MEMBERS PRESENT:
Eric Edelman, Carmel Mtn. Rnch. (CMR)
Russ Connelly, City Heights (CH)
Barry Schultz, Carmel Valley (CV)
Naveen Waney, Clairemont (CT)
Diane Korsch, Del Mar Mesa (DMM)
Kathy Vandenhuvel, Golden Hill (GH)
Diane Kane, La Jolla (LJ)
Tom Silva, College Area (COL)
Debra Sharpe, Ken-Tal (KT)
Howard Wayne, Linda Vista, (LV)
Dike Anyiwo, Midway (MW)
Jeff Stevens, Mira Mesa (MM)
Brian Gile, Navajo (NAV)
Michelle Addington, Mission Valley (MV)

Deborah Watkins, Mission Beach (MB)
Jim Baross, Normal Heights (NH)
David Smith, Navajo (NAV)
Aria Pounaki, North Park (NP)
Mark Lindshield, San Pascual/Lake Hodges (SP/LH)
Andrea Schlageter, Ocean Beach (OB)
Jason Legros, Pacific Beach (PB)
Korla Eaquito, Peninsula (PEN)
Vicki Touchstone, Rancho Bernardo (RB)
Jon Becker, Rancho Penasquitos (RP)
Wally Wulfeck, Scripps Ranch (SR)
Chris Rosemond, Serra Mesa (SM)
Myron Taylor, Southeastern (SE)
Eduardo Savigliano, Torrey Pines (TP)
Roger Kavanaugh, University (UN)
Clint Daniels, Uptown (UT)

VOTING INELIGIBILITY/RECUASALS: Barrio Logan, Chollas Valley

City Staff/Representatives: Tony Kempton and Jonathan Avila, Anisha Gianchandani

Guests: Sandy Wetzel-Smith, Kathleen Lippitt, Becky Rapp, Kara Camden, Sabrina Young, and Tommy Hough

NOTE: The sign-in sheets provided at the entrance to the meeting are used to list CPC Representatives, guest speakers, and staff present at the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER/INTRODUCTIONS:
Chair Wally Wulfeck called the , to order at 6:00 pm. Roll Call: CMR, CH, CV., CLMT, COL, DMM, EAS, GH, KT, LJ, LV, MB, MW, MM, MV, NH, NAV, NP, OB, PB, PEN, RB, RP, SP/LH, SR, SM, SE, TP, UN, and UT.
2. **NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT**: Comment included discussion of the environmental impact of marijuana facilities with their use of methanol, butane, volatile organic compounds and other hazardous chemicals in processing. Guest expressed concern over replacement of legitimate park acreage with a point system that would allow vending machines and playground facilities substituting for real elbow room associated with acreage. Though concern was raised regarding loosening of CDC guidelines and in-person meetings guest advocated for in-person meetings. A track for Clay Elementary was thought necessary for the underserved community where it is located. Unlawful dumping from marijuana facilities related to extracting oil from the plants was said to be an environmental hazard, as no mitigation measures are in place. A cost/benefit analysis was called for.

3. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF 05-25-21**

4. **MODIFICATIONS AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA.**
   Approved without modification.

5. **FY 2023-2027 COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUPS PRIORITIES SURVEY – FIVE YEAR CIP OUTLOOK: (Information Item)**
   (James Nagelvoort, Department Director – City Engineer: Myrna Dayton, Assistant Director: Carrie Purcell, Interim Deputy Director, Nevien Antoun, Assistant Deputy Director: Saeed Abdollahi, Project Officer I: Pian Chan, Project Officer I,, Engineering and Capital Projects Department). Nevien Antoun discussed the survey and how outreach was done to obtain input from stakeholders, like CPC. The survey is sent out to CPG's every other year. It assists the City in prioritizing needs and drives long-term infrastructure planning. CIP projects do not include items such as pothole repair, channel cleaning and routine maintenance. Two components of the survey document are needs and revenues. They are based on asset management plans and service level studies, legal mandates and city climate initiatives. Most times there is a gap between needs and revenues, as needs grow faster than revenues. Saeed discussed 2019 community priorities survey results from the CPG’s. He listed department contacts. Saeed forwarded to the CPC chair the number of 2019 CIP’s that were converted to projects. The survey will be
distributed via a link today to CPG's for input and remain open until July 13, after which the link will close. The chair requested an extension until August 6, 2021. Unlike previous years, a confirmation email in pdf will be sent to submitters of the CIP’s.

