

CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD (CPAB) AGENDA

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 01, 2020 10:00 A.M. – 11:30 A.M. Online virtual meeting

CLICK HERE

PUBLIC LINK BELOW

1. Call to Order

2. Board Member Announcements

- 3. Staff Announcements
- 4. Approval of CPAB Virtual Meeting Minutes: July 22, 2020

5. Non-Agenda & Agenda Public Comments

Non-agenda and agenda public comments must be submitted <u>by 4:00 p.m. on September 30.</u> To submit a public comment, please use the Office of Boards and Commission form, which can be found <u>here</u>. All public comments received will be read aloud by City staff during the virtual meeting. Comments should be able to be read in three (3) minutes.

6. Action Item(s):

a. Election of CPAB Chair and Vice-Chair for Fiscal Year 2021

City staff did not receive a memo from the Mayor's office designating a CPB Chair. Therefore, the CPAB will be asked to elect a Chair and Vice-Chair for the remainder of Fiscal Year 2021.

b. Hotel Acquisitions for Permanent Supportive Housing

The San Diego Housing Commission and City staff will present a multi-faceted proposal to acquire two hotels for permanent supportive housing. The funds used to acquire and support ongoing services at these sites include CDBG and ESG funding. CPAB will be asked to consider this proposal and provide its recommendation to City Council.

c. Second Substantial Amendment to the FY 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan and FY 2020 Annual Action Plan

THIS INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE IN ALTERNATIVE FORMATS UPON REQUEST.

To request an alternative format, or to request a sign language or oral interpreter for the meeting, please contact the Meeting Coordinator in the Economic Development Department at least five (5) working days before the meeting at (619) 236-6700 to ensure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALDs) are available for the meeting upon request.



Community Development

The City of San Diego has received an additional federal allocation of \$22 million for Round 2 of the CARES Act ESG program (ESG-CV2) and \$12 million for Round 3 of the CARES Act CDBG Program (CDBG-CV3). This additional funding requires a Substantial Amendment to both the five-year Consolidated Plan and the applicable Action Plan. CPAB will be asked to make recommendations for projects and programs to receive these funds, as presented by Staff.

d. FY 2022 Scoring Criteria Recommendations

Staff will present recommendations from the CPAB Ad Hocs for the FY 2022 Scoring Criteria. CPAB will be asked to accept the recommendations from the CPAB Ad Hocs.

7. Discussion Item(s):

a. FY 2022 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) & CARES Act Timeline Staff will review the updated FY 2022 CDBG and the CARES Act NOFA timeline

8. Adjournment

Unfinished business shall be tabled and placed on the agenda of the following meeting.

Tentative Future Meeting Dates/Times

Subject to change. Meetings are usually scheduled the second Wednesday of the month. Check CDBG website at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/cdbg</u> for latest information, locations, and special meetings. Please note, all CPAB meetings will be held virtually for the near future.

\



Community Development

CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD (CPAB)

MINUTES

Wednesday, July 22, 2020

VIRTUAL CPAB MEETING

(<u>LINK</u>)

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT
Eileen Gonzales, Council District 3	VACANT, Council District 1
 Brenda Campbell, Council District 4 	 VACANT, Council District 6
Patrick Batten, Council District 5	Sara Berns, Council District 2
Rich Thesing, Council District 7	
Victoria Barba, Council District 8	
Peter Dennehy, Council District 9	

STAFF PRESENT	ATTENDANCE
 Monica Hardman, Assistant Deputy Director Michele Marano, Community Development Coordinator Leonardo Alarcon, Community Development Specialist Nadine Hassoun, Community Development Project Manager 	(Public had access to meeting via youtube link)

Call to Order

1. Mr. Peter Dennehy called the meeting to order at 10:03 am. Mr. Dennehy took role call; 5 board members were present. One member arrived late. Quorum was achieved at 10:16 a.m.

Board Member Announcements

2. No board members announcements

Staff Announcements

 Mr. Leonardo Alarcon announced new updates to the Consolidated Plan Advisory Board. Ms. Valerie Brown's term expired and will no longer be serving on the CPAB. Mr. Alarcon introduced two new board members: Dr. Brenda Campbell representing Council District 4, and Patrick Batten representing Council District 5.



Approval of Minutes

4. Ms. Eileen Gonzales made the motion to approve the May 13, 2020 meeting minutes. Mr. Peter Dennehy seconded the motion. The minutes were approved unanimously, 6-0.

Non-agenda Public Comment

5. Public comment received via the online form by Anonymous resident: Low income renters have no justice in San Diego. If an owner violates state federal or criminal laws, there is no way to enforce them. Legal briefs or small claims are over \$1,000 – too expensive. Agencies don't do anything, including Legal Aid, which focuses on evictions. In fact, when an owner obstructs justice and performs a felony, local government does nothing. Courts side with owners despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary. This contributes to homelessness. San Diego takes advantage of its poor and their lack of resources. This is criminal to not enforce renter's rights, laws or give people a way to protect themselves from the abuse.

