



Comment Cards



Public Comments

Land Use Category	
1	Long term balanced development for UC. Economic "Upward" development in North UC without sprawling "outward" into parks, open space areas. Preserve the unique balance between economic growth, innovation, environmental, amenities, and residential communities that make UC a great place to live, work, and play.
2	Protect vacant parcel at Gullstrand and Governor (permanently)
3	I am very concerned about the over development of UC - I have lived in all areas of UC for over 25 years (as a UCSD Student, a UCSD and then TSRI employee, etc.) and it's becoming more and more congested. I don't want to live in LA. I would like to emphasize less development (less housing) and more public transport options. I love and value the open spaces of UC (and use them for health and fun).
4	Enforce the new regulations regarding short term vacation rentals so we can preserve our neighborhoods for our residents, not transients; i.e. vacationers, Airbnb.
5	Please designate between open space parks and open space. Lands part of Park&Rec open space division. These lands have different opportunities. For all open spaces that are to part of City Park and Rec open space. Please designate as open space parkland all non-dedicated lands to insure the community has access to open space without driving.
6	I support increased housing density near ALL transit stops. Also, in other areas where it will reduce single-driver auto miles.
7	Thank you for the informative open house! I've worked in the Torrey Pines and UTC life science industry over 25 years- two pharmaceutical products developed in the area extended and saved both of my parents lives. We need to facilitate the growth, through density of biotech/life science.
8	The current density free for all seems to have grown out of single urban node reference in an early UC Plan. Planners should be more sensitive to the minor reference to creating an urban density that knows no bounds. The overwhelming emphasis of early plans was to execute a livable community: balanced development with manageable traffic and enhancing amenities such as libraries, schools, parks, etc...
9	Increased density only on surfaces that are currently impermeable

Public Comments

Mobility Category	
1	No paved or graded bike path in Rose Canyon - People bike through there fine now and enjoy it as is
2	Nothing about improving the bike infrastructure - we need bikeways! There are no bike pathways along La Jolla Village Drive and what's on Nobel Drive is fragmented with cars parking along the side at several blocks. Bikes do not have a good throughway west to east - even at UCSD.
3	Make Genesee and Governor into a traffic circle
4	Consider neighborhood 4-way and 3-way stops being converted to roundabouts promote mass transit, fewer cars.
5	Genesee through Rose Canyon needs a separated bike land, Class IV so kids going to school can bike safely to Stanley or UC high.
6	The buses should not make beep sounds or have loudspeakers - this is a public NUISANCE, 201 AND 202 BUS
7	Turn Governor and Genesee into a roundabout (traffic Circle)
-	Propose an express bus route from Genesee Ave (Clairemont/UC) to the Biotech corridor on North Torrey Pines Road. Limited stops,

8	no winding through UCSD - they can take 41 instead.
9	I think sidewalks and lands should be wider around schools like Stanley Middle School so that when we get out of school we aren't cramped between sidewalks and on the road
10	1. Keep Regents Rd Bridge IN the Community Plan. Someday, safety of the Community (N+S UC) will be Jeopardized with only 1 N/S street. 2. Provide bus transportation for South UC that includes bus service east of Genesee. Shuttle from the park+ride near 805 of Genesee to serve South UC on a regular basis. Bring back the old Mercedes Buses for better service on Genesee and also, shuttles to/from the park+ride to UTC trolley (every hr. or half hr.).
11	It's obvious that the U.C. area is increasing population density at a rapid pace. The community plan should consider long term effects on traffic. Also, open spaces should be preserved while they will exist.
12	More adaptive traffic monitors! Far less idling + emissions
13	We need the trolley to connect to the airport so visitors can easily visit campus
14	Add more bike lanes, especially to transit hubs and to schools/libraries.
15	1. Protected bike and pedestrian ways on all major arterials in UC, including Governor, Genesee, Nobel, and La Jolla Village Drive. 2. Scooter and other mobility services for "last mile" access to public transit.
16	Thanks for doing this! I am passionate about biking and want this to be as safe for my family as driving. Please create more class I bike lands where kids can safely ride to school and not dodge traffic.
17	During rush hour, the superloop gets caught in traffic and doesn't come for sometimes 40 minutes causing people to miss bus connections. The superloops need priority access on our streets and a signalized intersections.
18	Many North UC residents walk, ride bikes, and take public transit - I'd like to see a focus in the new plan on making these non-vehicular options safer.

