# Priority Development Project (PDP) Storm Water Quality Management Plan (SWQMP)

## Lumina II

[Insert Permit Application Number]

[Insert Drawing Number (if applicable) and Internal Order Number (if applicable)]

Check if electing for offsite alternative compliance

**Engineer of Work:** 

Debby Reece, PE, RCE 56148 Provide Wet Signature and Stamp Above Line

## **Prepared For:**

COLRICH

444 West Beech Street, Suite 300

San Diego, CA 92101

[Insert Applicant Phone Number]

#### **Prepared By:**



# **PROJECT DESIGN CONSULTANTS**

701 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 619.235.6471 Tel 619.234.0349 Fax

Planning | Landscape Architecture | Engineering | Survey

Project Design Consultants 701 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA, 92101 619-235-6471 **Date:** 1/14/19

Approved by: City of San Diego

Written by: Jeff Novoa Job No. 2357.60



Date

# **Table of Contents**

- Acronyms
- Certification Page
- Submittal Record
- Project Vicinity Map
- FORM DS-560: Storm Water Applicability Checklist
- FORM I-1: Applicability of Permanent, Post-Construction Storm Water BMP Requirements
- HMP Exemption Exhibit (for all hydromodification management exempt projects)
- FORM I-3B: Site Information Checklist for PDPs
- FORM I-4B: Source Control BMP Checklist for PDPs
- FORM I-5B: Site Design BMP Checklist PDPs
- FORM I-6: Summary of PDP Structural BMPs
- Attachment 1: Backup for PDP Pollutant Control BMPs
  - o Attachment 1a: DMA Exhibit
  - Attachment 1b: Tabular Summary of DMAs (Worksheet B-1 from Appendix B) and Design Capture Volume Calculations
  - Attachment 1c: FORM I-7 : Worksheet B.3-1 Harvest and Use Feasibility Screening
  - Attachment 1d: Infiltration Feasibility Information(One or more of the following):
    - FORM I-8A: Worksheet C.4-1 Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions
    - Form I-8B: Worksheet C.4-2 Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions
    - Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter
    - Worksheet C.4-3: Infiltration and Groundwater Protection for Full Infiltration BMPs
    - FORM I-9: Worksheet D.5-1 Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate
  - o Attachment 1e: Pollutant Control BMP Design Worksheets / Calculations
- Attachment 2: Backup for PDP Hydromodification Control Measures
  - o Attachment 2a: Hydromodification Management Exhibit
  - o Attachment 2b: Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas
  - Attachment 2c: Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels
  - Attachment 2d: Flow Control Facility Design



- Attachment 3: Structural BMP Maintenance Plan
  - Maintenance Agreement (Form DS-3247) (when applicable)
- Attachment 4: Copy of Plan Sheets Showing Permanent Storm Water BMPs
- Attachment 5: Project's Drainage Report
- Attachment 6: Project's Geotechnical and Groundwater Investigation Report



# Acronyms

APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
ASBS	Area of Special Biological Significance
BMP	Best Management Practice
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CGP	Construction General Permit
DCV	Design Canture Volume
DMA	Drainage Management Areas
ESA	Environmentally Sensitive Area
GLU	Geomornhic Landscape Unit
GW	Ground Water
HMP	Hydromodification Management Plan
HSG	Hydrologic Soil Groun
HU	Harvest and Use
INF	Infiltration
LID	Low Impact Development
LUP	Linear Underground/Overhead Projects
MS4	Municinal Separate Storm Sewer System
N/A	Not Applicable
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Flimination System
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
PDP	Priority Development Project
PE	Professional Engineer
POC	Pollutant of Concern
SC	Source Control
SD	Site Design
SDRWQCB	San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollutant Protection Plan
SWQMP	Storm Water Quality Management Plan
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
WMAA	Watershed Management Area Analysis
WPCP	Water Pollution Control Program
WQIP	Water Ouality Improvement Plan

3 The City of San Diego | Storm Water Standards PDP SWQMP Template | January 2018 Edition



# **Certification Page**

#### Project Name: Permit Application

I hereby declare that I am the Engineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water BMPs for this project, and that I have exercised responsible charge over the design of the project as defined in Section 6703 of the Business and Professions Code, and that the design is consistent with the requirements of the Storm Water Standards, which is based on the requirements of SDRWQCB Order No. R9-2013-0001 as amended by R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100 (MS4 Permit).

I have read and understand that the City Engineer has adopted minimum requirements for managing urban runoff, including storm water, from land development activities, as described in the Storm Water Standards. I certify that this PDP SWQMP has been completed to the best of my ability and accurately reflects the project being proposed and the applicable source control and site design BMPs proposed to minimize the potentially negative impacts of this project's land development activities on water quality. I understand and acknowledge that the plan check review of this PDP SWQMP by the City Engineer is confined to a review and does not relieve me, as the Engineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water BMPs for this project, of my responsibilities for project design.

Engineer of Work's Signature		
56148	12/31/2020	
PE#	Expiration Date	
Debby Reece		
Print Name		
Project Design Consultants		
Company		

Date





# Submittal Record

Use this Table to keep a record of submittals of this PDP SWQMP. Each time the PDP SWQMP is re-submitted, provide the date and status of the project. In last column indicate changes that have been made or indicate if response to plancheck comments is included. When applicable, insert response to plancheck comments.

Submittal Number	Date	Project Status	Changes
1	1/14/19	Preliminary Design/Planning/CEQA	Initial Submittal
2		Preliminary Design/Planning/CEQA	
3		Preliminary Design/Planning/CEQA Final Design	
4		Preliminary Design/Planning/CEQA Final Design	



# **Project Vicinity Map**

### Project Name: Lumina II Permit Application







City of San Diego **Development Services** 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 446-5000

# **Storm Water Requirements DS-560 Applicability Checklist**

FORM

OCTOBER 2016

Project Number (for City Use Only):

Project Address: Lumina II

## **SECTION 1. Construction Storm Water BMP Requirements:**

All construction sites are required to implement construction BMPs in accordance with the performance standards in the <u>Storm Water Standards Manual</u>. Some sites are additionally required to obtain coverage under the State Construction General Permit (CGP)<sup>1</sup>, which is administered by the State Water Resources Control Board.

#### For all projects complete PART A: If project is required to submit a SWPPP or WPCP, continue to PART B.

#### PART A: Determine Construction Phase Storm Water Requirements.

	-
1.   \ 	s the project subject to California's statewide General NPDES permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activities, also known as the State Construction General Permit (CGP)? (Typically projects with and disturbance greater than or equal to 1 acre.)
	X Yes; SWPPP required, skip questions 2-4 🔲 No; next question
2. [ 8	Does the project propose construction or demolition activity, including but not limited to, clearing, grading, grubbing, excavation, or any other activity resulting in ground disturbance and contact with storm water runoff?
	Yes; WPCP required, skip 3-4 No; next question
3. [ r	Does the project propose routine maintenance to maintain original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or origi- nal purpose of the facility? (Projects such as pipeline/utility replacement)
ſ	Yes; WPCP required, skip 4 🔲 No; next question
4. [	Does the project only include the following Permit types listed below?
•	Electrical Permit, Fire Alarm Permit, Fire Sprinkler Permit, Plumbing Permit, Sign Permit, Mechanical Permit, Spa Permit.
•	Individual Right of Way Permits that exclusively include only ONE of the following activities: water service, sewer lateral, or utility service.
	Right of Way Permits with a project footprint less than 150 linear feet that exclusively include only ONE of the following activities: curb ramp, sidewalk and driveway apron replacement, pot holing, curb and gutter replacement, and retaining wall encroachments.
	Yes; no document required
	Check one of the boxes below, and continue to PART B:
	If you checked "Yes" for question 1, a SWPPP is REQUIRED. Continue to PART B
	If you checked "No" for question 1, and checked "Yes" for question 2 or 3, a WPCP is REQUIRED. If the project proposes less than 5,000 square feet of ground disturbance AND has less than a 5-foot elevation change over the entire project area, a Minor WPCP may be required instead. Continue to PART B.
	If you checked "No" for all questions 1-3, and checked "Yes" for question 4 PART B does not apply and no document is required. Continue to Section 2.
1. N 1	More information on the City's construction BMP requirements as well as CGP requirements can be found at: www.sandiego.gov/stormwater/regulations/index.shtml
	Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at www.sandiego.gov/development-services.
	Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.

Ра	ge 2 of 4	City of San Diego • Development Services • Storm Water Requirements Applicability Che	cklist
PA	ART B: De	termine Construction Site Priority	
Th Th Cit Sta an nif tha	is prioritiza e city rese ojects are a sy has aligr ate Constru d receiving ficance (AS at apply to	ation must be completed within this form, noted on the plans, and included in the SW rves the right to adjust the priority of projects both before and after construction. Con assigned an inspection frequency based on if the project has a "high threat to water q and the local definition of "high threat to water quality" to the risk determination appro- uction General Permit (CGP). The CGP determines risk level based on project specific s g water risk. Additional inspection is required for projects within the Areas of Special B BS) watershed. <b>NOTE:</b> The construction priority does <b>NOT</b> change construction BMP projects; rather, it determines the frequency of inspections that will be conducted by	PPP or WPCP. nstruction uality." The pach of the ediment risk Biological Sig- requirements city staff.
Co	mplete P	ART B and continued to Section 2	
1.		ASBS	
-		a. Projects located in the ASBS watershed.	
2.		High Priority	
		a. Projects 1 acre or more determined to be Risk Level 2 or Risk Level 3 per the Cons General Permit and not located in the ASBS watershed.	truction
		b. Projects 1 acre or more determined to be LUP Type 2 or LUP Type 3 per the Const General Permit and not located in the ASBS watershed.	ruction
3.	X	Medium Priority	
		a. Projects 1 acre or more but not subject to an ASBS or high priority designation.	
		b. Projects determined to be Risk Level 1 or LUP Type 1 per the Construction Genera not located in the ASBS watershed.	l Permit and
4.		Low Priority	
		a. Projects requiring a Water Pollution Control Plan but not subject to ASBS, high, or priority designation.	medium
SE	CTION 2.	Permanent Storm Water BMP Requirements.	
Ad	lditional in	formation for determining the requirements is found in the <u>Storm Water Standards M</u>	<u>1anual</u> .
P/ Pro ve BN	ART C: De ojects that lopment p //Ps.	termine if Not Subject to Permanent Storm Water Requirements. are considered maintenance, or otherwise not categorized as "new development pro rojects" according to the <u>Storm Water Standards Manual</u> are not subject to Permanen	jects" or "rede- t Storm Water
If "yes" is checked for any number in Part C, proceed to Part F and check "Not Subject to Perma- nent Storm Water BMP Requirements".			
lf	"no" is ch	necked for all of the numbers in Part C continue to Part D.	
1.	Does the existing	e project only include interior remodels and/or is the project entirely within an enclosed structure and does not have the potential to contact storm water?	Yes 🗵 No
2.	Does the creating	e project only include the construction of overhead or underground utilities without new impervious surfaces?	Yes 🗵 No
3.	Does the roof or e lots or e replacer	e project fall under routine maintenance? Examples include, but are not limited to: exterior structure surface replacement, resurfacing or reconfiguring surface parking xisting roadways without expanding the impervious footprint, and routine nent of damaged pavement (grinding, overlay, and pothole repair).	Yes 🛛 No
			<del></del>

