SUBJECT: PRIORITIZING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS

POLICY NO: 800-14

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 13, 2013

Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Defined

The City of San Diego's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) is defined as the City's financial plan for the construction of the City's capital improvements. repair and/or construction of municipal infrastructure. Capital improvements are the addition of a permanent structure or structural change or the restoration of the City's assets that will either enhance the asset's overall value, prolong its useful life, or adapt it to new uses. The term infrastructure in this Council Policy refers to capital assets within the City's span of responsibility and includes, The City's assets span a wide variety of categories that include but is not limited to:-streets and related right-of-way features; storm water and drainage systems; water and sewer systems; public buildings such as libraries, parks, recreational and community centers; and public safety facilities such as police, fire and lifeguard stations. Capital investments are necessary for the construction of all parts of the City's municipal infrastructure.

The Importance of Infrastructure

The importance of quality infrastructure cannot be overstated.-Without world class functioning infrastructure, the City's economic prosperity cannot be sustained.-The quality of neighborhood infrastructure will directly determine the livability of the City's neighborhoods. The community's health, safety, and natural environment all depend on available and quality infrastructure. Decisions about capital investments affect the availability and quality of most government services, as well as many private services.

Infrastructure can also have a significant effect or improvement on the quality of life of the City's neighborhoods by providing fair, transparent and equitable services. The prioritization of CIP projects that create that infrastructure should take into consideration social, economic and geographic disadvantaged and under-served communities. Under-served community is defined as having documented low levels of access and/or use of City services.

Infrastructure connects residents to opportunities for employment, healthcare, and education via extensive transportation, power, water, and telecommunication networks. Ongoing investments will enhance the quality of life for each and every neighborhood. In addition to legal mandates, the prioritization of CIP projects should also consider social, economic, and geographic factors to prioritize *Structurally Excluded Communities*, as defined below, and eliminate disparities. The below terms are used to inform the intent of the policy.

CIP Needs List

Typically, CIP projects are generated from needs list and implemented through an interrelationship of client departments, service departments, new private development, and multiple funding sources. For purposes of the CIP, needs lists are developed by Asset Owners

(city departments) based upon input from several sources including, but not limited to: elected officials, community based organizations, private residents, operations and maintenance staff, or other stakeholders.

Definitions

A Structurally Excluded Community is defined as one of the following:

- 1. A defined neighborhood, census tract, or Council District having documented low levels of access to City services or use of City services and programs.
- 2. A defined neighborhood, census tract, or Council District where established indicators suggest disparities are preventing individuals or communities from fully participating in the economic, social, and political life of the City.
- 3. A defined neighborhood, census tract, or Council District impacted by historic racialized policies and practices that have maintained unfair racial outcomes due to institutional racism.

Equality is defined as each individual, family, neighborhood, or community is being given the same resources and opportunities without recognition that each person has different circumstances.

Equity is defined as what occurs when we eliminate institutional racism and systemic disparities, providing everyone with equitable access to opportunity and resources to thrive, no matter where they live or how they identify.

A need to repair, replace, improve, or construct a new facility based on failing condition, lack of a facility, excessive maintenance requirements of existing facilities, or health and safety issues, is submitted to the Asset Owners. Needs are compiled for each asset within an asset category. These needs are then evaluated and appropriately grouped for capital improvement consideration as part of the proposals for the new fiscal year CIP budget submittal. Prior to initiating a planning phase, all projects competing for funding and being submitted for budget consideration will undergo simple level scoring as outlined in this policy. The CIP project is reassessed in detail during the planning phase and, if needed, reprioritized based on the updated scope, costs and available funding.

The commitment of resources to CIP projects within the City has traditionally not had the benefit of a comprehensive evaluation to determine overall needs so that projects can be ranked in priority order, efficiently funded and constructed. This approach may have unintentionally limited the overall effectiveness of available CIP resources by providing projects with less funding than needed to accomplish major project requirements, such as planning and design. This may have limited the City's ability to compete for outside grant funding, since grant programs often place emphasis on having the design and associated pre-construction activities completed prior to application for construction financing.

Purpose of this Policy

The purpose of this policy is to guide the Mayor's Capital Improvement Program Review and Advisory Committee (CIPRAC) in its CIP deliberations. The goal of this policy is to establish a capital-planning process that ultimately leads to policy decisions that optimize the use of available resources for projects competing from the same fund source or multiple fund sources.

This policy establishes a guide for the Capital Improvements Program Review and Advisory Committee (CIPRAC) to follow in its development of the CIP program. This policy's goal is to establish a capital planning prioritization process to optimize the use of available resources for projects and guide an objective methodology used to produce equal and equitable outcomes.

