City of San Diego CLIMATE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION PLAN Our Climate, Our Future







DRAFT - 03/08/2023

Table of Contents:

Acknowledgements	3
Introduction	4
Climate Action Implementation Plan Purpose	5
Prioritizing Communities of Concern	6
From Plan to Implementation	8
Funding Solutions	9
How to Read the Climate Action Implementation Plan	11

SIX BOLD STRATEGIES OF THE 2022 CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

Strategy 1: Decarbonization of the Built Environment	13
Strategy 2: Access to Clean & Renewable Energy	18
Strategy 3: Mobility & Land Use	24
Strategy 4: Circular Economy & Clean Communities	38
Strategy 5: Resilient Infrastructure and Healthy Ecosystems	46
Strategy 6: Emerging Climate Actions	53

DRAFT - 03/08/2023

Acknowledgements

Mayor:

Todd Gloria

City Council:

- Joe LaCava, District 1
- Jennifer Campbell, District 2
- Stephen Whitburn, District 3
- Monica Montgomery Steppe, District 4
- Marni Von Wilpert, District 5
- Kent Lee, District 6
- Raul Campillo, District 7
- Vivian Moreno, District 8
- Sean Elo-Rivera, District 9

Project Team (City Staff)

- Alyssa Muto, Director, Sustainability & Mobility
- Shelby Buso, Chief Sustainability Officer, Sustainability & Mobility
- Heather Werner, Deputy Director, Sustainability & Mobility
- Reeser, Deputy Director, Sustainability & Mobility Krist
- Krystal Ayala, Program Manager, Sustainability & Mobility
- Chad Colton, Program Manager, Sustainability & Mobility
- Lindsey Hawes, Program Manager, Sustainability & Mobility
- Moriah Saldaña, Program Manager, Sustainability & Mobility
- Trom, Program Manager, Sustainability & Mobility Phil
- issa Westerfield, Program Coordinator, Sustainability & Mobility Mar
- Joe Whitaker, Senior Planner, Sustainability & Mobility
- Maureen Gardiner, Senior Engineer, Sustainability & Mobility
- Emanuel Alforja, Associate Engineer, Sustainability & Mobility
- Valerie Herrera, Climate Equity Specialist, Sustainability & Mobility
- Melissa Languren, Junior Planner, Sustainability & Mobility
- Anthony Moore, Senior Management Analyst, Sustainability & Mobility
- nne Pendergraft, Associate Management Analyst, Sustainability & Mobility Bre
- Hector Gomez, Administrative Aide II, Sustainability & Mobility
- Buehrig, Intern, Sustainability & Mobility Katie
- Michael Chavez, Intern, Sustainability & Mobility
- Mara Cunningham, Intern, Sustainability & Mobility
- Allison Gable, Intern, Sustainability & Mobility

City Staff

- Mailei Oliva, Program Manager, City Clerk
- Carla Raya, Associate Management Analyst, City Clerk
- Benjamin Battaglia, Assistant Director, Department of Finance
- Ian Brazill, Program Manager, Department of IT
- David Reed, Program Manager, Department of Real Estate and Airport Management
- en Johnson, Asset Manager, Department of Real Estate and Airport Management
- Elyse Lowe, Director, Development Services
- Raynard Abalos, Deputy Director, Development Services
- Samir Hajjiri, Program Manager, Development Services
- Christina Bibler, Director, Economic Development
- Elizabeth Studebaker, Assistant Deputy Director, Economic Development
- Renee Robertson, Director, Environmental Services
- Sam Alatorre, Program Manager, Environmental Services
- Julie Sands, Program Manager, Environmental Services
- Jane-Marie Fajardo, Senior Planner, Environmental Services
- Justin Ono, Supervising Recycling Specialist, Environmental Services
- Myrna Dayton, Assistant Director, Engineering & Capital Projects
- Caryn McGriff, Assistant Director, Engineering & Capital Projects
- Mark Gonzalez, Deputy Director, Engineering & Capital Projects
- Antoun Nevien, Deputy Director, Engineering & Capital Projects
- Nicole George, Senior Civil Engineer, Engineering & Capital Projects
- Casey Smith, Director, General Services
- Musheerah Little, Deputy Director, General Services
- Mike Simonds, Deputy Director, General Services
- Donny Nguyen, Program Manager, General Services
- Jeremy Culuko, Assistant Director, Human Resources
- Jordan More, Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Independent Budget Analyst
- Misty Jones, Director, Library
- Hannah Chasteene, Security Coordinator, Office of Emergency Services
- Andy Field, Director, Parks & Recreation
- en Dennison, Assistant Director, Parks & Recreation Kar
- Tom Tomlinson, Assistant Director, Parks & Recreation
- Michelle Abella-Shon, Program Manager, Parks & Recreation
- Mark Berninger, Senior Planner, Parks & Recreation
- Angela Wells, Projects Manager, Performance & Analytics
- Justin Ellsworth, Program Coordinator, Performance & Analytics

City Attorney

Consultant Support

Ascent Environmental

Heidi Vonblum, Director, Planning Alejandra Gavaldon, Deputy Director, Planning Kelley Stanco, Deputy Director, Planning Tait Galloway, Program Manager, Planning Seth Litchney, Program Manager, Planning Jordan Moore, Senior Planner, Planning Kevin Wadhams, Lieutenant, Police Keli Balo, Interim Deputy Director, Public Utilities William Eames, Procurement Specialist, Purchasing & Contracting Helen Weldeghiorgis, Program Manager, Race and Equity Marc Schmeltzer, Program Coordinator, Risk Management John Wood, Assistant Fire Chief, San Diego Fire-Rescue James Gaboury, Deputy Fire Chief, San Diego Fire-Rescue Justine King, Program Manager, Special Events Bethany Bezak, Interim Director, Stormwater Everett Hauser, Program Manager, Stormwater James Nagelvoort, Director, Strategic Capital Projects Brian Widener, City Forester, Transportation Gary Chui, Senior Traffic Engineer, Transportation

 Mara Elliot, City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney Jean Jordan, Assistant City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney Corrine Neuffer, Chief Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney Nicole Denow, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney Lindsey Sebastian, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney

Energy Policy Initiatives Center (EPIC), University of San Diego

he City of San Diego's (City's) 2022 Climate Action Plan (CAP) lays out a set of 6 strategies, 21 measures, 17 performance targets, and 190 actions and supporting actions to achieve the City's interim 2030 fair-share reduction goal and ambitious 2035 goal of net zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The strategies include quantified performance targets, outlining how the City will track progress and achieve its overall GHG reduction goals. Each measure is broken down into discrete actions and supporting actions that work together to achieve performance targets and emissions reduction goals.

SIX BOLD STRATEGIES OF THE 2022 CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

- **Strategy 1**: Decarbonization of the Built Environment
- **Strategy 2**: Access to Clean & Renewable Energy
- Strategy 3: Mobility & Land Use
- Strategy 4: Circular Economy & Clean Communities
- **Strategy 5**: Resilient Infrastructure and Healthy Ecosystems
- **Strategy 6**: Emerging Climate Actions

Introduction



Climate Action Implementation Plan

This Climate Action Implementation Plan (Implementation Plan) continues to organize the City's processes and governance structure around climate action, centering accountability and transparency. The Implementation Plan includes cost estimates to align the City's future budgeting decisions with the CAP. Furthermore, the implementation plan lays out the tasks and responsibilities to be carried forward by departments and reported on through annual workplans. This will be a necessary tool to clearly define each City department's CAP implementation work every fiscal year and further integrate equity into climate action related projects and initiatives.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OVERVIEW

This Implementation Plan organizes the CAP actions and supporting actions within each Measure so that the actions' relationships with one another may be clearly understood.



This tiered structure will facilitate decision making processes toward an organized and efficient CAP implementation in order to maximize timely GHG reduction as measured by the respective Measures of Success.

This Implementation Plan also presents a series of tables with implementation details for each CAP action and supporting action, including the following:

- · Departments responsible for leading and supporting implementation.
- Type of action and the current status of implementation.
- · Partnerships with other government agencies, community-based organizations (CBOs), and private sector entities needed for successful implementation.
- A summary of near-term incremental costs of implementation over the next five years (fiscal years 2024-2028) based on the Implementation Cost Analysis (ICA).
- A prioritization score derived from the Council Prioritization Policy that reflects an action's or supporting action's priority for implementation on a scale of 0 to 100.



Case Study of Successful Climate Action:

ACHIEVING NET-ZERO EMISSIONS FOR CITY BUILDINGS AND OPERATIONS

The City has set a goal to bring all municipal buildings and operations to zero emissions by 2035. This goal was established in the City's Municipal Energy Strategy in 2020 and is reinforced in the 2022 Climate Action Plan. An implementing framework was established by the Municipal Energy Implementation Plan (MEIP) and the City's Zero Emissions Municipal Buildings & Operations Policy (ZEMBOP), adopted in 2022. To understand the scope and costs of the required electrification retrofits, the City is conducting decarbonization and electric vehicle (EV) infrastructure assessments for all of its existing facilities, including but not limited to libraries, police stations, fire stations, public utilities facilities, parks and recreation facilities, and shared facilities.

The City has hired an engineering firm to perform site assessments and evaluations of existing facilities to:

- 1. Inventory existing fossil fuel burning systems and appliances.
- 2. Document current fleet charging needs.
- 3. Document current electric panel capacity.
- 4. Recommend appropriate electric replacement equipment.
- infrastructure.
- 6. Provide cost estimates for implementing the recommended measures.

The data collected will be used to inform development of Fossil Fuel Elimination Plans and Fleet Electrification Plans. Additionally, data collected in this effort will directly inform development of energy retrofit project profiles suitable for federal infrastructure grant funding, making the City more competitive in grant solicitations.

FINDING SOLUTIONS THROUGH PARTNERSHIPS

The City is currently pursuing Energy as a Service (EaaS) partnerships with Energy Service Companies (ESCOs), which are energy engineering and construction firms that develop and implement energy savings plans for a portfolio of buildings, install energy-efficiency and clean-energy upgrades, and provide system maintenance and monitoring through the life of service agreements. ESCOs also facilitate financing for the project, eliminating upfront capital costs. While ESCOs are well known for offering Energy Savings Performance Contracts (ESPCs) to enable energy-efficiency projects, they also provide a range of energy project financing options to support installation of renewable energy, microgrids and more. This funding strategy costs taxpayers nothing up front and delivers substantial value over the life of the contract.



5. Recommend necessary panel upgrades to support new electric building systems and EV



Prioritizing Communities of Concern

While preparing the 2022 CAP, City staff worked closely with stakeholders to develop a method for scoring each CAP action to understand its potential to prioritize and empower residents within communities that have been historically underinvested in and to address those disparities. To create equitable outcomes, we must prioritize action and investment where the need is greatest by involving impacted community members in the City's decision-making process early and through continual partnerships. For this reason, the concept of climate equity is critical throughout the 2022 CAP and this implementation plan. The City will continue to frequently consult with CBOs on climate equity policy on use of these tools specifically and on equitable CAP implementation generally.

CLIMATE EQUITY INDEX

Through adoption of the 2022 CAP and development of other tools the City has committed to equitable climate action that improves quality of life in structurally excluded communities. The City prepared a Climate Equity Index (CEI) to scope every area of the city for its relative access to opportunity and potential to be negatively affected by climate change impacts. The CEI, which was released in 2019 and updated in 2021, provides the City with a tool for targeting CAP implementation and other City investments in Communities of Concern that historically experienced lack of investment. The CEI has been used as one of the key factors or considerations to allocate funding for projects and programs and is used by staff to equitably implement the 2022 CAP.

CLIMATE EQUITY FUND

One of the funding sources already used to invest in structurally excluded communities is the City's Climate Equity Fund, which the City established in 2021 as a dedicated source of supplemental funding for infrastructure projects in Communities of Concern. In FY23, the Climate Equity Fund distributed \$8.1 million between projects to fund City park improvements, lighting and sidewalks.

DEPARTMENT OF RACE AND EQUITY

The Department of Race and Equity (DRE) will address all forms of disparities experienced by individuals in San Diego and within the City's organization to intentionally create a culture of inclusivity by advancing equitable outcomes and dismantling policies, procedures and budget decisions that perpetuate inequity and systemic racism. Primary focus areas for the department include:

- Learning and Development: Design and facilitate trainings on racial equity and inclusion with a curriculum that contextualizes historic oppression, systemic racism, and implicit/explicit biases to provide City departments with tools to build equitable outcomes.
- Equity Centered Coaching: Drive an inquiry-driven approach that leads to action and strategy development by providing support to City departments to execute tailored Race and Equity Plans.
- Community Engagement: Partner with each City department to cultivate an intentional approach to inclusive engagement by creating a space for community members to contribute their experience and ideas to transform local government policies, programs and budget decisions.
- Creating Equitable Outcomes: Utilize data to measure the progress of defined equitable goals and . strategies.



