

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO REPORT TO THE CITY COUNCIL

DATE ISSUED:

May 10, 2010

Report No.

10-070

ATTENTION:

Budget and Finance Committee

Agenda of May 6, 2010

SUBJECT:

Fiscal Year 2011 Arts and Culture Organizations

Funding Recommendations

REQUESTED ACTIONS

This is an information report for the Fiscal Year 2011 budget hearings.

SUMMARY:

Annually the City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture (Commission) makes funding recommendations to the Mayor, and in turn the City Council, for allocating Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) monies to non-profit arts and culture organizations. The funding is budgeted as a lump sum within the Special Promotional Programs budget under the category of Arts, Culture and Community Festivals in the Mayor's FY 2011 Proposed Budget. This report outlines the process and recommended distribution of funds to contract for services with the non-profit arts and culture organizations.

BACKGROUND

The Commission was established in 1988 to develop a process for evaluating applications and recommending funding for arts and culture organizations to the Mayor and City Council. While the Commission's role has expanded to include a leadership role in promoting the stability, development and vitality of the City's arts and culture community, the Commission still fulfills its original mission as part of the annual budget process.

Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) funds are allocated annually to various arts and culture organizations and included within the Special Promotional Programs budget. The category of Arts, Culture and Community Festivals is one of five funding categories included within the Special Promotional Programs budget. The Commission focuses its efforts on that category and utilizes a process of review as outlined in Council Policy 100-03. Applications are made available to arts and culture organizations by Commission staff in the summer for the following fiscal year's budget. The deadline for filing is in the fall, and the Commission Advisory Panels and the full Commission review the applications throughout the winter and spring. All meetings

related to the allocations process are conducted in public in compliance with the Brown Act. Prior to the City Council budget hearings, this report is issued outlining the Commission's specific recommendations for funding as approved by the Mayor.

DISCUSSION

A summary of the Commission's recommendations for FY 2011 funding allocations are reflected in the chart below. Each of the sub-categories is described more fully following this chart:

Program	FY10 Actual	FY11 Recommendation
Allocations		
Organizational Support Program (OSP)	\$6,449,183	\$5,816,582
Creative Communities San Diego (CCSD)	425,118	383,418
Public Art Fund	30,000	
Administration	866,326	833,023
Mayor/Council Allocations	220,000	220,000
Total Proposed Budget	\$7,990,627	\$7,253,023

Within the Special Promotional Programs category of Arts, Culture and Community Festivals, funding for non-profit arts and culture organizations is broken into two sub-categories — Organizational Support Program (OSP) and Creative Communities San Diego Program (CCSD). The remainder of the Arts, Culture and Community Festivals funding is administrative in nature, in support of the organizations, the Public Art Program, and Commission programs including cultural tourism and the Survive and Thrive Initiative.

Organizational Support Program (OSP)

The purpose of the OSP is to provide core support or general operating support for eligible non-profit arts and culture organizations. These organizations foster the stabilization and diversification of San Diego's cultural base, enhance the quality of life in the City's neighborhoods and pursue the vision of San Diego as an international cultural tourism destination. Funding supports the vitality and stability of the City's prominent and established arts organizations and cultural institutions, promotes an environment that attracts and nurtures emerging arts and cultural organizations and expands the availability of arts and cultural activities throughout the social, ethnic and economic sectors of the City to foster, promote and expand cultural diversity.

This year, the Commission received 76 OSP applications with a total funding request of \$13,293,480. The Commission Advisory Panels (CAP) reviewed them, following an evaluation by staff for completeness and compliance. Two CAPs, comprised of Commissioners and community members with expertise in nonprofit management and in the fields of arts and culture, reviewed and ranked the proposals according to the published criteria. Applicants were ranked in a two-step process: 1) each panel member ranked each application individually and 2) the ranks were averaged, with the resultant scores determining the applicant's ranking within its OSP level. Organizations received a notice of their rank and the panels' comments and had an opportunity to appeal the rank in a formal hearing.

The summary recommendation is to allocate funding in the amount of \$5,816,582 for FY 2011 Arts and Culture Organizational Support Program contracts to 75 arts and cultural organizations; this funding level is reduced by about 10% from FY 2010. <u>Attachment 1</u> includes an overview of the OSP funding process and the distribution of recommended OSP allocations.

The application from the San Diego Museum of Man was pulled from the panel review process by staff due to the fact that leadership at the Museum had changed since the application was submitted and staff was unsure that new leadership supported the goals and objectives set forth in the application as submitted. The application was reviewed instead by the Commission's Funding Committee which also interviewed representatives from the Museum and decided to recommend the following:

Whereas the collections of the San Diego Museum of Man include over 150,000 ethnographic objects and photographs from throughout the world; and whereas the quality of care of these objects is critical due to the wealth of information they hold and convey, the City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture recommends allocating \$100,000 from the FY11 OSP fund to support the salaries of the following three positions: 1) acting curator of collections, 2) digital registrar, and 3) collections manager for the specific purpose of stabilizing and improving the care of the museum's collection.