CIPRAC shall use this policy as the exclusive methodology for ranking the relative needs and merits of CIP projects. This CIPRAC recommendation in prioritizing projects should be the primary factor in the Mayor and City Council allocating available resources in the annual budget processes. This single CIP prioritization policy addresses all funding sources and asset categories, including enterprise funded projects (golf, water, sewer, airport facilities and landfill facilities), and non-enterprise funded projects (parks, transportation, drainage, buildings and major facility projects). This prioritization process shall be utilized for the purpose of analytical comparison of the costs and benefits of individual needs and projects, as well as an opportunity to evaluate projects against one another on their relative merits. This CIP prioritization policy covers all departments, including enterprise departments (water, sewer, airport facilities, and landfill facilities), and non-enterprise funded departments (parks, transportation, drainage, buildings, and major facility projects). The prioritization process shall be utilized to compare the costs and benefits of each individual project, as well as to evaluate projects against each other. The methodology for the prioritization will guide an objective process to remove subjectivity and biases as Departments are categorizing equal and equitable investments to maintain all City assets.

Additionally, having a formal objective evaluation process that is used to prioritize projects will assist the City in more effectively using grant funding for CIP projects. Often grant programs emphasize a project having the design and other pre-construction activities completed prior to application for construction financing.

Five-Year Capital Infrastructure Planning Outlook (5 - Year CIP)

At the start of each calendar year, the 5-Year CIP Outlook establishes the CIP program plan for the next five fiscal years. The 5-Year CIP is developed from the following sources: (1) the Asset Management Planning Program software (AMP) that identifies needs based on a calculated risk assessment and operational maintenance strategies developed by the asset managing departments (AMD); (2) input from City Council; (3) adopted plans and policies, including but not limited to Ordinances, the Municipal Code, the General Plan, Community Plans, Mobility Plans, and the Parks Master Plan, the Climate Action Plan, and Climate Resilient SD; and (4) ongoing public input. Needs are prioritized using the AMD's unique strategies for each asset type, for inclusion in the 5-Year CIP.

Process

In order to implement a prioritization system, there must be an understanding of the constraints associated with each project's funding source(s), asset type (project category), or phase of development.

An Asset Owner shall assess and plan projects in a needs list based on available information, including preliminary scope of work, and then create CIP projects that will be prioritized per this Council Policy for funding and budget approval. The project list shall have CIP projects with well defined scopes of work for proposed improvements, such as replacement, relocation, realignment, upgrade, rehabilitation or new construction, as compared to a needs list that only defines the infrastructure need. Projects will not compete across the different funding sources, project categories, or project phases. However, projects within each of these areas will be valuated according to the guidelines outlined below.

A. Project Funding

I.	Projects within restricted funding categories will compete only with projects
	within the same funding category. Prioritization within these restricted funding
	categories will occur in accordance with this CIP prioritization policy. For
	example, water system CIP projects are funded with enterprise funds paid by
	water ratepayers. All water CIP projects will be prioritized in accordance with
	this prioritization policy, but will not compete for funding with projects not
	funded by Water Enterprise funds.

The following is a partial listing of restricted funding categories:

- a. Community Development Block Grants
- b. Developer Impact Fees
- c. Enterprise Funds (Airport, Environmental Services, Golf, Undergrounding, Metropolitan Wastewater, and Water)
- d. Facilities Benefit Assessments
- e. Grants
- f. Regional Park Fund
- g. State and Federal Funds
- h. TransNet Funds
- H. Projects that are not within a restricted funding category will compete for capital outlay funds, General Fund or bond proceeds in accordance with this CIP prioritization policy. Although capital needs from the restricted funds or revenue-producing departments are often separate from the General Fund, the capital investments of all City departments should be planned together to allow better coordination of capital projects in specific parts of the City over time. Citywide coordination of capital project planning can increase the cost-effectiveness of the City's capital programs by facilitating a holistic approach to infrastructure investments.

B. <u>Asset Categories</u>

An AMD will assess and plan projects according to the department's needs. CIP projects shall have well defined scopes of work for the proposed improvements. To ensure better compare projects, CIPs are that the comparison is conducted between similar types of projects, the needs and CIP projects shall be separated into categories according to the predominant type of asset and funding sources in the project. Project categories shall include the following asset categories:

1. Parks and Recreation Assets

Assets that improve the quality of life and services in the community both socially and economically. These include, but are not limited to, community support facilities and structures such as:

- <u>a.</u> Parks and recreation facilities (e.g. mini and miscellaneous parks, neighborhood parks, and open space) including structures and pools;
- b. Regional sport or event facilities;
- c. Community and civic facilities;
- d. Public art and cultural facilities;
- e. Community gardens;
- f. Ancillary buildings and facilities;
- g. Golf courses; and
- <u>h.</u> Any other improvements that increase recreational opportunities throughout the City.

2. Library Assets

Assets that improve the quality of life and services in the community both socially and economically which include:

- a. Libraries (community rooms, conference rooms, and study rooms);
- <u>b.</u> <u>Learning Centers;</u>
- c. IDEA Labs.