City	y of San Diego • Development Services • Storm Water Requirements Applicability Checklist Page 3	3 of 4
РА	RT D: PDP Exempt Requirements.	
PC	P Exempt projects are required to implement site design and source control BMP	'S.
lf ' "P	"yes" was checked for any questions in Part D, continue to Part F and check the bo DP Exempt."	ox labeled
lf '	'no" was checked for all questions in Part D, continue to Part E.	
1.	Does the project ONLY include new or retrofit sidewalks, bicycle lanes, or trails that:	
	<ul> <li>Are designed and constructed to direct storm water runoff to adjacent vegetated area non-erodible permeable areas? Or;</li> </ul>	as, or other
	<ul> <li>Are designed and constructed to be hydraulically disconnected from paved streets and</li> <li>Are designed and constructed with permeable pavements or surfaces in accordance w</li> <li>Green Streets guidance in the City's Storm Water Standards manual?</li> </ul>	d roads? Or; vith the
	Yes; PDP exempt requirements apply IV No; next question	
2.	Does the project ONLY include retrofitting or redeveloping existing paved alleys, streets or road and constructed in accordance with the Green Streets guidance in the <u>City's Storm Water Stand</u>	ds designed lards Manual?
	Yes; PDP exempt requirements apply INO; project not exempt.	
PA Pro a S If '	RT E: Determine if Project is a Priority Development Project (PDP). ojects that match one of the definitions below are subject to additional requirements including p otorm Water Quality Management Plan (SWQMP). Yyes" is checked for any number in PART E, continue to PART F and check the box	preparation of
or	ity Development Project".	
lf ' "S1	'no" is checked for every number in PART E, continue to PART F and check the box tandard Development Project".	labeled
1.	New Development that creates 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces collectively over the project site. This includes commercial, industrial, residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public or private land.	🛛 Yes 🗖 No
2.	Redevelopment project that creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces on an existing site of 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces. This includes commercial, industrial, residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public or private land.	Yes 🛛 No
3.	<b>New development or redevelopment of a restaurant.</b> Facilities that sell prepared foods and drinks for consumption, including stationary lunch counters and refreshment stands sellin prepared foods and drinks for immediate consumption (SIC 5812), and where the land development creates and/or replace 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface.	g Yes 🗵 No
4.	<b>New development or redevelopment on a hillside.</b> The project creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the project site) and where the development will grade on any natural slope that is twenty-five percent or greater.	Yes 🛛 No
5.	New development or redevelopment of a parking lot that creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the project site).	Yes 🗵 No
6.	New development or redevelopment of streets, roads, highways, freeways, and driveways. The project creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the project site).	XYes No

Page 4 of 4 City of San Dieg	o • Development Services • Storm Wa	ter Requirements Applicability Che	ecklist
7. New development or re Sensitive Area. The proj (collectively over project s Area (ESA). "Discharging c feet or less from the proj as an isolated flow from t lands).	development discharging directly ect creates and/or replaces 2,500 so ite), and discharges directly to an Er lirectly to" includes flow that is conv ect to the ESA, or conveyed in a pipe he project to the ESA (i.e. not comm	to an Environmentally uare feet of impervious surface invironmentally Sensitive eyed overland a distance of 200 or open channel any distance ingled with flows from adjacent	□Yes ⊠No
<ol> <li>New development or re create and/or replaces ! project meets the followin Average Daily Traffic (AD)</li> </ol>	development projects of a retail g 5,000 square feet of impervious su ng criteria: (a) 5,000 square feet or m T) of 100 or more vehicles per day.	asoline outlet (RGO) that irface. The development nore or (b) has a projected	🗆 Yes 🗵 No
<ol> <li>New development or re creates and/or replaces projects categorized in ar 5541, 7532-7534, or 7536</li> </ol>	development projects of an autor 5,000 square feet or more of imp y one of Standard Industrial Classifi -7539.	notive repair shops that ervious surfaces. Development cation (SIC) codes 5013, 5014,	🗆 Yes 🗵 No
<ol> <li>Other Pollutant Genera results in the disturbance post construction, such a less than 5,000 sf of impe use of pesticides and fert the square footage of imp vehicle use, such as emer with pervious surfaces of</li> </ol>	ting Project. The project is not cove of one or more acres of land and is s fertilizers and pesticides. This doe rvious surface and where added lan lizers, such as slope stabilization us pervious surface need not include lir gency maintenance access or bicycle if they sheet flow to surrounding pe	ered in the categories above, expected to generate pollutants s not include projects creating dscaping does not require regula ing native plants. Calculation of near pathways that are for infreque e pedestrian use, if they are built ervious surfaces.	ar uent Yes 🗵 No
PART F: Select the appro	priate category based on the o	utcomes of PART C through I	PART E.
I. The project is <b>NOT SUBJ</b> I	ECT TO PERMANENT STORM WATE	R REQUIREMENTS.	Д
<ol> <li>The project is a STANDA BMP requirements apply</li> </ol>	RD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT. Site of . . See the <u>Storm Water Standards M</u>	lesign and source control <u>anual</u> for guidance.	
<ol> <li>The project is PDP EXEM See the <u>Storm Water Sta</u></li> </ol>	<b>PT</b> . Site design and source control f ndards Manual for guidance.	BMP requirements apply.	
<ol> <li>The project is a PRIORIT structural pollutant contr for guidance on determine</li> </ol>	<b>Y DEVELOPMENT PROJECT</b> . Site dear ol BMP requirements apply. See the ning if project requires a hydromodi	sign, source control, and e <u>Storm Water Standards Manua</u> fication plan management	
Danny Gabriel		Managing Member	
Name of Owner or Agent (Pl	ease Print)	Title	
		Dato	
Signature		Date	
e i. Como de como d			
na na dalam kalendari dalam dalam New Second dalam			en de la companya de La companya de la comp

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR DOUBLE-SIDED PRINTING



Applicability of Permane Storm Wate	nt, Post-Con BMP Requi	struction Form I-1
Project Ic	lentification	
Project Name: Lumina II		
Permit Application Number:		Date: 1/14/19
Determination	of Requireme	nts
The purpose of this form is to identify permanent project. This form serves as a short <u>summary</u> of a separate forms that will serve as the backup for t Answer each step below starting with <b>Step 1</b> and	t, post-constru applicable requ he determinat	ction requirements that apply to the irements, in some cases referencing ion of requirements.
"Stop". Refer to the manual sections and/or sepa	rate forms refe	erenced in each step below.
Step	Answer	Progression
<b>Step 1:</b> Is the project a "development project"? See Section 1.3 of the manual	✓Yes	Go to Step 2.
(Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	No	<b>Stop</b> . Permanent BMP requirements do not apply. No SWQMP will be required. Provide discussion below.
Step 2: Is the project a Standard Project PDP or	Standard	Stop Ctop doud Duois at
PDP Exempt?	Project	requirements apply
To answer this item, see Section 1.4 of the manual in its entirety for guidance AND	✓ PDP	PDP requirements apply, including PDP SWQMP. Go to <b>Step 3</b> .
Requirements Applicability Checklist.	PDP Exempt	<b>Stop.</b> Standard Project requirements apply. Provide discussion and list any additional requirements below.
Discussion / justification, and additional requirer applicable:	nents for exce	otions to PDP definitions, if



All and some of the

Form I-1	Page 2 of 2	
Step	Answer	Progression
<b>Step 3</b> . Is the project subject to earlier PDP requirements due to a prior lawful approval? See Section 1.10 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	_Yes ✔No	Consult the City Engineer to determine requirements. Provide discussion and identify requirements below. Go to <b>Step 4</b> . BMP Design Manual PDP requirements apply. Go to <b>Step 4</b> .
Discussion / justification of prior lawful approval, <u>lawful approval does not apply</u> ):	and identify re	quirements ( <u>not required if prior</u>
<b>Step 4.</b> Do hydromodification control requirements apply? See Section 1.6 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	✓Yes	PDP structural BMPs required for pollutant control (Chapter 5) and hydromodification control (Chapter 6). Go to <b>Step 5</b> . <b>Stop</b> . PDP structural BMPs required for pollutant control (Chapter 5) only. Provide brief discussion of exemption to hydromodification control below
Discussion / justification if hydromodification cor	trol requireme	nts do <u>not</u> apply:
<b>Step 5.</b> Does protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas apply? See Section 6.2 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	✓Yes	Management measures required for protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas (Chapter 6.2). <b>Stop.</b> Management measures not required for protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas. Provide brief discussion below.
Discussion / justification if protection of critical co	L barse sediment	yield areas does <u>not</u> apply:



# **HMP Exemption Exhibit**

Attach a HMP Exemption Exhibit that shows direct storm water runoff discharge from the project site to HMP exempt area. Include project area, applicable underground storm drain line and/or concrete lined channels, outfall information and exempt waterbody. Reference applicable drawing number(s).

Exhibit must be provided on 11"x17" or larger paper.



## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR DOUBLE-SIDED PRINTING



Site Info	ormation Checklist For PDPs Form I-3B
Project Sun	nmary Information
Project Name	Lumina II
Project Address	2380 Cactus Rd, San Diego, CA 92154
Assessor's Parcel Number(s) (APN(s))	646-100-54
Permit Application Number	
Project Watershed	Select One: ☐San Dieguito River ☐Penasquitos ☐Mission Bay ☐San Diego River ☐San Diego Bay ☑Tijuana River
Hydrologic subarea name with Numeric Identifier up to two decimal places (9XX.XX)	Spring Canyon and Wruck Canyon 911.12
Project Area (total area of Assessor's Parcel(s) associated with the project or total area of the right-of- way)	<u>4.98</u> Acres ( <u>216,929</u> Square Feet)
Area to be disturbed by the project (Project Footprint)	<u>4.69</u> Acres ( <u>204,305</u> Square Feet)
Project Proposed Impervious Area (subset of Project Footprint)	<u>3.52</u> Acres ( <u>153,229</u> Square Feet)
Project Proposed Pervious Area (subset of Project Footprint)	Acres ( <u>51,076</u> Square Feet)
Note: Proposed Impervious Area + Proposed Po This may be less than the Project Area.	ervious Area = Area to be Disturbed by the Project.
The proposed increase or decrease in impervious area in the proposed condition as compared to the pre-project condition	<u>    67       </u> %



Form I-3B Page 2 of 11
Description of Existing Site Condition and Drainage Patterns
Current Status of the Site (select all that apply):
☑Existing development
Previously graded but not built out
□Agricultural or other non-impervious use
☐Vacant, undeveloped/natural
Description / Additional Information:
Existing Land Cover Includes (select all that apply):
☑ Vegetative Cover
Non-Vegetated Pervious Areas
Impervious Areas
Description / Additional Information:
Underlying Soil belongs to Hydrologic Soil Group (select all that apply):
□NRCS Type A
□NRCS Type B
NRCS Type C
☑NRCS Type D
Approximate Depth to Groundwater:
□Groundwater Depth < 5 feet
☐5 feet < Groundwater Depth < 10 feet
□10 feet < Groundwater Depth < 20 feet
☑Groundwater Depth > 20 feet
Existing Natural Hydrologic Features (select all that apply):
□Watercourses
Seeps
□ Wetlands
⊡None
Description / Additional Information:



### Form I-3B Page 4 of 11

### Description of Proposed Site Development and Drainage Patterns

Project Description / Proposed Land Use and/or Activities:

The Lumina II project represents a portion area similar to the Lumina Project and will propose development of medium density multi-unit homes, driveways and roadways and open spaces. Project Design Consultants prepared a Tentative Map for the Lumina Project (PTS# 555609) and the project-level SWQMP for the Lumina project is dated August 15, 2018. At the time of the development of the Lumina TM, the Cutberto property which is now know as the "Lumina II Project" was not owned by Colrich, but was subsequently acquired. Therefore, this subsequent entitlement is for the Lumina II property which eventually will be developed as port of the overall Lumina project site plan, but a separate entitlement is required. Calculations and Analysis for the Lumina II Project are in the Lumina Project SWQMP(PTS# 555609) and is provided in this submittal for reference.

List/describe proposed impervious features of the project (e.g., buildings, roadways, parking lots, courtyards, athletic courts, other impervious features):

The proposed impervious features of the project include building roofs, and roadways will be constructed.

List/describe proposed pervious features of the project (e.g., landscape areas): The proposed pervious features include landscape areas for housing units and small courtyard features.

Does the project include grading and changes to site topography?

**⊘**Yes

ΠNο

Description / Additional Information:

The site will be mass graded to regrade and flatten the site.



#### Form I-3B Page 5 of 11

Does the project include changes to site drainage (e.g., installation of new storm water conveyance systems)?

✓Yes

ΠNο

If yes, provide details regarding the proposed project site drainage conveyance network, including storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm water treatment facilities, natural and constructed channels, and the method for conveying offsite flows through or around the proposed project site. Identify all discharge locations from the proposed project site along with a summary of the conveyance system size and capacity for each of the discharge locations. Provide a summary of pre and post-project drainage areas and design flows to each of the runoff discharge locations. Reference the drainage study for detailed calculations.

Description / Additional Information:

Drainage still flows from the northeast side of the project to the southwest, but will be treated by a biofiltration basin designed in the Lumina SWQMP (TM PTS#555609).



	Form I-3B Page 3 of 11
	Description of Existing Site Topography and Drainage
	Whether existing drainage conveyance is natural or urban:
2	If runoff from offsite is conveyed through the site? If yes, quantification of all offsite
۲,	drainage areas design flows and locations where offsite flows enter the project site and
	summarize how such flows are conveyed through the site.
3	Provide details regarding existing project site drainage conveyance network, including
	storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm water treatment
	facilities, and natural and constructed channels:
4.	Identify all discharge locations from the existing project along with a summary of the
	conveyance system size and capacity for each of the discharge locations. Provide
]	summary of the pre-project drainage areas and design flows to each of the existing runoff
	discharge locations.
	Descriptions/Additional Information
1 The e	xisting drainage conveyance is mostly natural with minimal drainage
improve	ements.
2. In the	existing condition, there is a small amount of offsite runon draining through the
site. In t	the developed condition, the surroundings development will eliminate runon onto
the onto	the project due to the construction of Street C to north.
3.There	are currently minimal drainage improvements within the project boundary.
4.The m	najority of the project drains to the south to a steep finger canyon (Wruck Creek)
located	to the west of the existing Cactus Road/Siempre Viva Road intersection. Two of
the fing	er canyons drain to sump areas that are collected and drained to the west and
discharg	ged downstream within the canyon via an existing RCP storm drain per City
Drawing	g 23871-21-D.

.



#### Form I-3B Page 6 of 11

Identify whether any of the following features, activities, and/or pollutant source areas will be present (select all that apply):

Onsite storm drain inlets

Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps

☑Interior parking garages

Need for future indoor & structural pest control

☑ Landscape/outdoor pesticide use

Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features

☐Food service

☑Refuse areas

Industrial processes

Outdoor storage of equipment or materials

□Vehicle and equipment cleaning

Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance

Fuel dispensing areas

□Loading docks

Fire sprinkler test water

Miscellaneous drain or wash water

Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots

Description/Additional Information:



### Form I-3B Page 7 of 11

#### Identification and Narrative of Receiving Water

Narrative describing flow path from discharge location(s), through urban storm conveyance system, to receiving creeks, rivers, and lagoons and ultimate discharge location to Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable)

The project drains to the south to Wruck Canyon located to the west of the existing Cactus Road/Siempre Viva Road intersection. Two of the finger canyons drain to sump areas that are collected and drained to the west and discharged downstream within the canyon via an existing RCP storm drain per City Drawing 23871-21-D. Wruck Canyon confluences with Tijuana River, and travels southwesterly entering the Pacific Ocean close to US Mexico boundary.

Provide a summary of all beneficial uses of receiving waters downstream of the project discharge locations

Per the basin plan, Spring Canyon and Wruck Canyon have the following beneficial uses: agricultural, rec 2, warm, and wild.

Identify all ASBS (areas of special biological significance) receiving waters downstream of the project discharge locations

There are no ASBS receiving waters downstream of the projects.

Provide distance from project outfall location to impaired or sensitive receiving waters The project is located approximately 2 miles upstream of Tijuana River, which is on the 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies for the following contaminants: Eutrophic, Indicator Bacteria, Low Dissolved Oxygen, Pesticides, Phosphorus, Sedimentation/Siltation, Selenium, Solids, Surfactants (MBAS), Synthetic Organics, Total Nitrogen as N, Toxicity, Trace Elements and Trash.

Summarize information regarding the proximity of the permanent, post-construction storm water BMPs to the City's Multi-Habitat Planning Area and environmentally sensitive lands Proposed BMPs will be located out of MHPA or ESL areas.



# Form I-3B Page 8 of 11

Identification of Receiving Water Pollutants of Concern

List any 303(d) impaired water bodies within the path of storm water from the project site to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable), identify the pollutant(s)/stressor(s) causing impairment, and identify any TMDLs and/or Highest Priority Pollutants from the WQIP for the impaired water bodies:

303(d) Impaired Water Body (Refer to Appendix K)	Pollutant(s)/Stressor(s) (Refer to Appendix K)	TMDLs/WQIP Highest Priority Pollutant (Refer to Table 1-4 in Chapter 1)		
Tijuana River	Eutrophic, Indicator Bacteria, Low Dissolved Oxygen,	Eutrophic, Indicator Bacteria, Low Dissolved Oxygen,		
(cont)	Pesticides, Phosphorus, Sedimentation/Siltation,	Pesticides, Phosphorus, Sedimentation/Siltation,		
(cont)	Selenium, Solids, Surfactants (MBAB), Synthetic Organics,	Selenium, Solids, Surfactants (MBAB), Synthetic Organics,		
(cont)	Total Nitrogen as N, Toxicity, Trace Elements and Trash	Total Nitrogen as N, Toxicity, Trace Elements and Tras		
Tijuana River Estuary	Eutrophic, Indicator Bacteria, Lead, Low Dissolved Oxygen,	Eutrophic, Indicator Bacteria, Lead, Low Dissolved Oxygen,		
(cont)	Nickel, Pesticides, Thallium, Trash and Turbidity	Nickel, Pesticides, Thallium, Trash and Turbidity		
<ul> <li>Longing of Advances of Advances of Advances and Advances and Advances and Advances and Advances of Advances Advances of Advances of Advances of Advances of Adva Advances of Advances of Advance</li></ul>		en en ser se ser ser ser ser ser ser ser ser		

Identification of Project Site Pollutants\*

\*Identification of project site pollutants is only required if flow-thru treatment BMPs are implemented onsite in lieu of retention or biofiltration BMPs (note the project must also participate in an alternative compliance program unless prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements is demonstrated)

Identify pollutants anticipated from the project site based on all proposed use(s) of the site (see Appendix B.6):

Pollutant	Not Applicable to the Project Site	Anticipated from the Project Site	Also a Receiving Water Pollutant of Concern
Sediment			
Nutrients			
Heavy Metals			
Organic Compounds			
Trash & Debris			
Oxygen Demanding Substances			
Oil & Grease			
Bacteria & Viruses			
Pesticides			



Form I-3B Page 9 of 11
Hydromodification Management Requirements
Do hydromodification management requirements apply (see Section 1.6)?
Yes, hydromodification management flow control structural BMPs required.
No, the project will discharge runoff directly to existing underground storm drains discharging
directly to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.
Concrete-lined all the way from the point of discharge to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.
No, the project will discharge runoff directly to an area identified as appropriate for an exemption
by the winter for the watershed in which the project resides.
Description / Additional information (to be provided if a No answer has been selected above).
Hydromodification compliance for both projects are included in the previous study.
Tydromodiliouliou compliance for both projects are included in the previous study.
Note: If "No" answer has been selected the SWQMP must include an exhibit that shows the storm water conveyance system from the project site to an exempt water body. The exhibit should include
details about the conveyance system and the outfall to the exempt water body.
Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas*
*This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply
Based on Section 6.2 and Appendix H does CCSYA exist on the project footprint or in the upstream
area draining through the project footprint?
<b>√</b> Yes
No
Discussion / Additional Information:
Refer to Lumina SWQMP and hydromodification Study (PTS#555609).
rydromodincation compliance for both projects are included in the previous study.



