IBA Review of the Fiscal Year 2022 Proposed Budget

Presentation to Downtown Partnership May 13, 2021 Review of the FY 2022 PROPOSED BUDGET

Office of the Independent Budget Analyst IBA Report No. 21-10

SAN DIEGO

Andrea Tevlin Independent Budget Analyst April 30, 2021



Office of the Independent Budget Analyst





# **Budget Hearing Process Overview**

### Mayor, IBA, and Council Roles

- Mayor is responsible for releasing a Proposed Budget that is balanced and carries out the City's goals, policies, programs, and services
  - Proposed Budget was released on April 15<sup>th</sup>
- IBA thoroughly reviews and analyzes proposed budget for the Council and the Public
  - IBA Review was released April 30<sup>th</sup>
- Council examines the Proposed Budget in depth, and holds hearings with department heads and public town halls
  - Hearings held between May 5<sup>th</sup> and May 11<sup>th</sup>
  - Town Halls scheduled throughout May
- Council has final authority in the Budget Process



# FY 2022 Proposed Budget Overview Unique Challenges

- City's revenues continue to feel impact from the COVID-19 pandemic
  - FY 2021 Mid-Year Financial Monitoring Report showed \$85.4 million deficit for FY 2021; Five-Year Financial Outlook showed \$124.4 million deficit for FY 2022
  - Situation has improved more recently with updated revenue projections and Federal relief funding
- City's expenses continue to slowly increase
  - Pension ADC costs expected to increase by \$36.8 million in FY 2022
- Many City services anticipated to need additional support in future years (staffing, capital program, storm water, etc)



# FY 2022 Proposed Budget Overview (cont.) Proposed Budget

- Despite challenges, Proposed Budget is balanced
  - \$1.73 Billion in Expenditures
  - \$1.73 Billion in Revenues
- Mayor used a variety of mitigations to Balance the Proposed Budget
  - Largest mitigating factor is the City's receipt of American Rescue Plan Act (ARP) funding: \$51.1 million anticipated use to close deficit FY 2021, \$141.3 million proposed for FY 2022.
  - Additional use of one-time funds, expenditure reductions, and other revenues as shown on following slide
  - Our Office supports the mitigation measures in the Proposed Budget



### FY 2022 Proposed Budget Overview (cont.) Noteworthy Items

• Proposed Budget is balanced and reasonable; includes funding for a number of critical services. Areas of note include:

New/Expanded Critical Programs

- Funding for New Commission on Police Practices
- Back to Work SD Program
- Stormwater Funding Additions and Needs
- Sexy Streets #ForAllofUs Initiative
- Complyiance with SB 1383 Organics Collection

Areas Needing Further Discussion

- Homelessness Programs and Expenditures
- Capital Infrastructure
- Reduced Library Hours
- Police Budget
- Citywide Staffing Increases

 Overview of Proposed Budget Revenues and Expenditures, and brief discussion of issues on following slides



### General Fund Revenues Economic Outlook

- Total Revenues increase by \$107.8 million, with Major Revenues (Property Tax, Sales Tax, Transient Occupancy Tax [TOT], and Franchise Fee) up \$98.5 million.
- COVID-19 impact still significantly impact TOT
- However, growth in all major revenues consistent with improving economic outlook as vaccine distribution increases, restrictions are eased, and economic activity increases



### **Property Tax**

- FY 2022 Proposed Budget is \$669.3 million, increase of \$38.7 million (6.1%)
  - Growth rate lowered from 4.25% in FY 2021 to 4.00% in FY 2022.
  - Collection rates also projected to increase as pandemic subsides

### **Sales Tax**

- FY 2022 Proposed Budget is \$320.8 million, which is an increase of \$46.4 million (16.9%)
  - Consistent with overall economic activity and projections from City's sales tax consultant



### **Transient Occupancy Tax**

- FY 2022 Proposed Budget is \$95.5 million, which is an increase of \$5.0 million (5.5%).
- Hardest hit revenue due to COVID-19, especially in FY 2020; TOT revenue especially volatile with 60%+ decrease since prepandemic highs.
  - Revenue for February and March \$3.7 higher than projected at midyear, consistent with improving conditions (lifting of travel restrictions, increased vaccine distribution, etc)
  - Potential to increase FY 2022 TOT revenue by \$0.5 to \$1.5 million based on actual receipts in recent months
  - Revenue remains sensitive to any potential pandemic-related setbacks



### **Franchise Fees**

- Total Franchise Fees total \$76.1 million, which is an increase of \$8.4 million (12.4%)
- The three largest fees are:
  - 3% surcharge on SDG&E revenue
  - 5% surcharge on cable television provider subscriptions
  - Charges to refuse haulers based on tonnage
- SDG&E Franchise Fees total \$49.7 million, which is an increase of \$8.4 million.
  - The SDG&E increase is due to higher gross SDG&E revenue in calendar 2020 and a projected calendar 2021 growth rate of 1.9%
- Cable Franchise Fees total \$13.0 million, and Refuse Hauler Fees total \$12.8 million, both roughly flat from FY 2021.