Engagement was a critical component of the development for the 2022 CAP. Throughout the implementation tables, you will see actions and supporting actives identified by the community indicated with a "Community Identified" tag

COUNCIL POLICY 800-14: PRIORITIZING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS

The recently updated Council Policy 800-14, Prioritizing CIP Projects, sets the guidelines for how CIP projects are prioritized and funded. The policy updates include amendments to the factors that must be considered when adding needs to the Five-Year Capital Infrastructure Planning Outlook as well as Annual Capital Improvements Program Budgets. The recent amendments to this Council Policy ensure that the policies adopted by City Council as part of Build Better SD, Parks for All of Us, the Climate Action Plan, and other adopted City plans and policies, are incorporated into the City's delivery of needed neighborhood developments and infrastructure investments. The overall goal is to establish an infrastructure prioritization process that can be used as a factor to deliver infrastructure efficiently and equitably across the City.

Case Study of Successful Climate Action:

ACHIEVING NET-ZERO EMISSIONS FOR CITY BUILDINGS AND OPERATIONS

Strategy 4, Zero Waste to Landfill

Organic Waste

In response to both the Climate Action Plan and California State Senate Bill 1383, the City introduced a new Organic Waste Recycling program for all city residents. The program – which represents the biggest change to trash and recycling in San Diego's history – supports the City's Zero Waste and 2022 CAP goals. Organic waste collection services for households serviced by the City of San Diego's Environmental Services Department began in 2023 and will continue as a phased rollout, with completion expected by mid-2023.

San Diego Single Use Plastic Reduction Ordinance

cartons and meat trays. The Ordinance also prohibits polystyrene foam products from City facilities the objectives of the City's 2022 CAP by removing a large portion of single-use, non-recyclable waste from the waste stream.



Effective April 1, 2023, the distribution and sale of most polystyrene foam containers will be prohibited within the City. This includes food service ware and other similar items, such as bowls, plates, cups, egg (including parks and beaches). The Single Use Plastic Reduction Ordinance will help San Diego reach its Zero Waste goals and help maintain clean and beautiful beaches and public spaces. The Ordinance will also meet

From Plan to Implementation

IMPLEMENTATION COST ANALYSIS

Each discrete action and supporting action included in the 2022 CAP will require both funding and City staff resources. To plan for these budgetary and staffing needs the Implementation Plan includes the results of a comprehensive ICA prepared to help the City understand the cost of CAP implementation. Mirroring the City's internal budgetary planning process, each action was analyzed by the City department or departments responsible for its implementation. Budgetary estimates were built on factors such as timelines, staff resources, consultant resources, capital costs and existing capacity. The ICA identifies the funding and resources needed to be repurposed or added to implement the 2022 CAP over the next five years.

IMPLEMENTATION COST ANALYSIS KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The estimated cost to implement new and expanded programs is about \$30 million per year during FY24-FY28.
- Strategy 5 and three related measures (RIHE-5.1(Sequestration), RIHE-5.2 (Tree Canopy), and RIHE-5.3 (Local Water Supply)) account for about two-thirds of new and expanded program costs.
- The work effort to implement new and expanded programs is equivalent to 112 FTE each year.

See the FY 2024-2028 Climate Action Plan Implementation Cost Analysis for more details.

2019 CAP PERFORMANCE AUDIT											
Recommendation	Action										
Adopt an Administrative Regulation that formally establishes oversight of the Climate Action Plan	In process: Administrative Regulation outlaying: • Departmental work plans and liaisons • Docketing and annual reporting to City Council										
Sustainability & Mobility Department Staffing Analysis	Complete – April 2022										
Departmental Roundtable meetings are held at least quarterly with a focus on workplans	Complete - June 2020, Bi-monthly Roundtables ongoing										
Revise the staff report template to include a section to identify how an item helps to implement or support the CAP	Complete – September 2022										
Rating system of CAP measures, cost estimates, staff resources, feasibility, GHG reductions, climate equity and other benefits to help inform prioritization	Complete – March 2023										
Implementation plan, including an estimate of associated costs, information on funding sources, and identification of funding gaps, with assistance from other departments as necessary.	Complete – February 2023										

A DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK FOR CLIMATE ACTION

2022 Climate Action Plan

High-level planning document consisting of strategies, measures and actions to achieve the City's goal of net-zero GHG emissions by 2035.

Climate Action Implementation Plan

Details steps the City will take over the next five years to implement the 2022 CAP by organizing the actions into foundational, preliminary, next, and other; summarizes the associated costs and prioritization scores; addresses potential funding solutions; and sets forth measures of success to evaluate progress.

Implementation Cost Analysis

A companion document to the Implementation Plan, it presents a detailed estimate of the staff, capital and non-capital costs of implementing the 2022 CAP over the next five years; results are included in the Implementation Plan.

Council Prioritization Policy

Sets forth methodology for prioritizing CAP actions based on weighting the measures developed for each action during CAP development; results in a prioritization score for each action on a scale of 0 to 100; scores are included in the Implementation Plan.

Annual Department Work Plans

All departments involved in CAP implementation prepare annual work plans in alignment with City's annual budget process and submit to City Council for budgetary consideration. Workplans identify actions to be implemented in upcoming fiscal year, including funding and staff needs, feasibility and any shortfalls.

Annual City Budget

The Council reviews and allocates funding for CAP action implementation as part of adopting the City's annual budget.

Staff report to Council annually on CAP implementation using "measure of success," which are indicators of progress toward achieving the CAP's performance targets. The measures of success are set forth in this Implementation Plan.

Climate Action Plan Update

Staff will release an updated CAP in 2027 to assure that the City has a path to achieving these specified levels of GHG reductions.

Annual Reporting

ANNUAL DEPARTMENT WORK PLANS AND ANNUAL BUDGETING PROCESS

To establish responsibility and authority, this Implementation Plan identifies lead and supporting departments for all CAP actions and supporting actions. All departments involved in CAP implementation develop annual Work Plans as a component of the City's budget presented to City Council for budgetary consideration. Annual department Work Plans will identify which CAP actions will be implemented in the upcoming fiscal year, and address funding needed to implement each CAP action and supporting action, including staffing capacity or other resources. The development of annual Work Plans will provide consistent fiscal transparency and accountability.

CAP LIAISONS

City staff are developing a new Administrative Regulation (AR) to formally establish the role of a CAP Liaison in all City departments, and to require and outline the scope for annual workplans for all City departments that are either a lead department or supporting department for CAP implementation. CAP Liaisons are responsible for leading on development and delivery of the annual workplans, delivery of the CAP Annual Report data, participation in the citywide Sustainability Roundtable, and the Implementation Plan and Implementation Cost Analysis.

MONITORING & REPORTING

Going forward, the CAP monitoring and reporting process will be linked directly to departmental Work Plans, bridging the gap between forward progress, and reporting on what has been accomplished. This system will bring new transparency to the implementation of each CAP action so the City may better learn from successes and address opportunities for improvement. The City commits to providing an annual progress report and conducting comprehensive GHG emissions inventories at least every two years. The annual progress report will use data from the inventories, air quality monitoring data from APCD, and tracking from City departments and external partners to demonstrate the process of implementation and the outcomes of action to date. Staff will release an updated CAP in 2027 to assure that the City has a path to achieving these specified levels of GHG reductions. The City will use the most reliable data available to accurately and comprehensively report implementation progress, particularly as it relates to the City's efforts to increase climate equity.



COUNCIL PRIORITIZATION POLICY

In parallel with development of this Implementation Plan, the Office of the Independent Budget Analyst (IBA) has prepared a Council Policy to assist staff, City Council, and the public prioritize actions and supporting actions set forth in the CAP and included in this Implementation Plan during the City's annual budgeting process.

The Council Policy reflects the metrics developed for each CAP action and supporting action and assigns a weighted score to each measure so that, when all measures are combined, the total possible score for each action or supporting action equals 100. Each action has been evaluated under the policy and the calculated prioritization score for each action and supporting action is included in the detailed tables presented later in this implementation plan.

SEPARATE CITYWIDE EFFORTS

(2019)

(2020)

The metrics reflected in the prioritization scoring were developed through community input and in alignment with City policy direction. They include the level of attributable GHG **Climate Equity Index** emissions reductions, feasibility, potential for equitable implementation, and Core Benefits **Municipal Energy Strategy** (air quality, public health, jobs and economy, and resiliency). The measures of feasibility and potential for equitable implementation **Complete Communities: Mobility Choices** were developed using the Climate Action (2020)Prioritization (CLIMACT Prio) Analysis Tool and feedback by the Energy Policy Initiatives Center (2020)(EPIC). These measures, along with the Core Benefits measures, were developed in close **Climate Equity Fund** consultation with key stakeholders, such as (2021) the Equity Stakeholder Working Group and the **Climate Resilient SD** Climate Action Plan Review Committee. City (2021)staff will update the Implementation Matrix of the Implementation Plan and continue to **Parks Master Plan** maintain the prioritization metrics contained (2021)therein for all current actions, as well as new actions identified in other adjacent plans. Joined While the Council Policy is formally adopted by Council, the Implementation Plan can (June 2022) be administratively updated to reflect the **CAP Consistency Regulations** prioritization scores, update actions or amend (June 2022) sequencing as needed.

THAT SUPPORT CAP IMPLEMENTATION: **Complete Communities: Housing Solutions Building Performance Standards Coalition**

UPCO
Mobility M
Environmental
Decarbonizati
Climate Resiliency I
Bluep

MING:

- laster Plan
- **Justice Element**
- on Framework
- mplementation Plan
- rintSD

Funding Solutions

Achieving the City's goal of net-zero GHG emissions by 2035 means eliminating climate warming gases in just over a decade, including those from fossil-fuel emissions from most day-to-day activities. The challenges involved in meeting this goal are unprecedented, but when weighed against the catastrophic human, environmental, and economic costs of doing nothing, and the striking inequities across our communities - overcoming these challenges is absolutely necessary.

The City is committed to realizing a net-zero future using a whole-of-government approach, and is actively building its governance structure around implementation of the CAP. It recognizes that zeroing out emissions requires all levels of government to collectively organize. It requires re-thinking the roles that government plays in climate action, including its relationships with other public entities, as well as with the private sector and community-based organizations.

In recent years, the City has worked closely with APCD on the Regional Air Quality Strategy and the Portside Environmental Justice Neighborhood's Community Emission Reduction Plan (CERP) and the upcoming Border Communities CEP, both of which seek to improve air quality in some of the City's most affected neighborhoods. The City is a founding member of the SANDAG-led Accelerate to Zero Emissions Collaboration (A2Z). The purpose of A2Z is to develop a vision and implement a San Diego Regional Electric Vehicle (EV) Strategy that will accelerate investment in zero-emission vehicles and EV infrastructure that reduces air pollution and GHG emissions to combat climate change. The City maintains partnerships with the San Diego Economic Development Corporation (EDC) and Cleantech San Diego to monitor potential impacts the City's climate action will have on regional labor.

One of the most critical changes required will be identifying ways to adequately - and fairly - pay for CAP implementation. The costs are significant and need to be funded at the large scale and on the short timeline that climate change demands, in ways that equitably distribute the costs and benefits of climate investments. The City is responsible for delivering many critical services. The associated funding sources for these critical services will need to be maintained.

The City is committed to working with all stakeholders - including residents, workers, labor unions and industry - to develop thoughtful ways to implement the CAP while prioritizing the needs of underserved communities, quality jobs and a thriving local economy. In addition to conducting a jobs impact analysis for decarbonization efforts, the City is continuing to engage with partners to support our labor and workforce to "green" existing skills and jobs.

Because the required levels of investment for achieving net zero cannot be met through existing funding sources and mechanisms alone, the City is exploring new funding solutions to deliver the outcomes residents deserve. Ongoing structural changes exemplified in this Implementation Plan make San Diego well equipped to continuously assess the costs of CAP implementation, strategically allocate funding, and pursue additional funding to close gaps.

PARTNERSHIPS AND ADVOCACY

The City cannot solve the global climate crisis in isolation. Developing strong partnerships and capitalizing on opportunities to advocate for San Diego's priorities is necessary for transformational change. Fortunately, there are many spaces where the City can advocate for the reform necessary to protect San Diego's environment and bring about air quality improvements, economic resiliency, just transition for our people, and public health outcomes that our communities need. The Implementation Plan highlights the partners needed to implement the actions and supporting actions of the CAP, including other government agencies, the private sector, and community-based organizations efficiently and effectively.

FINANCIAL PATHWAYS

There are three major categories of financial pathways available for climate action: funding, financing, and revenue generation. Funding refers to repayment-free capital that is available from third-parties, financing refers to borrowed capital including loans, bonds, and other cost- sharing mechanisms that ultimately require the borrower to pay back the capital in full (typically with interest), and revenue generation creates capital from new charges, fees, or taxes. In some cases, revenue generation includes capturing cost savings that accrue from the project. Funding, financing, and revenue generation are often used together to implement major capital projects. While funding can support a capital project as a stand-alone mechanism, financing usually requires identifying a funding or revenue stream that will be used to repay borrowed capital or to maintain at a certain level or quality.

