ROLLING DOOR TO REMAIN EXISTING WINDOW TO REMAIN EXISTING DOOR TO REMAIN EXISTING DOOR TO REMAIN EXISTING INTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN EXISTING INTERIOR WALL TO REMAIN NEW INTERIOR WALL EXISTING PUBLIC RESTROOM - UPGRADE AS REQUIRED MEW RESIDENTIAL KITCHEN NEW RESIDENTIAL KITCHEN NEW PRIVATE BATHROOM
NORTH OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN REVISION 2:		OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN B886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92103-2943 SAN DIEGO, CA 92103-2943 SAN DIEGO, CA 92103-2943 SAND DIEGO, CA 92103-2943
	OPOSED SECOND FLOOR PLAN	OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN REVISION 2: REVISION 1: 03/20/20 SHEET TITLE: ORIGINAL DATE: 01/20/2020 PROPOSED SECOND FLOOR PLAN ORIGINAL DATE: 01/20/2020











AMPUDIA STREE

 $\mathbf{\cap}$

	97	
EXISTING TWO STORY BUILDING	98 99 99	
	100	
PROPERTY LINE 50.00		OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110
		EHM3966 Falcon Street San Diego, CA 92103-2943 619 299 5453 x306 619 299 0957 fax www.ehmarch.com Randal Jay Ehm AIA, NCARB - CA Architect C22476ARCHITECTURE
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline $		OWNER: <u>HUNG AND JIM NGUYEN</u> <u>3886 AMPUDIA STREET</u> <u>SAN DIEGO, CA 92110</u>
AMPUDIA STREET		ARCHITECT: EHM ARCHITECTURE INC. RANDAL JAY EHM AIA - PRESIDENT/CEO 3966 FALCON STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92103-2943 PHONE #: (010) 000 5450 + 200
		(619) 299-5453 x306 (619) 299-0957 FAX PROJECT ADDRESS: 3886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 REVISION 5: REVISION 4: - REVISION 3:
	NORTH	ESCAPE BARN OLD TOWN REVISION 2: BREVISION 1: 03/20/20 SHEET TITLE: ORIGINAL DATE: 01/20/2020 PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY / PHOTOS SHEET SHEET of
	PHOTO REFERENCE PLAN SCALE: NTS 1	

	NOT USED	
	SCALE: NTS	
D 14	NOT USED	
NTS I H	SCALE: NTS	
	NOT USED	
	NOT USED scale: nts	
	NOT USED scale: nts	



<image/> <image/> <text></text>	
<image/> <image/> <page-footer><page-footer></page-footer></page-footer>	
SCALE: NS SCALE: NS	OLD TOWN ESCAPE BARN B886 AMPUDIA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 Image: Street Stre
<text></text>	ARCHIECTURE MINICATION VINER MINICATION

Code Compliance Diagram



AMPUDIA STREET

h	Planting A	Area Required [142.0	404]	
Total Area	138	sq. ft. x 30%=	41	sq. ft.
	Plant Po	ints Required [142.04	404]	
Total Area	138	sq. ft. x 0.05=	7	points
	Develo	San Diego pment Services	L	ands
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO	San Die	rst Ave., MS-501 ego, CA 92101-4154 46-5000		
		nation on the Lands		
	I andscane		1 4 , Attoc	, 2, DIVISION
required by the		0	d within 30	ft of each
required by the One tree (minii	num 24-inch	box size) is required within 15 ft. c		

City of San Diego **Development Services** 1222 First Ave., MS-501

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

STREET YARD

San Diego, CA 92101-4154 (619) 446-5000

Planting Area Required [142.0404]

Total Area 2,792 sq. ft. x 25%= 698 sq. ft.

Planting Points Required [142.0404]

Total Area 2,792 sq. ft. x 0.05= 140 points

Code Compliance Legend

STREET YARD: 2,792 SF REMAINING YARD: 138 SF

- - - VEHICULAR USE AREA: 2,626 SF

STREET YARD AREA CALCS:

623 SF of Landscape area provided

+75 SF (11% of required landscape area- achieved in excess plant points) = 698 SF

Plant Points Required

Total VUA: 2,189 sq. ft. x 0.05 = 109 points

Points achieved through trees (at least half): 300 points

STREET YARD PLANTING AREA OUTSIDE VUA CONSISTING OF ENHANCED HARDSCAPE = 130 SF

Hydrozone Map



Hydrozone Legend

-				
	LOW- TREES, SHRUBS & GR	OUNDCOVI	ER- DRIP/BUBB	DLEF
	City of San Diego Water Effi	cient Lan	dscape Work	sh
	Evapotranspiration Rate			
	ETo		[in]	
	Yearly		47.00	
	Maximum Applied Water Bu	dget		
	LA (Total Landscape Area) =		570	
	SLA (Special Landscape Area) =			
	Maximum Applied Gallons / Year = Eto(0.62) [(0.7)(LA) + (0.3)(SLA)]			
	Maximum Applied Gallons / Year =		11,627	
	Design Case Table	I		
А	В	C	F	
Cont- roller #	Hydrozone #	Valve Circuit #	Hydrozone Area (HA)	% Lar
			[SF]	
	Drip - trees. shrubs, ground covers		570	
		Total:	570	