**Board Comment:**
- Comment included questions over what types of projects should be submitted and if a project is not already a CIP could it be submitted. An example was given that if a building needed electrical rewiring it would qualify as a CIP.
- Some positive feedback was expressed for an improved survey
- There was concern that if a CIP is fully funded whether it should be on the list but the presenter said the CIP list does not include funding and submittals do not include cost estimates.
- There was a question if there is a document that lists projects requested that were brought to fruition. Presenter said they are working on a list that will be sent to Wally and distributed this week. Also, a project that is no longer a priority may be removed from the list
- The chair advised members to coordinate lists with their respective councilmembers.

6. **PARKS MASTER PLAN: PARKS FOR ALL OF US (Action Item)** Heidi Vonblum, Deputy Director, Jonathan Avila, Park Designer, Planning Department). Jonathan and Anisha presented an updated plan to address inequities, promote equal access, prioritize investment. Improvements will make for an equity driven park system. The Park Master Plan (PMP) was vetted to various advisory bodies, including the CPC. The second draft was released in 2020- and an improved PMP is to be released this month. Public engagement has included virtual and in-person meetings, and on-line community forums. The new PMP includes new equity goals and park standards reflective of community input. Added parkland acquisition is important and protection of MHPA and MSCP. More investment in communities is a concern. A park project does not need to be in a community plan to receive DIF funding. The point system standard was normalized with input from CPC welcomed. At least 50% of citywide park DIF is in communities of concern. Funding is to be based on need not standard. The park standard was normalized to 100 points per 1,000 people. Added options to ensure concessions contribute to park enjoyment. Two implementation actions proposed are: 1) design of Chollas Creek watershed as a regional park, 2) city-wide park fee with incentives for new on-site parks. This item will go to City Council on July 14, 2021.
Comments on the Parks Master Plan Presentation: (Carolyn Chase, Susan Baldwin, Parks and Recreation Coalition). PARC members discussed the third draft of the PMP. A letter of recommendation was prepared. PARC does not support a park standard. Data for population is needed to plan for parks. Every community does have land for parks. There is no need to remove a goal of 1 acre per 1,000 population. The DIF is not sufficient to address current deficits or future growth. The point system is complicate and error prone according to PARC members. They said the equity value, which is subjective, cannot be measured with numbers. PARC supports parks and recreations facilities where they are needed. Changes are required to the point system before it goes to City Council. PARC supports a city-wide park impact fee. A representative from Windansea Beach discussed deteriorating conditions including stairways and walls. The representative advocated inclusion of this venue in the PMP. Staff said that Chollas Creek is being studied for inclusion in the PMP and also that developers are incentivized to develop parks on site. It was requested that a list of PARC recommendations be reduced and that commercialization be tightened. Staff will meet with PARC this Friday to discuss. It was suggested that park rich communities could pass on added park acreage, facilities that could go to communities of concern.

Board Comment:
None

Public Comment:
None

Motion:

REPORTS TO CPC:
- CPC Member Comments – Member opined that DSD was allowing illegal projects. Member said street vendors in Mission Beach are a problem and a code compliance issue
- Staff Report – None
- Subcommittee Report – None
- Chair Report – None
ADJOURNMENT TO NEXT REGULAR MEETING: JULY 27, 2021:
The meeting was adjourned by Chair Wally Wulfeck at 8:42 PM.