CITY FUNDING PLANS

To implement the Climate Action Plan, the City is building out the policies and processes needed to guide, monitor, and adequately resource each department tasked with leading CAP actions. As noted previously, last year the City revised its policy on capital investments to prioritize projects that further the Climate Action Plan. Each department tasked with leading the implementation of a CAP action must now develop an annual work plan in advance of budget discussions. The new Council Policy on CAP Prioritization will help decisionmakers strategically support CAP implementation through the annual budget process.

With these new processes in place and continued refinement to the cost estimates attached to this document, the City is well equipped to continuously quantify funding needs, strategically allocate funding, and seek additional resources to cover the gaps. Significant work is also underway to pinpoint the scope and potential cost of CAP implementation items such as retrofits to existing City facilities.

OVERVIEW OF FUNDING STRATEGIES ALREADY IN PLACE OR IN PROCESS:

- Organized intra-City and regional working groups and staffing up grants management to maximize IIJA/IRA funding with specific examples of upcoming opportunities.
- kinds of projects could be facilitated by that mechanism.
- Commitment to piloting new tech/strategies.
- Innovative contracting via RFP process.
- Public-Private Partnerships such as the microgrid financing strategy
- Loans for low-income households for PV installations.
- Climate Equity Fund.
- Rebate program promotion.



Power Purchase Agreements on current microgrid projects, plans for ESCO partnership in progress and what

DRAFT - 03/08/2023

Funding/Financial Mechanism	Pros	Cons
GRANTS can provide a substantial source of repayment-free capital, if cities have the staff capacity to invest in grant management. Grants make the most sense for cities with the necessary staff capacity (1-2 full-time equivalents, either internal or external experts) to track grant opportunities, craft meaningful proposals that link to the goals and mission of the donors, submit applications, and track results required for ongoing reporting. For the City of San Diego, the Sustainability and Mobility Department is well placed to track and apply for grants.	 Grants do not have to be repaid Grants can support purchases that enable cities to be the sole owner and operator of a project, and maintain city control over project details Grants can generate positive attention for climate action projects and lend credibility and prestige when awarded by national institutions, helping promote the City's climate leadership and innovative projects 	 Grants are often awarded on a competitive Due to the competitive nature, significant ef spent applying for grants is not always rewa Grants from federal, state, and other govern spent on, as well as onerous reporting requ In most cases, grants provide a non-sustain with uncertain outcomes Grants can sometimes come with "match" reproject budget, with the grant covering the report of the second se
PARTNERSHIPS often tap resources, and secure capital, from non-governmental and corporate actors, which can spread the financial risk of a project across multiple public, private, and/ or nonprofit entities. Partnerships allow the City to share ownership of a CAP implementation project, while also sharing possible cost savings and revenue generation with a third party. Examples of partnerships include Energy Savings Performance Contracts (ESCOS), Sponsorships, Public-Private Partnerships, and Power Purchase Agreements.	 Private partners can expedite project design, initial implementation, and ongoing management Partnerships allow the City to leverage private sector expertise to spark innovation, and better design, build, and manage projects Partnerships can enable the City to capture tax incentives and other private-market benefits Partnerships may facilitate full funding on an initiative by a private entity 	 The City may not have ultimate ownership of The City may not have access to cost saving. Negotiations can be complex, lengthy, and operational speeds, with public parties prior decision making and maximizing efficiency a Partnerships are less transparent than budge
LOANS give cities access to upfront capital, whose principal and interest must be repaid over the duration of the loan. While the City should first consider grants and private partners that can provide repayment-free capital, when those pathways are unavailable loans are a dependable alternative. In many cases, municipal borrowers and impact-driven projects can find financing with low-interest rates.	 Loans provide upfront capital on short notice with predictable terms and contracts Loans help spread the cost of a project across the useful life of the asset, and thus allocate costs to current and future users 	 Loans add debt to the balance sheet Lenders may have stipulations on what the Private investor and bank loans are usuall Loans are less transparent than budget, be disclosure
BONDS provide dependable, predictable financing for cities looking to capitalize large infrastructure projects ranging from the millions to billions of dollars. A city can issue a bond directly or apply for funds from a state bonding program. These bonds can be backed either by general city funds, or specific revenue sources. There are multiple types of bond structures including general obligation, revenue, and conduit bonds, as well as certifications like "green" bonds for climate and sustainability that communicate what types of projects bond proceeds are being used for.	 Bonds enable cities to borrow large amounts of up-front capital with fixed low-interest rates and long repayment periods Bonds spread out costs over useful life of project, which can be decades, and allocate costs to current and future users of the project Tax-exempt municipal bonds can attract capital from high-net-worth investors, especially local wealthy individuals and families who benefit from tax deductions on bond's interest if they live in San Diego or California 	 Issuing general obligation bonds requires v Bonds cannot be repaid through cost saving revenues coming from a project or from reating revenues coming from a project or from reating revenues from support the bond. When combined with an City bond ratings affect the interest rates of interest rates on their bonds. This can pose flooding, fires and other climate threats tha ratings.
BUDGET refers to using money in the City's general fund to capitalize projects. Every year cities collect tax revenue and other fees to populate their general funds, portions of which are appropriated to new capital projects and infrastructure investments. As the inability of city budgets to cover the expansive list of new costly climate projects in CAPs is a primary motivation for this project, financial mechanisms beyond budget must begin covering a larger share of the load, and other financial mechanisms should be fully explored before cities turn to budget funding. There are also opportunities for climate action to take higher priority in cities' budgeting processes and for city budgets to fund appropriate climate-related expenditures. If using city budget is an option, well-suited projects tend to have total costs that are small enough to fit into 1 to 3 years of the city's budget, and/or have costs incurred in a dispersed manner, ideally evenly distributed over a number of years or decades, like the costs of staffing for a new program.	 City budget funds are available immediately, and thus can respond to pressing time-sensitive funding needs City budget funds come with few restrictions, and can be tailored to match project needs exactly Funding from the city budget does not increase debt burden, and frees up future budget that would otherwise be spent servicing debt payments with interest Budget funding utilizes existing contractual relationships, and does not require creating new partnerships or entering into new legal arrangements 	 The amount of funding available each year i budget for the year. Similarly, it can take decades to accumulate do save portions of the budget for several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several of the budget for several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project, cities can end up paying more for the several y project.
TAXES AND FEES , as well as cost savings and other revenues, can create flows of capital to fund climate action. Most often, however, ongoing revenue generation is not earmarked for a particular project and accumulated in a savings account. Rather, new revenue flows are funneled into cities' general funds, or leveraged through financing, as is the case with revenue bonds. Revenue generation via taxes and fees makes sense for cities that have not significantly raised taxes or fees on residents in the past year or two, for projects that do not need immediate upfront capital, or for cities pursuing a revenue bond that needs a source of project-based revenues.	 Taxes and fees provide cities with stable sources of ongoing revenue that can provide consistency and budget flexibility for decades With adequate political support and restrictive legislation, revenues from taxes and fees can be set aside to create funds for very specific purposes, with revenues generated from specific stakeholder groups 	 Taxes and fees require significant political c There may be state-level regulation affecting Certain tax structures can be regressive, pla taken to avoid exacerbating existing inequit Revenues generated from specific taxes and behavior, which can create last minute budge

/e basis

- effort and staff time is typically required for grant applications; effort warded
- ernment sources tend to have strict limitations on what funds can be quirements
- inable source of funding; reapplication is often required to renew funds

" requirements, where the grantee has to come up with ~10-50% of the ne rest

- o of the project, and may lose operational control
- ngs or revenue generated from the project
- d difficult, and tensions can arise between parties given their divergent ioritizing safety and durability, and private partners preferring quick y and profits.
- dget, bonds, and other mechanisms

the borrowed capital can be spent on (assets vs. wages, etc.) ally offered with higher interest rates than municipal bonds bonds, and grants, unless cities pursue extraordinary levels of

s voter approval which can make passage of a bond difficult/uncertain ngs from a project, they must be repaid through additional topline eallocated funds within the municipal budget.

m the installation or operation of a project, those revenues can be used to an Energy Savings Performance Contract, this is called a Morris Model Bond. of municipal bonds, with poorly rated cities having to pay higher se a challenge to lower income cities, and in cities that face frequent nat threaten financial solvency — as these factors can depress city bond

r is limited, so large projects can exhaust an agency's entire capital

te enough to pay upfront costs of major infrastructure projects. If cities I years in order to have enough capital to cover the upfront costs of a the project due to inflation.

l capital and community support to implement

- ing which tax and fee structures a city can use
- placing a higher burden on low-income communities; care needs to be uities
- nd fees can fluctuate based on economic conditions and personal idget shortfalls

How to Read the Climate Action Implementation Plan



How to Read: Implementation Summary Charts

Strategy #: Title



- MEASURING SUCCESS -

> This section lists indicators of progress towards achieving the targets that the City will report on every year. These were not identified in the 2022 CAP and are new to this plan.

How to Read: Detailed Implementation Tables

Measure #: Title

	P ACTION TYPE CITY LEAD PARTNERSHIPS ESTIMATED COSTS TO IMPLEMENT NEW AND EXPANDED ACTIVITIES							CTATUS	COUNCIL POLICY		
CAP ACTION	IYPE	[SUPPOR	T]	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE	
Implementation category is ide	ntified here (Prelimin	ary, Foundatio	nal, Next, or Other)								
Full text of 2022 CAP action and supporting action shown here, followed by its identification number.	Full text of 2022 CAPGeneral type of implementationCity department responsibleaction and supporting action shown here, followed by itsactivity to be performed, suchfor leading implementation,			expanded po CAP actions of funding need incurred by th needs include and utilities, i Costs to deve ordinances, a This report do and benefits could be achi	rtion of existin luring fiscal yea s represent the ne City governr e estimates for nformation teo lop and execut nd conduct ed bes not include to the City and eved over time	ling needs for n g programs req ars (FY) 2024-28 e known increm ment over these capital expend chnology, suppl te project and p ucation and our e a benefit-cost to San Diego re e due to implem w or expanded	uired to impler 5. These new ar nental costs ant e first five fiscal itures, contract ies, and salary programs, deve treach activities analysis to con esidents and bu	ment 2022 ad expanded cicipated to be years. Funding cs, energy and fringe. lop and adopt s are included. sider the costs usinesses that e 2022 CAP.	Status of implementation as reported by the lead or supporting City departments (e.g., in progress, ongoing, not started).	Prioritization score on a scale of 0 to 100 determined using the Council Prioritization Policy methodology for ranking action and supporting actions based on weighting the measures developed for each action and supporting action during CAP development. [Note to reviewe current version of CAIP include placeholder values; actual score to be included in future version upon adoption of the CP throu administrative updates to the Implementation Plan]	
 Additional characteristics of supporting action are show icons; see legend below for Community Identified Actions and supporting action the community during 2022 have a "Community Identified" Contingent On Actions and supporting actina contingent relationship – vimplementation of one is de implementation of another with a Fast Forward icon. The contingent actions have the border shape. In Conjunction With Actions and supporting actinate implemented at the sam conjunction with each other 	n here using details. ons identified by CAP development ed" tag. ons with where ependent on prior – are identified he icons for e same color and ons that should e time – in	IT SuMo DREAM DSD ESD E&CP EDD	Acronyms Information Technology Sustainability & Mobility Real Estate Development Services Environmental Services Engineering and Capital Proj Economic Development Purchasing and Contracting	Non-profit Or Private Sector	Agencies or Entities based Organiza ganizations	ations or					

Decarbonization of the Built Environment

CV

STRATE



Strategy 1: Decarbonization of the Built Environment



- > Annual metered natural gas consumption from residential and non-residential accounts (total and percent change compared to CAP baseline year)
- Number of all-electric residential units (approved and built)
- > Area (square feet) of all-electric commercial projects (approved and built)

*Strategy 1 Supporting Actions are included in the detailed implementation tables for Strategy 1 on the following pages.