Agenda Item

6. Ms. Michele Marano gave a brief presentation on the San Diego San Diego Regional Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing Choice. Staff asked CPAB to recommend the AI to City Council for approval. The AI presents a demographic profile of San Diego County, assess the extent of housing needs among specific income groups, and evaluates the range of available housing choices for residents. *Please see attached presentation for more information.*

Mr. Rich Thesing made the motion to recommend the Analysis of Impediments to City Council for Approval. Ms. Gonzales seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously, 6-0.

Discussion Item

7. Updates on Federal CARES Act Grants

Staff provided an update on CARES Act funding allocated to the City through the CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA programs. *Please see attached presentation for more information*.

Adjournment

Ms. Dennehy adjourned the meeting at 10:55AM.



We're About People

San Diego Housing Commission (SDHC) Permanent Housing Acquisition Project Overview October 01, 2020





Operation Shelter to Home Overview

- Launched April 1, 2020, at the San Diego Convention Center in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Up to 1,495 shelter beds available to persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness.
- Street outreach activities occurred via a coordinated intake process to fill shelter beds and provide a safe and sanitary environment amid the pandemic.
- Services offered at the Convention Center include 24-hour security, meals, showers, bathrooms, laundry services, case management and housing navigation.
- Exit strategies include client placement into permanent and longer-term housing or other appropriate housing solutions.







Residence Inn Property Acquisitions COVID-19 and Need for Permanent Housing with Supportive Services

- The City-declared shelter crisis remains in effect.
- Operation Shelter to Home launched on April 1, 2020:
 - City's collaborative response to mitigating the impacts of COVID-19 on persons experiencing homelessness.
 - Hundreds of those experiencing homelessness in the City temporarily moved to the Convention Center.
 - Many of those currently residing in the Convention Center are identified as needing long-term Permanent Housing with supportive services.
- The City of San Diego Community Action Plan on Homelessness:
 - Identified the need for 2,659 PH units in the next 10 years.
 - 60 percent (1,595 PH units) needed within the first four years.





- SDHC worked with a real estate broker to identify multiple potential hotel sites and initiated extensive due diligence activities.
- SDHC's review of 29 properties resulted in the selection of two presented today for consideration:
 - Residence Inn Hotel Circle 1865 Hotel Circle South, San Diego CA, 92108
 - 190 affordable units and two managers' units
 - \$67,000,000 purchase price
 - Residence Inn Kearny Mesa 5400 Kearny Mesa Road, San Diego, CA 92111
 - 142 affordable units and two managers' units
 - \$39,500,000 purchase price





- Both Residence Inn properties:
 - Advance objectives of the Community Action Plan
 - Creates <u>new</u> affordable housing by utilizing existing resources
 - Are close to public transit and access to resources and social services
 - Have ample community space such as laundry, clubhouse, barbecue areas, congregate-eating areas, green space
 - Have ample offices and conference rooms usable for providing supportive services and activities
 - Require minimal upfront capital upgrades, allowing for immediate occupancy





Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Site #1 – 1865 Hotel Circle South







Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Hotel Circle – Funding Sources

State Homekey Funds	\$27,700,000
Permanent Loan (Chase)	\$32,840,399
FY 2020 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$10,000,000
SDHC Moving to Work	\$ 928,174
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 3,500,000
TOTAL	\$74,968,573





























Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Site #2 – 5400 Kearny Mesa Road







Service Provider Agreements SDHC Property Acquisitions

- Proposed purchase of two hotels and repurposing them into Permanent Housing with Supportive Services for eligible clients exiting Operation Shelter to Home.
- 332 units with Project-Based Housing Vouchers:
 - Residence Inn Mission Valley 190 vouchers
 - Residence Inn Kearny Mesa 142 vouchers
- If approved, the properties are anticipated to be placed into service by December 31, 2020.
- Acquisitions align with the City's Community Action Plan on Homelessness.





Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Kearny Mesa – Funding Sources

TOTAL	\$46,489,679
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 2,469,310
SDHC Moving to Work	\$ 6,594,517
City CARES Act Funds	\$10,000,000
Permanent Loan (Chase)	\$17,425,852
State Homekey Funds	\$10,000,000



Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Kearny Mesa - Site Photos







Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Site Photos







Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Site Photos







Residence Inn Property Acquisitions Site Photos







Residence Inn Supportive Housing Service Model

- Long-term housing solution with voluntary, wraparound supportive services combining case management and services with rental subsidies.
- Operated according to the Housing First model.
- Uses national best practices such as trauma-informed care, motivational interviewing, and a harm reduction model to ensure a client-centered approach to rendering services.
- The program design serves the target population in a welcoming, solutions-focused environment while ensuring housing stability.





Residence Inn Supportive Housing Service Model

- Services within Supportive Housing programs include:
 - Case Management
 - Mental Health Services
 - Substance Use Disorder Services
 - Healthcare Services
 - Life Skills
 - Education Services
 - Employment Services
- Referrals through the Coordinated Entry System to ensure the housing intervention is appropriate to the needs of the client.





