Transportation and Stormwater

Development of a Stream Restoration and Protection Prioritization Tool

Vicki Kalkirtz (City of San Diego) Clint Boschen (Tetra Tech) and Jerry Diamond (Tetra Tech)







Background



- Increasing focus on Biological Integrity
 - Previous reliance on chemical measures
 - Recent scientific advancements
 - Improved assessment of ecosystem health
- Regulatory drivers
 - San Diego Water Board developing numeric Biological Objectives
 - State Water Board Biostimulatory/Biointegrity project
- Ongoing challenges
 - Complexity of stream ecosystems
 - Development of appropriate objectives
 - Causal assessments
 - How to prioritize implementation?







- Many streams in southern California are in fair or poor biological condition
 - Which are highest priority for restoration?
- Some unimpaired streams may be vulnerable to future impacts
 - Which are highest priority for protection?
- City and other stakeholders are interested in identifying which streams will benefit most from restoration or protection
- Provides multiple co-benefits



RPP Overview



Goals:

- Identify high priority sites for restoration or protection
- Support decision-making and costeffective use of City resources
- Share with other agencies and stakeholders
- Support Biological Objectives implementation



Key Considerations



Restoration

- Subject to stressors causing biological degradation
- Desired biological condition not met
- Often located in close proximity to human land uses
- Preference for sites that are amenable to ecological lift via BMPs or other means

Protection

- Vulnerable to stressors ۲
- Achieves at least minimum desired biological condition
- Generally located in areas with lower human pressures ۲
- Preference for sites that are vulnerable to land use • changes and other stressors

- More stakeholder interest in the watershed •
 - Co-benefits (e.g., ecosystem services) can be gained via management actions
 - Connectivity of aquatic life habitats up and downstream

Higher priority for management actions More reasons to restore or protect stream



Greater ecological lift over a larger catchment

Differences

Similarities













Highlights:

- Rapidly identify potential stressors and rule out unlikely ones
- Identify major lines of evidence for use in more detailed causal assessments
- Iterate over many sites at once
- Focus effort where it's needed

Key Components



Input Data

- Stressors
 - Water chemistry
 - Physical habitat metrics and index
 - Flow ecology metrics (modeled)
- Responses
 - Benthic macroinvertebrates
 - Algae

Output Data

- Comparator Sites
 - Clustered by natural characteristics
 - Refined by expected benthic ٠ macroinvertebrate similarity

Sites 4 Clusters

- Potential stressors for evaluation at each site
- Results for each line of evidence
- Overall weight of evidence



Lines of Evidence



- Spatial/temporal co-occurrence
- Stressor-response relationships for comparator sites
- Temporal sequence
- Stressor-response relationships from other SMC sites
- Species tolerance to fine sediment or high ionic strength (conductivity, TDS)



CASTool Reporting



Weight of Evidence

Overall Findings

Summarized weight of evidence data are found in the file '902S01097_BMI_WoE_ExecSumm the'Results/902S01097/BMI/WoE' folder. More detailed weight of evidence data are found in t '902S01097_BMI_WoE_ScoresTable.tab' in the'Results/902S01097/BMI/WoE' folder.

Scores for each line of evidence and overall weight of evidence, weighted by numindicates that all evaluated lines of evidence for all observed stressors in the group evidence score of -1 indicates that all evaluated lines of evidence for all observed



*Stressor samples paired with benthic macroinvertebrate samples rated not degraded

Comparator Site Information

Additional comparator site info, including a list of comparator sites and sam folder.

Number of sites by type, group, and quality.

| Group | Quality | Comparator Samples | ClusterSamples | AllSamples |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|
| All | All qualities | 51 | 113 | 1141 |
| | Degraded | 30 | 51 | 550 |
| | Not degraded | 21 | 62 | 591 |
| Better than | All qualities | 2 | 12 | 197 |
| | Degraded | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Not degraded | 2 | 12 | 197 |

All 35 comparator sites have >95% expected biological similarity.





BMI RESULTS

Biological Index Distributions



sandiego.gov





RPPTool Design



Highlights:

- Modeled after EPA's RPS tool, but built with more specific data and analyses for the SMC region
- Considers connectivity of target reach to better quality reaches nearby for ecological lift potential
- Utilizes similar scoring methods and user-friendliness
- Interacts with the CASTool results
- R/Shiny-based to better mesh with other regional tools and user friendliness

RPPTool Design



RPP Score based on similar components used in EPA's Recovery Potential Tool:

- Potential for ecological lift
- Current or future threats
- Opportunities synergies with ongoing activities or planned actions
- RPPTool built using Californiaspecific data and tools, especially for SMC region



- **Potential** Influenced by current stressor and landscape constraints
 - If fewer landscape constraints or fewer/easier to manage stressors
- Threats/Vulnerability Future developed land use; fire risk
 - If high projected population growth; high risk of fire
- **Opportunity** Elements that support restoration or protection actions
 - If more stakeholder interest; WQIPs; co-benefits

Potential Subindex



Greater potential for ecological lift if:

- *Expected* biological condition is better than the *observed* condition at a site
- Lower stressor influence
 - More stressors or more intense stressors = lower potential
- Biological and stressor conditions in nearby reaches are better than the target reach