### **Potential Adjustments**

- RPTTF likely to be increased in the May Revision based on State denial of ROPS items
  - Actual amount must wait for final State decisions
  - Current range of increased revenue is \$2.9-\$3.8 million in FY 2021 and \$1.3-\$1.8 million in FY 2022
- Increases to Sales Tax and TOT potentially appropriate
  - Range of \$0.8-\$2.0 million in FY 2022
- Total potential increase of \$2.1-\$3.8 million
- City's Department of Finance is considering an increase in the 4.00% growth rate for Property Taxes, based on recent actuals and performance

# General Fund Expenditures

Expenditures Budget Overview: \$1.73B and 7,650.89 FTE

Net Budget Change: increase of \$107.8M and 10.87 FTE

- Personnel Expenditures (PE) changes \$67.5M, 10.87 FTE net increase
  - Total Increase of \$85.1M, 125.20 FTE positions
  - Offsetting Reductions of \$17.7M, 114.33 FTE positions
- Non Personnel Expenditures (NPE) changes \$40.3M *net* increase
  - Total Increase of \$115.5M
  - Offsetting Reductions of \$75.1M

# General Fund Expenditures (cont.)

### Significant Personnel Expenditure Changes

- Personnel Expenditure Increases
  - \$36.8M ADC pension payment increase
  - \$22.1M estimated employee compensation increases (subject to negotiations)
  - \$10.6M in departmental position additions
  - \$5.4M Budgeted PE Savings adjustment (increases PE budget)
  - \$4.3M neighborhood policing overtime (covered by Seized Assets Fund in FY 2021)
- Offsetting Personnel Expenditure Reductions
  - \$7.8M in departmental position reductions
  - \$5.4M Police Department overtime (including extension of shift, Clean SD, special events, neighborhood policing)
  - \$4.0M for one-time FY 2021 COVID-19 overtime

### General Fund Expenditures (cont.) Significant Non-Personnel Expenditure Changes

### • Non-Personnel Expenditure Increases

- \$10.2M Convention Center operations
- \$10.0M "Sexy" Streets #ForAllofUs Initiative
- \$10.0M homelessness programs
- \$10.0M small business forgivable loans
- \$6.0M facility upgrades/other costs for SB 1383 organics collections
- \$5.0M Climate Equity Fund contribution
- \$2.5M Stormwater funding strategy
- \$2.4M Back to Work SD youth programming

- \$8.0M debt service, largely due to 2021 issuance (to be reduced by \$3.0M in the May Revise)
- \$7.2M non-discretionary IT costs (largely due to increased vendor costs)
- \$6.3M Fleet fees for vehicle replacements
- \$3.4M Public Liability insurance cost increases
- \$2.6M net capital lease increases (largely public safety radio replacements and departmental PC replacements)

### Offsetting Non-Personnel Expenditure Reductions

- \$30.0M Operation Shelter to Home
- \$12.8M Small Business Relief Fund
- \$9.7M Rent Relief Fund
- \$5.7M Infrastructure Fund Transfer (for FY 2019 trueup)
- \$7.8M in departmental position reductions

- \$5.4M Police Department overtime (including extension of shift, Clean SD, special events, neighborhood policing)
- \$4.0M for one-time FY 2021 COVID-19 overtime
- \$3.0M Community Equity Fund

# Citywide Staffing Increases

### Net FTE Position Increase is 10.87 (\$2.8M)

- FTEs Increases: 125.20 Positions (\$10.6M PE)
  - Stormwater 39.50 FTE (\$2.9M PE): second pipe repair team, human bacteria source investigation team, other FTEs
  - Environmental Services 17.83 FTE (\$1.2M PE): positions related to organics collections (SB 1383), Clean SD code compliance
  - Parks & Rec 16.02 FTE (\$1.0M PE): maintenance staff, Standley Middle School Joint Use Pool, other FTEs
  - Compliance Department 7.00 FTE (\$940,000 PE): Safety and Environment Program transfer from non-general fund
  - *Library* 6.43 FTE (\$559,000 PE): Do Your Homework at the Library (hourly), administrative support
  - Commission on Police Practices: 5.33 FTE (\$529,000 PE)
  - Fire-Rescue 4.33 FTE (\$239,000 PE): including Advanced Lifeguard Academy (hourly), other positions
  - **Transportation** 4.25 FTE (\$318,000 PE): positions for State-mandated Dig Alert and other activities
  - Other Departments 24.50 FTE (\$2.9M PE): Communications, Department of Finance, Homelessness Strategies, Human Resources, Personnel, Economic Development, Police, other departments/offices



# Citywide Staffing Increases (cont.)

Full Time Equivalent Position Changes (cont.)