Supportive Services and Management Funding

People Assisting the Homeless

Father Joe's Village

FUNDING SOURCE	Year 1	FUNDING SOURCE	Year 1
Emergency Solutions Grant and Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP)	\$1,318,382	Emergency Solutions Grant and Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP)	\$900,212
Property Operating Income	\$1,474,724	Property Operating Income	\$1,188,796
Total	\$2,793,106	Total	\$2,089,008





Operation Shelter to Home Exit Strategies

Exit Strategy Progress

Housed as of September 8: **611** Matched In-Progress towards housing: Over **250**

Hotel Permanent Housing Initiative a Key Component of OSTH Exit Strategies

Hotel PH will House: Approx. **400 persons in 332 units** Total Anticipated Housed April - December 2020: **1,261**





Questions and Comments



Economic Development

Allocation of CARES Act Emergency Solutions Grant-Round 2 (ESG-CV2) and Community Development Block Grant-Round 3(CDBG-CV3; and Associated Actions

Consolidated Plan Advisory Board October 1, 2020



Requested Actions

The CPAB is asked to recommend Council approval of the following:

- Accept and expend federal ESG-CV2 funds in the amount of \$22,796,116, as recommended by staff
- Accept and expend federal CDBG-CV3 funds in the amount of \$12,210,017, as recommended by staff
- Amend the Consolidated Plan and FY 2020 Annual Action Plans to included approved allocations
- Approve the 1st Amendment to the CDBG Affordable Housing Revolving Loan Fund MOU



Background | Framework for Requested Actions

CARES Act

- Additional Allocations to HUD Grant Programs
- General Coronavirus Relief Funds to the City

Additional HUD CARES Act Allocations

- \$12.2M CBDG-CV3 (Round 3)
- \$22.8M ESG-CV2 (Round 2)

Project Considerations

- Prepare for / Prevent / Respond to COVID-19 Emergency
- Avoid Duplication of Benefits





Proposed CARES Act CDBG-CV3 and ESG-CV2 Activities

CDBG-CV3 Activities	Amount
Operation Shelter to Home	\$4,765,000
Rental Assistance	\$5,000,000
Administration and Planning	\$2,442,003
TOTAL	\$12,207,003
ESG-CV2 Activities	Amount
Expansion of Golden Hall Shelter Operations	\$2,210,461
COVID-19 Compliance Staff at Bridge Shelters	\$392,705
Operations of Pre-Existing Shelters	\$14,360,562
Administration and Planning	\$2,279,611

sandiego.gov



CDBG-CV3 Activities

- Operation Shelter to Home
 - Launched April 1, 2020 at the San Diego Convention Center
 - Physical distancing and mitigates spread of COVID-19
 - 4,000 intakes and 639 individuals placed in housing
 - Funds operations | October 15 December 15, 2020
 - Contributions from RTFH and SDHC will reduce CDBG accordingly
- Rental Assistance



ESG-CV2 Activities

- ESG-CV2 used to prevent, prepare for and respond to COVID-19
- Immediate operational needs of emergency shelter program
- Allows City to apply other funds to post-pandemic shelter services
- Results in extended duration of programs and increase in number of people served



Administration | CDBG-CV3 and ESG-CV2

- CARES Act funds subject to review and monitoring
- Annual HUD reporting
- Quarterly CARES Act reporting



Proposed Property Acquisition | ESG-CV Activities

Permanent Housing with Supportive Services (Hotels)	Amount
FY 2020 CDBG Affordable Housing Revolving Loan Fund	\$10,000,000
CARES Act Coronavirus Relief Funds (CRF)	\$10,000,000
TOTAL	\$20,000,000
ESG-CV Activities	Amount
	Amount
COVID-19 Compliance Staff at Bridge Shelters	\$1,107,296
COVID-19 Compliance Staff at Bridge Shelters	\$1,107,296

S Economic Development

Permanent Housing with Supportive Services

- Acquisition of two hotels to provide permanent housing
 - Assist those temporarily housed at the Convention Center
- State Department of Housing and Community Development
 - Homekey Award | \$27,700,000 for the Hotel Circle Property
 - Homekey Reservation | \$10,000,000 for the Kearney Mesa property
- FY 2020 CDBG Affordable Housing Revolving Loan Fund | Amendment
- CARES Act Coronavirus Relief Fund | Agreement


ESG-CV Activities

- Approved for Housing Recovery Programs in May 2020
- Alternative funding sources identified
- Recommended use
 - COVID Compliance Staff at Shelters
 - Elevated service levels to aid transition from congregate shelters to permanent housing

S Economic Development

Substantial Amendments | FY 2020 Annual Action Plan and Consolidated Plan

- Incorporates CBDG-CV2 and ESG-CV3 funds
- Includes eligible activities in response to COVID-19
- Updates Anticipated Resources, Goals and Objectives
- 5-day public comment period
- Submittal to HUD



Requested Actions

The CPAB is asked to recommend Council approval of the following:

- Accept and expend federal ESG-CV2 funds in the amount of \$22,796,116, as recommended by staff
- Accept and expend federal CDBG-CV3 funds in the amount of \$12,210,017, as recommended by staff
- Amend the Consolidated Plan and FY 2020 Annual Action Plans to included approved allocations
- Approve the 1st Amendment to the CDBG Affordable Housing Revolving Loan Fund MOU



	CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD				
	FISCAL YEAR 2022 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (draft)				
	CATEGORY: Public Services				
	Overall Score:	100			
Category	Criteria	Maximum Score			
	a. Organization Project Experience: Applicant describes their experience in successfully				
ity	implementing projects of similar scope and comparable complexity	5			
ipac	b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services				
u Ca	to LMI residents or presumed LMI CDBG beneficiaries; applicant explains how experience				
1. Organization Capacity	is applicable and beneficial.				
niza	c. Collaboration: Applicant describes and provides specific examples of collaboration with				
Orge	similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes	3			
1. (to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients				
	Total points for Section 1:	13			
	a. Project Summary: Description of project includes all of the following items:				
	i. Activities and/or services to be provided; and	5			
	ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and	3			
	iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are	5			
Ś	not available to meet the need(s)				
stic	b. Confirmation of Program Status:				
teri	i. Applicant selects whether the proposed project will result in either the				
Irac	continuation of an existing services, the substantial expansion of an existing service, or the provision of a new service	5			
Project Characteristics	ii. Applicant explains the metrics used to make above determination and	5			
ect	describes how the project is providing or will provide an impact				
rojc	c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) and anticipated impact of the project and				
2. F	describes how these goals will be met. Applicant includes information on associated				
	monitoring systems and procedures				
	d. Project Results: Applicant indicates the number of unduplicated COSD individuals, total				
	number of LMI anticipated to be served below 80% AMI, and provides clear methodology	5			
	on determining anticipated outcomes				
	Total points for Section 2:	28			
	a. Services to be provided: Applicant provides a listing of the services to be provided and a				
	clear description of each of these services which includes, as applicable, the following				
	details: i. The quantity and duration of each of these services;	5			
		5			
	ii. The method of delivery; which should include details on how these services	5			
3. Project Specifics	will be provided and if services will occur on an individual basis and/or group	J			
	settings				
	iii. Applicant provides an explanation and justification for total amount of CDBG	G			
	funds requested in relation to the services provided and any fees charged.	4			
Pro	Information provided should be consistent with the proposed budget section				
÷.	iv. A cost per beneficiary amount is provided and specifics are given on how				
	costs are warranted	4			



Category	Criteria			
	b. Project Schedule: Applicant describes how the project will be implemented and completed within the required 12-month timeline with specific milestones and estimated expenditures per month/quarter			
	Total points for Section 3			
nefits	 a. Applicant describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and results in a positive impact for LMI individuals; provides references used for this determination; describes a success story or successful outcome. Public Service project must be considered a Low and Moderate Income Limited Clientele Activity (LMC) by serving one of the following populations: i. Presume LMI clientele as defined by HUD; or ii. Direct Benefit to LMI persons based on compliance with HUD income limits through documented family size and income 	12		
4. Project Benefits	b. Geographic Targeting location: Applicant's office(s) providing project services is located in at least one of the Community Planning Areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or Promise Zone.*	2		
		(CDD Score)		
	c. Geographic Targeting services: Applicant indicates service delivery will occur to clients residing in one or more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto Southeastern, City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or Promise Zone.*			
	Total points for Section 4:	17		
	a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program			
	b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs			
get	c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG and non CDBG funds) by outlining eligible budget line items to be used to support project implementation			
5. Budget	d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by other secured funding/total project costs) is:			
	0%-5%=0 points 41%-60%=3 points	(CDD Score)		
	6%-20%=1 point 61%-80%=4 points 21%-40%=2 points 81%-100%=5 points Total points for Section 5:	18		
	a. Project Eligibility:			
/ & tors	i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance with CDBG eligibility requirements			
gibility Indica	ii. The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with National Objective and other HUD and City requirements			
6. Project Eligibility & Performance Indicators	b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG program. These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, determined by performance levels: *Due to COVID-19 pandemic organizations will not receive a deduction. Please note, CPAB may review past performance to evaluate other sections of application.			
	Total points for Section 6:			



	CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2022 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (draft)				
	CATEGORY: Economic Development				
	Overall Score:	100			
Category	Criteria	Maximum Score			
	a. Organization Project Experience: Applicant describes their experience in successfully	5016			
	implementing projects of similar scope and comparable complexity. Specifics are given on				
itγ	organization's experience in assisting LMI individuals start-up or expand a microenterprise.	5			
1. Organization Capacity					
u C	b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services				
atio	to LMI residents or presumed LMI CDBG beneficiaries; applicant explains how experience	5			
aniz	is applicable and beneficial.				
Org	c. Collaboration: Applicant describes and provides specific examples of collaboration with				
;	similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes	2			
	to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients				
	Total points for Section 1:	12			
	a. Project Summary: Description of project includes all of the following items:				
	i. Activities and/or services to be provided; and	5			
	ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and	3			
	iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are	5			
S	not available to meet the need(s)				
Project Characteristics	b. Confirmation of Program Status: i. Applicant selects whether the proposed project will result In either the				
ter	continuation of an existing service, the substantial expansion of an existing				
arac	service, or the provision of a new service	5			
cha	ii. Applicant explains the metrics used to make above determination and	5			
ect	describes how the project is providing or will provide an impact				
roj	c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) and anticipated impact of the project and				
2. F	describes how these goals will be met. Applicant includes information on associated	5			
	monitoring systems and procedures				
	d. Project Results: Applicant indicates the number of unduplicated COSD individuals, and				
	number of unduplicated City of San Diego businesses to be assisted. Applicant provides	5			
	clear methodology on determining anticipated outcomes				
	Total points for Section 2:	28			
fics	a. Services to be provided: Applicant provides a listing of the services to be provided and a				
beci	clear description of each of these services which includes, as applicable, the following details:				
3. Project Specifics	i. The quantity and duration of each of these services;	5			
ojec		J			
Pro	ii. The method of delivery; which should include details on how these services will be provided and if services will occur on an individual basis and/or group	5			
'n	settings	5			
	iii. Applicant provides an explanation and justification for total amount of CDBG				
	funds requested in relation to the services provided and any fees charged.	4			
	Information provided should be consistent with the proposed budget section				