3. Mobility Assets

Assets that increase and enhance mobility options for City- wide safety, supporting Vision Zero and with an increased focus on equity:

- a. Streets;
- b. Sidewalks;
- <u>c.</u> <u>Bicycle facilities (all classifications);</u>
- d. Bridges (pedestrian and vehicular), including replacement, retrofit, and

- rehabilitation;
- <u>e.</u> <u>Erosion control and slope stabilization works, and retaining walls supporting transportation mobility facilities;</u>
- <u>f.</u> <u>Guardrails, barrier rails, traffic calming, flashing beacons, speed</u> abatement work and other structural safety enhancements;
- g. Traffic signals, traffic signal interconnections, signal coordination work, and other traffic signal upgrades and modifications;
- <u>h.</u> Complete Streets and Traffic Calming;
- <u>i.</u> Roads, roadway widening, roadway reconfigurations, and sStreet enhancements including medians and streetscape; and
- j. Guardrails, barrier rails, traffic calming, flashing beacons, speed abatement work and other structural safety enhancements

 Traffic signals, traffic calming, traffic signal interconnections, signal coordination work, and other traffic signal upgrades and modifications
- j. Pedestrian facilities including sidewalks, pedestrian a Accessibility improvements including curb ramps, street lighting including mid-block and intersection safety location.

4. Public Safety and General Service Facilities Assets

Assets that protect, preserve and maintain the safety of the community, its environment and property as well as the City's general service facilities and structures including that include:

- <u>a.</u> <u>Lifeguard facilities and structures; stations</u>
- b. Fire facilities and structures;
- <u>c.</u> Police facilities and structures; and
- <u>d.</u> <u>General Service Facilities and Structures (e.g. City</u> Administration Building, etc.).

5. Enterprise and Fee Funded Assets and Mandated Programs

Assets or specific services that are funded directly by fees and charges to users. These include the services provided by <u>Public Utilities</u>, <u>Environmental Services</u>, <u>and Airports</u> and <u>Golf Courses</u>. This category also includes assets or services that are required by legal mandate or consent decree.

- <u>a.</u> Airport Facilities;
- b. Drainage Facilities Storm drain systems and improvements to create best
- management practices (BMPs, channels, pump stations, storm drain pipes
- and flood control systems) for treating storm water beyond the limits of
- roadways and streets
- c. Golf Course and Facilities
- b. <u>Utility Undergrounding Projects</u>;
- <u>c.</u> Environmental <u>Services</u> Facilities <u>Ll</u>andfills and supporting facilities and structures;
- <u>d.</u> Wastewater Pipelines and Facilities <u>Ww</u>astewater pipelines, facilities and structures (interceptors, mains, trunk sewers, treatment

- plants, pump stations, laboratories, land management, reclaimed water, and administration buildings); and
- <u>e.</u> Water Pipelines and Facilities <u>Wwater and reclaimed water</u> pipelines, facilities, structures and land management (distribution mains, transmission mains, treatment plants, pump stations, reservoirs/dams, standpipes, wells, <u>and</u>-laboratories, land management and administration buildings).

6. Flood Resiliency and Water Quality

Assets that improve San Diego's watersheds through stormwater quality and flood control asset or services. These include the services provided by:

- a. Conveyances (Stormdrain pipes, channels, ditches, and culverts);
- <u>b.</u> Structures (Inlets, outlets, cleanouts, and energy dissipaters);
- <u>c.</u> <u>Pump Stations;</u>
- d. Levees; and
- <u>e.</u> <u>Green Infrastructure (Structural BMPs).</u>

Mobility Assets assets that increase mobility options and the functionality of local roadways, streets, sidewalks and public transport that shall include, but are not limited to:

a. Bicycle facilities (all classifications)
b. Bridges (pedestrian and vehicular), including replacement, retrofit, and rehabilitation
c. Erosion control, slope stabilization, and retaining walls supporting transportation facilities
d. Roads, roadway widening, roadway reconfigurations, and street enhancements including medians and streetscape
e. Guardrails, barrier rails, traffic calming, flashing beacons, speed abatement work and other structural safety enhancements
f. Traffic signals, traffic calming, traffic signal interconnections, signal coordination work, and other traffic signal upgrades and modifications g. Pedestrian facilities including sidewalks, pedestrian accessibility improvements including curb ramps, street lighting including mid-block

Public Safety Assets—assets that protect, preserve and maintain the safety of the community, its environment and property that include:

- a. Lifeguard stations
- b. Fire facilities and structures
- c. Police facilities and structures

and intersection safety location

Neighborhood Assets – assets that improve the quality of life and services in the community both socially and economically. These include but are not limited to community support facilities and structures such as:

- a. Libraries
- b. Park and recreation facilities (mini and miscellaneous parks,
 - neighborhood, open space) and structures, pool centers
- c. Regional sport or event facilities
- d. Community and civic facilities
- e. Public arts and cultural facilities
- f. Community gardens

CIP budgets shall reflect project allocations according to these categories. These project categories shall include resource allocation for all project components, including environmental mitigation, property acquisition, and all other activities necessary to complete the project.