Creative Communities San Diego (CCSD)

The Commission received 47 CCSD applications, with a total funding request of \$1,214,711. Beginning with FY 2007, the Commission merged two of its allocations programs, Festivals and Celebrations and Neighborhood Arts Program, into the Creative Communities San Diego Program. This program provides project-based financial support for festivals, street fairs, parades and other civic events that enhance neighborhood pride, identity and unity and for projects that make arts and culture activities accessible to the community, increasing participation in arts and culture and making arts and culture more central to people's lives. The process for reviewing CCSD applications for funding is the same as the OSP review.

The summary recommendation is to allocate funding in the amount of \$383,418 for FY 2011 Creative Communities San Diego contracts to 38 nonprofit organizations; this funding level is reduced by about 10% from FY 2010. Attachment 2 includes an overview of the CCSD funding process and the distribution of recommended CCSD allocations.

Administration

The Administration budget provides for staff support of Commission for Arts and Culture's overall goals and objectives. In addition to making funding recommendations as described within this report, the Commission is also responsible for advising on projects and programs designed to promote public art throughout the neighborhoods of the City, to develop policies that involve artists in selected capital improvement projects, and to encourage the private sector to include public art in private development. The Commission's FY 2011 Administrative budget shows a net reduction of \$33,303 which reflects reductions in supplies, contracting, travel, printing and technology as well as the contribution to the Public Art Fund.

It is recommended that \$833,023 be allocated to the Arts and Culture Department's Administrative budget.

Mayor/City Council Allocations

No change to this category has been budgeted. The Proposed Budget provides for each of the eight Councilmembers to receive \$25,000 and for the Mayor to receive \$20,000 to allocate funds to non-profit organizations.

In an effort to anticipate situations that may arise from a reorganization of how the Mayor and Council allocations are awarded and(or) revisions to the budget, at a special meeting on April 21, 2010 the Commission 1) approved the FY 2011 distribution of arts and culture funding as stated in the staff report including the \$220,000 for Mayor/Council allocations; provided, however, that, to the extent any Mayor/Council discretionary funds are returned to the overall arts and culture budget without specific direction by the Mayor and(or) Councilmember(s), those funds will be placed in the allocation budget and distributed pro rata to the Organizational Support Program and Creative Communities San Diego Program or, in the alternative, if any Mayor/Council allocation funds are returned with specific direction by the Mayor and(or) Councilmember(s), those funds will be placed or allocated in accordance with the direction given by the Mayor and(or) Councilmember(s); and 2) approved the FY 2011 Organizational Support Program and Creative Communities San Diego Program funding recommendations as set forth in the staff report; provided, however, that, if the budgeted amounts for OSP and(or) CCSD change, the awarded amounts to each funded organization would change in accordance with the established methodology that is used in the staff report, with the San Diego Museum of Man staying as a fixed amount.

It is recommended that \$220,000 be allocated to the Mayor/City Council Allocations.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

The administrative budget was reduced by \$33,303; funding to the Public Art Fund was not recommended, the OSP and CCSD allocations were reduced by about 10%; and the Mayor/City Council Allocations remain unchanged. These funding recommendations and the OSP and CCSD allocations will be included in the final budget document.

Victoria L. Hamilton

Executive Director

Commission for Arts and Culture

Approved: Kris Michell

Chief of Staff

Office of Mayor Jerry Sanders

Attachments:

- 1) FY 2011 Organizational Support Program Overview and Funding Recommendations
- 2) FY 2011 Creative Communities San Diego Overview and Funding Recommendations

Attachment 1

City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture Fiscal Year 2011 Organizational Support Program (OSP)

Methodology

Each year the Commission reviews the application review criteria and guidelines and makes improvements as necessary based upon feedback from contractors, panels and staff. Major components of the review criteria include an organization's capacity to plan, implement and evaluate high quality arts and culture programming and services, an organization's capacity to meet the city's contract management requirements and to serve all of San Diego's diverse communities, including youth, and an organization's governance and fiscal health.

All applicants were required to submit an application by the deadline of October 22, 2009. Application guidelines and forms were made available to the public beginning August 16, 2009. Workshops were held on September 9 (Council District 1) and September 10 (Council District 6) 2009. Individual technical assistance in person, by phone or email was provided throughout the year.

The Commission administers two versions of the OSP application – a Long Form and a Short Form. The Long Form is the standard version which all new organizations and many returning organizations must complete. Each year, approximately 25% of returning applicants are eligible to submit an abbreviated form of the application (Short Form) based on their demonstrated excellence in providing the highest quality products and services and in managing their contracts.