Category	Criteria				
	iv. A cost per beneficiary amount is provided and specifics are given on how costs are warranted	Score 4			
	b. Project Schedule: Applicant describes how the project will be implemented and completed within the required 12-month timeline with specific milestones and estimated expenditures per month/quarter	4			
	Total points for Section 3:				
efits	 a. Applicant describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and results in a positive impact for LMI individuals and City of San Diego business owners; provides references used for this determination; describes a success story or successful outcome. CED projects must be considered a LMI limited clientele activity (LMC) or LMI Microenterprise Development (LMCMC) by providing direct benefits to LMI persons. Applicant also describes what the anticipated percentage of clients to compete program are able to open a business. Applicant can identify historical data with clear tracking and monitoring methods 				
4. Project Benefits	b. Geographic Targeting location: Applicant's office(s) providing project services is located in at least one of the Community Planning Areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or Promise Zone.*				
	c. Geographic Targeting services: Applicant indicates service delivery will occur to clients residing in one or more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto Southeastern, City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or Promise Zone.*				
	Total points for Section 4:	18			
	a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability				
	b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs				
5. Budget	c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG and non CDBG funds) by outlining eligible budget line items to be used to support project implementation				
5. BL	d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by other secured funding/total project costs) is:				
	0%-5%=0 points 41%-60%=3 points 6%-20%=1 point 61%-80%=4 points	(CDD Score			
	21%-40%=2 points81%-100%=5 pointsTotal points for Section 5:	18			
& ors	 a. Project Eligibility: i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance with CDBG eligibility requirements 				
igibility Indicat	ii. The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with National Objective and other HUD and City requirements	1			
Project Eligibility & formance Indicators	b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG program. These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, determined by performance levels:	0			



Category	Criteria	
- D	*Due to COVID-19 pandemic organizations will not receive a deduction. Please note, CPAB may review past performance to evaluate other sections of application.	
	Total points for Section 6:	2



	CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD				
FISCAL YEAR 2022 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (draft)					
CATEGORY: Nonprofit Facility Improvements					
Category	ry Criteria Overall Score:				
	a. Organization Project Experience:	Score			
	I. Applicant describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar	5			
	scope and comparable complexity				
≿	ii. Applicant descries specific staff within organization that have experience overseeing the				
oacit	design and implementation of a construction project. If applicable, agency provides details	4			
1. Organization Capacity	on plans to secure and utilize a third party construction manager.	7			
izatio	b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services				
gan	to LMI residents or presumed LMI CDBG beneficiaries; applicant explains how experience	3			
ō.	is applicable and beneficial.				
-	c. Collaboration: Applicant describes and provides specific examples of collaboration with				
	similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes	2			
	to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients				
	Total points for Section 1:	14			
	a. Project Summary: Description of project includes all of the following items:	5			
	i. Activities and/or services to be provided; and				
	ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and				
	iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are not available to meet the need(s)				
S	b. Confirmation of Program Status:				
ect Characteristics	i. Applicant selects whether the proposed project will result I neither the				
cter	continuation of an existing services, the substantial expansion of an existing				
ara	service, or the provision of a new service	5			
Ŝ	ii. Applicant explains the metrics used to make above determination and				
ect	describes how the project is providing or will provide an impact				
Proj	c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) and anticipated impact of the project and				
- 5	describes how these goals will be met. Applicant includes information on associated	5			
	monitoring systems and procedures				
	d. Project Results: Applicant indicates the number of unduplicated COSD individuals, total				
	number of LMI anticipated to be served below 80% AMI, and provides clear methodology	5			
	on determining anticipated outcomes				
	Total points for Section 2:	28			
	a. Contract Execution Readiness: Extent to which the proposed project is ready to proceed				
	by the following details:				
	i. Applicant explains how the total amount of CDBG funds requested is justified				
	by accurate cost estimations; (if facility has received CDBG funds for	5			
	improvements/expansions in the past, applicant must explain the outcome and instification for the request of additional CDPC funds				
	justification for the request of additional CDBG funds.				