C. Project Funding Phases

1. Restricted Funding

Projects that exclusively use funding with external restrictions on how the money can be spent ("Restricted Funding") will only be prioritized with other projects in the same asset category with the same funding restrictions. Each restricted funding category will have its own CIP prioritization. For example, water CIP projects will compete with other water CIP projects for funding but will not compete with wastewater CIP projects for funding, even though both water and wastewater assets are within the Enterprise and Fee Funded Assets category.

The following is a partial list of restricted funding categories:

- <u>a.</u> <u>Enterprise Funds (Airport, Environmental Services, Golf, Wastewater and Water);</u>
- b. Facilities Benefit Assessments;
- c. Utility Undergrounding Funds;
- d. State and Federal Grants;
- e. Regional Parks and Mission Bay Improvement Funds;
- <u>f.</u> <u>TransNet Funds;</u>
- g. Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts; and
- <u>h.</u> <u>Climate Equity Funds.</u>

2. Development Impact Fees and Facilities Benefit Assessments

Community specific Development Impact Fees (DIF) and Facilities Benefit Assessments (FBA) shall be prioritized prior to appropriating or expending Citywide DIF in each community. The City will prioritize DIF when needed to leverage existing community based DIF and FBA funds to speed delivery of identified projects.

3. <u>Non-Restricted Funding</u>

Projects that use a combination of either restricted and non-restricted funding, or those that exclusively use non-restricted funding sources, will compete for Capital Outlay, Infrastructure, General Fund, or General Fund Bond Proceeds in accordance with this CIP prioritization policy.

Although capital needs and drivers from the Enterprise Asset Departments are often separate from the needs and drivers of the General Fund Asset Departments, the capital investments of all City departments should be planned together to allow better coordination of capital projects throughout the City over time. Citywide coordination of capital project planning can increase the cost-effectiveness of the City's capital programs by facilitating a holistic approach to infrastructure investments.

To ensure that the prioritization is conducted between projects with a similar level of completion, all CIP projects shall be separated into the following standard phases within each project category:

Needs List Assessment (Prior to Inclusion in the CIP Budget): This process is for scoring and prioritizing a need before the project is submitted for inclusion to budget. Asset Owners will group the needs with similar scope, funding sources and functional category, when appropriate, and establish high level project score. This proposed project will undergo a simple methodology of scoring based on available information of the asset, including whether the need contributes to an overall service level goal or other citywide performance metric. Score will be used to determine whether or not to put the project into the next fiscal year CIP Budget.

After CIP Budget: This process shall be used by CIPRAC for scoring and prioritizing a project that has been approved for inclusion into the CIP budget. This process constitutes a detailed and complex scoring methodology of a project in the following phases of project development after further research of the existing condition of the asset or the lack of an asset and constraints in implementing the project:

- Planning and pre-design includes assessment of the project based on existing
 condition of asset or absence of asset, and available information and development
 of a feasibility study and preliminary scope, schedule and budget.
- 2. Design includes development of the construction plans, specifications,

 environmental document, contract documents, and detailed cost estimate for the

 CIP project.
- 3. Construction includes site preparation, utilities placement, equipment installation, construction, environmental mitigation and project closeout.

Policies and Plans

This policy incorporates guidance from other City policies and plans, including but not limited to:

1. Build Better SD

A citywide infrastructure funding and planning initiative to support the City of San Diego's equity, access, conservation, and sustainability goals which prioritizes the delivery of:

- <u>a.</u> <u>Effective fire and emergency response infrastructure;</u>
- <u>b.</u> <u>Safe and enjoyable spaces to gather;</u>
- c. Travel via all modes; and
- d. Immersive and interactive libraries.

2. Climate Action Plan

The community-wide goal of net zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2035. The Climate Equity Index scores each census tract by assessing various environmental, socioeconomic, mobility, health, and housing indicators. The Climate Equity Index also prioritizes climate investments that address historical inequities in Communities of Concern. The City's GHG reductions will be met by:

- <u>a.</u> <u>Decarbonization of the Built Environment;</u>
- <u>b.</u> Access to Clean and Renewable Energy;
- <u>c.</u> <u>Mobility and Land Use;</u>
- d. Circular Economy and Healthy Communities;
- e. Resilient Infrastructure and Healthy Ecosystems; and
- f. Emerging Climate Solutions.

3. Parks Master Plan

Bringing the many benefits of great parks and recreational opportunities to all City residents and visitors by addressing thirteen key policy areas:

- a. Parks & Programming;
- b. Equity;
- c. Access;
- d. Activation;
- e. Co-Benefits;
- f. Community Building;
- g. <u>Mobility as Recreation;</u>
- h. Arts and Culture;
- i. Conservation Sustainability and Resilience;
- j. Partnerships;
- k. Operations and Maintenance;
- 1. Regional Parks; and
- m. Funding.

4. Mobility Plans

Developing and employing innovative, multifaceted best practices for a balanced, multi-modal transportation network to improve personal mobility, reduce the number of vehicle trips during peak congestion periods, and increase the safety and efficiency of our transportation system for all users.