- Offsetting FTE decreases total 114.33 and \$7.8M
  - Library 100.92 FTE (\$6.0 PE): reduction to Tuesday through Saturday schedule (77.92 hourly FTE and 23.00 standard-hour FTE reductions)
    - The Mayor has since announced that he will revisit this reduction in his May Revise
  - *Smart & Sustainable Communities* 8.00 FTE (\$746,000 PE): Urban Planning Review Program transfer to non-general fund
  - **Other Departments** 5.41 FTE (\$1.1M PE): Fleet Operations-Facilities, Assistant COO, Public Works & Utilities, Purchasing & Contracting, Council Administration, Ethics Commission

# Mitigating Actions for FY 2022 Total Mitigations - \$209 million

- The Proposed Budget required a total of \$209M in mitigation actions
- This is due to a combination of
  - \$107.6M Revised Outlook Shortfall
  - \$57.5M New or Enhanced Services
  - \$44.0M New Expenditures to Maintain Current Services
- Largest mitigation action is the use of American Rescue Plan funding (\$141.3M, or 67.6% of total actions)





# Mitigating Actions for FY 2022 (cont.) American Rescue Plan Act (ARP)

• City to receive approximately **\$299.7M** in ARP relief funds: \$150M in May of 2021 and another \$150 million as soon as May 2022

Mayor's Proposed Use of ARP Funds (\$ in millions)		
FY 2021	\$	51.1
FY 2022	\$	141.3
FY 2023	\$	107.3
Total	\$	299.7

- ARP funds may be used through December 31, 2024
- **1X resource** combined with other 1X revenues to fund \$91.7M of ongoing expenses in FY 2022 Proposed Budget
- Mayor proposing to save \$107.3M of ARP funds for FY 2023 to help offset projected \$116.5M revenue shortfall
- Other significant resources available to City in ARP legislation



# Mitigating Actions for FY 2022 (cont.) Budget Reductions - \$15 million

- Combination of ongoing reductions, one-time reductions, and revenue enhancements
  - \$11.7M in ongoing reductions
    - Largest reductions are for Library (\$6.9M, 100.92 FTE) and Police Overtime (\$4.0M)
  - \$1.6M in one-time reductions
    - \$900,000 for CleanSD efficiencies and \$700,000 for Fleet Equipment Rentals
  - \$1.7M in revenue enhancements
    - \$900,000 ongoing, \$800,000 one-time



# Mitigating Actions for FY 2022 (cont.) Other Mitigating Actions

- \$20.3M Infrastructure Fund Waiver
  - One-time request to waive the requirements of Charter Section 77.1
  - Requires two-thirds vote of Council
- \$9.0M Monsanto Class Action Settlement
- \$8.8M Use of Franchise Fee Minimum Bid
  - Current agreement expires June 1
  - New bids expected to be presented to Council on May 12
  - Granting new franchise agreements requires a two-thirds vote



### Mitigating Actions for FY 2022 (cont.) Other Mitigating Actions cont.

- \$7.9M Use of Pension Payment Stabilization Reserve
  - This action uses the full Reserve balance (General Fund portion)
  - The Reserve is designed to cover increases in the ADC
    - FY 2022 General Fund ADC increase is \$36.8M
- \$6.6M Use of Various Fund Balances
  - Includes Environmental Growth Fund, Emergency Medical Services Fund, Stadium Operations Fund, and Public Safety Services and Debt Fund



### Mitigation Actions (cont.)

**Previous Actions that have Carried Over to FY 2022** 

- General Fund Reserve Similar to FY 2021, there is no budgeted General Fund Reserve Contribution
- 2.6% Across-the-Board Contracts Reduction
  - \$6.3M allocated to departments on ongoing basis
  - Impact by department varies
- 4% Reduction for Non-Mayoral Departments
  - \$3.5M in reductions for all non-Mayoral Departments, except City Auditor and City Clerk (FY 2021 Council Restorations)
  - Impact by department varies

### Noteworthy Items and Key Issues Other Areas that merit additional discussion

- As noted in our introduction, the Proposed Budget includes a number of noteworthy items. Our report covers these as well as several other Key Citywide Issues:
  - Climate Action Plan
  - Facilities Updates

- Capital Infrastructure Needs
- Labor Negotiations

Homelessness

- Pension Impacts
- The following slides include more detail on several noteworthy items and citywide issues; additional information is included in our report.