Category	/ Criteria				
	ii. Applicant describes existing construction/architectural plans and	Score			
ics	demonstrates a knowledge of all applicable permits required fore the proposed	d 3			
ecif	project. If permits not needed, applicant provides documentation for basis of				
Spe	that determination.				
3. Project Specifics	b. Project Schedule: Applicant describes how the project will be completed and funds				
Proj	expended within the required 24-month timeline specifying key milestones:				
ω.	a. Permitting and design completion				
	b. Project will be released for bid				
	c. Construction contract awarded				
	d. Anticipated Construction Timeline				
	e. 100% expenditure level				
	f. Project completion, beneficiaries reported (National Objective met), and close				
	out report approved by CDD Program staff				
	Total points for Section 3:	14			
	a. Applicant describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and				
	results in a positive impact for LMI individuals; provides references used for this				
	determination; describes a success story or successful outcome. Construction projects				
	must be considered a Low and Moderate Income Limited Clientele Activity (LMC) by				
	serving one of the following populations:				
Ŋ	i. Presume LMI clientele as defined by HUD; or				
efit	ii. Direct Benefit to LMI persons based on compliance with HUD income limits				
4. Project Benefits	through documented family size and income b. Geographic Targeting location: Applicant's office(s) providing project services is located				
sct	in at least one of the Community Planning Areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San				
roje	Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or Promise				
- .	Zone.*				
		(CDD Score)			
	c. Geographic Targeting services: Applicant indicates service delivery will occur to clients				
	residing in one or more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio				
	Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto Southeastern, City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or				
	e Zone.*				
	Total points for Section 4:				
	a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed				
	project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program				
	b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs				
ų	c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG and non CDBG funds) by outlining eligible				
5. Budget	budget line items to be used to support project implementation				
Bu	d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for				
പ	each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by other secured funding/total project costs) is:				
	funding/total project costs) is:				
	0%-5%=0 points 41%-60%=3 points	(CDD Score)			
	6%-20%=1 point 61%-80%=4 points	20			
	21%-40%=2 points81%-100%=5 pointsTotal points for Section 5:				



Category	ory Criteria	
	a. Project Eligibility:	
s	i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance with CDBG	1
/ & tor	eligibility requirements	Ŧ
ility ica	ii. The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with National Objective and	1
Eligibility & ce Indicators	other HUD and City requirements	T
	b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency	
ect	on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG program. These are	
6. Project El Performance	subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, determined by performance levels:	0
6. F erf	*Due to COVID-19 pandemic organizations will not receive a deduction. Please note, CPAB may	
L	review past performance to evaluate other sections of application.	
	Total points for Section 6:	2



	FISCAL YEAR 2022 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (draft)			
	CATEGORY: Multi-Family Housing Rehabilitation			
	Overall Score:	100		
ategory	Criteria	Maximum Score		
	a. Organizational Multi-Family Housing Rehabilitation Experience:			
	i. Applicant describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of	-		
	similar scope and comparable complexity	5		
>	ii. Applicant describes specific staff within organization that have experience			
acit	overseeing the design and implementation of a construction project. If			
Cap	applicable, agency provides details on plans to secure and utilize a third-party	4		
ion	construction manager			
1. Organization Capacity	b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services			
gan	to LMI residents or presumed LMI CDBG beneficiaries; applicant explains how experience	3		
ō.	is applicable and beneficial.			
H	c. Collaboration: Applicant describes and provides specific examples of collaboration with			
	similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes	2		
	to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients			
	Total points for Section 1:	14		
	a. Project Summary: Description of project includes all of the following items:			
	i. Activities and/or services to be provided; and	5		
	ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and	3		
s	iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are	5		
oject Characteristics	not available to meet the need(s)			
eri	b. Housing Impact: Applicant describes the housing stabilization improvements that will be			
ract	completed and the expected impact of the proposed project. Applicant explains whether	5		
Chai	the proposed project will result in a healthy and safety, sustainability or ADA accessibility			
ct C	improvement so existing housing stock.			
oje	c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) and anticipated impact of the project and	-		
P	describes how these goals will be met. Applicant includes information on associated	5		
5.	monitoring systems and procedures d. Project Results: Applicant provides number of unduplicated City of San Diego			
	households to be assisted and describes the methodology used to determine anticipated	5		
	project results.	J		
	Total points for Section 2:	28		
	a. Contract Execution Readiness: Extent to which the proposed project is ready to proceed	20		
	by the following details:			
	i. Applicant explains how the total amount of CDBG funds requested is justified			
	by accurate cost estimations.			
	(If the facility has received CDBG funds for improvements/expansions in the	3		
	past, applicant must explain the outcome and justification for the request of			
	additional CDBG funds.			
	ii. Applicant describes existing construction/architectural plans and			
: Specifics	demonstrates a knowledge of all applicable permits required for the proposed	F		
peci	project. If permits not needed, applicant provides documentation for basis of	5		
S	that determination			