Required Information

AMDs shall prioritize capital needs and projects using the factors listed below. This policy provides general guidance and examples for each prioritization factor. More detailed and objective scoring criteria will be developed in coordination with AMDs based on relevant asset type and available data.

Before utilizing these prioritization factors, each AMD shall gather and maintain the following information:

- 1. <u>Identify the minimum level of service expected from the proposed projects, which will be used as a baseline for scoring.</u>
- 2. Operational and maintenance goals that are realistic and sustainable.
- 3. <u>Disparities within Structurally Excluded Communities</u>
- 4. Basic infrastructure and facility data sufficient to identify City asset deficits
 as required in the General Plan, community plans, master plans, the
 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan, or the current open and
 unfunded ADA complaints list.
- 5. <u>Basic assessment data (AMP) sufficient to identify new assets needed to meet a service standard or existing assets needing improvement</u>
- 6. A five-year capital infrastructure planning outlook that is maintained and assessed annually.
- 7. <u>A database of needs with priority scoring system consistent among all other</u> AMDs.
- 8. For assets currently in AMP, update and maintain the database of needs and prioritization.
- 9. Add additional asset categories to AMP as datasets are available.

D. Prioritization Factors

Based on the prioritization factors listed below, Asset Owners shall prioritize capital needs and projects for available budgetary resources. Before utilizing these prioritization factors, each Asset Owner shall incorporate the following considerations as the sole basis for scoring projects.

a.	Asset Owners via CIPRAC shall identify the minimum level of service
a.	Asset 6 whers via Cit 10/10 shall identify the minimum level of service
	expected from the proposed projects and use said service level as a
	expected from the proposed projects and use said service level as a
	baseline for scoring.
	baseline for scoring.

b.	Identify operational and maintenance goals that are realistic and
	sustainable.
e	Maintain a basic facility assessment program (asset management program)
	that will be used to identify facilities needing improvements.
d.	Maintain a basic infrastructure and facility program that will be used to
	identify city and neighborhood asset deficits as identified in the General
	Plan, community plans and master plans.
e.	Create a multi-year (ideally five-year) Capital Improvement Planning
	Program that will be maintained and assessed annually.
f.	Create and maintain a database of needs and CIP projects list with priority
	scoring system consistent among all other Asset Owners.
g.	Designate a single staff to score the needs, monitor the status of each need
	and maintain/manage the needs list (listed geographically and based on
-	priority scores) for stakeholder review and input.

The following are the prioritization factors:

Below is a list of prioritization factors that the AMD shall apply to each project according to the scoring weight given to each factor based on the type of project:

1. Legal Compliance and Risk to Health, Safety and Environment and Regulatory or Mandated Requirements

The factors below shall be considered in the scoring of this prioritization factor:

- a. Project avoids or minimizes the risk to health, safety and the environment associated with the infrastructure based on a condition assessment of the asset, or the lack of an asset, that which may include the age, size, material, capacity, and history of failure of the infrastructure through:
 - <u>i.</u> Reduction in accidents, main breaks, sewer spills, or flooding;
 - ii. Improved structural integrity and reliability of infrastructure;
 - iii. Mitigation of health and environmental hazards;
 - iv. Vision Zero safety improvements toward eliminating fatalities and severe injuries related to mobility;
 - v. Increased resiliency to the effects of climate hazards;
 - <u>vi.</u> Reduced emergency response times to minimum operational standards;
 - <u>vii.</u> Consent decrees, court orders, settlements and/or other legal mandates being addressed;
 - <u>viii.</u> Reduced potential hazards to the public property and the environment related to urgent conditions; and
 - ix. Increased compliance with state or federal law.

The Uurgency of the project to reduce the potential hazards to the public, b. property and environment. The extent to which the project minimizes liability for failure to comply c. with state or federal law Project is required by legal mandate or consent decree (project specific or programmatic, (e.g. Department of Health and Environmental Protection Agency requirements mandates or Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act requirements). Project is required by other regulatory requirements (project specific or programmatic, e.g. General Permit Compliance). Project is required to comply with court orders and settlements or avoids plausible legal claims (project specific or programmatic). Project complies with General Plan, Community Plan, Regional Transportation Plan, and/or approved City-wide master plan. For Public Safety, this factor will also evaluate the potential in reducing the risks to the staff's health and safety minimizing the failure or maintenance of the existing deficient infrastructure.

For example, scoring projects higher that result in:

i.	Reduction in accidents, main breaks, sewer spills and
	— flooding problems.
ii. 	Improved structural integrity and reliability of
	infrastructure.
iii. 	Mitigation of health and environmental hazards.
iv.	Fewer or less severe mobility related accidents.
v.	Reducing emergency response times to minimum
	operational standards.
vi.	Addressing consent decrees, court orders, settlements
	and/or other legal mandates.
vii.	Compliance with the community plan.