Annual metered natural gas consumption from municipal accounts (total and percent change compared to CAP baseline year)

> 15 DRAFT - 03/08/2023

Measure 1.1 Decarbonize Existing Buildings*

		TVDE	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP			COUNCIL POLICY		
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
▶ Prelimi	inary										
	Complete an analysis of the City's building and housing stock to identify policy opportunities for existing building decarbonization. 1.1 SA-1	Study/ Analysis	SuMo (DSD)	17.571	No estimated	d costs are provid	led because new anticipated	or expanded ad	ctivities are not	In progress – awaiting final report	#
• Founda	ational										
	Develop a comprehensive roadmap to achieve decarbonization of the existing building stock including, programs, regulatory and incentive tools that includes extensive engagement and utilization of a shared- decision making model with Communities of Concern. 1.1a	Planning Action	SuMo (DSD)		\$245,000	\$95,000	\$57,000	\$38,000	\$38,000	In progress – initial RFP to be released late 2023	#
▶ Next											
	Develop a Building Performance Standards (BPS) policy. 1.1b	Planning Action	SuMo		\$38,000	\$57,000	\$38,000	\$19,000	\$0	In progress	#
► Other		1				1	1				
,	Update the Building Energy Benchmarking Ordinance to expand enforcement and compliance. 1.1 SA-2	Code Change	SuMo		\$93,000	\$96,000	\$98,000	\$101,000	\$104,000	Annual benchmarking program underway Vendor proposals for Benchmarking Ordinance support are currently being evaluated	#
	Explore opportunities to increase onsite water reuse and irrigation for buildings as part of overall building decarbonization roadmap. 1.1 SA-3	Code Change	Public Utilities	<u>ش</u>	\$0	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$0	Ongoing	#
•	Prioritize cool roofs when feasible to implement Climate Resilient SD in energy efficiency building code update. 1.1 SA-4	Code Change	SuMo (DSD)	É m	No estimatec	d costs are provid	led because new anticipated	or expanded ad	ctivities are not	Not started	#
•	Identify funding sources, including SDCP and SDGE, for advancing residential weatherization projects, appliance exchanges and broad building retrofits in Communities of Concern. 1.1 SA-5	ldentify Funding	SuMo		\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	Not started	#
	Expand residential Photovoltaic deployment incentives/ programs. 1.1 SA-6	Identify Funding	SuMo (DSD, Planning)	1	\$0	\$37,000	\$38,000	\$38,000	\$38,000	Ongoing, taking account of CPUC cuts to rooftop PV incentives	#
	Develop programs to promote energy efficiency and load management technologies with an emphasis in Communities of Concern. 1.1 SA-7	Partnerships	SuMo (Public Utilities)	m 🖻 🐝	\$0	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	Ongoing	#

*Estimated costs of Strategy 1 (Decarbonization of the Built Environment) are not fully included in the current ICA.

CON IDE

CON

Measure 1.2 Decarbonize New Building Development*

	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	LEMENT NEW A		D ACTIVITIES	STATUS	COUNCIL POLICY PRIORITIZATION
		ITPE	[SUPPORT]	PARINERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	SCORE
	▶ Foundational										
	Develop and adopt a Building Electrification policy, through code update or other mechanism, requiring new residential and commercial buildings to eliminate the use of natural gas, increase energy efficiency, increase distributed energy generation and storage and increase EV charging stations, engaging with residents of Communities of Concern, workers and builders. 1.2a	Code Change	SuMo	<u>ш</u> 🔁 🐲	No estimate	ed costs are provic	led because new anticipated	or expanded acti	ivities are not	Expecting to bring code updates to hearing Spring 2023	#
	▶ Next										
1MUNITY ENTIFIED	Establish policies that incentivize developers to use less GHG intensive materials and practices (EVs, Low-Carbon concrete, recycled materials, etc) including mass timber and modular construction. 1.2 SA-3	Code Change (Development Services)	SuMo (DSD)	<u>i</u> 🕞 🐝	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	Not started	#
	▶ Other			· ·		1		1			
MUNITY ENTIFIED	Prioritize cool roofs when feasible to implement Climate Resilient SD in energy efficiency building code update. 1.2 SA-1	Code Change	SuMo (DSD)		\$19,000	\$19,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	Not started	#
	Support new regional policies for alternative systems that can be used to replace existing heating and cooling air systems and water systems. 1.2 SA-2	Partnerships	SuMo	<u>ш</u>	\$24,000	\$27,000	\$26,000	\$24,000	\$3,000	Ongoing	#

17

Measure 1.3 Decarbonize City Facilities*

STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
Complete	#
In progress – completion of Fossil Fuel Eliminations Plans estimated in 2024	#
Complete - all City projects must meet ZEMBOP as of February 2023 Ongoing implementation of projects	#
Complete - all City projects must meet ZEMBOP as of February 2023 Ongoing implementation of projects	#
In progress	#
In progress	#
Not started	#
	COUNCIL POLIC
STATUS	PRIORITIZATIOI SCORE
Not started	#
Ongoing	#
Fu proje Ong Droje	completion of Fossil uel Eliminations Plans estimated in 2024 Complete - all City ects must meet ZEMBOP as of February 2023 going implementation of projects Complete - all City ects must meet ZEMBOP as of February 2023 going implementation of projects In progress Not started STATUS Not started

*Estimated costs of Strategy 1 (Decarbonization of the Built Environment) are not fully included in the current ICA.

DRAFT - 03/08/2023

Access to Clean & Renewable Energy

STRATEGY 2





- Percentage of San Diego Community Power customers enrolled in Power100 Service Plan
- Percentage of all eligible customers enrolled in San Diego Community Power

> Percentage of municipal fleet vehicles that qualify as "Zero Emission Vehicles" within each of the following vehicle classifications: Cars; Light-Duty Vehicles; Medium-Duty Vehicles; Heavy-Duty Vehicles

20

Strategy 2: Access to Clean & Renewable Energy (continued)

- TARGETS -Increase Use of Electric Vehicles 2030 2035 16% of all miles traveled by Light-Duty 25% of all miles traveled by Light-Duty Vehicles are by electric vehicles Vehicles are by electric vehicles GHG reduction: 366,481 MT CO₂e GHG reduction: 667,458 MT CO₂e

MEASURES

		2.3 Increas	e Ele	ectric Vehicle Adoption
			AC	
 PRELIMINARY Set a goal for installation of public EV charging stations on city property to support EV adoption in CoCs; publish Request for Information to solicit public charging solutions. 2.3 SA-1 	•	FOUNDATIONAL • Develop a city-wide EV strategy to accelerate EV adoption focusing on barriers to ownership and charging for residents in CoCs. 2.3a	 	 NEXT Work with local businesses to expand EV charging stations on commercial point of the building code update to expand EV charging stations require mean non-residential properties. 2.3 SA-3 Amend the building code to require charging stations for electric bicycles. 2

OTHER

- Explore the development of a citywide policy for surplus land that cannot be used for housing to be considered for EV charging prior to review for sale or other dispensation. 2.3 SA-5
- Continue to work with the Air Pollution Control District, San Diego Unified School District, and other school districts to support conversion of the school bus fleet to zero emissions vehicles. 2.3 SA-6

• Work with SANDAG, APCD and MTS to procure a zero emissions bus fleet. 2.3 SA-7

Continue to work with SANDAG, APCD, U.S. Navy, the Port of San Diego and others on medium and heavy duty ZEV infrastructure planning; consider policies to advance medium and heavy duty vehicle adoption in Portside Communities, Border Communities, and other major logistics hubs. 2.3 SA-8

MEASURING SUCCESS

- Percentage of all privately-owned Cars and Light-Duty Vehicles Registered in the City that qualify as Battery Electric Vehicles, Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles, or Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles
- Number of stations and Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment ports, by type (Level 2 Charging, DC Fast Charging), by accessibility (public, private) installed at existing buildings/properties
- Number of stations and Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment ports, by type (Level 2 Charging, DC Fast Charging), by accessibility (public, private) included in new development (approved and built)
- > Modeled percentage of annual vehicle miles traveled (VMT) generated by all Cars and Light-Duty Vehicles from Battery Electric Vehicles, Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles, or Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles

l property. 2.3 SA-2 nents for multi-family and

2.3 SA-4

Measure 2.1 Citywide Renewable Energy Generation

COM IDE

COM IDE

		7/07	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IM	PLEMENT NEW	AND EXPAND	ED ACTIVITIES		
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
• Found	ational										
	Partner with SDCP to increase customer adoption of 100% renewable energy supply. 2.1a	Partnerships	SuMo	<u>i</u> (***)	No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
▶ Next			1	1							
	None.										
• Other			1								
	Partner with SDCP to incentivize local generation of renewable energy resources. 2.1b	Partnerships	SuMo	Ĺ	\$6,000	\$9,000	\$10,000	\$7,000	\$5,000	Ongoing	#
,	Develop financial support programs to incentivize solar on multifamily buildings, providing financial benefits to tenants and families within Communities of Concern. 2.1 SA-1	Partnerships	SuMo		No estimate	d costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
•	Develop financial support programs to incentivize deployment of building-scale renewables and mandate the use of renewables through building codes, while engaging residents and other stakeholders in the process. 2.1 SA-2	Code Change	SuMo		No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Increase renewable generation at non-residential developments through new policies or incentive programs. 2.1 SA-3	Code Change	SuMo (Public Utilities)		No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Update land use code to include energy storage and other distributed energy technologies to facilitate local renewable energy resource deployment. 2.1 SA-4	Code Change	SuMo		No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Complete	#
	Deploy advanced renewable energy technologies (e.g. battery energy storage systems, microgrids, etc.) at municipal facilities to demonstrate feasibility. 2.1 SA-5	ldentify Funding	SuMo (DSD, IT)	S	No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing – Expected to be complete 2024	#
	Leverage municipal facilities to establish community solar and microgrid solutions when tariffs allow. 2.1 SA-6	Planning Action	SuMo (IT, General Services)	m 🗢	No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Currently assessing appropriate sites	#
	Explore partnerships for a trade-in program that makes it possible for small landscape owners to transition to electric equipment. 2.1 SA-7	Partnerships	SuMo	m	No estimate	ed costs are prov	ided because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing; CARB-funded rebate program is in place	#

Measure 2.2 Increase Municipal Zero Emission Vehicles

		CITY LEAD		ESTIMATE	D COSTS TO I	MPLEMENT NEW	AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES		COUNCIL POLICY
CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
▶ Preliminary										
Conduct City fleet electrification feasibility study to determine best siting, funding needs, and strategies including specific strategies for Chollas Operations Yard. 2.2 SA-1	Planning Action	General Services (SuMo)		No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	tivities are not	Feasibility study task order currently underway, including other City working yards	#
▶ Foundational										
Develop a City Fleet Vehicle Replacement and Electrification strategy consistent with the Municipal Energy Implementation Plan and state requirements for municipal electrification. 2.2a	Planning Action	General Services (SuMo)		No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	tivities are not	EV Roadmap task order currently underway Fleet charging plans from asset managing department due 1/1/2024	#
▶ Next										
Create standards for the City's purchase of fuel for fleet vehicles that contains the lowest levels of lifecycle GHG emissions available. 2.2 SA-6	Policy Change	"General Services (SuMo)		No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	tivities are not	Will be revisited after completion of Fleet Electrification Plan	#
Update municipal parking yard electric infrastructure to support electric vehicle charging needs. 2.2 SA-5	ldentify Funding	General Services (SuMo, E&CP)		No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	tivities are not	Consultant task order to address municipal electric infrastructure needs in place \$50M FY24 requested, \$200M in five year budget	#
Seek partnerships with SDCP, SDG&E and others to install charging infrastructure for all vehicle types. 2.2 SA-2	Planning Action	SuMo	<u>í</u>	No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	tivities are not	Ongoing	#
▶ Other	1	1								
Include stated preference for 100% renewable energy on publically available chargers on municipal land. 2.2 SA-3	Policy Change	SuMo		No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	tivities are not	Not started	#
Update AR35.80 to include EV vehicles to the list of preferred purchases. 2.2 SA-4	Policy Change	ESD (General Services)		\$14,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Complete – addressed in AR 30.20	#
Explore pilot projects for a variety of grid resilience services (demand response, emergency back-up, demand charge reduction, etc.) through three modes of EV integration (Grid-to-Vehicle, Vehicle-to-Building, Vehicle-to-Grid). 2.2 SA-7	Partnerships	SuMo (General Services)	Ś	No estimat	ted costs are pr	ovided because ne anticipated	w or expanded act	ivities are not	Ongoing	#

Measure 2.3 Increase Electric Vehicle Adoption

			CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	LEMENT NEW A		O ACTIVITIES		COUNCIL POLICY
	CAP ACTION	ΤΥΡΕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
	Preliminary										
MUNITY NTIFIED	Set a goal for installation of public EV charging stations on city property to support EV adoption in Communities of Concern. Initiate process with publication of a Request for Information (RFI) to solicit public charging solutions. 2.3 SA-1	Plan Implementation	SuMo (DREAM)		\$219,000	\$420,000	\$420,000	\$421,000	\$422,000	RFI Complete RFP pending Spring 2023	#
	▶ Foundational										
MUNITY NTIFIED	Develop a city-wide electric vehicle strategy to accelerate EV adoption, including flexible fleets, circulators, and electric bicycles, focusing on the barriers to ownership and charging for residents within the communities of concern. 2.3a	Planning Action	SuMo		No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Strategy development in progress	#
	▶ Next										
	Work with local businesses to expand EV charging stations on commercial property. 2.3 SA-2	Plan Implementation	SuMo (EDD)		No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing	#
MUNITY	Amend the building code to expand EV charging stations requirements for multi-family and non-residential properties. 2.3 SA-3	Code Change	SuMo (DSD)		No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Expecting to bring code updates to hearing Spring 2023	#
	Amend the building code to require charging stations for electric bicycles. 2.3 SA-4	Code Change	Planning (DSD)		No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Complete	#
	▶ Other										
	Explore the development of a citywide policy for surplus land that cannot be used for housing or housing related uses to be considered for EV charging sites prior to review for sale or other dispensation. 2.3 SA-5	Policy Change	DREAM (SuMo)		\$19,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,000	Not started	#
	Continue to work with the Air Pollution Control District (APCD), San Diego Unified School District and other school districts serving the City to support the conversion of the school bus fleet to zero emissions vehicles. 2.3 SA-6	Partnerships	SuMo	<u>ش</u>	No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	SDUSD currently purchasing limited EVs	#
	Work with SANDAG, APCD and MTS to procure a fully zero emissions bus fleet. 2.3 SA-7	Partnerships	SuMo	m	No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing	#
	Continue to work with SANDAG, APCD, U.S. Navy, the Port of San Diego and other partners on medium and heavy duty (MD/HD) ZEV infrastructure planning. Consider future policies to advance MD/HD ZEV adoption and utilization in the Portside Communities, Border Communities, and other major logistics hubs. 2.3 SA-8	Partnerships	SuMo (Planning)	<u>ش</u>	No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing	#