Category	Criteria			Maximum Score
5	that determination.			(CDD Score)
roje	b. Project Schedule: Applicant describ	es how the project	will be completed and funds	
- E	b. Project Schedule: Applicant describes how the project will be completed and funds expended within the required 24-month timeline with specifying key milestones: a. Permitting and design completion			
(1)				
	b. Project will be released for bid			
	c. Construction contract a	warded		6
	d. Anticipated Constructio	n Timeline		
	e. 100% expenditure level			
	f. Project completion, ber	neficiaries reported	(National Objective met), and	
	close out report approved	by CDD Program st	aff	
			Total points for Section 3:	14
	a. Applicant clearly describes how the	project will provide	e services to high need	15
	populations and provides the referen	ces used for this det	ermination	15
Ŋ	b. Geographic Targeting location: App	licant describes whe	ether the proposed multi-family	
efit	housing project improvements are loo	cated in at least one	of the Community Planning	3
3en	Areas identified as high need: Barrio I	.ogan, San Ysidro, Li	nda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern,	
t t	City Heights, Opportunity Zone, or Pro	omise Zone.*		(CDD Score)
4. Project Benefits				
ā	c. Proximity to public transit and emp	loyment: In relation	to the Consolidated Plan Goal to	Λ
4	increase and preserve affordable hou			4
	community services; applicant descries how the housing project will meet this goal			
			Total points for Section 4:	22
	a. Demonstration of Maintenance Ca	pabilities: Applicant	explains how the proposed	
	project improvements will be maintained and financed in the future, specifically identifies			
	alternative future sources of funding that could be used to maintain the improvements			7
	and demonstrates that the project will not rely exclusively on CDBG funds for deferred			
	maintenance responsibilities.			
	b. Total Project Budget information b	y funding sources:		
	i. Budget for project ident	ifies all sources of fu	unding for the total project costs	
	ii. Applicant explains whether alternative sources of funding other than CDBG			5
get	have been pursued. Provid		-	5
pu	successfully secured as lev	verage and explain, i	f applicable, why alternative	
5. Budget	funds were not secured ar	nd any barriers to se	curing leveraged funds.	
	c. Budget details uses of funds (City o			
	eligible budget line items			3
		es secured for proie	ct. submits documentation for	
	d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by other secured			5
	funding/total project costs) is:	as level aged (calcal		-
		%-60%=3 points		(CDD Score)
		%-80%=4 points		(522 50010)
		%-100%=5 points	Total points for Section 5:	20
	21/0-40/0-2 points 81.			



Category	/ Criteria	
	a. Project Eligibility:	
s	i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance with CDBG	1
/ & tor	eligibility requirements	T
ility ica	ii. The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with National Objective and	1
Eligibility & ce Indicators	other HUD and City requirements	1
e E	b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency	
ect	on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG program. These are	
6. Project Performan	subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, determined by performance levels:	0
6. F erf	*Due to COVID-19 pandemic organizations will not receive a deduction. Please note, CPAB may	
L	review past performance to evaluate other sections of application.	
	Total points for Section 6:	2

Economic Development

Draft Fiscal Year 2022 CDBG & CARES ACT Scoring Criteria: All RFP Categories

Consolidated Plan Advisory Board October 01, 2020





Scoring Criteria

- Council Policy 700-02, Item 18 states the following:
 - 18. The CPAB shall annually review and approve a set of criteria to be used by the CPAB for scoring CDBG competitively-awarded funding applications, including, but not limited to, an evaluation of past performance and regulatory compliance (if applicable), how the proposed project will address areas of the City identified to have the highest levels of need, eligibility of proposed expenditures and budget, and the amount or percentage of leveraged funding contributed to the proposed project.



RFP Categories for FY 2022: Standard

Public Services

Economic Development

Nonprofit Facility Improvements Multi-Family Housing Rehabilitation



Public Service Categories: CARES ACT NOFA*

Healthcare Services

Senior Services

Childcare Services

Educational Access

Food Distribution

*Please note: CPAB will not be scoring these applications



RFP Budgets for FY 2022 (tentative)

Category	Estimated Budget
Public Services	\$550,000
Community & Economic Development	\$1,750,000
Nonprofit Facility Improvements	\$2,000,000
Multi-Family Housing Rehabilitation	\$1,500,000





Scoring Criteria Recommended Revisions

- Section 4.a: Success Story
 - Applicant should be able to provide additional documentation for success and/or impact in community
- Section 5.a: Leveraged Funding
 - Applicant should be able to show how receiving CDBG funding can be leveraged into other funding opportunities





COVID-19 Impact

>How has COVID-19 impacted organizations business model?

>Outcomes and budget compared to previous years

➤Are outcomes achievable?

>Are organizations eligible for other funding sources?



Action Requested

The CPAB is asked to approve the revisions to the Fiscal Year 2022 CDBG Request for Proposal (RFP) Scoring Criteria.

Economic Development Department

FY 2022 CDBG and CARES Act Public Service Notice of Funding Availability

Consolidated Plan Advisory Board October 1, 2020





Annual NOFA Sequence





https://edgrants.force.com

			Image: Control of the city of Salaria in the City of Salaria	Forgot Password? Register As
Opportunities	FAQs	Resources	Contac	ct Us
► NOFA ④				
Quick Search				
NOFA Title	City Department	NOFA Publish Date	RFP/Application Deadline(PT)	Actions
	S mu	Sandiego.gov Privacy Policy	Disclaimers Accessibility	

- Resources: How to Register an Organization
- Resources: How to Submit an RFQ Response



Two-Phase Process: Part 1



Is the applicant organization ready to contract with the City of San Diego? What program or service is the applicant organization proposing during the contract period?