2. Asset Condition and Level of Service, Annual Recurring Costs and Asset Longevity

The following factors shall be considered in assigning a score to this prioritization factor:

- Existing conditions and capacity to meet the basic level of service is deficient.
- b. Avoids potential failure due to substandard conditions.
- a. The existing asset fails to meet the basic level of service, as defined by each AMD and the project addresses substandard asset conditions.
- <u>b.</u> <u>Project serves areas with higher population densities and areas experiencing the most growth.</u>
- <u>c.</u> The <u>pP</u>roject improves the overall reliability of the capital asset and infrastructure system and extends the useful life of the asset.

- c. Project reduces maintenance expenditures and delay will result in significant future costs.
- <u>d.</u> Project will addresses an infrastructure or facility deficiency identified in a General Plan, Community Plan, Mobility Plan, Regional Transportation Plan, or master plan, such as the Parks Master Plan, Climate Resilient SD, or the Climate Action Plan.
- g. There are major implications of delaying the project such as significant future costs, or negative community impacts.
- h. The extent to which the project reduces City operations and maintenance expenditures.
- i. The project increases the longevity of the capital asset or extends the useful life of the asset in the long term.

For example, scoring projects higher that result in:

- i. Reducing frequency and cost of repairs and bring the facility to current standards.
- ii. Reducing both maintenance requirements and energy consumption or the need for periodic cleaning.
- 3. Equal and Equitable Community Investment and Economic Prosperity

The following factors shall be considered in scoring this prioritization factor:

- <u>a.</u> The <u>pP</u>roject contributes toward economic development and revitalization efforts in *Structurally Excluded Communities* that prevent displacement, increase rates of homeownership and affordable housing, reduce residential vacancy levels, raise rates of self-employment, and increase presence of community building organizations.
- <u>b.</u> The pProject reduces or avoids <u>negative</u> impacts to the community when infrastructure is out of servicefails.
- <u>c.</u> The pProject will benefits under-served communities <u>Structurally</u> <u>Excluded Communities</u>. including those with low income households, low community engagement and low mobility or access to transportation systems based on San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) census tract.
- d. Project addresses disparities in Structurally Excluded

 Communities that enhance neglected assets, services and response time in Public Safety (Fire and Police response time), and general service facilities.
- e. The project implements the Economic Prosperity Element of the

 General Plan and/or other community plans.
- e. The pProject is located in a census tract that is deemed eligible for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds, in a Promise Zone or a historically Structurally Excluded Community or located in a Community of Concern identified per the Climate Equity Index.

- f. Project improves access for people of all ages and abilities.
- h. The project is located within half (1/2) mile of an existing affordable housing development.
- i. The project benefits communities that have the highest population served per acre.

For example, scoring projects higher that:

- i. Implement the City of Villages strategy.
- ii. Implement a corridor plan.
- iii. Implement an economic strategy to attract new employment
 centers or revitalize existing ones in neighborhoods where
 unemployment is above the city median.
 - iv. Are located in CDBG eligible neighborhoods.
 - v. Construct or renovate a library or other facility that would allow a low-income community to have more access to literacy services—and other community services.

4. Level and Quality of Service:

- a. The project improves existing conditions and capacity to meet the minimum level and quality of services that is deficient. Avoids potential failure due to substandard conditions.
- b. The project addresses an infrastructure or facility deficit identified in a community plan.
- c. The project addresses the need to install new facilities or improve existing facilities to provide access to City services that promotes growth and employment opportunities in under-served communities consistent with the City's Living Wage Ordinance.

For example, scoring projects higher that:

i. Brings a facility for the first time to a neighborhood as opposed to improving/expanding an undersized but existing functional facility.

45. Sustainability and Conservation

The following factors shall be considered in scoring this prioritization factor:

- a. Project benefits Communities of Concern identified by the Climate Equity Index.
- b. Project advances the Climate Action Plan goal of net zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2035.
- <u>c.</u> Project promotes climate resiliency by reducing heat island effect,

- increasing natural habitat, increasing trees and green spaces, improving water quality, or increasing independence for local energy or water resources.
- d. The pProject improves the health of the community and natural environment by using through sustainable designs with improved regional air quality and reduced greenhouse gas emission that contributes to climate change.
- e. Project reduces auto-dependency and promotes other modes of transportation such as walking, bicycling and public transportation. The project facilitates multiple transportation options (including walk-ability, bicycles, and public transportation) and reduces the need for auto-dependency.
- <u>f.</u> Where appropriate, the p<u>P</u>roject promotes infill development, open space and land form preservation, habitat protection and biological diversity, orand enhanced urban runoff management.
- g. Project results in electrification/decarbonization of municipal facility and/or fleet (i.e., EV fleet charging infrastructure) consistent with the Zero Emissions Municipal Buildings & Operations Policy. The project incorporates design that meets or exceeds recognized federal and state standards in the field of energy efficiency, such as State of California Title 24 Energy Efficiency Standards, LEED building standards, etc.
- <u>h.</u> The <u>pP</u>roject results in greener neighborhoods and reduces or avoids the potential public exposure to pollutants, contamination and other hazards to public health and environment.