STRATEGY 3: Mobility & Land Use



TARGETS —



MEASURES -

3.1 Safe and Enjoyable Routes for Pedestrians and Cyclists

ACTIONS -NEXT FOUNDATIONAL Amend Council Policy 800-14 to prioritize CAP implementation with a greater investment in CoCs, repurposing public right of ▶ Install pedestrian orientated streetlights in CoCs. 3.1 SA-5 > Update Bicycle Master Plan to reflect facility designation best way for Class IV bike facilities, coordinating with SANDAG Early practices, community plans, proposed regional connections, ▶ Implement the Bicycle Master Plan and community plan bike networks with a "Class IV First" approach. 3.1c Action Plan bike projects, and improving biking and walking constraints, opportunities, and implementation strategies. 3.1b access for people of all ages and abilities. 3.1 SA-19 • Complete and implement the Mobility Master Plan to ensure City infrastructure adequately supports CAP goals. 3.1 SA-7 • Develop a Mobility Master Plan. 3.1d • Create a auick build policy and design guidelines to repurpose • Examine proposed bike and pedestrian projects and use "quick-build" pathways where appropriate to increase financial • Update street planning and design process to prioritize right-of-way or install interim or pilot projects for bicycles, ADA viability. 3.1 SA-9 pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit with a focus on input from accessibility, or pedestrians. 3.1 SA-8 Include audible pedestrian signals at all signal-controlled crosswalks. 3.1 SA-14 CoCs. 3.1 SA-13 Install audible wayfinding beacons at complicated intersections and sign locations. 3.1 SA-15 **OTHER** • Include policies and programs to increase bicycle storage near • Update special events permits to prioritize transit, walking, and new bikeways in the Bicycle Master Plan update. 3.1 SA-6 bicycling. 3.1 SA-18 Adopt City portions of SANDAG's first mile/last mile initiative and incorporate Safe Routes to Transit strategies in Transit • Use the City's Pedestrian Master Plan and sidewalk assessment • Evaluate existing and future fee structures to increase the Priority Areas. 3.1 SA-1 to identify and address gaps in the pedestrian network and priority of active transportation project implementation, opportunities for improved pedestrian crossing. 3.1 SA-10 focusing on CoCs; increase efforts to fund active transportation Increase education campaigns to improve motorist behavior so project planning and implementation with grants. **3.1e** Incorporate trees and additional cooling features at parks, right-of-way is safer for bicyclists and pedestrians. 3.1 SA-3 • Explore fee structures to increase cost savings for shared focusing on CoCs. 3.1 SA-11 • Develop Safe Routes to Schools safety plans; start a program transportation network company (TNC) trips relative to private focusing on safe routes to school for CoCs and underperforming • Include shade structures on building frontages in pedestrian TNC trips. 3.1 SA-20 thoroughfares, with preference given to natural shade up to schools. 3.1a • Review and improve flexible fleets and micro-mobility policies/ five feet. 3.1 SA-12 ▶ Partner with public safety to review and reform education shared use mobility programs, focusing on CoCs and first/last ▶ Increase number of trash and recycling receptacles in programs and policies related to pedestrian and traffic safety. mile applications. 3.1f

pedestrian corridors and Transit Priority Areas. 3.1 SA-16

corridors. 3.1 SA-17

• Partner with Microbility Operators to optimize scooter Implement Assembly Bill 43 to reduce speed limits in select availability in mobility hubs and/or near transit. 3.1g

- MEASURING SUCCESS —

Miles of new and repaired sidewalks (programmed and completed)

Amend the code and street design manual to include standards

for pedestrian orientated street lighting in neighborhoods and

3.1 SA-2

alleyways. 3.1 SA-4

- ▶ Miles of new bikeways completed, by classification (Class I-IV) (programmed and completed)
- completed by walking and biking

- Implement the City's San Diego River Park Master Plan to increase mobility . 3.1 SA-21
- Where roadway widenings are otherwise planned, identify opportunities to repurpose the use of the right-of-way for walking, rolling, biking, and transit modes of travel. 3.1 SA-22
- Ensure Capital Improvement Projects comply with all applicable landscape requirements in the Land Development Code. 3.1 SA-23
- Engage communities during the planning of community plan updates, multimodal corridor plans, and active transportation plans to better accommodate all users of the right-of-way, focusing on improved safety for vulnerable users. 3.1 SA-24

▶ Modeled percentage of average weekday trips taken by City residents that are

Strategy 3: Mobility & Land Use (continued)



MEASURES

3.2 Increase Safe, Convenient, and Enjoyable Transit Use

ACTIONS -

PRELIMINARY

Identify transit stops where upgrades are needed, especially in Communities of Concern, and streamline implementation of upgrades to high priority transit stops. 3.2 SA-3

FOUNDATIONAL

• Ensure every high-volume transit stop has access to transit shelters, which include shade structures and benches; work with MTS to establish a standard for the provision of bus shelters in the city (e.g., minimum accommodations) with a priority in Communities of Concern. 3.2c

- > Partner with MTS for priority right of way for buses and trolley in roadway corridors and at intersections. 3.2 SA-6
- **NEXT**
- Implement projects and update the Placemaking Ordinance, including a street furniture program that reduces heat exposure, prioritizes natural shade solutions, provides cool transit stops, and improves access to nearby restrooms in high transit use areas and pedestrian corridors, prioritizing Communities of Concern. 3.2d

OTHER

- Advocate for a permanent, regional Youth Opportunity Pass and support the expansion of the program to include college students and residents in Communities of Concern. 3.2a
- Create a quick build policy and design guidelines to facilitate repurposing of the rightof-way or installation of installation of interim or pilot transit projects. 3.2b
- > Develop dedicated bus lanes or shared bus and bike lanes to increase transit efficiency and on-time performance, focusing on routes supporting residents within underserved communities and high-frequency connections for riders to schools and universities and jobs. 3.2e
- Facilitate partnerships with universities and colleges with goal of student walk/ride/ transit use well-above citywide goals. 3.2 SA-1
- Create programs and incentives for transit passes bundled with all new major developments within one mile of a major transit stop. 3.2 SA-2
- Support MTS, SANDAG and Caltrans in the creation of transit right-of-way for regional transit connections. 3.2 SA-4
- Prioritize and assist MTS with siting and design on complete transit stops in CoC, including shade trees, lighting, trash bins. 3.2 SA-5

MEASURING SUCCESS -

- Miles of dedicated bus lanes, shared bus-bike lanes (programmed and completed)
- > Annual bus and rail transit boardings in the City (total and percent change)
- ▶ Modeled percentage of average weekday trips taken by City residents that are completed using public transit

TARGETS -



Strategy 3: Mobility & Land Use (continued)



- > Amount of non-residential square footage required to include mandatory Transportation Demand Management (TDM) regulations, including provisions related to remote work (approved and built)
- > Modeled citywide vehicle miles traveled (compared to Business As Usual Assumption for citywide vehicle miles traveled for the same year as reported in the CAP)

•	EXT one										

28

Strategy 3: Mobility & Land Use (continued)



Non-residential square footage within Transit Priority Areas (TPAs)/ Sustainable Development Areas (SDAs) (approved and built)

Measure 3.1 Safe and Enjoyable Routes for Pedestrians and Cyclists

	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS		COSTS TO IMP		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	STATUS	COUNCIL POLICY PRIORITIZATION
	CAPACITON	ITPE	[SUPPORT]		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	SCORE
Found	lational										
	Update Bicycle Master Plan with current best practices for facility designation, reflecting recent community plan updates and proposed regional connections. Also describing existing constraints, opportunities, and implementation strategies. 3.1b	Planning Action	SuMo (Transportation)		\$403,346	\$403,346	\$0	\$0	\$0	In progress	#
	Develop a Mobility Master Plan to reduce mobile sources emissions and further a shift in mode. 3.1d	Planning Action	SuMo	1000	No estimated	costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded ad	ctivities are not	In progress - draft anticipated Summer 2023	#
	Update street planning and design process with a focus on community input from Communities of Concern to prioritize pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit. 3.1 SA-13	Code Change	SuMo (ECP, Planning, Transportation)		\$413,000	\$46,000	\$47,000	\$48,000	\$48,000	Ongoing	#
	Amend Council Policy 800-14 to prioritize CAP implementation with a greater investment in Communities of Concern, repurposing of the public right of way to include Class IV Bike Facilities, coordinate with SANDAG Early Action Plan bike projects, and improved accessibility for walking/rolling for all ages and abilities. 3.1 SA-19	Policy Change	SuMo (Transportation)		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	☑ Complete	#
	Create a quick build policy and design guidelines to facilitate repurposing of the right-of-way or installation of interim or pilot bicycle, ADA accessibility, or pedestrian projects. 3.1 SA-8	Policy Change	SuMo (Transportation, DSD, Planning, E&CP)		\$59,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	In progress: grant received in February 2023	#
▶ Next											
	Install pedestrian orientated streetlights for increased safety and comfort in Communities of Concern. 3.1 SA-5	Identify Funding	Transportation (Utilities Undergrounding, E&CP)		\$14,000	\$14,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	Not started – installations to follow Street Design Manual update	#
	Implement the City's Bicycle Master Plan and community plan bicycle networks with a Class IV First approach. 3.1c	Plan Implementation	Transportation (E&CP)		No estimated	costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded ad	tivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Complete and implement the Mobility Master Plan to ensure City infrastructure can adequately support the goals of the Climate Action Plan. 3.1 SA-7	Planning Action	SuMo		No estimated	costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded ac	tivities are not	In progress - draft anticipated Summer 2023	#
	Examine proposed bike and pedestrian projects and use "quick-build" pathways where appropriate to increase financial viability. 3.1 SA-9	Planning Action	Transportation (SuMo)		\$19,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,000	Ongoing	#

			CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	соѕтѕ то імр		AND EXPANDE			
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Include audible pedestrian signals at all signal- controlled crosswalks. 3.1 SA-14	Policy Change	Transportation		\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Draft policy change under review	#
	Install audible wayfinding beacons at complicated intersections and sign locations. 3.1 SA-15	Policy Change	Transportation		\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Ongoing	#
	Other		<u> </u>		<u> </u>]			
	Adopt City portions of SANDAG's forthcoming first mile/last mile initiative and incorporate Safe Routes to Transit strategies in Transit Priority Areas. 3.1 SA-1	Code Change	Planning (SuMo)	Ĺ	\$116,000	\$119,000	\$121,000	\$123,000	\$125,000	Ongoing	#
	Increase education campaigns to improve motorist behavior to result in a safer right-of-way for bicyclists and pedestrians. 3.1 SA-3	Planning Action	SuMo (Transportation, Police, Planning)		\$67,000	\$89,000	\$33,000	\$34,000	\$34,000	In progress	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Amend the code and street design manual to include standards for pedestrian oriented street lighting in neighborhoods and alleyways. 3.1 SA-4	Code Change	Transportation (SuMo)		\$19,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,000	In progress	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Develop Safe Routes to Schools safety plans; start a San Diego Safe Routes to Schools program focusing on Communities of Concern and underperforming schools. 3.1a	Partnerships	SuMo (Transportation)	Ĺ	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$20,000	In progress, grant funding should be prioritized	#
	Partner with public safety to review and reform education programs and enforcement policies related to pedestrian and traffic safety. 3.1 SA-2	Outreach and Education	SuMo (Police, Transportation)	<u>ش</u>	No estimated	costs are provic	ed because new anticipated	or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Identify and address gaps in the City's pedestrian network and opportunities for improved pedestrian crossing, using the City's Pedestrian Master Plan and the City's sidewalk assessment. 3.1 SA-10	Plan Implementation	Transportation (SuMo)	Ĺ	\$54,000	\$56,000	\$57,000	\$58,000	\$60,000	Ongoing	#
	Include in Bicycle Master Plan update policies and programs to increase bicycle storage near new bikeways. 3.1 SA-6	Planning Action	SuMo (Transportation)		\$19,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,000	In progress	#
	Incorporate trees and additional cooling features such as innovative shade designs, water features, and cooling centers at parks, with a concentration in Communities of Concern. 3.1 SA-11	Policy Change	Parks & Recreation	Ĺ	\$142,000	\$146,000	\$150,000	\$154,000	\$158,000	Ongoing	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Include shade structures on building frontages in pedestrian thoroughfares, with preference given to natural shade up to five feet. 3.1 SA-12	Code Change	Planning (DSD)		No estimated	costs are provic	ed because new anticipated	or expanded a	ctivities are not	C omplete	#
	Increase number of trash and recycling receptacles in pedestrian corridors/Transit Priority Areas. 3.1 SA-16	Identify Funding	ESD (EDD)	<u></u>	\$21,000	\$22,000	\$22,000	\$23,000	\$24,000	In progress	#