Total number of 18 mobility comments

Public Comments

Urban Design Category

1	Focus on beautifying the community and community identity to improve the quality of life. How will we preserve UC? Identifiable "look" of homes, innovation economy, and environment recreation?
2	At the terminal end of trolley - Bent 1- art work - visual appeal - visible going North on Genesee and Nobel
3	Include trees on private property in the community plan. Encourage planting.

Total number of 3 Urban Design comments

Open Space Category

1	Protect Rose Canyon - Preserve all protected areas in canyon - Identity of UC is quiet, family, friendly - no need for more trolleys/bridges.
2	Please make permanent and unified the Rose Canyon open space and all adjacent valuable open space is critical given recent development in UC and UTC. Open space is the only offset to intensive development and open space lands MUST be protected from development AND sale.
3	No trail needed in Rose Canyon - it has the main dirt road which wasn't great. It is a narrow canyon - the main trail runs between the riparian area on the north side and steep slopes on the south side - no room for more trails. And Rose canyon's primary purpose is habitat protection for plants and wildlife. Only low impact recreation is compatible with that use. and no hardscape in Rose Canyon.
4	Protect and Connect our remaining open space - remember, even degraded open space can become habitat mitigate only in the immediate area/watershed
5	Please provide separation from cars for bicycles and scooters. Provide more express public transit from south UC to downtown and to the Beach area/La Jolla. Protect all open space, especially canyons, especially parcel at Gullstrand and Robins.

6	I strongly support permanent protection of all remaining redevelopment city-owned land in Rose Canyon
7	I strongly support permanent protection of all remaining redevelopment city-owned land in Rose Canyon and elsewhere in UC Plan Area. No paving or hardscape in Rose Canyon
8	Protect the remaining undeveloped land in Rose Canyon and in the University Community Plan Area. No hardscape. Preserve all MSCP areas in perpetuity.
9	The open space along Gilman Canyon is essential to protect permanently because (1) it is a wildlife corridor connecting UCSD campus open space and Rose Canyon; (2) it is an opportunity to improve and protect water quality in Rose Creek and Mission Bay; (3) it could be a beautiful corridor for pedestrians, allowing walking vs. driving to Rose Canyon for exercise and nature exposure.
10	Please update the plan to protect permanently all remaining undeveloped land in Rose Canyon and in the University Community Plan area. Also, please preserve all MSCP areas.
11	No paving or hardscape - none ever! Save every square inch of open space. Save thee area from more over crowding and unnecessary development. Balboa North.
12	Permanently preserve all MSCP areas. Save our wildlife and green space.
13	Preserve 100% of MSCP! Preserve 100% of remaining undeveloped land - to add density use already developed land, the huge number of acres of surface parking lots. No need to bulldoze one square inch more of habitat.
14	Protect Rose Canyon in the New Community Plan. Permanently protect all underdeveloped space left in Rose Canyon.
15	Protect all remaining undeveloped land. No concrete or other hardscape Rose Canyon. Preserve MSCP areas permanently.
16	With all the construction going on, it is imperative that we protect all the remaining open space in Rose Canyon in the University Community Plan Area. No concrete or other hardscape in Rose Canyon permanently preserve all MSCP areas.
17	Preserve walking space in Canyon
18	Please preserve the open spaces
19	Preserve all MSCP Land and no concrete in the Canyons
20	Please conserve Rose Canyon as an Open Spare Park (San Clemente Canyon also). I support permanent protection of all remaining undeveloped city-owned land in Rose Canyon and elsewhere in the UC plan area.
21	Undeveloped land in U-City, expanding Rose Canyon needs to be protected. Permanently. We need nature and nature needs us. No concrete in Rose Canyon. MSCP areas need permanent protection.
22	University City keeps growing, and so increasingly needs ALL open areas kept open. People NEED nature. Keep Rose Canyon as is, without built areas or finished roadways/bike paths. Help keep invasive plants out off Open Space. Preserve MSCP.
23	No concrete or other hardscape in Rose Canyon
24	I attended the Open House at the N UC Rec Center and enjoyed looking at the exhibits. In particular I wanted my opinion on Rose Canyon to be noted. I believe that all remaining undeveloped land in Rose Canyon and in the UC community Plan area could be permanently protected with no concrete or other hardscape I am adamantly in favor of permanently preserving all MSCP (multiple species program) areas so that our community is enjoying in its current state for generations to come.
25	Please maintain our canyons and open space! More parks, especially North of Rose Canyon. Maintain Rapid Rescue squared in South UC
26	I support protecting all undeveloped land in the Rose Canyon and UC Plan we need plants we need good air quality we need birds we don't want concrete or landscape kids thrive on nature they get plenty structure. We need to preserve or multiple species and keep our habitat green conservation program for our children and all the young families moving into our neighborhood.
27	I recently moved to thee Community (got job @UCSD). I think the Canyons is Open Space is the best part of this area. It is something worth preserving for many generations. Please, please protect this land as open/nature preserves and wildlife corridors.