Form I-3B Page 10 of 11
Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff*
*This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply List and describe point(s) of compliance (POCs) for flow control for hydromodification management (see Section 6.3.1). For each POC, provide a POC identification name or number correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit and a receiving channel identification name or number correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit. POC 1 – Outlet of Southern Systems to Spring Canyon after entering public park storm drain system.
Refer to the approved Lumina Hydromodification Study dated August 16,2018 (TM PTS #555609) for further details.
Has a geomorphic assessment been performed for the receiving channel(s)?
$\Box$ No, the low flow threshold is 0.1Q <sub>2</sub> (default low flow threshold)
$\Box$ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is $0.1Q_2$
$\Box$ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is $0.3Q_2$
$\mathbf{V}$ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is $0.5Q_2$
A Geomorphic assessment has been performed, provide title, date, and preparer: A Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels was performed by Chang Consultants for the Points of Compliance. Refer to "Hydromodification screening for Lumina-Otay Canyon Ranch" dated June 1, 2017.
Discussion / Additional Information: (optional) It was determined that channel had a low susceptibility to erosion meaning that a 0.5 factor could be used as to calculate the low flow threshold from the flow rate of the 2-year recurrence interval.
Calculations and analysis were performed in the approved Lumina Hydromodification Study (TM PTS #555609). See Study for full analysis including this Lumina II project.



Form I-3B Page 11 of 11
Other Site Requirements and Constraints
When applicable, list other site requirements or constraints that will influence storm water management design, such as zoning requirements including setbacks and open space, or local codes governing minimum street width, sidewalk construction, allowable pavement types, and drainage requirements.
Optional Additional Information or Continuation of Previous Sections As Needed
sections as needed.



Source Control BMP Checklist for PDPs	[	Form I-4B
Source Control BMPs		
All development projects must implement source control B	MPs whe	ere applicable and
feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manua	l (Part 1 c	of the Storm Water
Standards) for information to implement source control BMPs shown in	this check	dist
<ul> <li>Answer each category below pursuant to the following.</li> <li>"Yes" means the project will implement the source control BN and/or Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justi</li> <li>"No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is Discussion / justification must be provided.</li> </ul>	MP as deso ification is inot feas	cribed in Chapter 4 not required. ible to implement.
• "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site b	ecause th	e project does not
include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g. the pro-	iect has no	outdoor materials
storage areas) Discussion / justification may be provided	jeet nus ne	
Source Control Pequirement		Applied2
4.2.1 Provention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4		
	v res	
Discussion / justification in 4.2.1 not implemented.		
4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	✓ Yes	No N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.2 not implemented:		
	r	
4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run- On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	Yes	No ✓ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.3 not implemented:	•	
No outdoor material storage areas planned.		
4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	□Yes	
Discussion / justification if 4.2.4 not implemented:		
No outdoor work areas planned.		
	1	
4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and	<b>√</b> Yes	No N/A
Wind Dispersal		
Discussion / justification if 4.2.5 not implemented:		



Form I-4B Page 2 of 2			
Source Control Requirement	Applied?		
4.2.6 Additional BMPs Based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants (must answer for each			
source listed below)			
On-site storm drain inlets	✓Yes	No N/A	
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	✓Yes	□ No □ N/A	
Interior parking garages	✓Yes	□No □N/A	
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	✓Yes	No N/A	
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use	✓Yes	🗌 No 🗌 N/A	
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	✓Yes	□No □N/A	
Food service	Yes	□ No 🔽 N/A	
Refuse areas	✓Yes	□No □N/A	
Industrial processes	Yes	□No ✔N/A	
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	Yes	No ☑ N/A	
Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	Yes	□No ✔N/A	
Fuel Dispensing Areas	Yes	No ✓ N/A	
Loading Docks	Yes	No ☑ N/A	
Fire Sprinkler Test Water	✓Yes	□ No □ N/A	
Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water	<b>√</b> Yes	□No □N/A	
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	✓Yes	□ No □ N/A	
SC-6A: Large Trash Generating Facilities	Yes	No ✔N/A	
SC-6B: Animal Facilities	Yes	No ✔N/A	
SC-6C: Plant Nurseries and Garden Centers	Yes	No ✔N/A	
SC-6D: Automotive Facilities	Yes	No <b>√</b> N/A	

Discussion / justification if 4.2.6 not implemented. Clearly identify which sources of runoff pollutants are discussed. Justification must be provided for <u>all</u> "No" answers shown above.



Site Design BMP Checklist for PDPs	ſ	Form I-5I	B
Site Design BMPs All development projects must implement site design BMPs where app Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm V information to implement site design BMPs shown in this checklist. Answer each category below pursuant to the following. • "Yes" means the project will implement the site design BMP as Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justification • "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is Discussion / justification must be provided. • "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site be include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project areas to conserve). Discussion / justification may be provided. A site map with implemented site design BMPs must be included at the Site Design Paguirement	licable and Water Stan described i is not requ not feasi pecause the ect site has end of this	d feasible. dards) for in Chapter uired. ible to im e project no existir <u>s checklist</u>	See 4 and/or plement. does not ng natural
4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic Features			
1-1 Are existing natural drainage pathways and hydrologic features mapped on the site map?	Yes	□ No	✓ N/A
1-2 Are trees implemented? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	Yes	✓ No	□n/a
1-3 Implemented trees meet the design criteria in 4.3.1 Fact Sheet (e.g. soil volume, maximum credit, etc.)?	Yes	<b>√</b> No	□ N/A
1-4 Is tree credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.1 and SD-1 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	Yes	<b>√</b> No	
4.3.2 Have natural areas, soils and vegetation been conserved?	✓ Yes	No No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.2 not implemented:			



Form I-5B Page 2 of 4			
Site Design Requirement		Applied?	
4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area	✓ Yes	No	□N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.3 not implemented:			
4.2.4 Minimize Soil Compaction			
Pierussian (justification if 4.2.4 act inclusion at a	I res		
4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion	✓Yes	No	N/A .
Discussion / justification if 4.3.5 not implemented: Runoff from the concrete sidewalks will be directed onto the landscaping.			
5-1 Is the pervious area receiving runon from impervious area identified on the site map?	Yes	No	✓ N/A
5-2 Does the pervious area satisfy the design criteria in 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E (e.g. maximum slope, minimum length, etc.)	Yes	No	✓ N/A
5-3 Is impervious area dispersion credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.1 and 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	Yes	No	✓N/A



	Form I-5B Page 3 of 4			
	Site Design Requirement		Applied	?
4.3.6 Ru	noff Collection	Yes	No	✓ N/A
Disci	ussion / justification if 4.3.6 not implemented:			
6a-1	Are green roofs implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6A Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	Yes	No	<b>√</b> N/A
6a-2	Is the green roof credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.2 and 4.3.6A Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	Yes	No	✓N/A
6b-1	Are permeable pavements implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6B Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	Yes	No	<b>∕</b> N/A
6b-2	Is the permeable pavement credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.3 and 4.3.6B Fact Sheet in Appendix	Yes	□ No	<b>√</b> N/A
4.3.7 Lai	descaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	✓ Yes	No	N/A
4.3.8 Ha	rvest and Use Precipitation	Yes	<b>√</b> No	N/A
Disc Harvest &	ussion / justification if 4.3.8 not implemented: & Reuse was not triggered by the Feasibility Screening Worksheet			
8-1	Are rain barrels implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.8 Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	Yes	No	<b>√</b> N/A
8-2	Is the rain barrel credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.2 and 4.3.8 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	Yes	No	✓N/A



Form I-5B Page 4 of 4
Befer to the DMA Map for the site design BMPs Identified:
There to the DMA map for the site design DMI s.



Summany of PDP Structural BMPs Form I-6
PDP Structural BMPs
All PDPs must implement structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control (see Chapter 5 of the BMP Design Manual, Part 1 of Storm Water Standards). Selection of PDP structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control must be based on the selection process described in Chapter 5. PDPs subject to hydromodification management requirements must also implement structural BMPs for flow control for hydromodification management (see Chapter 6 of the BMP Design Manual). Both storm water pollutant control and flow control for hydromodification management can be achieved within the same structural BMP(s).
PDP structural BMPs must be verified by the City at the completion of construction. This includes requiring the project owner or project owner's representative to certify construction of the structural BMPs (complete Form DS-563). PDP structural BMPs must be maintained into perpetuity (see Chapter 7 of the BMP Design Manual).
Use this form to provide narrative description of the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the project site in the box below. Then complete the PDP structural BMP summary information sheet (page 3 of this form) for each structural BMP within the project (copy the BMP summary information page as many times as needed to provide summary information for each individual structural BMP).
Describe the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site. This information must describe how the steps for selecting and designing storm water pollutant control BMPs presented in Section 5.1 of the BMP Design Manual were followed, and the results (type of BMPs selected). For projects requiring hydromodification flow control BMPs, indicate whether pollutant control and flow control BMPs are integrated or separate.
Biofiltration with Partial Retention BMP. The South Basin will be unlined to allow infiltration.
The Lumina II project site was included in the South Basin design during the Lumina SWQMP (TM PTS#555609). This area was assumed to be multi-unit housing and multi-unit housing will be constructed in Lumina II. Pollutant and volume retention requirements are met on a regional basis with the South Basin (see the Lumina SWQMP for calculations and specific design).
The overall Lumina project includes street trees to reduce the DCV, provide additional infiltration, and also hydromodification storage for low flow attenuation.

Geotechnical testing was peformed for the overall Lumina project and indicated that rates within the site had potential for partial-retention along both the north and south drainage divides, and thus the South Basin will not be lined at the bottom of the storage to allow for infiltration.