	T ()	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	соѕтѕ то імр		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES		
CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
blement Assembly Bill 43 to reduce speed limits in ect corridors. 3.1 SA-17	Planning Action	Transportation		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	In progress	#
date City special events permits to prioritize transit, lking, and bicycling. 3.1 SA-18	Policy Change	SuMo (Special Events, DSD)		No estimated	costs are provic	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	In progress	#
e City will evaluate existing and future fee uctures to increase the priority of active nsportation project implementation, especially hin Communities of Concern, and the City will rease its efforts to identify and pursue grant ids for the planning and implementation of active nsportation projects. 3.1e	Study/Analysis/ Funding Strategy	SuMo (Planning)		No estimated	costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
blore fee structure/incentive program to increase at savings for shared transportation network npany (TNC) trips relative to private TNC trips. SA-20	Code Change	SuMo		No estimated	costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Not started	#
view and improve flexible fleets and micro-mobility icies/shared use mobility programs, especially used in Communities of Concern and first mile/last e applications. 3.1f	Policy Change	SuMo	S	No estimated	costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
tner with Microbility Operators to optimize the mber of scooters available in mobility hubs and/or ar transit. 3.1g	Partnerships	SuMo		No estimated	costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
plement the City's San Diego River Park Master Plan ncrease mobility. 3.1 SA-21	Plan Implementation	Parks & Rec		\$267,000	\$274,000	\$281,000	\$289,000	\$297,000	In progress	#
ere roadway widenings are otherwise planned, ntify opportunities to repurpose the use of the nt-of-way for walking, rolling, biking, and transit des of travel. 3.1 SA-22	Planning Action	Transportation (E&CP)		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Not started	#
sure that Capital Improvement Projects comply h all applicable landscape requirements in the nd Development Code. 3.1 SA-23	Plan Implementation	Transportation		No estimated	costs are provic	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
gage communities during the community plan dates and other multimodal corridors and ive transportation planning processes to better commodate all users of the right-of-way with an phasis on improving safety for vulnerable users. SA-24	Outreach and Education	Planning (SuMo)		No estimated	costs are provic	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing via Community Plan Updates	#

COMMUNI IDENTIFI

Measure 3.2 Increase Safe, Convenient, and Enjoyable Transit Use

		TVDE	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP		AND EXPANDE	O ACTIVITIES	CT ATUC	COUNCIL POLICY
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
	▶ Preliminary										
	Identify transit stops where upgrades are needed, especially in Communities of Concern, and streamline implementation of upgrades to high priority transit stops. 3.2 SA-3	Partnerships	SuMo (DSD, Transportation)	<u>ش</u>	No estimate	ed costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing via Community Plan Updates	#
	▶ Foundational	1									
Community Identified	Ensure every high-volume transit stop has access to transit shelters, which include shade structures and benches; work with MTS to establish a standard for the provision of bus shelters in the city (e.g., minimum accommodations) with a priority in Communities of Concern. 3.2c	Partnerships	SuMo	Ŵ	No estimate	ed costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	In progress - Updated MOU with MTS and SANDAG needed	#
	Partner with MTS for priority right of way for buses and trolley in roadway corridors and at intersections. 3.2 SA-6	Planning Action	Transportation (SuMo)	<u>ش</u>	No estimate	ed costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	In progress	#
	▶ Next	1		· · · ·							
Community Identified	Implement projects and update the Placemaking Ordinance, including a street furniture program that reduces heat exposure, prioritizes natural shade solutions, provides cool transit stops, and improves access to nearby restrooms in high transit use areas and pedestrian corridors, prioritizing Communities of Concern. 3.2d	Planning Action	SuMo (Planning)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$207,000	Ongoing implementation: Ordinance update not started	#
	▶ Other	1				1	1	1	1		
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Advocate for a permanent, regional Youth Opportunity Pass and support the expansion of the program to include college students and residents in Communities of Concern. 3.2a	Partnerships	SuMo	<u>ش</u>	No estimate	ed costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Not started	#
	Create a quick build policy and design guidelines to facilitate repurposing of the right-of-way or installation of installation of interim or pilot transit projects. 3.2b	Code Change	Transportation (SuMo, Planning)		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Not started	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Develop dedicated bus lanes or shared bus and bike lanes to increase transit efficiency and on-time performance, focusing on routes supporting residents within underserved communities and high-frequency connections for riders to schools and universities and jobs. 3.2e	Planning Action	Planning (SuMo)		\$72,000	\$73,000	\$74,000	\$76,000	\$77,000	Ongoing via Community Plan Updates	#

		ТУРГ	CITY LEAD			COSTS TO IMP		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
	Facilitate partnerships with universities and colleges with goal of student walk/ride/transit use well-above citywide goals. 3.2 SA-1	Partnerships	SuMo	İ	No estimated	d costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Not started	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Create programs and incentives for transit passes bundled with all new major developments within one mile of a major transit stop. 3.2 SA-2	Code Change	SuMo		No estimated	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Not started	#
	Support MTS, SANDAG and Caltrans in the creation of transit right-of-way for regional transit connections. 3.2 SA-4	Partnerships	SuMo	m	No estimated	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Ongoing	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Prioritize and assist MTS with siting and design on complete transit stops in CoC, including shade trees, lighting, trash bins. 3.2 SA-5	Partnerships	SuMo	Ĺ	No estimated	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Not started	#

Measure 3.3 Work From Anywhere

		TVDE	CITY LEAD	DADTNEDCUURC	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATIC SCORE
Prelin	ninary										
	Establish a team and roadmap to support actions that require connectivity and close the digital divide. 3.3c	Plan Implementation	IT		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	In progress	#
	Improve and expand data gathering and outreach in Communities of Concern to understand which residents need the most assistance to technology options, what the barriers are to remote work, and improved community's ability to access technology 3.3 SA-7	Plan Implementation	IT		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	Ongoing	#
Found	dational										
	Amend the Land Development Code to include mandatory transportation demand management (TDM) regulations citywide. 3.3a	Code Change	SuMo		No estimated	l costs are provic	led because new anticipated	or expanded ac	tivities are not	Not started	#
	Develop a City of San Diego employee TDM policy. 3.3b	Policy Change	SuMo (Human Resources)		No estimated	l costs are provic	led because new anticipated	or expanded ac	tivities are not	In progress	#
Next											
	Create a Digital Navigator support line to assist with basic technology issues and provide guidance on low income technology options. 3.3 SA-1	ldentify Funding	IT (EDD)		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	In progress	#
	Create a Digital Literacy program to educate residents, particularly in Low-to-Moderate Income (LMI) areas. 3.3 SA-2	ldentify Funding	lT (Library)		\$614,000	\$190,000	\$190,000	\$190,000	\$190,000	⊠ Complete	#
Other					1		1	1			
	Continue to operate a program to loan mobile hotspots and personal computers to residents. 3.3 SA-3	ldentify Funding	lT (Library)		\$1,575,000	\$1,042,000	\$1,042,000	\$1,042,000	\$1,042,000	Ongoing – program exists, continued funding needed	#
	Stand up Public WiFi access at City Libraries, Recreation facilities and various public areas in Low-to-Moderate Income (LMI) areas. 3.3 SA-4	Plan Implementation	IT (Library)	m	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	⊠ Complete	#
	Formalize a regional device refurbishment and distribution program. 3.3 SA-5	Partnerships	IT		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	Not started	#
	Work with local organizations to distribute refurbished devices previously used by the City to residents at low or no costs. 3.3 SA-6	Partnerships	IT	É	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	In progress	#

Measure 3.4 Reduce Traffic Congestion to Improve Air Quality

CAP ACTION	TYPE CITY LEAD PARTNERSHIP		DADTNEDCUUDC	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	PLEMENT NEW	AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	CT A THE	
CAP ACTION		[SUPPORT]	PARINERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
▶ Preliminary										
None.										
▶ Foundational		1			1	1				
Install traffic circles and roundabouts. 3.4a	Plan Implementation	Transportation (E&CP)		\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ongoing	#
Retime traffic signals to reduce vehicle fuel consumption through improving the flow of traffic. 3.4b	Plan Implementation	Transportation (E&CP)		No estimate	d costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Ongoing	#
▶ Next										
None.										
▶ Other		1			1		<u>I</u>	1		
Work with the Port of San Diego, SANDAG, and Caltrans to prepare a feasibility study to identify the best truck route to Tenth Avenue Marine Terminal and diversion, traffic calming and appropriate signage as included in the APCD's Community Emission Reduction Plan (CERP). 3.4 SA-1	Planning Action	SuMo	<u>ش</u>	No estimate	d costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	⊠ Complete	#
Work with communities to implement comprehensive solutions for the curb space, including implementation of timed parking, establishment of parking districts, and programming of the curb space for deliveries, ADA access and other passenger loading, and micro-mobility. 3.4 SA-2	Code Change	SuMo (Planning, Transportation)		\$2,000	\$50,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Ongoing	#
Measure 3.5 Climate-Focused Land Use

(

		TVDE	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	LEMENT NEW A	AND EXPANDE	O ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	COUNCIL POLICY
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
	▶ Foundational										
	Focus new development in areas that will allow residents, employees and visitors to safely, conveniently, and enjoyable travel as a pedestrian, or by biking, or transit, such as in Transit Priority Areas (TPAs), and areas of the City with the lowest amount of vehicular travel. 3.5b	Planning Action	Planning (SuMo)		No estimate	ed costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing via Community Plan Updates	#
	Plan for land uses that will allow existing residents, employees and visitors to more safely, conveniently and enjoyably travel as a pedestrian, biking, or transit. 3.5c	Planning Action	Planning (SuMo)	1960	No estimate	ed costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing: BlueprintSD anticipated in 2023	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Amend the General Plan Mobility Element to include a Complete Streets policy to enable safe, attractive and comfortable access so that pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists and transit users of all ages and abilities can safely travel within the public right-of-way. 3.5 SA-3	Code Change	Planning (SuMo)		No estimate	ed costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Blueprint SD development in progress	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Amend land development code regulations to require more efficient pedestrian access between existing and new development (e.g., between adjacent lots). 3.5 SA-4	Code Change	Planning (SuMo)		No estimate	ed costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Complete	#
	▶ Next										
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Focus on delivering new mixed-use development on sites, including vacant and underutilized lots, located near transit, such as in TPAs and areas of the City of San Diego with the lowest amount of vehicular travel. 3.5 SA-6	Planning Action	Planning		No estimate	ed costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing	#
	Maximize new development in areas located with safe, convenient and enjoyable access to transit. 3.5 SA-8	Planning Action	Planning		No estimate	ed costs are provi	ded because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing	#
	Support expansion of urban greenspace including park access, open space, and wildlife corridors where appropriate, along streets to encourage outdoor activity, walking, and increase pedestrian access to parks in Communities of Concern. 3.5 SA-9	Planning Action	Transportation (Planning)		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Ongoing	#
	▶ Other										
	Update the Placemaking Ordinance to better support mode shift, to increase accessibility, walkability, and activate public spaces. 3.5a	Code Change	Planning (SuMo)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	\$0	\$145,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	Not started	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Amend local regulations, like the Placemaking ordinance, and policies to allow for wider sidewalks and the use of setbacks for public spaces and place making. 3.5 SA-1	Code Change	Planning (Transportation)		\$2,000	\$133,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Not started	#

37

	TVDF	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED		LEMENT NEW A	ND EXPANDE	O ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	
CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
Implement temporary and permanent car-free zones/ zero emission zones. 3.5 SA-2	Policy Change	SuMo (Transportation, Police, DSD)	<u>ш</u>	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Open street events are infrequent and grant-funded	#
Prioritize as part of the Environmental Justice work on air quality emissions reduction opportunities with APCD and Communities of Concern. 3.5 SA-5	Partnerships	Planning (SuMo)	(MAN)	No estimatec	costs are provic	led because new anticipated	or expanded acti	vities are not	Ongoing	#
Implement active transportation in lieu fees to fund pedestrian, cyclist and transit investments where the greatest GHG emissions reductions will result, in accordance with Complete Communities: Mobility Choices. 3.5 SA-7	Plan Implementation	Planning		\$39,000	\$40,000	\$41,000	\$41,000	\$42,000	Ongoing	#