To be eligible to use the Short Form, the applicant must demonstrate that it has satisfactorily completed City contracts over a three year period, it must hold a rank of 3+ or higher, its executive artistic and/or administrative staff has not changed during the past year, its venue has not changed in the past year, its average annual operating fund deficit for the two most recently completed fiscal years is not greater than 5%, there have been no significant changes in program objectives as stated in the current year contract, objectives have been met as outlined in the contract, and the contractor has successfully met all of its current and prior year obligations, including Final Report Packets.

Short Form criteria include goals and objectives for the coming year, California Cultural Data Project comprehensive financial and human resources data, response to diversity commitment, description of challenges and progress, response to panel comments (if the applicant submitted a Long Form the prior year), and budget implications of new programs and services and detailed budget notes. Organizations are allowed to submit Short Forms for a maximum of three years before being required to submit the Long Form again.

Long Forms are reviewed by Commission Advisory Panels (CAP) as described elsewhere in this Report. These panels review all aspects of the application, and to each application they assign a rank. Short Forms are reviewed by a staff committee for technical compliance only, and applications that pass the staff review maintain the rank they received from the last time they were reviewed by a CAP.

Panels were convened on December 2 and December 9, 2009 to review and rank Long Form applications. Panelists consisted of members of the Commission as well as community members selected for their expertise in the areas of arts or cultural programming, education, diversity, community development, cultural tourism, and business. Panels were open to the public and conducted in accordance with the Brown Act.

An Appeals Hearing was held as part of a regular Commission meeting on March 19, 2010 at which time one appeal was heard from The PGK Project. The appeal was granted (rank was changed from a 3 to a 3+).

How to Navigate the Spreadsheet

The following systems are used in the ranking of applications:

1. Applications are ranked as follows with the corresponding percentages used as multipliers when calculating the maximum percentage of each applicants request, the applicant may be awarded:

Rank	Numerical	Percentage
	Equivalent	Equivalent
4	4	100%
4-	3.67	94%
3+	3.33	88%
3	3	82%

- 2. Applicants receiving a rank lower than a "3" were not recommended for funding.
- 3. Awards are calculated according to a formula that takes into account two curves, the ranks awarded by the panel, and the amount of available funds.
- 4. New organizations receive 50% of their calculated award.
- 5. No organization may receive more than 10% of the total available funds.
- 6. No organization will be funded over its requested amount.

Funding Recommendations Overview

	Number of Applicants	Number of Applicants Funded	Funding
FY10			
(actual)	76	72	\$6,449,183
FY11			·
(recommended)	76	75	\$5,816,582

Frequently Asked Questions

- 1. How do I know what the Recommended Funding is for a particular organization?
 - Organizations are listed first by Level (I, II or III) and then in descending order by FY09 Annual Operating Income (AOI).
 - FY11 Recommended Funding is listed in the column to the far right with the heading "Recommended Funding."
- 2. Why does funding for a given organization change from one year to the next?

There are several factors to consider:

- A change in the total funds available
- A change in the total funds requested
- A change in an organization's AOI
- A change in an organization's rank
- Changes to the AOI and/or ranks of other organizations
- 3. How is the Recommended Funding determined?
 - The Recommended Funding is determined by a formula that incorporates curve parameters, the organization's rank and the total available funds.
- 4. What do the "standard curve" and the "museum curve" have to do with funding?
 - One of the premises of the OSP allocation process is that organizations receive funding relative to the size of their budget (AOI). The curves ensure that organizations are funded equally with respect to their budget size. As an organization's budget grows, the overall percentage of its funding decreases. For example, an organization with an AOI of \$25,000 may request a maximum allocation equal to 25% of its AOI, and an organization with a \$1 million AOI may request a maximum allocation of 13.5% of its AOI.
 - Only museums that are accredited by the American Association of Museums and have an AOI between \$1,500,000 and \$6,500,000 may use the Museum Curve. All other organizations use the Standard Curve.