<u>If a project scores zero for Factor 4, project will be sent back to Asset Managing Department for reevaluation.</u>

For example, scoring projects higher that:

i.	Utilize renewable or green energy project materials and resources
	— efficiently.
ii. 	Promote community walk-ability and use of bicycles or public
	transit.
iii. 	Promote community use of locally-sourced and environmentally
	friendly products and services.
iv.	Include planting of appropriate trees in street medians or adding
	— park and open space.

56. Funding Availability:

The following factors shall be considered in scoring this prioritization factor:

a. Projects with high likelihood to receive funding (grant funds or cost sharing from outside entities) shall receive a higher score.

The greater a project leverages City funds against external funds (grant funds or cost sharing from outside entities) the greater priority said project shall receive.

- <u>b.</u> <u>Projects that have an identified funding source will be scored higher than those that do not.</u>
- c. Projects that require funding to complete an ongoing phase will be scored higher than those that need funding for the next phase of the project.

The project's rank is increased based on assessment of the amount of funding needed to complete the current project phase and the entire project.

For example, scoring projects higher that bring grant funds from an outside agency into the City and scoring projects lower that rely only on City funds.

67. Project Readiness

The following factors will be considered in scoring this prioritization factor:

- a. Project scores will increase as the project lifecycle progresses. For example, a project in construction will be scored higher than a project in planning.
- b. Projects that can be delivered the most expeditiously will be scored higher than projects that have unresolved complex environmental issues or legal challenges.

The project is ready to enter the phase corresponding to the funding proposed. For example, a design-build project with a completed environmental document will score higher than a design-build project without a complete environmental document.

The project shall be scored based upon the delivery method. Project that can be delivered most expeditiously shall be preferred.

Assessment of non-engineering issues involved in completing the project. (e.g., significant environmental issues, project complexity, and level of public support). For example, projects with complex environmental issues or known significant legal challenges shall be scored lower than projects without said complications.

78. Multiple Category Benefit and Bundling Opportunities

The following factors will be considered in scoring this prioritization factor:

The project fulfills the prioritization factors described above across multiple scoring categories.

- <u>a.</u> The <u>pProject</u> reduces construction costs by potentially bundling with adjacent projects <u>of other asset types</u>.
- <u>b.</u> The <u>pP</u>roject provides for partnering or bundling opportunities with other local, state, or federal agencies (e.g. leverages shared resources).

For example, scoring a project higher for:

i. A roadway project that also provides for the replacement of a
 deteriorated storm drain.

 ii. A streetscape project that also provides street lighting at critical intersections.
 iii. A bikeway project that provides slope stabilization at an area of

known erosion problems.

E. Scoring Weights

The below table provides scoring weights for each prioritization factor per asset category in recognition that different assets serve distinct needs. The Equal and Equitable Community Investment Factor will be consistent across each asset category to establish a shared focus on addressing disparities in *Structurally Excluded Communities*.

The following are the corresponding scoring weights in percentage for each factor per asset category:

<u>Factors</u>	Parks & Rec and Golf Assets		Mobility Assets	Public Safety & General Service Assets	Enterprise & Fee- Funded Assets	Flood Resiliency & Water Quality Assets
1. Legal Compliance and Risk to Health, Safety and Environment	<u>18</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u> -	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
2. Asset Condition and Level of Service	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
3. Equal and Equitable Community Investment	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>
4. Sustainability and Conservation	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>
5. Funding Availability	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
6. Project Readiness	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
7. Multi Asset Benefit	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>

Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
10001	100	100	100	100	100	100

Factors	Enterprise Funded	Mobility	Public	Neighborhood
	Assets and Mandated	Assets	Safety	Assets
	Programs		Assets	
1. Risk to Health, Safety and	25	20	15	10
Environment and Regulatory or				
Mandated Requirements				
2. Asset Condition, Annual	20	20	20	15
Recurring Costs and Asset				
Longevity				
3. Community Investment and	20	20	10	25
Economic Prosperity				
4. Level and Quality of Service	10	20	30	20
5. Sustainability and	10	5	5	10
Conservation				
6. Funding Availability	5	5	10	5
7. Project Readiness	5	5	5	5
8. Multiple Category Benefit and	5	5	5	10
Bundling Opportunities				
Total	100	100	100	100

For consistent and accurate application of the prioritization factors each asset department shall develop asset-specific sub-criteria for each factor. These criteria shall be applied to the <u>AMD'sir</u> own projects at both the Needs List Phase and the Funding Phase.

F. Implementation Process

The following process discusses the steps in prioritizing projects from <u>identifying</u> a need through implementing the project-implementation.

- 1. Stakeholders -(including Council, but not limited to: the public, and CPGs) submit a capital need per Council Policy 000-32. Community Planning Group, elected officials, Asset Owners, and other stakeholders submits a need to the Asset-Owning Department (AO).
 - a. Council yearly submittals; and
 - <u>b.</u> <u>Public input at a minimum of every two years.</u>
- 2. The needs are identified based on City strategic goals, master plans, community plans, federal and local mandates, and asset strategies driven by condition assessment and level of service targets. The needs are prioritized using the AMDs unique strategies for each asset type, for inclusion in the 5-Year CIP.
- 3. At the start of the budget process for the appropriate Fiscal Year, the proposed projects are scoped and then scored using Council Policy 800-14, before being

submitted to CIPRAC for review and recommendation for Mayoral approval into the proposed annual budget.