(Continue on page 2 as necessary.)



## Form I-6 Page 2 of 1

(Continued from page 1)

Refer to Lumina SWQMP (TM PTS#555609) for the Infiltration Assessment Study and the infiltration feasibility checklist. Also refer to the Lumina report for the feasibility checklist for harvest and reuse.



Form I-6 Page 1 of 1 (Copy as many as needed)	
Structural BMP Summary Information	
Structural BMP ID No.South basin (Basin #1)	
Construction Plan Sheet No. See Lumina SWQMP (PTS#555609)	
Type of Structural BMP:	
Retention by harvest and use (e.g. HU-1, cistern)	
Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)	
Retention by bioretention (INF-2)	
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)	
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)	
Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide	
BMP type/description in discussion section below)	
Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or	
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or	
biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section below)	
Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in	
discussion section below)	
Detention pond or valit for hydromodification management	
Purpose:	
Pollutant control only	
Hydromodification control only	
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control	
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP	
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Debby Reece
Provide name and contact information for the	Project Design Consultants
DS-563	619-235-6471
	ΗΟΔ
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	НОА
What is the funding mechanism for	Revenue from Property
maintenance?	


Form I-6 Page of 1 (Copy as many as needed)				
Structural BMP ID No. South basin (Basin #1)				
Construction Plan Sheet No. See Lumina SWQMP (PTS#555609)				
Discussion (as needed; must include worksheets showing BMP sizing calculations in the SWQMPs):				



# Attachment 1 Backup For PDP Pollutant Control BMPs

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 1.



### Indicate which Items are Included:

Attachment Sequence	Contents	Checklist
Attachment 1a	DMA Exhibit (Required) See DMA Exhibit Checklist.	Refer to Lumina Included SWQMP (PTS # 555609)
Attachment 1h	Tabular Summary of DMAs Showing DMA ID matching DMA Exhibit, DMA Area, and DMA Type (Required)*	Included on DMA Exhibit in Attachment 1a
	*Provide table in this Attachment OR on DMA Exhibit in Attachment 1a	Included as Attachment 1b, separate from DMA Exhibit
	Form I-7, Harvest and Use Feasibility Screening Checklist (Required unless the entire project will use infiltration BMPs)	Included Refer to Lumina SWQMP (PTS # 555609)
Attachment 1c	Refer to Appendix B.3-1 of the BMP Design Manual to complete Form I-7.	entire project will use infiltration BMPs
	Infiltration Feasibility Information. Contents of Attachment 1d depend on the infiltration condition:	
	<ul> <li>No Infiltration Condition:         <ul> <li>Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter (Note: must be stamped and signed by licensed geotechnical engineer)</li> <li>Form I-84 (optional)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Refer to Lumina SWQMP (PTS # 555609)
Attachment 1d	<ul> <li>Form I-8B (optional)</li> <li>Form I-8B (optional)</li> <li>Partial Infiltration Condition:         <ul> <li>Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter (Note: must be stamped and signed by licensed geotechnical engineer)</li> <li>Form I-8A</li> <li>Form I-8B</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Not included because the entire project will use harvest and use BMPs
	<ul> <li>Full Infiltration Condition:         <ul> <li>Form I-8A</li> <li>Form I-8B</li> <li>Worksheet C.4-3</li> <li>Form I-9</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to Appendices C and D of the BMP Design Manual for guidance.</li> </ul>	
Attachment 1e	Pollutant Control BMP Design Worksheets / Calculations (Required)	Included
	Refer to Appendices B and E of the BMP Design Manual for structural pollutant control BMP design guidelines and site design credit calculations	Refer to Lumina SWQMP (PTS # 555609)



# Attachment 2 Backup for PDP Hydromodification Control Measures

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 2.

Mark this box if this attachment is empty because the project is exempt from PDP hydromodification management requirements.

# Refer to Lumina SWQMP (PTS # 555609)



### Indicate which Items are Included:

Attachment Sequence	Contents	Checklist
Attachment 2a	Hydromodification Management Exhibit (Required)	Included See Hydromodification Management Exhibit Checklist.
Attachment 2b	Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas (WMAA Exhibit is required, additional analyses are optional) See Section 6.2 of the BMP Design Manual.	<ul> <li>Exhibit showing project drainage boundaries marked on WMAA Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area Map (Required)</li> <li>Optional analyses for Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area Determination         <ul> <li>6.2.1 Verification of Geomorphic Landscape Units Onsite</li> <li>6.2.2 Downstream Systems Sensitivity to Coarse Sediment</li> <li>6.2.3 Optional Additional Analysis of Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Onsite</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Attachment 2c	Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (Optional) See Section 6.3.4 of the BMP Design Manual.	<ul> <li>Not Performed</li> <li>Included</li> <li>Submitted as separate stand- alone document</li> </ul>
Attachment 2d	Flow Control Facility Design and Structural BMP Drawdown Calculations (Required) Overflow Design Summary for each structural BMP See Chapter 6 and Appendix G of the BMP Design Manual	<ul> <li>Included</li> <li>Submitted as separate stand- alone document</li> </ul>



### Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the Hydromodification Management Exhibit:

The Hydromodification Management Exhibit must identify:

Underlying hydrologic soil group

Approximate depth to groundwater

Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands)

- Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected OR provide a separate map showing that the project site is outside of any critical coarse sediment yield areas
- **✓** Existing topography

**v** Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite

Proposed grading

✓ Proposed impervious features

Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness

Point(s) of Compliance (POC) for Hydromodification Management

Existing and proposed drainage boundary and drainage area to each POC (when necessary, create separate exhibits for pre-development and post-project conditions)

Structural BMPs for hydromodification management (identify location, type of BMP, and size/detail).



# Attachment 3 Structural BMP Maintenance Information

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 3.

Refer to Lumina SWQMP (PTS # 555609)



### Indicate which Items are Included:

Attachment Sequence	Contents	Checklist
	Maintenance Agreement (Form	Included
Attachment 3	DS-3247) (when applicable)	Not applicable



### Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included in the Structural BMP Maintenance Information Attachment:

**Attachment 3**: For private entity operation and maintenance, Attachment 3 must include a Storm Water Management and Discharge Control Maintenance Agreement (Form DS-3247). The following information must be included in the exhibits attached to the maintenance agreement:



✓ Vicinity map

Site design BMPs for which DCV reduction is claimed for meeting the pollutant control obligations.

BMP and HMP location and dimensions

BMP and HMP specifications/cross section/model

Maintenance recommendations and frequency

LID features such as (permeable paver and LS location, dim, SF).



# Attachment 4 Copy of Plan Sheets Showing Permanent Storm Water BMPs

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 4.

Refer to Lumina SWQMP (PTS # 555609)



### Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the plans:

The plans must identify:

- Structural BMP(s) with ID numbers matching Form I-6 Summary of PDP Structural BMPs
- ✓ The grading and drainage design shown on the plans must be consistent with the delineation of DMAs shown on the DMA exhibit
- Details and specifications for construction of structural BMP(s)
- Signage indicating the location and boundary of structural BMP(s) as required by the City Engineer
- How to access the structural BMP(s) to inspect and perform maintenance
- Features that are provided to facilitate inspection (e.g., observation ports, cleanouts, silt posts, or other features that allow the inspector to view necessary components of the structural BMP and compare to maintenance thresholds)
- ✓ Manufacturer and part number for proprietary parts of structural BMP(s) when applicable
- Maintenance thresholds specific to the structural BMP(s), with a location-specific frame of reference (e.g., level of accumulated materials that triggers removal of the materials, to be identified based on viewing marks on silt posts or measured with a survey rod with respect to a fixed benchmark within the BMP)
- **I** Recommended equipment to perform maintenance
- When applicable, necessary special training or certification requirements for inspection and maintenance personnel such as confined space entry or hazardous waste management
- ✓ Include landscaping plan sheets showing vegetation requirements for vegetated \_\_\_\_\_\_ structural BMP(s)
  - All BMPs must be fully dimensioned on the plans
- When proprietary BMPs are used, site specific cross section with outflow, inflow and model number shall be provided. Broucher photocopies are not allowed.



# Attachment 5 Drainage Report

Attach project's drainage report. Refer to Drainage Design Manual to determine the reporting requirements.



### PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT LUMINA II (PTS# \_\_\_\_\_) CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CA January 16th, 2019

Prepared For:

**COLRICH** 444 West Beech Street, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92101

Prepared By:



## **PROJECT DESIGN CONSULTANTS**

701 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 619.235.6471 Tel 619.234.0349 Fax

Planning | Landscape Architecture | Engineering | Survey

PDC Job No. 2357.60

Prepared by: C. Pack, P.E. *Under the supervision of* 



Debby Reece, PE RCE 56148 Registration Expires 12/31/20

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INJ	FRODUCTION	1
2.	PRO	OJECT BACKGROUND & RELATION TO PREVIOUS STUDIES	2
3.	EX	ISTING AND PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS AND IMPROVEMENTS	2
	3.1	Existing Drainage Patterns	3
	3.2	Proposed Drainage Improvements	3
4.	HY	DROLOGY CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS	3
5.	CO	NCLUSION	4

### <u>APPENDICES</u>

2 Approved Lumina Drainage Report (For Reference Only)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes the proposed storm water drainage improvements for the Lumina II entitlement submittal. The Lumina II project is owned by Colrich, and represents a portion of the Otay Mesa Central Village Specific Plan (CVSP) area. The TM development proposes development consistent with the land use designations of the approved Specific Plan. The overall drainage criteria for the project was identified in the technical report for the Specific Plan, entitled Preliminary Drainage and Water Quality Summary for the Otay Mesa Central Village Specific Plan (PTS 408329), which was prepared by Project Design Consultants and is dated January 22, 2016. Subsequent to the development of the Specific Plan report, Project Design Consultants prepared a Tentative Map for the Lumina Project (PTS# 555609) and the project-level drainage study for the Lumina project is dated August 15, 2018. At the time of the development of the Lumina TM, the Cutberto property which is now know as the "Lumina II Project" was not owned by Colrich, but was subsequently acquired. Therefore, this subsequent entitlement is for the Lumina II property which eventually will be developed as port of the overall Lumina project site plan, but a separate entitlement is required. The project is located South of the 905 highway along Cactus Road and northwest of the Siempre Viva intersection. See Figure 1 for a Vicinity Map.