City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture

Organizational Support Progrm

FY11 Recommended Funding for Ranks of 3 and Above

FY11 Organizational Support Program
Summary by Level

	runus		COUNT AND LESS SELECTION OF			LEGISLA L'ACCOLO		
•	Available	\$5,816,582	Standard			<u> </u>		5%
	Recommended	\$5,816,582	irstal acu		25,000			
	Difference	50	mual % rate		25%		4.00	100%
	,		AOI growth rate	- 1	28%		3.57	94%
Adjustments from Computed Allocation			decrement of %.	- 1.	0.58%		3.33	88%
to Recommended Funding			MUSEUM Curve				3.00	82%
cap to available funds	<u> </u>	10%	inital % rate	Г	1456		257	78%
max allocation %		25%	decrement per \$1M		156	min rank	2.33	70%
max new & returning		59%						
min continuing	- 1	79%	Both Curves					
max decrease		10%	aqust to available funds		0.522848100			
max increase coming on the Curve		26%			L	0.5229481	46.7%	PYIT
	•	•			L	0.5671	-42.2%	
						0.6323	-35.5%	
			4			0.6323	-35.5%	FY05
					L	0.5685	-31.8%	FY07
					L	0.6854	-30.1%	FYDS
						0.7626	-22.2%	FY05
						0.8108	-17.3%	FYD#
						0.539	-4.3%	FYES
						0.3606	-2.1%	FY102
			•			0.9508		FVT(1

	Summary by Lavel	20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Annual Operating Income 08	* FY2009 Funding	AOI	Requested Funding 2010	Rank 2010	Rank Factr	Annual Operating Income '09	Computed Allocation	Recommended Funding	% AOI	% Funds
17	Level		180,530,717	4,371,535	2.9%	9,417,391	9.00	0%	143,117,266	4,148,759	4,043,761	2.8%	70%
27	Level II		26,341,486	1,732,576	6.6%	2,944,109	9.00	0%	21,228,618	\$,391,707	1,383,175	6,5%	24%
32	Level ill		3,787,318	345,072	9,3%	931,980	0.00	0%	4,782,507	421,969	389,650		796
76	All Levels		180,575,521	E,443,183	3.6%	13,233,480	0.00	0%	169,128,391	5,954,435	5,816,582	3,4%	100,0%

75 Organizations Recommended for Funding:

Total Recommended Funding

5,816,562

										15% - YEAT #2					PC recommend			
, 100 %	2.0%	201	新国	司	188	28%	32%	S. S.	網的	2.1%	37.6	43%	4.9%		3.8%	ng E	in in it	2.8%
Recommended Funding	387,436	354,622	374,445	西河南	340,087	北京 第	259,935	255,350	225, 156	125,528	221,393	155,114	165,307	123,851	000 001	100,512	100,448	4,043,761
Computed	387,436	354,522	374,445	201,128	340,087	338,351	269,935	258,880	225,156	223,526	221,393	181,114	165,307	123,651	100,200	212,201	103,449	4,140,753
Annual Operating Income 03	19,055,518	16,680,700	15,403,103	15,000,890	12,338,795	12, \$82,456	8,541,815	6,995	6,850,871	5.020,E02	5,321,969	4,255,806	3,360,105	2,647,384	2,544,061	2,000,377	2,019,006	123,117,235
Rick Fact	100%	****	*004	100%	100%	%003	STS.	1403	1683	NA.	1675	***	%004	\$83 8		%88 88	*376	
Rank 2011	400	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.33	351	3.67	367	4.00	3.33	5	3.33	3.57	
Raquested Funding	1,045,108	928, £38	300 405	825,049	6,75,639	570,002	553,256	524,714	513,792	AST. OLD	473,737	T. 100.	312,210	277,972	180 EE	232,343	242.281	9,417,391
≠ 2	1.9%	34%	25年	25%	7.1%	3.0%	新州	3.5%	4.0%	語の	£.5%	5.7%	湯女の	5.2%	5.8%	5.6%	E. 125.	 29%
FY2010 Funding	435,251	415,105	405,163	376,700	432,915	374,167	355,500	256,025	177,290	117,928	251,015	133,000	182,531	162,161	128,363	111,534	112,350	 4,438,141
Annual Operating Income 08	22,541,023	17,003,74	15,573,563	14,509,542	21,084,102	12,320,624	10,900,399	5,305.641	4,367,521	5,050,257	5,503,026	2,322,305	3,375,080	3,101,425	2,207,590	1,989,617	1,830,851	151, 109,710
Rank Fact	102%	100%	133%	93%	100%	100%	100%	激素	87.35	150%	100%	200	100%	378	34%	3838	348	
Rank 2010	4.00	4,00	4.00	3.63	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.00	8	4.00	li mi	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	
Organization	Otd Globe Theatre	esed Os	SD Symplectic	Ea Joka Playhouse	SO Spolety of Natural History	Museum of Contemporary Art	SD Waseum of Art	SD Stace and Science Foundation	SD Ar & Soace Museum	Way Children's Museum	Natime Nuseum of San Discol	Mana International Meetin	La John Maio Society	SD Repertory Theatre	SD Museum of Wan	logelDineSisan Olego	Museum of Photographic Arts	Totals for Level I
[Fee] [guer	64	m	स्	u)	už.	Per	63	Ġ'n	(S)	\$ 3 3	Ü	\$	14	10	<u>19</u>	17.7	17