Landscape Concept Plan



Plant Legend					
SYMBOL BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE M	NCOLS	QTY	FINAL SIZE H x M
TREES					
LAURUS NOBILIS	BAY LAUREL	24" BOX	L	Ι	20'X20'
EXISTING STREET TREE					
TIPUANA TIPU	TIPU TREE	12" CALIPER	R L	2	30' x 30'
(I) LARGE SHRUBS					
TECOMA STANS	ORANGE BELLS	5 GAL.	L		3' x 4'
AGAVE ATTENUATA	FOXTAIL AGAVE	5 GAL.	L		3' × 4'
SALVIA 'WINNIFRED GILMA	N' WINNIFRED GILMAN SAGE	5 GAL.	L		3' × 4'
\bigcirc FOUNDATION SHRUBS:					
BOUGAINVILLEA 'ROSENK	A' BOUGAINVILLEA	5 GAL.	L		3' × 3'
SALIVA 'HOT LIPS'	HOT LIPS SAGE	5 GAL.	L		3' × 4'
ARBUTUS U. 'COMPACTA'	DWARF STRAWBERRY TREE	5 GAL.	L		2' X 3'
EOW GROWING SHRUBS:					
LANTANA 'GOLD MOUND'	GOLD MOUND LANTANA	I GAL.	L		'× '
ROSMARINUS 'ROMAN BEA	NUTY' ROMAN BEAUTY ROSEMARY	I GAL.	L		'X '

Landscape Concept Statement:

The landscape design has been designed to be low maintenance and low water use. With minimal space, the plants have been chosen to add accent and color without overgrowing the space. Plants have been selected for their low water use and drought tolerant nature.

Minimum Tree Separation Distance:

Traffic signals/stop signs - 20 feet Underground utility lines - 5 feet (10 feet for sewer) Above ground utility structures - 10 feet Driveway (entries) - 10 feet (5' for residential streets <25 mph)

Intersections (intersecting curb lines of two streets) - 25 feet

Maintenance: All required landscape areas, including in the right-of-way shall be maintained by Owner. The landscape areas shall be maintained free of debris and litter, and all plant material shall be maintained in a healthy growing condition consistent with the City of San Diego Landscape Regulations and Standards.

If any required landscape indicated on the approved construction document plans is damaged or removed during demolition or construction, it shall be repaired and/or replaced in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department within 30 days of damage.

Standard Landscape Notes:

- 1. All landscape and irrigation shall conform to the standards of the county-wide landscape regulations and the 'City of San Diego Land Development Manual Landscape Standards', and all other landscape related City and regional standards
- 2. The irrigation system will be designed to afford optimum coverage for the support of plant growth. The coverage will meet the water requirements for the selected plant material and within the given planting areas. The design will take into consideration the type of exposure the system will have. The irrigation system will be designed with respect to water conservation. The irrigation system shall be a fully automatic system and as maintenance-free as possible. The materials for the system will be of an extremely durable nature, and will have been selected for wear resistance and long life. The overall system shall be designed for ease of maintenance.
- 3. Maintenance: All landscape shown on this plan shall be maintained by the property owner. The landscape areas shall be kept free of litter and debris, and all plant material shall be maintained in a healthy growing condition. Diseased or dead plant material shall be satisfactorily treated or replaced per the conditions of the permit.
- 4. All landscaped areas shall be irrigated with a permanent subsurface automatic irrigation system utilizing drip irrigation and a weather based smart controller.
- 5. Root barriers shall be provided for all trees within 6' of a paved surface 6. All planting areas shall receive a 3" layer of bark mulch.

Notes: Existing trees to remain on site within the area of work will be protected in place. The following protection measures will be provided: 1. A bright yellow or orange temporary fence will be placed around existing trees at the drip line.

2. Stockpiling, topsoil disturbance, vehicle use, and material storage of any kind is prohibited within the drip line.

3. Root systems of existing trees will be protected from flooding, erosion, chemical spills, and excessive wetting and drying during dewatering.

4. The existing grade will be maintained within the drip line of existing trees. 5. Roots of existing trees will be cut approximately 6 inches back from new construction and all cuts will be sealed with wood paintas manufactured by Flintkote or approved equal.

6. A Certified Consulting Arborist shall oversee pruning of any roots 6 in or greater in diameter. 7. Maintain and document a tree watering schedule during construction.

8. All damaged trees will be replaced with one of equal or greater size.

Prepared By: HOWARD ASSOCIATES, INC. Nome

Name: HOWARD ASSOCIATES, INC.	– Revision 14:
Address: <u>2442 SECOND AVENUE</u> SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101	– Revision 13: – Revision 12:
Phone #: (619) 718-9660	_
Project Address:	Revision 9: Revision 8:
<u>APN 443–721–51</u> 3886 AMPUDIA STREET	– Revision 7: Revision 6:
SAN DIEGO, CA 92102	Revision 5:
Project Name: 	Revision 4: Revision 3: _ Revision 2: Revision 1:
Sheet Title:	
LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT PLAN	Sheet <u>11</u> of <u>11</u>
	– – P.T.S. ND
	– I.D. ND