Figure 1: Vicinity Map

### 2. PROJECT BACKGROUND & RELATION TO PREVIOUS STUDIES

The project site was previously included in the drainage area evaluated in the preliminary Lumina Drainage Study (PTS #555609) because the Lumina project surrounded the Lumina II parcel and therefore incorporated the runon into the overall study. The Lumina II parcel was modeled in the previous study with an ultimate condition runoff coefficient for a multi-unit housing site. Therefore, project-level drainage analysis was already evaluated in the previous report. This Lumina II report, as a supplement document to the approved Lumina Drainage Study, shows that this Lumina II project is in compliance with the drainage criteria.

### 3. EXISTING AND PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS AND IMPROVEMENTS

The following sections provide descriptions of the existing and proposed drainage patterns and improvements for the project.

### 3.1 Existing Drainage Patterns

The site currently has a single family home and a wood shed on the western edge of the project. The rest of the site consists of dirt, shrubs, and trees. Topography within the project site is characterized by mostly gently sloping areas. There are currently minimal drainage improvements within the project boundary. The site drains to the south across the property boundary into the Lumina property, which is also owned by Colrich. From an overall perspective , the site drains to the south to a steep finger canyon (Wruck Creek) located to the west of the existing Cactus Road/Siempre Viva Road intersection. Two of the finger canyons drain to sump areas that are collected and drained to the west and discharged downstream within the canyon via an existing RCP storm drain per City Drawing 23871-21-D.

### **3.2 Proposed Drainage Improvements**

The proposed drainage patterns and drainage improvements have been designed to mimic existing drainage patterns. All proposed drainage improvements from the Lumina II project can be found within the Lumina Drainage Study (PTS#555609) and will be further refined during final engineering. Because the ultimate condition for the Lumina II project was already evaluated in the Lumina Drainage Study, no further calculations are required. The drainage improvements for the proposed Lumina II project will drain into the storm drain improvements for the Lumina project, and the drainage will be detained in the proposed Lumina South Basin.

### 4. HYDROLOGY CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS

The hydrologic analysis was performed for the overall Lumina site (which included Lumina II) and can be found in the approved Lumina Drainage Study (PTS#555609), which is included in this submittal for reference. This Lumina II report does not include additional hydrologic analysis because the project site is the same land use as assumed in the previous study (multi-unit housing).

### 5. CONCLUSION

The proposed project development complies with detention criteria outlined in previous studies, and therefore, should not adversely affect downstream drainage conditions. The storm drain infrastructure in the Lumina Drainage Study (PTS#555609) will be adequate to convey the design flows and will be addressed regionally for both the Lumina and Lumina II projects. The storm drain detention facilities are designed as combined facilities for hydromodification and water quality purposes in addition to peak flow detention.

# **APPENDIX 1**

# Drainage Exhibit



## **APPENDIX 2**

# Lumina Drainage Study (For Reference Only)

## PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT LUMINA (PTS 555609) CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CA August 15, 2018

Prepared For:

### COLRICH 444 West Beech Street, Suite 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Prepared By:



## **PROJECT DESIGN CONSULTANTS**

701 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 619.235.6471 Tel 619.234.0349 Fax

Planning | Landscape Architecture | Engineering | Survey

PDC Job No. 2357.35

Prepared by: C. Pack, P.E. Under the supervision of



Debby Reece, PE RCE 56148 Registration Expires 12/31/18

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.	PRO	JECT BACKGROUND & RELATION TO PREVIOUS STUDIES	2
3.	EXI	STING AND PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS AND IMPROVEMENTS	4
3	5.1	Floodplains	4
3	5.2	Existing Drainage Patterns	4
3	3.3	Proposed Drainage Improvements	6
4.	HYI	DROLOGY CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS	7
4	<b>I</b> .1	Hydrology Criteria	7
4	1.2	Hydrologic Methodology	8
4	1.3	Description of Hydrologic Modeling Software	8
Z	1.4	Hydrology Results	9
5.	HY	DRAULIC CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS 1	0
6.	CO	NCLUSION 1	.0

### **TABLES**

Table 1: Hydrology	Criteria	7
Table 2: Hydrology	Results 1	0

### **APPENDICES**

I Intensity Duration Frequency Curve and Runot
------------------------------------------------

- 2 Existing Conditions Rational Method Computer Output
- 3 Proposed Conditions Rational Method Computer Output
- 4 Drainage Study for the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update (For Reference Only)
- 5 Drainage Exhibits

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes the existing and proposed storm water drainage improvements for the Lumina Tentative Map (TM) submittal. The Lumina project is owned by Colrich, and represents a portion of the Otay Mesa Central Village Specific Plan (CVSP) area. The TM development proposes development consistent with the land use designations of the recently approved Specific Plan. The overall drainage criteria for the project was identified in the technical report for the Specific Plan, entitled *Preliminary Drainage and Water Quality Summary for the Otay Mesa Central Village Specific Plan* (PTS 408329), which was prepared by Project Design Consultants and is dated January 22, 2016. The Specific Plan designated land uses within the proposed village area to accommodate future development consistent with the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update. Consistent with the land use designations applied to the site by the CVSP, the TM proposes development of Medium High Density Mixed-Use, Medium Density Multi-Family, Low Density Multi-Family, Public School Facilities, Recreation, and Open Space land uses.

This TM report builds upon the programmatic level drainage analysis in the Specific Plan and addresses the project-specific level analysis required for the Colrich parcels currently proposed per the Lumina TM. The project proposes a TM application for a 93.43-acre site located north of Siempre Viva Road and west of Cactus Road in the Otay Mesa community of the City of San Diego within the CVSP area. See Figure 1 for a Vicinity Map. The project proposes to impact small drainages in the canyons of the northern and southern project limits. We have mapped jurisdictional non-wetland Waters of the US (WUS) in these drainages. As such, we're anticipating needing agency permits (Corps 404, CDFW 1602, and RWQCB 401). We've got this in our scope and will be doing the fieldwork soon to update the delineation mapping.

1



Figure 1: Vicinity Map

### 2. PROJECT BACKGROUND & RELATION TO PREVIOUS STUDIES

This report builds on the work done previously for the CVSP. The work done for the CVSP was based on the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update (CPU) and its associated EIR. Specifically, the Otay Mesa CPU Drainage Study that was part of the EIR outlined the drainage and water quality requirements for future development within Otay Mesa and identified some of the regional drainage and flooding issues within the area. The report is titled *Drainage Study for the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update*, and was prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates in April 2007. Included in that report is as a companion study entitled *Review of Otay Mesa Drainage* 

Studies, prepared by Tetratech. For a copy of this previously approved CPU Drainage report, refer to Appendix 4.

The report outlines the history and drainage challenges associated with the development of the Otay Mesa Community Plan Area. For example, for most of its early history, Otay Mesa was used for agriculture and farming. As industrial and commercial development started taking place in the 1960s, the City of San Diego recognized the need for a comprehensive drainage Master Plan for the Mesa. The topography of the majority of the area is mostly flat and some of the areas experience flooding during moderate storm events, particularly within the East Watershed (per the Watershed Map in the CPU Drainage Study). There was concern that the new development would increase the stormwater runoff crossing the border into Mexico. In 1987, the City Council approved a contract to prepare the Otay Mesa Master Drainage Plan and published a Notice to "All Private Engineers" that established drainage requirements for development within the East Watershed of Otay Mesa. (Refer to page 2 of the CPU Drainage Study). The Notice required no increase in the rate of stormwater runoff from the property after development, by construction of stormwater detention basins. Most of the drainage analysis associated with the CPU Drainage Study focused on the East Watershed, but the CPU Drainage Study also addressed the other areas within the CPU boundary. The Central Village Specific Plan is within the West Watershed, which is less developed than the East Watershed but still has some of the same drainage challenges. Per Section VII of the CPU Drainage report, the following describes the recommended drainage design criteria for future development within the West Watershed (which includes the Specific Plan Area):

The West Watershed consists of smaller Mesa-top watersheds that drain into the tributary canyons of Spring Canyon. All of the flow from the watershed flows into Mexico at the Spring Canyon concentration point. Detention basins will be required to reduce the post-development peak flows to predevelopment levels for the 50-year and 100-year storm. If the detention basins concentrate flows at the upper edge of canyons, care must be taken to ensure that erosion potential is not increased downstream.

Therefore, the requirements of the West and East watersheds are different. While developments in the East watershed requires conformance with the Notice to "All Private Engineers", the West

3

watershed is not subject to the same requirements, but it is subject to the 50-year and 100-year storm detention requirement, as outlined in the above paragraph.

Subsequent to the preparation of the previous Otay Mesa Drainage Studies, Caltrans has built the new State Route 905 and there have been other changes and development within the watershed. Some of the regional drainage improvements proposed in the original studies and master plans to alleviate regional flooding issues have still not been resolved. Therefore, this report follows the the guidance for future development established with the Specific Plan, specifically the requirement for detention. The guidance will require compliance with the overall goals of the CPU (reduce post-development peak flows) and will also require compliance with the applicable stormwater quality regulations.

#### 3. EXISTING AND PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS AND IMPROVEMENTS

The following sections provide descriptions of the existing and proposed drainage patterns and improvements for the project.

### 3.1 Floodplains

The project is located within an area of the non-printed FEMA Firm Panel 06073C2200G. Per the FIRM index sheet, the panel is not printed is because there are no special flood hazard areas within the panel sheet. Therefore, there are no FEMA special flood hazard areas within the project. However, although there is no FEMA special flood hazard areas, there may be areas of localized flooding in the canyon and other drainage concentration points.

### 3.2 Existing Drainage Patterns

The site is currently used for agricultural uses, and there are a few residences and buildings scattered through the site. Topography within the project site is characterized by mostly gently sloping areas, with portions of the perimeter of the property within steep canyon areas. There are currently minimal drainage improvements within the project boundary. The majority of the project drains to the south to a steep finger canyon (Wruck Creek) located to the west of the

existing Cactus Road/Siempre Viva Road intersection. Two of the finger canyons drain to sump areas that are collected and drained to the west and discharged downstream within the canyon via an existing RCP storm drain per City Drawing 23871-21-D. A large portion of the project area drains to the northwest to a canyon (North tributary of Spring Canyon) on the north side of the proposed Airway Road. A small portion of the project area (Cactus Road north of Airway Road) drains to the north along Cactus Road and drains into a culvert underneath Cactus Road. After crossing Cactus Road, the runoff commingles with other runoff draining from upstream areas including Caltrans right-of-way and then drains to the upstream point of the North Canyon.