Page 11 of 19

FY11 Organizational Support Program Level II Recommendations

Ε	
Ö	
L.	
Į,	
ğ	
5	
/) ***	
Ē	
ä	
Z	
C	

nd Culture Organizational Su

	The state of the s		Equiv	Ennusi			Requested	to my		Zennosi			-
	Cedanization	NS. 18	Rank	Operating fincome 08	FY2010	* 9	Funding	Rank	ž,	Cperating Tricome 03	Computed	Recommended Funding	2,40
		П										3	
	SD Center for Jewish Culture	367	があ	1,725,087		1		357	34%	1,634,436	181,390	1000年	2,6%
	Owner Theater		100%	724,430	59,593	*2.8	162,258	400	1503	1,518,903	267,785		100
. '	The SOLD IN THE STATE OF THE ST	192	粉香	1,395,447	20,155	5.6%	178,657	3.67	616	1,488,810	87,78	964.43	350
	Cafforda Ballet		35%	1,376,087				333	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	1,482,569	136,10		365 US
	Athertaeum Music & Arts Library	367	37%	1,594,854	101,657	5.4%	183,282	3.67	24.00 26.00 26.00	1,465,257	85,818	86,318	25%
	SO JUNIOR THESTRE	3.67	94%	1,407,470	32.728			357	3	1279.979		78,57	5.1%
	SD Chamber Ordrestra	80	888	1,102,097	72,328	5.5%	150,229	4.00	1003	1,155,611	77,388		67%
	Save Our Herlage Organisation	3.33	92%	763,560	54,625	7.2%	1234	3333	25.52	502340	51,530	100 M	5.55
	Japanese Ertendship Garden	88	32%	245,336	39,145	l i		in in	1523	373.10			800
	SD Historical Society	333	36%	3,241,475	156,378	4.8%	109,080	300	N. S.	752,279			51%
	SD Youth Symphery	367	34%	766,840	58.624	7.布殊		4.00	\$503£	745,048			7.4%
	Ch Balet	l.	3836	259,673		7.3%	100,733		3638	DF 250		46,104	6.6%
	SD Model Fatroad Misseum	(X)	86% 86%	595,162	44,969			333	56%	659,269	44,781	44.734	5.7.5
	SD Automotive Museum	13	268	737.234	53,159	大の第	8,73	3.33	50%	664,652	12,541	44,543	878
	Meda Arts Center	3.67	200	667,737	52.553			4.00	100%	649,713	49,721	49,724	7.7%
	Majashock Dance and Co.	3.33	383	775,500	55.341	强星之	96.030	3.33	85%	£39,597	43,242	43,242	90 E
	Young Audiences of San Diego	361	遊話	535.487	50,571	80	35, 104	367	22	634,038	45,852	45.855	1
	SD Art methute	333	38%	724.704	52.256	7.2%	89,239	3.33	\$63	557,742	38,807	38,907	7.0%
	Diversionary Theatre		N. S.	535,881	44.19万			3.67	24	541,963		40,525	7.5%
	Gastamp Quarter Historical Edits.	233	70%	E51,304		0.0%	J0, 166	300	22	412,740		in con	が行す
	THE CO		器法	1921		l i		200	1676	409,595	MA, M	920 CE	200
	Flaywrights Froject	357	路路	459,021	39 085	100 E	ET, 430	3.67	の事業	38.83			5.0%
	La Jolia Symphemy & Chorus		2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	352,716	29,624	5.4%		3.33	1992	371,362			7.5%
	EVENNE Control Theather	est est		405,635	33, th	8.7%	51, 524	355	Ä	351,905	記する。正式		8
	Perstan Outling Center			262.634	24.362	3.2%	53,838	333	72.02	316.697	24,659	1915	12
ļ	50 Archaelogical Center		100%	465,354	42052	[367	248	314.135		55.657	8,38
ı	SD Dance Theater	357	818	302,142	27,91%		55.22	3.67	£125	313,779			8.3%
	Totals for Level II 68.21	25	€ 63 63	20,556,735	1,571,758	ř.	2344,103			21,238,616	1,381,767	1,383,171	5.55