28	Imposition of development limits tied to habitat acquisition. No concrete or other landscape on any currently permeable surface.
29	Please oppose any development in Rose Canyon. Please oppose any increase in density of housing in University. Keep our Open Space and Please don't turn South Diego into L.A.
30	Protect all undeveloped land in Rose Canyon. Once gone, can never get back! We need open space to benefit everyone in so many ways.
31	Keep as much of the undeveloped space undeveloped. Compact the population density and leave open space for the overall health of the neighborhood. 1. Permanently protect all City-owned open space in UC, including Rose Canyon. 2. No hardscape or concrete in Rose Canyon.
32	We MUST preserve all remaining open space and undeveloped land in UC. No hardscape in Rose Canyon. Keep it wild! We must preserve all remaining animal habitats.
33	Permanently protect all remaining undeveloped land in Rose Canyon and in the University Community Plan Area. No concrete or other "hardscape" in Rose Canyon permanently preserve all MSCP areas.
34	I'd like to see Rose Canyon permanently preserved in the revise plan. A better commemoration of the Rose Ranch would be wonderful. Keep out concrete. Preserve multiple species conservation program walkability is important. Access to bicycle paths would be great.
35	Thank you for doing this! So many opportunities to make our community better. I love U.C.!! The goals I've seen so far are great but I would like to see more emphasis on open space and to protect our Canyons. Please protect current MSCP and other Open Space - no hardscape or development in current park or green space land.
36	Let it be University City, not University Concrete City landscape with nature native plants.
37	1. we have a very livable community - want to maintain walkability to schools and parks and shopping. 2. Preserve Rose Canyon and other open space no pavement in the Canyon. 3. Permanently preserve all MSCP areas.
38	Keep Rose Canyon natural - no concrete or hardscape, it's a bit of serenity in the middle of what is happening in UC/UTC.
39	I am a 23 year resident of University city who strongly supports protection of open space in and around Rose Canyon. The canyon has long been an important part of my life. It is where my husband and I walked with our kids from the time they were preschool age, introducing them to the big oaks, the birds and wildlife. It's where my son, Daniel, ran every day with his high school cross country team. It's where my daughter hiked and planted oak trees with girl scouts, and it's where I now walk with friends, feeling grateful to have this small gem of nature within the concrete urban space we inhabit. I urge the planners to recognize the importance of protecting our precious open space.
40	Just want to say as a long time resident of UC, we need open spaces, preservation of natural flora and fauna. And, we need to invest in some quality of life. For people who live in U City north of Governor, the place is getting more and more congested. What was a neighborhood is turning into a transportation hub. Traffic is a major problem. More high density buildings would only make it worse. One of the joys of living here is to be able to enjoy Rose Canyon and parks, open spaces. Please don't make this like so many university areas- packed with people and vehicles so that all who live here flee to other areas. Please preserve all undeveloped land in Rose Canyon and the University Community Plan area. Please- no concrete or other hardscape in Rose Canyon. And, please preserve all MSCP area. All permanently.
41	I wasn't able to spend time at the Open House this evening, so I wanted to submit some thoughts via email. As our community has grown, we have seen an amazing increase in density and infill. Most of this is probably good city planning, but I want to advocate for keeping all remaining city-owned land to stay undeveloped. Undeveloped land is a great recreation and leisure resource for all UC residents. Once land is developed, it is basically impossible to recover it, so we should protect what is left. In particular, Rose Canyon is a wonderful park that should remain free of concrete. My family and I spend a lot of time recreating there. My 9 year-old is always finding interesting bits of nature in Rose Canyon. My 13 year-old has started doing solo bike rides in the canyon, and I can see how much it relaxes her to be able to spend time recreating in nature (without a parent having to drive her there!). I hope this park can be kept for future generations.