See Exhibit A in Appendix 5 for the existing condition hydrology maps. Onsite drainage is divided generally into two main drainage areas, North and South. The Southern systems include Systems 100 and 200, and the Northern systems include Systems 300 and 500. They include the following areas:

System 100: System 100 represents the area that drains to the south towards the finger canyon near the southerly property line near the existing eastern headwall per Drawing 23871-21-D.

System 200: System 200 represents the area that drains to the south towards the existing steep finger canyon that flows in a southerly direction and enters the western headwall per Drawing 23871-21-D.

System 300: System 300 represents the area that drains to the northwest towards the north tributary of Spring Canyon.

<u>System 500</u>: System 500 represents the area that drains to the north along Cactus Road and eventually drains to the culvert that crosses underneath Cactus Road approximately 600 feet to the north of the site.

In order to adequately compare existing flows to proposed flows at each of the project outfalls and to provide a valid comparison, the downstream limits of the existing drainage boundaries

5

match the limits of the proposed drainage boundaries. This was needed because of the large number of drainage outfalls, and lack of concentration points in the pre-developed condition.

#### **3.3** Proposed Drainage Improvements

The proposed drainage patterns and drainage improvements have been designed to mimic existing drainage patterns. The proposed project will include a storm drain system consisting of inlets, pipes, brow ditches, roof drains, and water quality features/detention basins. Development of the site includes development of the backbone public streets with the associated utilities and the mass grading of the lots for future development. The lots will be developed with a range of land uses, including parks, residential, mixed use, and educational uses.

The proposed drainage improvements include public storm drain infrastructure serving the proposed public streets, and private storm drain improvements serving the private development lots. The backbone storm drain system will provide storm drain stubs to serve the proposed developable lots. The lots will be developed in phases.

Refer to Exhibit B for the proposed conditions hydrology map. The Southern systems include Systems 1000 and 2000, and the Northern systems include Systems 3000, 4000, and 5000. They include the following areas:

System 1000: System 1000 represents the area that drains to the south and into proposed Basin 1 (combined biofiltration/hydromodification/detention). The outlet of Basin 1 drains towards the existing eastern headwall per Drawing 23871-21-D.

System 2000: System 2000 represents the runon area draining onto the site from upstream areas to the west of the property. It also collects the portion of the future Trails Park. The drainage area drains towards the existing western headwall per Drawing 23871-21-D.

System 3000: System 3000 represents the drainage area that drains to the northerly canyon, but bypasses Basin 4. The drainage area includes a portion of Airway Road.

6

Airway Road will be extended to the west in the future by others with subsequent developments to the west.

<u>System 4000</u>: System 4000 represents the drainage area that drains to the northwest to Basin 4 and then outlets to the proposed storm drain outfall to the northerly canyon. Basin 4 is a combined biofiltration/hydromodification/detention basin. Note that a portion of existing Airway Road east of Cactus Road drains to the basin, so the ultimate width is used for the sizing calculations, even though the project's proposed widening will be widened to an interim width.

System 5000: System 5000 represents the Cactus Road drainage area that drains to the north along Cactus Road towards the existing culvert located north of the project approximately 600 feet to the north of the site.

### 4. HYDROLOGY CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS

The site was modeled for existing and proposed conditions to prevent any downstream impacts or increase in flow rates. Site hydrology was assessed to generate hydrographs to route through the basins being designed in concert with hydromodification criteria. Please see the Preliminary Hydromodification Management Study by Project Design Consultants for additional information regarding basin and outflow structure design.

### 4.1 Hydrology Criteria

Table 1 summarizes the key hydrology assumptions and criteria used for the hydrologic modeling.

### Table 1: Hydrology Criteria

Existing and Proposed Hydrology:	100-year storm frequency	
Soil Type:	Hydrologic Soil Group D	

Land Use / Runoff Coefficients:	Assigned for each drainage area based on estimated percent imperviousness (C values range from C=0.45 to C=0.95).		
Rainfall intensity:	Based on intensity duration frequency relationships presented in the <u>1984 City of San Diego Drainage Design</u> <u>Manual</u>		

### 4.2 Hydrologic Methodology

The Rational Method was used to determine the onsite 100-year storm flow for the design of the Project storm drainpipe improvements. The goal of this analysis was to:

- Determine the design flows for the sizing of storm drainpipe improvements.
- Determine project flows that will be conveyed by the storm drainpipe systems within the project.
- Determine the differences in the drainage conditions between existing and proposed conditions for sizing of detention facilities.

The Rational Method was used to calculate onsite and offsite runoff for the 100-year storm. CivilD hydrologic computer software was used to model the onsite and offsite drainage basins. Per the *City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual*, hydrologic soil type D was utilized for all calculations. The runoff coefficients were assigned based on the percent imperviousness proposed for each lot.

### 4.3 Description of Hydrologic Modeling Software

The Civil-D Rational Method Program was used to perform the Rational Method hydrologic calculations. This section provides a brief explanation of the computational procedure used in the computer model.

The Civil-D Modified Rational Method Hydrology Program is a computer-aided design program where the user develops a node link model of the watershed. Developing independent node link models for each interior watershed and linking these sub-models together at confluence points

8

creates the node link model. The intensity-duration-frequency relationships are applied to each of the drainage areas in the model to get the peak flow rates at each point of interest.

The peak flows at the inlets to the basins were used to generate inflow hydrographs utilizing the RickRatHydro program. This program artificially generates a program based on time of concentration and an expected 2/3rds storm distribution as provided in Figure 6-2 of the Hydrology Manual. These hydrographs could them be routed through the basins to produce the final proposed peak flow. The EPA's Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) was used to route these hydrographs through the basins. For more information on this modeling effort see the Preliminary Hydromodofication Management Report for the project. With these routing efforts it was demonstrated that the appropriate reduction could be achieved. For future submittal SWMM will need to be utilized to demonstrate the 100 year storm is appropriately attenuated as only SWMM is capable representing the hybrid biofiltration system with above and below ground storage.

### 4.4 Hydrology Results

The proposed detention basins will mitigate peak flows to effectively reduce the post-developed runoff from the site due to the development, consistent with the drainage criteria outlined in the CPU Drainage Study. The basins will have a large subsurface detention area below the biofiltration, such that the combination of surface and subsurface storage will mitigate for peak-flow and hydromodification management. Detention routing will be performed for subsequent submittals. Table 2 below summarizes the Rational Method results for the key areas of interest.

9

Table 2: Hydrology i	Results
----------------------	---------

	EXISTING CONDITIONS			PROPOSED CONDITIONS		
<u>Outfall of</u> <u>Interest</u>	<u>System</u>	<u>Q100</u> (cfs)	<u>Contrib. Area</u> (acres)	<u>System</u>	<u>0100 (cfs)</u>	<u>Contrib. Area</u> (acres)
North	System 300	37.7	30.1	System 3000	3.9	0.9
	System 500	11.7	7.7	System 4000	105.6 undetained 13.4 detained	33.9
	C. L. L. L. L.			System 5000	6.9	1.9
	Subtotal:	49.4	37.8	Subtotal:	24.2	36.7
South	System 100	28.4	20.7	System 1000	151.6 undetained 36.2 detained	63.4
	System 200	54.0	49.3	System 2000	10.2	8.2
1 	Subtotal:	82.4	70.0	Subtotal:	46.4	71.6
	Total:	131.8	107.8	Total:	70.6	108.3

### 5. HYDRAULIC CRITERIA, METHODOLOGY, AND RESULTS

Hydraulic calculations will be performed during final engineering. The preliminary design for each basin includes additional depth for freeboard.

### 6. CONCLUSIONP

Proposed project development complies with detention criteria outlined in previous studies, and therefore, should not adversely affect downstream drainage conditions. The proposed onsite storm drain infrastructure will be adequate to convey the design flows. The storm drain detention facilities are designed as combined facilities for hydromodification and water quality purposes in addition to peak flow detention.

P:\2357.35\Engr\Reports\Drainage-TM\2357.35 TM DRAINAGE.doc

.

,



. . . . .

## **APPENDIX 1**

Intensity Duration Frequency Curve and Runoff Coefficients
### TABLE 2

### RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS (RATIONAL METHOD)

#### DEVELOPED AREAS (URBAN)

Land Use	Coefficient, C Soil Type (1)
Residential:	<u>D</u>
Single Family	.55
Multi-Units	.70
Mobile Homes	.65
Rural (lots greater than 1/2 acre)	.45
Commercial (2) 80% Impervious	.85
Industrial (2) 90% Impervious	.95

#### NOTES:

- (1) Type D soil to be used for all areas.
- (2) Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in no case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.

Actual imper	vious	ness			=	50%
Tabulated im	pervi	ousness			=	80%
Revised C	=	<u>50</u> 80	ĸ	0.85	=	0.53

ELEV.FACTOR0-1500L001500-30001.253000-40001.424000-50001.605000-60001.70DESERT1.25

To obtain correct Intensity, multiply Intensity on chart by factor for design elevation.

COUNTY

O T

SAN DIEGO

INTENSITY - DURATION-

FREQUEN

RAINFALL

CURVES



DURATION

APPENDIX

· · ·

### **APPENDIX 2**

# **Existing Conditions Rational Method Computer Output**

San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program

CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 1991-2003 Version 6.3 Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 05/20/17 \_\_\_\_\_ 2357.50 ILLUMINA EXISTING CONDITIONS 100-YEAR FILE:S100E100 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Hydrology Study Control Information \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Program License Serial Number 4049 Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0 English (in-lb) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply \* intensity) = 1.000 Only used if inside City of San Diego San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Process from Point/Station 100.000 to Point/Station 101.000 \*\*\*\* INITIAL AREA EVALUATION \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 103.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 512.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 510.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 2.000(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 9.52 min.  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(\$ slope^{(1/3)}]$  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(103.000^{.5})/(1.942^{(1/3)}] = 9.52$ Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.435(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450Subarea runoff = 0.232(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.150(Ac.) Process from Point/Station 101.000 to Point/Station 102.000

Upstream point elevation = 510.000(Ft.)