City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture

Organizational Support Progrm

FY11 Recommended Funding for Ranks of 3 and Above

FY11 Organizational Support Program Level III

Recommendations

_						_								
	·		Equiv	Annual	e til i m	n. 1	Requested			Annual		1	.7	
LevelIII		Rank	Rank	Operating	FY2010	3	Funding	Rank	Rank	Operating	Computed	Recommended	ľ	
<u> </u>	Organization	2010	Factor	Income 05	Funding	ACA	FYH	2811	Factr	Income 03	Allocation	Funding	% AOI	
														Ī
- 1	Classics for Kids	3,67	94%	362,524	33,748	8.8%	53,771	3.57	94%	298,726	25,118	25,118	8,4%	Į.
- 2	Prophel World Beal Center	3.67	94%	189,900	19,000	10.0%	49,535	3.33	58%	275,199	21,993	21,993	8.0%	
- 3	AIA Project	3,33	88%	292,232	25,429	8.7%	47,676	3,33	58%	264,868	21,316	21,316	8.0%	
	SG Children's Chok	3.67	94%	242,919	23,352	9,5%	47,478	3.67	94%	263,770	22,692	22,692	8.5%	
5	SD Civic Youth Bailet	267	88%	198,173		0.0%	45,516	3.33	88%	252,858	36,522	14,365	5,7%	
- 5	Veterans Memorial Center	3.67	94%	315,749	29,003	9.2%	45,147	3.57	94%	250,814	21,775	21,775	8.7%	
	San Diego Water Color Society	3.33	88%	203,773	15,429		42,650	2.67	94%	224,476	19,878	19,678	8.9%	
8	Patificia Rincon Eginte Collective	3.33	88%	347,874	29,294	8,4%	42,002	3.33	8695	221.063	18,376	18,376	8.3%	
9	La Jolla Historical Society			395,134			41,306	3.33	58%	217,369	18,122	9,061		50% - Year #1
16	Fem Street Convinually Arts	3.33	88%	175,211	15,770	9.5%	37,955	3.33	88%	195,034	15,570	16,570	8.5%	
	Spreckels Organ Society	3.67	82%	141,766	14,942	10.5%	35,979	3.00	82%	184,527	14,754	11,754	8.0%	
t2	Moreleio Performing Arts	4.00	120%	200,806	21,236	10.6%	32,036	4.00	\$11 0 1%	\$65,E11	16,687	15,587	9.9%	
1.3	Sust 1	3.57	94%	268,080	25,316		32,682	3.67	34%	163,410	15,282	15,282	9.4%	
14	SD Master Chorale	3.67	94%	133,844	14,240		.31;595	3.67	94%	157,980	14,857	14,857	9.4%	
15	Center for World Music	3.35	35%	129,317	12,952	10.0%	38,125	3,33	88%	150,625	13,366	13,366	8.9%	
10	SD Chinese Historical Society	3.67	94%	147,928	15,484	10.5%	29,383	3.67	94%	145,915	13,983	13,983	9.5%	
	Finest City P.A. doa Gay Men's Chorus	3.33	38%	141,246	13,945	9,3%	27,951	3.33	88%	139,757	12,555	12,555	9.0%	
18	Westwind Brass	3.33	88%	159,425	15,197	9.7%	24,339	3.33	88%	115,899	10,729	10,729	9.3%	
19	SD Young Artists Symphony	2.33	88%	105,941	10.951	10.3%	23,759	3.33	58%	113,186	10,518	10,518	9.3%	l
28	Scripps Ranch Theatre			112,585			23,078	3.33	88%	109,596	10,259	5,136	47%	50% - Year #1
21	SD Young Artists Music Academy	3.67	82%	135,037	\$4,435	10.5%	19,750	300	82%	98,802	8,738	9,436	9,5%	
22	SD Early Music Society	3,33	94%	80.081	8,639	10.5%	18,926	3.57	94%	90,122	9,266	9,266	10.3%	
23	SD Museum Council	3.35	55%	91.547	9,679	10.5%	19,314	3.33	5,6%	87,792	3,484	6,484	9.7%	
24	Centro Cultural de la Raza			130,935			15,411	1,57	58%	63,686	5,363	0	0.0%	
25	San Diego Withers Ink			71,430			18, 185	3.33	88%	82,664	8,662	4,931	4.9%	50% - Year #1
26	Women's History Museum	3.33	88%	63,749	7,111	11.2%	15,158	3.33	88%	82,583	3,955	9,055	9.5%	
27	Camaratix	3.57	88%	69,231	8,151	11.8%	15,840	3.33	88%	72,000	7,167	7,336	10.2%	
28	. Sariehar	3.33	88%	73,205	8,083	10.9%	15,231	3.33	58%	69,236	6,932	5,932	10.0%	
29	Common Ground Theater	3.33	82%	57,843	6,543	11.3%	15,190	3.00	62%	69,645	5,444	5,404	9.3%	
30	SD Guild of Puspetry	3.67	94%	82,515	9, 45E	11.5%	14,081	3.57	94%	64,606	5,924	6,924	10.8%	Ī
31	PGK Project		88%	22,870	1,150	5.0%	13,483	3,33	88%	58,275	5,981	3.140	5.4%	75% - Year #2
32	Chronics Theatre Group			5.048			2,300	3.33	88%	9.203	1,193	597		50% - Year#1
32	Totals for Lavel III	83.15	0.50	5,168,518	410,516	7.5%	931,980			4.782.507	421.563	385.950	8 1%	

79 TOTAL REQUESTS 13,293,480 169,126,391 5.954,495

Attachment 2

City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture Fiscal Year 2011 Creative Communities San Diego Program (CCSD)

Methodology

Each year the Commission reviews the application review criteria and guidelines and makes improvements as necessary based upon feedback from contractors, panels and staff. Major components of the review criteria include an organization's capacity to plan, implement and evaluate high quality arts and culture programming and services, especially those designed to promote community development, an organization's capacity to meet the city's contract management requirements and to serve all of San Diego's diverse communities, including youth, and an organization's governance and fiscal health.