Measure 3.6 Vehicle Management

COM IDE

COMI IDE

	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	LEMENT NEW A	ND EXPANDED	ACTIVITIES	STATUS	COUNCIL POLICY PRIORITIZATION
	CAPACITON		[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	SIAIUS	SCORE
▶ Pre	liminary										
	Amend the land development code to eliminate parking minimum requirements. 3.6b	Code Change	SuMo (Planning)		\$35,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Complete in TPAs, expanded areas in progress	#
► Fou	undational										
	Amend the land development code to establish parking maximum requirements for use types and locations where appropriate. 3.6c	Code Change	Planning (SuMo)		\$0	\$0	\$88,000	\$0	\$0	Ongoing	#
► Ne>	xt										
	None.										
▶ Oth	ner	1	1								
MUNITY ITIFIED	Optimize use of curb space including management of on-street parking in TPAs. 3.6a	Plan Implementation	SuMo		No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded activ	vities are not	Not started	#
NUNITY	Amend the land development code to prohibit new auto-oriented land uses that would create conflicts with walking and bicycling within TPAs. 3.6d	Code Change	Planning (SuMo)		\$0	\$0	\$88,000	\$0	\$0	Not started	#

Circular Economy & Clean Communities

STRATEGY





- Percentage of Citywide waste diverted from landfill disposal
- Percentage of methane gas captured at City landfills
- ► Tons of organic waste diverted from landfill disposal
- Amount (pounds, tons) of edible food recovered
- Volume of solid waste disposal in landfills

Strategy 4: Circular Economy & Clean Communities (continued)



- Percentage of Citywide waste diverted from landfill disposal
- Percentage of methane gas captured at City landfills
- ▶ Tons of organic waste diverted from landfill disposal
 - Note: these measures of success apply to Measures 4.1 through 4.4

► Volume of solid waste disposal in landfills

Percentage of methane gas captured at City wastewater treatment facilities

Measure 4.1 Changes to the Waste Stream

			ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMPI		AND EXPANDED	ACTIVITIES	STATUS	
		CAP ACTION		[SUPPORT]	PARINERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
	▶ Founda	ational										
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED		Approve and implement the Polystyrene Foam and Single Use Plastics Ordinance, pending Environmental Impact Report. 4.1a	Code Change	ESD		No estimate	d costs are provid	led because new anticipated	or expanded activ	vities are not	Complete	#
ſ	▶ Next											
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	•	Expand the Polystyrene Foam and Single Use Plastics Ordinance to phase out Single-Use materials and prioritize reuse rather than disposable goods. 4.1b	Code Change	ESD		No estimate	d costs are provid	led because new anticipated	or expanded activ	vities are not	Not started	#
	▶ Other											
		None.										

Measure 4.2 Municipal Waste Reduction

COMM IDEN

	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED C	OSTS TO IMPL	EMENT NEW A	AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	STATUS	COUNCIL POLICY PRIORITIZATION
		ITFE	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	SCORE
	▶ Foundational										
-	Through an update to the City's administrative regulations, include purchasing requirements for sustainable products and food whenever option is available. 1) Reduce carbon and water footprint of total beef, pork, chicken, turkey and dairy purchases by 20%. 2) Increase local, healthy, and sustainable foods to 20% of total food purchases prioritizing locally sourced, valued workforce and animal welfare. 4.2b	Policy Change	SuMo (P&C)		No estimated	costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Not started: Environmentally Preferred Purchasing in place	#
	▶ Next										
UNITY TIFIED	Include procurement targets, with a focus on the maintenance of street easements, parks, and other green spaces, for purchasing compost through the Miramar Greenery or other local composting facilities to expand the demand and production of high quality compost in the City. 4.2c	Policy Change	ESD (P&C)		\$21,000	\$22,000	\$22,000	\$23,000	\$24,000	Not started	#
	▶ Other										
	Capture landfill methane gas emissions. 4.2a	Plan Implementation	ESD		\$14,000	\$14,000	\$14,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	Ongoing	#

42

Measure 4.3 Local Food Systems and Food Recovery

		TVDE	CITY LEAD	DADTNEDCHIDS	ESTIMATED	соѕтѕ то імр		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	COUNCIL POLICY
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
•	Foundational										
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Create a food council or advisory board with local stakeholders. 4.3a	Mayoral Action	Mayor's Office		No estimated	costs are provic	led because new anticipated	v or expanded ad	ctivities are not	Not started	#
	Establish a multidisciplinary team of subject matter experts across City departments with a focus on land use, economic growth, neighborhood vitality and healthy food access to work with community members to expand urban agricultural programs and develop policies to encourage community- based farms, including demonstration projects. 4.3d	Partnerships	EDD (Mayor's Office, Planning, Library, ESD)		\$113,000	\$120,000	\$123,000	\$125,000	\$128,000	Not started	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Invest in a network of local food sourcing, aggregation, distribution and processing infrastructure including regional food hubs, neighborhood scale commercial kitchens or shared kitchens, and other food businesses, particularly in low-income communities. 4.3 SA-5	Partnerships	EDD (ESD)		\$125,000	\$128,000	\$131,000	\$134,000	\$137,000	Ongoing	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Invest in expanding the food waste prevention network - expand infrastructure & partnerships for edible food recovery. 4.3b	Partnerships	ESD (EDD)		\$166,000	\$170,000	\$174,000	\$178,000	\$182,000	In progress: City food waste prevention consultant onboarding	
•	Next										
Community Identified	Regulate or activate programs for food businesses to minimize food related carbon emissions, including requiring food waste prevention, donation and recycling plans for businesses/ institutions (for Tier 1 and Tier 2 generators outlined in SB1383) and provide technical assistance and resources. Also include checklist and outreach as part of business licensing process. 4.3 SA-6	Partnerships	ESD (EDD)		\$125,000	\$128,000	\$131,000	\$134,000	\$137,000	In progress	#
•	Other										
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Require food waste prevention, donation and recycling plans for all City food service operations and large events on City managed, leased or owned lands. 4.3c	Policy Change	ESD (Special Events)		\$14,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	C omplete	#
	Work with the County and Farm Bureau to support investments in climate-smart agriculture and the local food supply chain. 4.3 SA-1	Partnerships	SuMo		\$70,000	\$71,000	\$72,000	\$73,000	\$73,000	Ongoing	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Incentivize the incorporation of urban agriculture features including indoor agriculture, edible forestry, community gardens, etc. 4.3 SA-2	Code Change	Planning		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$88,000	\$119,000	Not started	#

		TVDE	CITY LEAD	DADTNEDCUUDC	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMPI		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	COUNCIL POLICY
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Increase community participation with the Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone (UAIZ) program. 4.3 SA-3	Outreach and Education	EDD	<u>ш</u>	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	Low participation due to upfront cost	#
-	Partner with County of SD to increase community access to Federal meal programs (EBT, WIC, etc) and incentivize usage of these programs for local food access (CSA, farmers market, retail). 4.3 SA-4	Partnerships	EDD	<u></u>	\$125,000	\$128,000	\$131,000	\$134,000	\$137,000	Limited partnership with County in place	#
	Incorporate food security and resilient food systems into climate resilience and emergency planning. 4.3 SA-7	Planning Action	Planning (OES)		No estimated	costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded ac	tivities are not	⊠ Complete	#

Measure 4.4 Zero Waste to Landfill

		TVDE	CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	PLEMENT NEW	AND EXPAND	D ACTIVITIES	CTATUS	
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATIO SCORE
▶ Prelii	minary										
	Analyze city regulations and other barriers to developing businesses that reuse or repair consumer goods, where doing so will not adversely impact the surrounding residential neighborhood. 4.4d	Code Change	EDD (ESD)		No estimated	d costs are provi	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	Not started	#
▶ Foun	dational										
	Update, adopt, and implement the City's Zero Waste Plan. 4.4a	Plan Implementation	ESD		\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	In progress	#
	Update the Citywide Recycling Ordinance to ban divertible materials (yard waste, food) from residential and commercial trash containers, in compliance with SB 1383. 4.4b	Code Change	ESD		No estimated	d costs are provi	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	Complete	#
► Next											
	Increase enforcement presence to ensure compliance with recently modified City Recycling Ordinance and increase waste diversion. 4.4 SA-1	Policy Change	ESD	(AM)	No estimated	l costs are provi	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	In progress	#
	Create a community reuse and repair program to increase waste diversion, reduce material consumption, and develop training and learning opportunities. 4.4e	Policy Change	ESD	(AM)	\$94,000	\$97,000	\$100,000	\$102,000	\$105,000	Not started	#
	Increase public awareness of and access to opportunities for reuse, product rentals, repair, and donation. 4.4f	Partnerships	ESD	(AM)	No estimated	l costs are provi	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Support and expand citywide reuse infrastructure. 4.4g	Partnerships	ESD (DSD)		\$11,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$13,000	\$13,000	Not started	#
	Implement a public mattress recycling drop-off location. 4.4h	Policy Change	ESD	(ARM	No estimated	l costs are provi	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	In progress	#
	Continue and enhance public outreach programming that provides residents with strategies for household waste reduction, including from food waste and shipping and packaging (e.g., on-demand deliveries), including outreach in languages that reflect the diverse needs of San Diegans. 4.4i	Outreach and Education	ESD		No estimated	l costs are provi	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	In progress; outreach materials in additional languages are being developed	#

	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP		AND EXPANDI	ED ACTIVITIES	CTATUC	COUNCIL POLIC
		ITPE	[SUPPORT]	PARINERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	SCORE
• Other											
	Develop a marketing plan for compost and mulch developed within the City. Identify and target compost and mulch markets in urban areas as well as urban agriculture. Partner with industries to increase compost and mulch use including landscaping, stormwater, and water conservation. 4.4c	Outreach	ESD (Parks & Rec)		\$79,000	\$81,000	\$83,000	\$86,000	\$88,000	In progress	#
	Support community composting enterprises through strategic partnerships. 4.4 SA-2	Partnerships	ESD		No estimated	l costs are provic	led because new anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	In progress	#
	Evaluate and provide input on State and Federal producer responsibility requirements and laws, to focus on hard to recycle and/or hazardous items impacting San Diego's waste stream. 4.4 SA-3	Policy Change	Mayor's Office (ESD)		\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	Ongoing	#
	Partner with franchise waste haulers to address barriers to increasing diversion rates. 4.4 SA-4	Partnerships	ESD		No estimatec	l costs are provic	ded because new anticipated	v or expanded ac	tivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Amend the Construction & Demolition regulations to establish a deconstruction requirement to reduce demolition waste from construction and renovation, facilitate material reuse and create jobs. 4.4 SA-5	Code Change	ESD (SuMo)		\$17,000	\$45,000	\$17,000	\$17,000	\$17,000	Ordinances in place: updates anticipated to implement state requirements	#

Measure 4.5 Capture Methane from Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Comi Ide

COMI IDE

	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD	PARTNERSHIPS		FUNDING NEE	DS FOR NEW A	ND EXPANDED	PROGRAMS	STATUS	
			[SUPPORT]		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
Found	ational										
	None.										
▶ Next				_							
	None.										
▶ Other											
	Capture methane gas from wastewater treatment. 4.5a	Plan Implementation	Public Utilities		No estimate	d costs are provid	ded because new anticipated	or expanded act	ivities are not	Ongoing	#

STRATEGY 5:

Resilient Infrastructure & Healthy Ecosystems



Strategy 5: Resilient Infrastructure and Healthy Ecosystems

TARGETS -



Acres of natural lands restored (by land cover/habitat type)

Number of new street trees planted (total and relative to 100,000 street tree goal by 2035)
Percentage urban tree canopy coverage

Strategy 5: Resilient Infrastructure and Healthy Ecosystems (continued)



reverse degradation of the urban environment, and

enhance quality of life. 5.3 SA-2

Install cool pavement material on City parking lots and in the public right-of-way to increase building energy efficiency and reduce urban heat island effect, prioritizing CoCs. 5.3 SA-8

MEASURING SUCCESS -

Acre-feet of water supply resulting from PureWater

Measure 5.1 Sequestration

		CITY LEAD		ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP	LEMENT NEW	AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES		COUNCIL POLICY
CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	– STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
▶ Foundational						·	·			
Develop Natural Resource Management Plans on all managed preserved lands and include in plans the sequestration as information becomes available 5.1c	Planning Action	Parks & Rec (Planning, Public Utilities)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	\$86,000	\$89,000	\$91,000	\$94,000	\$96,000	Ongoing: plans are continually developed and sequestration information is not currently included	#
Develop an area specific management plan to protect, restore, and preserve wetland and upland areas on City managed lands, prioritizing Communities of Concern. 5.1b	Planning Action	Parks & Rec (Planning)	(MM)	\$520,000	\$532,000	\$544,000	\$557,000	\$570,000	In progress	#
▶ Next		1					1			
None.										
▶ Other	1						1			
Protect, restore, and enhance urban canyons. Support habitat restoration of urban canyons, inclusion of environmental education and recreation opportunities, and continued preservation. 5.1a	Plan Implementation	Parks & Rec	(MA)	\$4,531,000	\$4,630,000	\$4,732,000	\$4,837,000	\$4,416,000	Ongoing	#
Prioritize partnerships with San Diego's tribes and restorative environmental justice opportunities on wetland restoration projects. 5.1 SA-1	Planning Action	Planning (Parks & Rec, Stormwater, E&CP)	m	\$78,000	\$80,000	\$82,000	\$84,000	\$87,000	Ongoing	#
Acquire Open Space Conservation Land. 5.1 SA-2	Identify Funding	Planning (DREAM, Parks & Rec)	(APU)	\$184,000	\$189,000	\$194,000	\$199,000	\$204,000	Ongoing	#
Create a pilot carbon farming program on vacant public land or in partnerships with educational institutions and non-profit organizations. 5.1 SA-3	Policy Change	Planning (EDD)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$110,000	\$144,000	Not started	#
Partner with the San Diego River Conservancy and other agencies to identify sequestration opportunities through restoration projects. 5.1 SA-4	Plan Implementation/ Identify Funding	Parks & Rec	m	\$77,000	\$80,000	\$82,000	\$84,000	\$86,000	Ongoing	#