RFQ: Required Financial Documents

 Board-approved Audited
 Financial Statements for the latest fiscal year that ended



SD Partnering with the City

RFQ: Registration and Status Requirements





RFQ: Handbook

The City of **SAN DIEGO**

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM

FY 2022 ANNUAL NOTICE OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY (NOFA) REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ) PHASE HANDBOOK

> Economic Development Department Community Development Division September 2020



Two-Phase Process: Part 2



Once an applicant is "qualified" during the RFQ phase... ...it is eligible to submit project-specific proposals during the RFP phase.



CDBG | Community Development Block Grants

Primary Objective:

Improve living environment, expand economic opportunities, and provide decent housing for <u>low- and moderate-</u> <u>income residents and areas.</u>





CDBG: Eligibility



Consolidated Plan Goals

The City's Consolidated Plan informs HUD and the community how the City plans to invest its CDBG funds over the course of five years. Currently, it identifies seven (7) GOALS in which CDBG funds may be invested.

GOAL 1: Increase and preserve **affordable rental and homeowner housing** to improve access to **housing** opportunities that reflect community needs, including, but not limited to, opportunities in close proximity to transit, employment, and community services.

GOAL 2: Enhance the City's economic stability by investing in inclusive economic growth initiatives that develop and strengthen **small businesses and support local entrepreneurs**.

GOAL 3: Foster individual and household resiliency by **investing in employment and workforce development** programs and improving access to job opportunities.

Consolidated Plan Goals

GOAL 4: Support the development of vibrant, equitable, and adaptable neighborhoods by investing in **public facilities and critical infrastructure**.

GOAL 5: Assist individuals and families to gain stable housing after experiencing homelessness or a housing crisis by providing appropriate housing and service solutions grounded in best practices.

GOAL 6: Invest in community services that promote equity and serve vulnerable populations including, but not limited to, refugees and recent immigrants, previously incarcerated, veterans, youth, seniors, and food insecure households.

GOAL 7: Finance **impactful nonprofit facility improvements** to provide new or increased access to programs that serve vulnerable populations or implement sustainability measures.





Upcoming Funding Opportunities Community Development Block Grants and CARES Act





Public Services

Minimum Allocation	\$50,000
Eligible Activities	 Employment training Senior services* Health Services Homeless services Disability services* Domestic violence services Tenant/landlord counseling Substance abuse services Mental health services Housing counseling Food banks/meal distribution Youth services
Outcome Measure	Low/moderate-income clientele
Requirements	Prequalify clients prior to providing service; document income eligibility and demographic data in case files *Presumed LMI possible



Community/Economic Development

Minimum	•	\$50,000 for microenterprise technical assistance
Allocation		····

Eligible•Microenterprise technical assistanceActivities

Outcome	•	Low/moderate-income clientele
Measures	•	Businesses established or expanded

Requirements One-one technical assistance must lead to new or expanded microenterprises; prequalify clients prior to providing service; document income eligibility and demographic data

s Partnering with the City

Nonprofit CIP: Public Facilities & Multifamily Housing

Minimum Allocation	\$100,000	
Eligible Activities	 New construction or rehab of public facilities ADA improvements Health/safety hazards Multi-unit residential rehab Rental housing Non-sustainability scope 	
Outcome Measures	 Low/moderate-income clientele Low/moderate-income households Low/moderate-income area (if primarily residential and benefitting all residents) 	
Requirements	Facilities improved must be documented as serving LMI clients at least 51 percent of the time; housing units rehabilitated must be restricted 100 percent to LMI households; complete project within two years	



Addressing Impacts of COVID-19 | CARES Act

Additional Public Service Funds

- \$1.4M CBDG (FY 2021)
- \$2.1M CDBG-CV (CARES Act)

Project Considerations

- Prepare for / Prevent / Respond to COVID-19 Emergency
- Defined Eligible Activities
- Avoid Duplication of Benefits

Expedited Proposal Review



S Partnering with the City

CARES Act | Public Services Prepare for, Prevent or Respond to COVID-19

Minimum Allocation	\$ 25,000	
Eligible Activities	 Healthcare Services Senior Services* Childcare Services 	 Educational Access / Digital Divide Food Banks / Meal Distribution

The City has prioritized these 5 eligible activities for the CARES Act Public Services NOFA

Outcome Measure	Low/moderate-income clientele
Requirements	Prequalify clients prior to providing service; document income eligibility and demographic data in case files *Presumed LMI possible



Timeline

Date	Step
09/21/2020	RFQ Workshop (Virtual)
09/25/2020	RFQ released via ED Grants
10/02 – 10/16/2020	Technical assistance appointments available
10/23/2020	RFQ responses due in ED Grants
11/06/2020	Organizations notified of "qualified" or "not qualified" status
11/09/2020	RFP released via ED Grants to "qualified" organizations only
12/18/2020	RFP responses due in ED Grants
01/22/2021	Notification of Results: CARES Act Grants only
03/05/2021	Notification of Scoring/Ranking: FY 2022 CDBG Grants



Thank you!

City of San Diego Economic Development Department

CDBG@sandiego.gov 619-236-6700

www.sandiego.gov/CDBG