All applicants were required to submit an application by the deadline of November 20, 2009. Application guidelines and forms were made available to the public beginning September 17, 2009. Workshops were held on September 30 (Council District 6) and October 1 (Council District 4) 2009. Individual technical assistance in person, by phone or email was provided throughout the year.

The Commission administers two versions of the CCSD application – a Long Form and a Short Form. The Long Form is the standard version which all new organizations and many returning organizations must complete. Each year, approximately 25% of returning applicants are eligible to submit an abbreviated form of the application (Short Form) based on their demonstrated excellence in providing high quality programs and services and managing their contracts.

In order to be eligible to use the Short Form, the applicant must demonstrate that it has satisfactorily completed and is in the process of completing City contracts over the three (3) year period immediately prior to the application year, it holds a rank of 3+ or higher, it presented the same project for three consecutive years, at the same site, with the same key staff, and it has successfully met all of its current and prior year obligations, including Final Report Packets.

The Short Form criteria include project goals and objectives, attendance data, budgets (past, present and projected), annotated budget notes, board composition and policies including how conflicts of interest are handled and training for board members and staff, outcomes and project evaluation, and response to panel comments (if the applicant submitted a Long Form in the prior year). Organizations are allowed to submit Short Forms for a maximum of three years before being required to submit the Long Form again. Long Forms are reviewed by Commission Advisory Panels (CAP) as described elsewhere in this Report. These panels review all aspects of the application, and to each application they assign a rank. Short Forms are reviewed by a staff committee for technical compliance only, and applications that pass the staff review maintain the

rank they received from the last time they were reviewed by a CAP.

A panel was convened on February 10, 2010 to review and rank applications. Panelists consisted of members of the Commission as well as community members selected for their expertise in the areas of arts or cultural programming, education, diversity, community development, cultural tourism, and business. Panels were open to the public and conducted in accordance with the Brown Act.

An Appeals Hearing was held as part of a regular Commission meeting on March 19, 2010 at which time there were no CCSD appeals.

How to Navigate the Spreadsheet

The following systems are used in the ranking of applications:

1. Applications are ranked as follows with the corresponding percentages used as multipliers when calculating the maximum percentage of each applicant's request, the applicant may be awarded:

Rank	Numerical	Percentage
	Equivalent	Equivalent
4	4	100%
4-	. 3.67	94%
3+	3.33	88%
3	3	.82%

- 2. Applicants receiving a rank lower than a "3" were not recommended for funding.
- 3. Awards are calculated based upon the applicants ranks and an "across the board reduction" based upon available funds.
- 4. No organization may receive more than 10% of the total available funds.
- 5. No organization will be funded over its requested amount.

Funding Recommendations Overview

	Number of Applicants	Number of Applicants Funded	Funding
FY10			
(actual)	51	48	\$425,118
FY11			
(recommended)	47	38	\$383,418

Frequently Asked Questions

- 1. How do I know what the Recommended Funding is for a particular organization?
 - Organizations are listed first by FY11 total project expenses in descending order then by FY11 rank in descending order.
 - FY11 Recommended Funding is listed on the right side of the table in the column titles "Recommended Funding."
- 2. Why does funding for a given organization change from one year to the next?

There are several factors to consider:

- A change in the total funds available
- A change in the total funds requested
- A change in an organization's project budget (which affects the amount requested)
- A change in an organization's rank
- Changes to the project budgets and/or ranks of other organizations
- 3. How is the Recommended Funding determined?
 - The Recommended Funding is determined by a formula that includes a percentage equivalent for the rank and an across-the-board adjustment for the amount of available funds.