Threats Subindex



- Major threats to biological condition in the SMC region:
 - Future population growth and urbanization
 - Projected change in developed land cover
 - Fire Hazard
 - Likelihood of fire over the next 30to 50-years
- Increasing development or risk of fire at a site = lower chance of successful restoration efforts





Opportunities Subindex



- Protection or restoration efforts can be enhanced if associated with:
 - Available ecological co-benefits
 - Available recreational co-benefits
 - Synergies with other user objectives:
 - WQIPs
 - Socio-economic benefits
- Greater opportunities = greater chance of success with restoration or protection efforts

Potential for Ecological Lift



- Higher score = few stressors or stressors of lower concern (lower weighted normalized value)
- Expected lift (from SCAPE/predicted biological condition and BCG)
 - Higher score = greater potential for restoration (site could be much better) or protection (site could be prevented from getting worse)

- Connectivity (Landscape context)
 - Biology
 - Higher score = better biological quality upstream or both up and downstream
 - Stressors
 - Higher score = fewer stressors or stressors of lower concern from upstream contributing to target reach (from CASTool)

Determining Biological Condition Potential Based on SCAPE

Cumulative Distribution Function 95th %ile 75-Probability 50 25 5th %ile 0.5 1.0 1.5 0.0 CSCI

Predicted CSCI for 17569571 from SCAPE

HINK BLU

Add Biological Condition Gradient (BCG)



HINKBLU

Determining Potential for Ecological Lift





Biological Connectivity Example





Potential for ecological lift depends in part on the stressor and biological conditions in nearby reaches

- RPPTool compares target reach conditions to up and downstream reaches
- Better conditions nearby = higher likelihood of restoration success

User Flexibility



- Identify data time period
- Run with/without CASTool results
- Weight the subindices differently
- Weight individual stressors based on relative importance
- Change the size of the landscape area for connectivity analyses
- Add points for headwater reaches
- Add points for reaches having high potential ecological lift







- Web browser interface to R functions
- Can be run from the web or via a local computer with R installed
 - From the web currently developing weblinks
 - Using the Shiny function to launch a web browser and run the app locally

Mapping / Site Selection



Restoration and Protection Potential (RPP) Disclaimer Map, Stations Map, Reach RPP-Calc HELP + The map can take up to 10 seconds to nal Heights load. Please be patient. Use the button (or the map) to select a 58 City Heigh North Park Chollas Creek Station ID. COMID = 20331542 Select Station ID: -After choosing a Station ID click the inker's Hill stream reach to get the Reach ID 137A116 (COMID) for use with the RPP-Calc tab analysis. n Diego E Str Watersheds Streams Diedo Chula Vista Streams (mouse-over) Sites National City Tijuana Sites (mouse-over) ² ¥ Sites (selected) Leaflet I @ OpenStreetMap contributors, CC-BY-SA







sandiego.gov

User Input Criteria



| Console | Input, User Criteria | Input, Possible Stressors |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|
| User-De | efined Input Cri a are specified below. | iteria |
| User Input | S | |
| Connectivity | distance (km) | |
| 5 | | |
| Use HW b | onus? | |
| Use BCG | bonus? | |
| Use down | stream reaches? | |
| Maximum ye | ar | |
| 2020 | | |
| Minimum yea | ar | |
| | | |

Console Input, User Criteria

Input, Possible Stressors

Weights for Possible Stressors

| Weights | Count | | |
|---------|--------------|-------|--|
| 0 | Exclude | 1.00 | |
| 1 | Default | 23.00 | |
| 2 | Double Count | 1.00 | |

Reset all weights to '1'

Use weights from user import.

Weights, Stressors

Evenness of flow habitat types



Index of physical habitat integrity



Riparian cover (sum of three layers)



Wet-season maximum mean monthly streamflow (m3/s)



RPPTool Report



| | | | | | | | Biological | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|------|--------------|-------------|-------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|---------|
| | | | Predicted or | | RPP | Potential | Condition | Biological | | Stressor | Threat | Planned | Fire | Opportunity | | | | User- |
| SiteID | COMID | CSCI | Observed | Index Type | Index | Subindex | Indicator | Connect. | Stressor | Connect. | Subindex | Develop | Hazard | Subindex | Recreation | MSCP | NASVI | applied |
| SMC04134 907SDSDR9 | 20331434 | 0.78 | Observed | Protection | 0.703 | 0.502 | 0.333 | 0.0123 | 0.671 | 0.99 | 1 | 0 | NA | 0.606 | 0.919 | NA | 0.292 | NA |
| 907S02774 | 20333052 | 0.69 | Observed | Restoration | 0.592 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.173 | 0.906 | 1 | 1 | 0 | NA | 0.255 | 0.0728 | NA | 0.437 | NA |
| NA | 20333068 | 0.57 | Predicted | Restoration | 0.419 | 0.333 | 0.333 | NA | NA | NA | 0.431 | 0.147 | 0.991 | 0.494 | 0.279 | 0.263 | 0.436 | 1 |

Potential Applications



City Services



Thank you!



Vicki Kalkirtz

City of San Diego VKalkirtz@sandiego.gov (858) 541-4326; (619) 727-3587



Clint Boschen

Tetra Tech Clint.Boschen@sandiego.gov (858) 609-1625; (703) 593-1803

Jerry Diamond Tetra Tech Jerry.Diamond@tetratech.com (410) 902-3145; (443) 244-0861