Measure 5.2 Tree Canopy

		TVDE	CITY LEAD			COSTS TO IMP		AND EXPANDE	D ACTIVITIES	CTATUS	
	CAP ACTION	ТҮРЕ	[SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
→ Pre	liminary										
	Create a Street Tree Master Plan with a target of planting 100,000 trees by 2035. Within the Street Tree Master Plan, identify City lands and spaces that need trees and identify ways to increase permeable areas for new trees, focused in Communities of Concern. 5.2a	Planning Action	Transportation (Planning)	4501	\$5,977,000	\$5,703,000	\$5,716,000	\$5,729,000	\$5,743,000	In planning phase	#
	Conduct a new Urban Tree Canopy assessment utilizing light detection and ranging (LiDAR) technology to identify areas in need of additional tree canopy. 5.2b	Study/Analysis	Transportation (SuMo)		\$23,000	\$187,000	\$0	\$212,000	\$37,000	LiDAR assessment last conducted in fall of 2021 Data analysis in process.	#
	Revise Council Policies and Municipal Codes to strengthen tree protection policies and enhance tree planting efforts. 5.2 SA-2	Code Change	Transportation (Planning)		No estimated	costs are provic	led because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	In progress	#
	Implement a Citywide protocol for tracking planted, removed, and maintained street trees. 5.2 SA-4	Plan Implementation	Transportation		\$177,000	\$178,000	\$179,000	\$179,000	\$180,000	Currently standardizing monthly reporting	#
▶ Fou	Indational	1	1	1				1	1		
	Increase tree planting in Communities of Concern by identifying city lands/spaces that need trees. 5.2c	Planning Action	Transportation	(3)AN	No estimated	costs are provid	led because new anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
	Support expansion of urban tree canopy in parks and along active transportation networks. Prioritize implementation in Communities of Concern. 5.2f	Planning Action	Transportation (Parks & Rec)		\$131,000	\$135,000	\$139,000	\$142,000	\$146,000	Ongoing: implementation of Parks Master Plan	#
	Increase tree planting in Communities of Concern starting with the planting of 40K new trees in these communities by 2030. 5.2g	Identify Funding	Transportation (Parks & Rec)	(ARM)	\$131,000	\$135,000	\$139,000	\$142,000	\$146,000	Ongoing	#
	Develop a plan to increase permeable areas for new trees and restore spaces that have been paved, focused in Communities of Concern. 5.2i	Planning Action	Transportation	(AN)	\$53,000	\$54,000	\$56,000	\$57,000	\$59,000	Not started	#
► Nex	ĸt	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		
	Reform, streamline, and expand the No Fee Street Tree program to remove barriers that exist which detour or prohibit participation by residents within Communities of Concern. 5.2d	Policy Change	Transportation		\$190,000	\$192,000	\$195,000	\$197,000	\$200,000	In progress	#
	Streamline permitting for tree planting, dedicate resources to planting in non-traditional street tree locations, and provide reduced fees or fee waivers in Communities of Concerns. 5.2 SA-3	Policy Change	Transportation (DSD)		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	In progress	#

		ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD [SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMPI			COUNCIL POLICY		
	CAP ACTION				FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	- STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Develop policies that encourage and incentivize developers, homeowners' associations, and other organizations to preserve, maintain, and plant trees. 5.2e	Planning Action	Transportation (DSD)	S	No estimated	l costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded a	ctivities are not	In progress	#
	▶ Other										
	Amend the Land Development Code to increase landscape and parking lot tree planting requirements. 5.2 SA-1	Planning Action	Planning		\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	C omplete	#
	Explore allocating revenue from tree removal fines, including from the placement of utility equipment located in the right of way, and fees to fund the planting of new trees. 5.2 SA-5	Study/Analysis	Transportation (DSD)		No estimated	d costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded a	ctivities are not	Not started	#
Community Identified	Expand volunteer programs and partnerships with community organizations to plant and maintain trees. 5.2 SA-6	Partnerships	Transportation		\$0	\$0	\$37,000	\$38,000	\$39,000	Ongoing: Existing grant partnerships	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	As established in the Energy Cooperation Agreement with the City and SDG&E, implement the Right Tree, Right Place program (or successor programs), identify additional tree planting locations, assist with tree species ideas, and provide technical support through SDG&E's arborists. 5.2 SA-7	Partnerships	Transportation		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated					Ongoing: Energy Cooperation Agreement in place First 400 trees in place	#
	Monitor and report on SDG&E's plans to supplant the City's efforts with direct in-community charitable support for planting up to 2,500 trees in the City over ten years. 5.2 SA-8	Partnerships	SuMo (Transportation)		No estimated	l costs are provid	ed because new anticipated	or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
Community Identified	Support the creation of new urban green space along freeways and City right-of-way. 5.2 SA-9	Planning Action	Transportation (Parks & Rec)	Ĺ	\$131,000	\$135,000	\$139,000	\$142,000	\$146,000	Not started	#
Community Identified	Ensure the diversification of tree species, including using native tree and shrub species and/or species that are adapted to higher temperatures and require less water. 5.2 SA-10	Planning Action	Transportation (Planning)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$57,000	\$59,000	Ongoing	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Protect and maintain all healthy City trees that have minimal conflicts to existing and future infrastructure, by use of policy, code, public outreach and code enforcement. 5.2h	Identify Funding	Transportation (DSD, Parks & Rec)		\$687,000	\$697,000	\$708,000	\$719,000	\$730,000	Ongoing	#
	Perform proper maintenance and tree removal to promote a healthy urban forest and safety of trees in public spaces. 5.2 SA-11	Plan Implementation	Transportation (Parks & Rec)		\$1,362,000	\$1,684,000	\$1,698,000	\$1,713,000	\$1,728,000	Ongoing	#
	Redesign hardscape infrastructure around existing City trees when possible in order to increase large tree canopy cover. 5.2 SA-12	Plan Implementation	Transportation (EDD)		\$0	\$0	\$129,000	\$133,000	\$136,000	Ongoing	#
COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED	Increase irrigation for trees in Parks and in Street rights-of-way. 5.2 SA-13	Code Change	Transportation (Parks & Rec)		\$323,000	\$325,000	\$327,000	\$330,000	\$332,000	⊠ Complete	#

Measure 5.3 Local Water Supply

	ТҮРЕ	CITY LEAD [SUPPORT]	PARTNERSHIPS	ESTIMATED	COSTS TO IMP		COUNCIL POLICY			
CAP ACTION				FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	STATUS	PRIORITIZATION SCORE
Foundational										
Develop local water supply and reduce dependence on imported water. 5.3a	Planning Action	Public Utilities (E&CP)		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated					Ongoing	#
Maximize planning and implementation of green infrastructure at watershed scale and site specific with focused stakeholder engagement efforts in Communities of Concern. 5.3 SA-3	Planning Action	Stormwater		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated					Ongoing	#
Investigate opportunities to capture and reuse rain water. 5.3 SA-4	Study/Analysis	Stormwater (Public Utilities)		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated					Ongoing	#
Next										
None.										
Other				-						
Support ongoing gallon per capita water use (GPCD) targets. 5.3b	Outreach and Education	Public Utilities	<u>ش</u>	No estimated	costs are provid	led because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
Expand awareness of the City's Rainwater Harvesting Rebates and Grass Replacement Rebates programs to increase participation in the programs and facilitate accessibility to residents across the City, prioritizing those within Communities of Concern and areas that have had historically lower participation in the programs. 5.3 SA-1	Outreach and Education	Stormwater (Public Utilities)	m 🔊	No estimated	costs are provid	ded because nev anticipated	v or expanded a	ctivities are not	Ongoing	#
Advance undergrounding of utilities to provide a means to reduce energy use, increase green space preservation, sustainably process and store water and wastes, securely and efficiently site critical infrastructure, prevent and reverse degradation of the urban environment, and enhance quality of life. 5.3 SA-2	Plan Implementation	Transportation		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated				Ongoing	#	
Implement Waterways Restoration projects. 5.3 SA-5	Plan Implementation	Stormwater		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated					Ongoing	#
Increase opportunities for Stormwater harvesting by evaluating new harvesting methodology to determine viability. 5.3 SA-6	Study/Analysis	Stormwater (Public Utilities)		\$2,000,000	\$4,624,000	\$5,516,000	\$5,790,000	\$5,264,000	Ongoing	#
Amend building code regulations to require a percentage of all non-roof (e.g., hardscape) surfaces around new buildings meet certain criteria to reduce urban heat island effect. 5.3 SA-7	Code Change	SuMo		No estimated costs are provided because new or expanded activities are not anticipated					☑ Complete	#
Install cool pavement material on City parking lots and in the public right- of-way, prioritizing Communities of Concern, to increase building energy efficiency and reduce urban heat island effect. 5.3 SA-8	Study/Analysis	Transportation (E&CP)		\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	Feasibility study not started	#

DRAFT - 03/08/2023



RESERVED PARKING

The City of SAN DIEGO

101-193

RESERVED

POO



TARGETS -



6.1 Explore Further Opportunities to Achieve Net Zero GHG Emissions

While implementation of Strategies 1-5 will be transformational, the City cannot eliminate all the emissions required to reach the net zero goal without additional actions or emissions avoided elsewhere. For example, the City has set a 90% goal for decarbonization of existing buildings. This recognizes that there are industries and uses for which transitions may not be feasible within the timeline of the CAP. The City will work with stakeholders to identify new and innovative solutions for GHG reduction where and when feasible financially and technologically.

Further action, new policies, technological innovation, partnerships and research are all necessary components of emerging climate actions that are beyond our current ability to quantify and assess. This strategy is broad by design and will require monitoring and reporting during implementation to build out and quantify. Areas of focus within Strategy 6 include developing more effective partnership with regional partners such as the Port of San Diego, SANDAG, and the County of San Diego; collaboration on research and projects with the private sector;

– ACTIONS -

advancements to ensure energy resilience and exploration of alternative fuel sources; further research to understand potential land and water carbon sequestration opportunities; and developing pilot projects that catalyze new techniques and technologies from all sectors. This is not an exhaustive list, but a starting point for the City to actively pursue new ideas, listening to best available data and practices, and adapting as needed to achieve the greatest amount of GHG avoided while maximizing the impact on core benefits to our residents and businesses.

SUPPORTING ACTIONS

- Explore policies and incentive programs to electrify construction equipment
- Build programs and partnerships to recognize and incentivize business practices that align and implement the CAP strategies and measures.
- Identify opportunities to improve city processes to facilitate faster deployment of technologies and practices in San Diego.
- Investigate advanced air quality control systems, including GHG removal technologies and criteria pollutant control technologies.

• Exploring the use of GHG emission offsets which can include techniques such as increasing carbon sequestration in soils, forests and farmland, purchasing clean electricity credits from neighboring states, or through emerging technological approaches such as the direct capture and removal of carbon from the atmosphere.

- Participate in research around regional and/or local benefitting offset programs that ensure the benefits of investments are prioritized in the City's Communities of Concern
- Support partners such as tribal governments and universities to restore salt marshes and wetlands ecosystems for sequestration
- Continue to engage on the development of research and data including blue carbon sequestration, more specifically develop a citywide sequestration standard for wetlands restoration.
- shared regional efforts to address nonattainment and improve air quality equitably
- Support the regional efforts to address nonattainment, toxic air contaminants in Communities of Concern.

MEASURING SUCCESS

- Annual reductions in GHG emissions attributable to emerging climate actions (individually and collectively)
- > Number of new or expanded emerging climate actions implemented by the City
- Emerging climate action pilot programs and public-private partnerships implemented (list and total number)
- Other external partnerships formed for emerging climate action (list and total number)
- ▶ Total Cleantech Jobs Supported

- Total Cleantech Economic Output (billions of dollars)
- the city)

around the sequestration potential of various types of natural spaces

• As it pertains to GHG avoidance, the City's CAP Implementation Plan will focus and prioritize the core benefit of air quality to support the

• Advocate for APCD to develop CERP-like plans in all communities.

Number of Cleantech Businesses (payrolled business locations)

Research investments in emerging climate actions (dollars invested in



Our vision is to be a sustainable and resilient city with opportunity in every community.

City of San Diego Sustainability and Mobility | Department Vision Statement This information is available in alternative formats upon request.