FY10 FY11 CCSD Commission Total Project. FY11 Amount Recommended Organization Funding FY10 Rank Expenses FY11 Rank Requested Funding San Diego Asian Film Foundation 4 19,681 403,500 \$ 100,875 \$ 20,537 Bon Temps Social Club of San Diego 4 19,681 376,000 3.67 \$ 94,000 20,537 San Diego Armed Services YMCA 3.67 18,500 240,000 60,000 \$ 3.57 20,537 Christian Community Theatre 3.33 17,319 202,900 1.67 \$ 50,725 \$ AFC Dixieland Jazz Society 3.33 \$ 17,319 201,500 3.67 50,375 | \$ 20,537 Gaslamp Quarter Association 3 16,138 200,000 2.67 50,000 \$ San Diego Film Foundation 3.67 Š 18,500 191,995 \$ 47,999 \$ 20,537 3.67 Torrey Pines Kiwanis Foundation 3.67 \$ 6,529. 172,310 1.57 Ş 15,000 \$ -Adams Avenue Business Association 4 Ş 19,681 147,800 3.57 Ś 42,500 \$ 18,643 3.33 San Diego Performing Arts League 13,926 141,510 2.33 35,378 \$ 11,577 Little Italy Association 4 \$ 140,000 4 35,000 18,787 San Diego Earth Day 4 14,639 137,400 3,67 \$ 34,350 \$ 17,331 133,200 3 \$ 45,000 Historic Old Town 14,657 San Diego Audubon Society 3 11,484 130,000 3,33 32,500 15,351 12,188 Salvation Army 3.67 125,202 3.67 \$ 30,381 \$ 15,793 3.67 13,287 Balboa Park Cultural Partnership 115,625 2 28,125 \$ Justice Overcoming Boundaries 3.33 16,300 112,000 3.33 \$ 35,000 \$ 13,226 Jacobs Center 3.33 17,319 110,850 4 27,713 \$ 14,875 Ocean Beach Merchants Association 4 \$ 11,125 109,300 3.67 \$ 27,325 13,787 San Diego Fieet Week Foundation 3 Ŝ 11,086 108,400 1.67 \$ 27,100 \$ Pacific Beach Community Development 4 \$ 11,577 100,000 3.33 Ş 32,000 \$ 11,809 Hillcrest Business Improvement 3.33 \$ 8,150 84,500 3.67 10,659 \$ 28,000 \$ 7,944 Kalusugan Community Services 3.67 80,000 20,000 3.33 9,447

Y11 Creative Communities San Diego Recommendations, page 1

FY10 FY11 CCSD Commission Total Project FY11 Amount Recommended Organization FY10 Rank Funding Expenses FY11 Rank Requested Funding Pacific Southwest Wildlife Arts 3.33 7,926 73,800 3.33 24,650 \$ 8,833 College Area Economic Development \$ 3.33 \$ 6,622 61.800 .3.33 15,450 \$ 7,298 Ś San Diego City College Foundation 8,104 \$ 15,000 S 4 60,000 8,051 4 3.67 \$ \$ Point Loma Association 6,011 56,500 3.67 13,810 \$ 7,127 \$ San Diego Chinese Center \$ 5,909 \$ 13,500 \$ 3.33 54,000 3.33 6,377 Italian American Art and Culture 49,550 12.388 6,649 4 \$ Linda Vista Multi-Cultural Fair and Parade 4 Š 5,631 49,000 3.67 Ŝ 12,250 \$ 6,181 \$ North Park Organization of Businesses 3.67 \$ 6,094 48,200 12,200 \$ 3.67 6,080 Triple Threat Ballers 39,650 Ś 5,000 \$ 1.67 Rancho de los Penasquitos Town Council 3.33 Ŝ 3,749 \$ 36,700 Ś 9.175 \$ 3 4,038 2,926 3 \$ 9,098 \$ Nu-Way BHILD 36,390 3,33 4,297 CHC / FS for Teye Sa Thiosanne Š 2.67 Ŝ 10,000 S 30,000 \$ Asian Story Theater 3,33 4,075 30,000 3.33 Ś 10,000 \$ 4,724 CCDRC / FS for SD Afterschool Strings 3.33 4,075 30,000 3.33 10,000 4,724 Ş 3,797 \$ Š Los Bilingual Writers 3 30,000 3.67 10,000 | \$ 5,046 \$ 10,000 \$ 3.33 4,075 30,000 3.67 Ś 5,046 Transcendance 29,200 NTC Foundation 4 9,700 5,224 8,250 3 3,467 27,864 Ś 4,088 Villa Musica 3 \$ San Diego Shakespeare Society 3.67 4,154 27,200 9.067 3.67 4,575 Mira Mesa Theatre Guild 25,265 2.57 \$ 10,000 \$ Cabrillo Festival (47th annual) 3.67 Š 3,047 15,000 3.67 Ŝ 5,000 \$ 2,521 Rolando Community Council 4 \$ 1,805 17,320 3,099 4 5,767 \$ Kiwanis Club of Tierrasanta 3.67 2,379 15,180 5,060 \$ 3.33 2,390 San Diego Music Foundation 10,000 20,000 2.33 Total 397,796 4,646,511 \$ 1,214,711 383,418

Recommendations, page 2