# Noteworthy Items and Key Issues

### **Reduced Library Hours**

- Systemwide Closures Sunday and Monday
  - Reduction of 100.90 FTEs and \$6.9 million
  - All branches and Central would be open 42.5 hours per week (8.5 hours per day Tuesday-Saturday)
- Proposed Budget returns \$1.5 million of savings for
  - Electronic materials
  - Increased programming
  - Library Out-of-School Camps
  - Trial 'Open+' Program to allow extended library access

### **Potential May-Revise Restoration**

- Mayor has committed to work with Library Department and Department of Finance to avoid servicelevel reductions and prepare a plan to return to 7 days of operation within 12 months
  - Adjustments to make these changes are expected in the May Revise
- Operational difficulties cited by Library Director surrounding the impact of hourly employees receiving no benefits and significant vacancies post-COVID-19 still remain

# Noteworthy Items and Key Issues Police Budget

- SDPD General Fund Budget totals \$591.8 million, an increase of \$23.5 million, or 4.1%
  - Largely due to pension and other non-discretionary costs increases
- Majority of Councilmembers prioritized the reallocation of SDPD funding and reallocation of SDPD services
  - \$4.0 million in ongoing overtime reduced
- *IBA Report 20-21: Analysis of Police Department FY 2021 Budget* is a resource to explore options for funding reallocation and/or reassignment of services



### Noteworthy Items and Key Issues Labor Negotiations - Employee Compensation Increases

- City has been negotiating with all six of the recognized employee organizations regarding terms and conditions of employment for FY 2022, including compensation levels
- Estimated compensation increase in FY 2022 Proposed Budget is \$22.1M
- Actual cost for employees' compensation increases will not be known until the good faith bargaining process has been completed
- City is endeavoring to take steps toward attaining a more competitive compensation position
- Brief background/history of compensation issues included in our report

# Noteworthy Items and Key Issues Pension - Defined Benefit Pension Costs

- \$414.9M Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) pension payment
  - \$314.5M for the General Fund
- \$49.3M ADC increase for FY 2022 (\$36.8M General Fund)
  - \$30.9M for demographic assumption changes, largely due to changes in mortality assumptions
  - \$12.3M primarily related to investment returns lower than expected
  - \$6.9M largely due to salary increases greater than expected
- Most recent FY 2023 ADC estimate is \$423.1M

### Noteworthy Items and Key Issues Pension - Unwinding Proposition B

- Proposition B (approved by voters June 2012) was challenged by four of the City's recognized employee organizations
- Recent ruling by California Superior Court Proposition B is invalid
  - City must take necessary steps to strike the Proposition B provisions from the City Charter and make conforming amendments to the San Diego Municipal Code and other related enactments
  - City has begun the meet-and-confer process with the recognized employee organizations regarding affected member employees
  - Ultimate cost and process for resolution is dependent on pending negotiations and compliance with federal tax laws and regulations with respect to retirement plans

# Noteworthy Items and Key Issues

### Homelessness

- Budget makes a one-time investment of \$10 million for five programs (some are still under development)
- Many other homelessness programs are planned for FY 2022 with the use of nearly \$25 million in state grants, but will be considered outside the Budget Process
  - Council would benefit from having all planned homelessness expenses available during the budget process in the future
- While the City has gained momentum in systematically addressing homelessness, there are issues to consider:
  - Ongoing homelessness programs are primarily funded with one-time resources; ongoing resource needs to be identified
  - Need to track progress on the Community Action Plan on Homelessness as well as program outcomes to keep City accountable on its investments



# Noteworthy Items and Key Issues Capital Infrastructure Needs

- Proposed Budget only includes \$49.0 million for General Fund capital infrastructure which falls well below the \$661 million in needs identified in the Capital Outlook for FY 2022
- CIP infrastructure gap of \$3 billion expected to continue to grow
- Closing the gap would require significant revenue and significant increased capacity of Engineering and Capital Projects to spend funds
- Capacity has been constrained due to competitiveness of construction industry and vacancies



### Conclusion and Next Steps Overall Thoughts

- Mayor's Proposed Budget is reasonable and balanced
  - Several issues to discuss, but in general the Proposed Budget is clean and maintains most existing service levels in the City
- Future needs exceed existing resources
  - While the Proposed Budget is balanced, the City's funding needs over the next several years cannot be met solely by existing revenues
  - Needs include a growing capital backlog, storm water regulatory requirements, and expanded programs to address homelessness and climate impacts
  - City should consider potential new revenue sources, such as a trash fee, storm water fee, or other revenue to meet those growing needs



# **Conclusion and Next Steps**

- Council now reviewing and proposing priorities for revisions to the Proposed Budget
  - Because of overall financial constraints, important to identify funding for any proposed revisions
- Timeline/Next Steps:
  - **May 17** Evening Hearing for Public Input
  - May 18 Mayor releases 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter **Report and May Revision**
  - May 20 May Revision and 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter June 29 Council Adopts Appropriation Report Heard
  - May 26 Councilmembers issue final budget modification priority memos

- June 9 IBA issues final recommended revisions
- June 14 Council makes final budget decisions
- Ordinance