- a. If the Mayor approves the CIPRAC's recommendation, the need is submitted as part of the Mayor's proposed CIP Budget.
- b. If the Mayor rejects a recommended project, the project goes back to the AMD for reconsideration for next budget cycle, and adjustment in the 5-Year CIP Plan.
- 4. Once the need is in the Mayor's proposed CIP Budget, and the proposed CIP Budget has been approved by City Council, the first or next phase of the project will be initiated.
- 5. At various decision points after project initiation, including but not limited to, the completion of design but prior to the start of construction, a project will be reviewed by the Project Manager responsible for completing the project, and the priority score will be adjusted to account for any changes that have occurred since the last scoring opportunity prior to receiving funding to advance to the next stage in the project lifecycle.
- 8. The AO reviews the needs and groups them with similar scope, funding sources and functional category, when appropriate. The AO prepares a preliminary scope, cost estimate and schedule, and establishes high level priority score.
- 9. The AO submits the project with the priority score to Capital Improvements

 Program Review and Advisory Committee (CIPRAC) for review and
 recommendation for Mayoral approval.
 - a. If the Mayor approves CIPRAC's recommendation the project is submitted as part of the Mayor's proposed CIP Budget.
 - b. If the Mayor rejects the recommendation the project goes back to the AO as a need for reconsideration for next budget cycle.
 - 10. Once the project is in the Mayor's proposed CIP Budget:
 - a. If CIP project is approved during the budget process the AO submits the project to Public Works (PW) for further assessment of the scope, cost and schedule.
 b. If CIP project is rejected during the budget process, the project goes back to the AO as a need for reconsideration for next budget cycle.
 - 11. PW updates the priority score for the CIP project with complex and more detailed scoring using the policy's prioritization factors and weights. The detailed scoring is based on detailed research and available information that may require changes to the project scope, schedule, costs and prioritization score.
 - a. If a project with the final scope, cost, schedule and prioritization score is fully funded, PW starts design and implements the project through construction.

b. If project requires additional funding, the project is returned to AO for additional funding and to CIPRAC for review and approval.

Additional Considerations Conditions

- 1. Emergency projects will automatically have 100% priority score.
- 2. For new fire station projects, the Citygate Standards of Response

 Coverage report, or similar technical public safety report, will be prioritized over the final project prioritization score for final funding consideration by City Council.

The resultant ranking list for each category and phase of needs and CIP projects shall be reported by the Mayor to the Council as part of the annual CIP budget, with recommendations for funding.

- 3. Upon approval of the CIP budget by the Council, the Mayor shall pursue the completion of each project phase according to the priority ranking resulting from this prioritization process up to the total amounts authorized by Council for each project category.
- 4. The Mayor will also utilize the resultant priority ranking for the pursuit of all outside grant funding opportunities.
- 5. For projects that have funding shortfalls, the priority scores will be updated and provided to Council as part of any proposed financial action.

The Mayor will update the priority score as the conditions of each project change or other new information becomes available. For instance, if grant funding becomes available for a lower ranked project, the priority score would be re evaluated with this new information. When changes occur that would alter a project's priority ranking, the priority list will be revised. The City Council will receive an informational brief of changes to the priority list at mid-year, and the annual update of the list will be part of the budget process. Similarly, resources shall not be withdrawn from a project prior to the completion of its current phase, unless reallocation is authorized by the annual appropriation ordinance or approved by Council.

Review of this policy by the appropriate Council committee shall be performed one year after implementation of this policy and bi-annually thereafter to identify additional enhancements.

<u>Implementation of Revisions to</u> this Council Policy <u>areis</u> not intended to release or alter the City's current or future obligations to complete specific CIP projects by specified deadlines, as may be imposed by court order, or order of any federal, state or local regulatory agency.

Monitoring and Reporting

Regular monitoring is critical to ensuring that infrastructure projects are prioritized in a manner consistent with the purpose of this Council Policy and that result in investments aligning with

climate, equity, and strategic plan goals. At least once every two years, the City's Engineering & Capital Projects Department shall report on the infrastructure projects included in the CIP Budget in accordance with this Policy. The report will include information such as the number of CIP projects and project costs within each council district and community planning area and a summary of all CAP-implementing projects as well as those within a designated Community of Concern identified in the Climate Equity Index. If the City Council or Mayor determines that the purpose and intent of this Council Policy are not being achieved based on these reports, this Council Policy should be updated to include any needed refinements.

HISTORY:

Adopted by Resolution R-302291 - 01/16/2007 Amended by Resolution R-303741 - 05/30/2008 Amended by Resolution R-308535 - 11/13/2013