42	I recommend that the update to the University Community Plan ensure the following: a. Permanently protect all remaining undeveloped land in Rose Canyon and in the University Community Plan area; b. No concrete or other hardscape permitted in Rose Canyon; c. Permanently preserve all MSCP (Multiple Species Conservation Program) areas.
43	The Open Space element of the U.C. Plan update must not be neglected. Rose Canyon sections of U.C. should all be dedicated in perpetuity if owned by the city. Facilities Benefit funds could be utilized to acquire any non city-owned property - or land trades for developable city-owned property. Low impact parks should be placed at each end of Regents Road to create attractive entrances to the canyon area.

Total number of 43 Open Space comments

Public Comments

Sustainability Category

1	Planning for "sustainability" in UC must incorporate biodiversity, habitat, native species, watershed and wildlife corridors as part of the environment."
2	Sustainable planning should also incorporate greater social equity - where is the conversation starter for affordable housing?
3	Specifically include urban forest and coastal adaptation in Climate Action Plan presentation. Also natural open space to private watershed (WQIP)
4	The City should offer green waste recycling curbside in all communities and in addition to yard waste should take food waste so people without yards can compost their food waste.
5	Reduction of pollutants - emissions or toxic substances that make an impact globally and/or locally - should be a priority in order to obtain a sustainable future. Political, economic, and social initiatives need to intersect with environmental goals for any significant degree of success. Experts in the aforementioned fields should be called to work together in developing strategies, studies, and suggestions specific to our community outreach to other communities for cooperative plans and actions would provide a mutually - beneficial opportunity for greater impact.

Total number of 5 Sustainability comments

Public Comments

Parks Category

1	Can develop "health park" at one of entrance of Rose Canyon along Millikin and Regents - more trees
2	Add canyon overlooking park from Governor to dead-end of Regents at Lahitte court (maybe also a small off leash area). Rename Regents to Rose Canyon way from Governor to Lachitee Court so people don't try to drive on it thinking it connects to North of Canyon.
3	Protect our Open Space in UC. It Makes our Community so special
4	Please maintain the grass in the Doyle Dog Park. Currently, it is nothing but dust. The people who bring their dogs to the park are very nice people who forced to sit on dust while just outside the dog park the lawns are kept beautifully green even though they are rarely used.
5	More dog parks so one does not have to DRIVE to dog park. South UC needs off leash dog park and this also keeps dogs of ball fields.

Total number of 5 Park comments

Public Comments

Suggestions to City Staff

1	To spend time in UC and experience the community from urban space perspective
2	As part of FBA and/or Alternative compliance project do the following: Remove berm on Genesee just North of UCHS - lengthen bridge over tracks so it spans the Rose Creek riparian area. This will improve the habitat, water flow, watershed, and will allow wildlife passage under Genesee and allow trail to continue under Genesee. This project is in the Rose Creek Watershed Plan. This creek currently is blocked by this berm and is constrained to three concrete culverts that are filling up with cobble with no way to clean them out.

1. Consider form-based zoning with University Central Areas. 2. Consider increased intensities/density within TPA's. 3. Consider new

3	mixed-use zoning allowing some residential within R&D. 4. Encourage joint public/private shuttle service to enhance transit use. 5. Consider calculate intensity/density within MCAS Miramar ALUCP AP211 on a aggregate basis and allocate additional intensity to individual site. 6. Upgrade/modernize traffic signals for better codification and improve traffic flow.
4	1st Priority for FBA FUNDS: Habitat Acquisition, reclamation, restration. 2nd Priority for FBA FUNDS: Reoreational Facilities. 3rd Priority: Enhanced pedestrian/bike mobility.
5	Future trip counts for some streets were reduced with the new transportation plan. These roadways were designed to accommodate additional traffic/trips. Reducing the trips is a taking/an indirect tax. If some property owners wanted to develop or intensify their improvements and were required to pay for new trips this maybe unauthorized tax. Please consider this a monitary taking that should belong to the property owner.
Total number of 5 suggestion to City Staff comments	

Comment Cards Summary	
Land Use	8
Mobility	18
Urban Design	3
Open Space	43
Sustainability	5
Parks	5
Suggestions to City Staff	5
Overall Total	87