

ERRATA

**1398 Lieta Street
Mitigated Negative Declaration
Project No. 512890
August 19, 2020**

The City's Municipal Code (SDMC) Section 126.0504(b)(2) requires a recommendation from the Historical Resources Board prior to a Planning Commission decision on a Site Development Permit when a historical district or designated historical resource is present. Therefore, On May 28, 2020 the project was before the Historical Resources Board for a recommendation on the Site Development Permit findings. The Historical Resources Board recommended approval of the Site Development Permit and the adoption of the mitigation measures identified in the final MND with additional clarifications. The clarifications entailed the need for the decorative fence to be managed in perpetuity; identification of a representative from the Japanese Historical Society to provide input during the Design Assistance Subcommittee process; inclusion of a qualified historian to participate in the development of the storyboard; and the need for the location of the storyboard. These additional measures have been incorporated into the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program developed for the project.

Previous revisions and/or minor clarifications were made to the distributed final Mitigated Negative Declaration and are reflected in a ~~striketrough~~ and/or underline format. The additional measures identified by the Historical Resources Board are shown below in a ~~double striketrough~~ and/or double underline format.

Cultural Resources – Designated Site

1. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the ~~Applicant~~ Owner/Permittee shall submit a plan showing the design and location of the interpretive story board to be placed proximate to the terminus of Tonopah Avenue at the project's driveway to the satisfaction of the Design Assistance Sub-Committee of the Historical Resources Board with subsequent staff approval.
2. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the ~~Applicant~~ Owner/Permittee shall submit a plan showing the design and location of the decorative fence element with etched pattern to mimic row planting to the satisfaction of the Design Assistance Sub-Committee of the Historical Resources Board with subsequent staff approval. The landscape and planters shall not block the decorative fencing or create shadows onto or behind the decorative fence.
3. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Owner/Permittee shall install the interpretive story board and decorative fencing element in the locations identified on the previously approved plans for the Designated Historic Site. The Owner/Permittee shall be responsible for funding and implementing the long-term management of the story board in perpetuity.

4. Prior to submitting plans to the Design Assistance Subcommittee of the Historical Resources Board showing the design of the interpretive story board and fences, the Owner/Permittee shall work with a Historian that is versed in the Japanese American History for the design of the historical elements for the interpretive story board and fences.

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act, Section 15073.5, the addition of new information that clarifies, amplifies, or makes insignificant modifications does not require recirculation as there are no new impacts and no new mitigation identified. An environmental document need only be recirculated when there is the identification of new significant environmental impacts or the addition of a new mitigation measure required to avoid a significant environmental impact. The revisions made do not affect the environmental analysis or conclusions of the environmental document as the measures incorporated provide further clarification of the existing mitigation measures.