\*\*\*\* IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME \*\*\*\*

Downstream point elevation = . 462.000(Ft.)

```
Channel length thru subarea = 2096.000(Ft.)
Channel base width = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 10.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 10.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                               13.703(CFS)
Manning's 'N' = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel =
                            2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 13.703(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.300(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.508(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 16.008 (Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 3.51(Ft/s)
Travel time = 9.96 min.
Time of concentration = 19.48 min.
Critical depth = 0.344(Ft.)
Adding area flow to channel
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
Rainfall intensity = 2.611(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
Subarea runoff = 20.478 (CFS) for 17.430 (Ac.)
Total runoff ≒
                 20.709(CFS)
                              Total area =
                                               17.58(Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 102.000 to Point/Station
                                                      105.000
**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****
Upstream point elevation = 462.000(Ft.)
Downstream point elevation = 425.000(Ft.)
Channel length thru subarea = 234.000(Ft.)
Channel base width = 5.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = .5.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 5.000
Manning's 'N'
             = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel =
                           2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 20.709(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.325(Ft.), Average velocity = 9.608(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 8.253(Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 9.61(Ft/s)
Travel time = 0.41 min.
Time of concentration = 19.88 min.
Critical depth = 0.648(Ft.)
Process from Point/Station 103.000 to Point/Station
                                                        105.000
**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[INDUSTRIAL area type
                                         ]
Time of concentration =
                        19.88 min.
Rainfall intensity = 2.587(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.950
Subarea runoff = 7.718 (CFS) for 3.140 (Ac.)
Total runoff = 28.427 (CFS) Total area =
                                               20.72(Ac.)
End of computations, total study area =
                                        20.720 (Ac.)
```

San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 1991-2003 Version 6.3 Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 05/20/17 2357.50 ILLUMINA EXISTING CONDITIONS SYSTEM 200, FILE: S200E100 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Hydrology Study Control Information \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Program License Serial Number 4049 Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0 English (in-lb) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply \* intensity) = 1.000 Only used if inside City of San Diego San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Process from Point/Station 200.000 to Point/Station 201.000 \*\*\*\* INITIAL AREA EVALUATION \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 228.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 514.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 510.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 4.000(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 14.65 min.  $TC = [1.8*(l.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)}]$  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(228.000^{.5})/(1.754^{(1/3)}] = 14.65$ Rainfall intensity (I) = 2.932(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 1.148(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.870(Ac.)

Upstream point elevation = 510.000(Ft.) Downstream point elevation = 490.000(Ft.)

```
Channel length thru subarea = 1131.000(Ft.)
Channel base width = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 10.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 10.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                                11.055(CFS)
Manning's 'N'
              = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel =
                            2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 11.055(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.286(Ft.), Average velocity =
                                              3.000(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 15.729(Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 3.00(Ft/s)
Travel time = 6.28 min.
Time of concentration = 20.93 min.
Critical depth =
                  0.301(Ft.)
 Adding area flow to channel
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
Rainfall intensity = 2.528(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
Subarea runoff = 17.088(CFS) for 15.020(Ac.)
Total runoff =
                 18.236(CFS) Total area =
                                                15.89(Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 202.000 to Point/Station 203.000
**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****
Upstream point elevation = 490.000(Ft.)
Downstream point elevation = 414.000(Ft.)
Channel length thru subarea = 1266.000(Ft.)
Channel base width = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 5.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 5.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                                 36.695(CFS)
Manning's 'N'
              = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel =
                            2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 36.695(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.417(Ft.), Average velocity =
                                              7.282(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 14.170(Ft.)
Flow Velocity =
               7.28(Ft/s)
Travel time = 2.90 min.
Time of concentration = 23.83 min.
Critical depth = 0.664(Ft.)
 Adding area flow to channel
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
Rainfall intensity = 2.377 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
Subarea runoff = 34.410(CFS) for 32.170(Ac.)
                 52.646(CFS)
Total runoff =
                              Total area =
                                                48.06(Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 203.000 to Point/Station 205.000
**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****
```

Upstream point elevation = 414.000(Ft.)

```
Downstream point elevation = 404.000 (Ft.)

Channel length thru subarea = 162.000 (Ft.)

Channel base width = 10.000 (Ft.)

Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 5.000

Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 5.000

Manning's 'N' = 0.025

Maximum depth of channel = 2.000 (Ft.)

Flow(q) thru subarea = 52.646 (CFS)

Depth of flow = 0.508 (Ft.), Average velocity = 8.273 (Ft/s)

Channel flow top width = 15.075 (Ft.)

Flow Velocity = 8.27 (Ft/s)

Travel time = 0.33 min.

Time of concentration = 24.15 min.

Critical depth = 0.820 (Ft.)
```

Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 24.15 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.361(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 1.349(CFS) for 1.270(Ac.) Total runoff = 53.995(CFS) Total area = 49.33(Ac.) End of computations, total study area = 49.330 (Ac.) .

.

San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program

CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 1991-2003 Version 6.3 Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 05/20/17 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 2357.30 ILLUMINA EXISTING CONDITIONS SYSTEM 300, FILE: S300E100 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Hydrology Study Control Information \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ Program License Serial Number 4049 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0 English (in-lb) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply \* intensity) = 1.000 Only used if inside City of San Diego San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method \*\*\*\*\* Process from Point/Station 301.000 to Point/Station 302.000 \*\*\*\* INITIAL AREA EVALUATION \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 194.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 514.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 510.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 4.000(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 12.80 min.  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)}]$  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(194.000^{5})/(2.062^{1/3})] = 12.80$ Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.084(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 0.999(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.720(Ac.) Process from Point/Station 302.000 to Point/Station 304.000 \*\*\*\* IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME \*\*\*\*

Upstream point elevation = 510.000(Ft.) Downstream point elevation = 488.000(Ft.)

```
Channel length thru subarea = 675.000 (Ft.)
Channel base width = 10.000 (Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 10.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 10.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                                   6.890(CFS)
Manning's 'N' = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel = 2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 6.890(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.184(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.154(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 13.688(Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 3.15(Ft/s)
Travel time = 3.57 min.
Time of concentration = 16.37 min.
Critical depth = 0.227(Ft.)
Adding area flow to channel
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
Rainfall intensity = 2.807(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
Subarea runoff = 10.724(CFS) for 8.490(Ac.)
Total runoff =
                  11.723(CFS) Total area =
                                                   9.21 (Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 303.000 to Point/Station
                                                          304.000
**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[INDUSTRIAL area type
                                           ]
Time of concentration = 16.37 min.
Rainfall intensity = 2.807(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.950
Subarea runoff = 0.427(CFS) for 0.160(Ac.)
Total runoff = 12.150(CFS) Total area =
                                                   9.37 (Ac.)
**********************
Process from Point/Station 304.000 to Point/Station 310.000
**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****
Upstream point elevation = 488.000(Ft.)
Downstream point elevation = 439.000(Ft.)
Channel length thru subarea = 420.000 (Ft.)
Channel base width = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 10.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 10.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                                  21.136(CFS)
Manning's 'N' = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel =
                             2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 21.136(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.243(Ft.), Average velocity = 7.007(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 14.855(Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 7.01(Ft/s)
Travel time =
                 1.00 min.
Time of concentration = 17.37 min.
Critical depth = 0.445(Ft.)
 Adding area flow to channel
```

Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Rainfall intensity = 2.740(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 17.092(CFS) for 13.860(Ac.) Subarea runoff = Total runoff = 29.241 (CFS) Total area = 23.23(Ac.) Process from Point/Station 305.000 to Point/Station 310.000 \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 17.37 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.740(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 7.633 (CFS) for 6.190 (Ac.) Total runoff = 36.875(CFS) Total area = 29.42(Ac.) Process from Point/Station 308.000 to Point/Station 310.000

\*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\*
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]

Time of concentration = 17.37 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.740 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 0.802 (CFS) for 0.650 (Ac.) Total runoff = 37.676 (CFS) Total area = 30.07 (Ac.) End of computations, total study area = 30.070 (Ac.)

· · · · · ·

San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 1991-2003 Version 6.3 Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 06/01/17 2357.50 LUMINA EXISTING CONDITIONS SYSTEM 500, FILE: S500E100 \*\*\*\*\*\*\* Hydrology Study Control Information \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Program License Serial Number 4049 \_\_\_\_\_ Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0 English (in-lb) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply \* intensity) = 1.000 Only used if inside City of San Diego San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method Process from Point/Station 500.000 to Point/Station 501.000 \*\*\*\* INITIAL AREA EVALUATION \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 90.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 516.500(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 515.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.500(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 9.36 min.  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5}/(\$ slope^{(1/3)}]$  $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(90.000^{.5})/(1.667^{(1/3)}] = 9.36$ Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.456(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450Subarea runoff = 0.653(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.420(Ac.)

Upstream point elevation = 515.000(Ft.) Downstream point elevation = 509.000(Ft.)

```
Channel length thru subarea = 505.000(Ft.)
Channel base width = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 10.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 10.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                                 4.502(CFS)
Manning's 'N'
               = 0.025
Maximum depth of channel = 2.000(F
Flow(q) thru subarea = 4.502(CFS)
                            2.000(Ft.)
Depth of flow = 0.193(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.956(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 13.859 (Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 1.96(Ft/s)
Travel time = 4.30 min.
Time of concentration = 13.67 min.
Critical depth = 0.174(Ft.)
Adding area flow to channel
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
Rainfall intensity = 3.010(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
Subarea runoff = 6.704 (CFS) for 4.950 (Ac.)
Total runoff =
                  7.358(CFS) Total area =
                                                  5.37 (Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 502.000 to Point/Station
                                                        504.000
**** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ****
Upstream point elevation = 509.000(Ft.)
Downstream point elevation = 507.900(Ft.)
Channel length thru subarea = 147.000(Ft.)
Channel base width = 1.000(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 50.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 50.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                                 8.002(CFS)
Manning's 'N' = 0.018
Maximum depth of channel = 2.000(Ft.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 8.002(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.276(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.953(Ft/s)
Channel flow top width = 28.643 (Ft.)
Flow Velocity = 1.95(Ft/s)
Travel time = 1.25 min.
Time of concentration = 14.92 min.
Critical depth = 0.266(Ft.)
 Adding area flow to channel
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[INDUSTRIAL area type
                                          1
                       2.911(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Rainfall intensity =
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950
Subarea runoff = 2.600 (CFS) for 0.940 (Ac.)
Total runoff =
                   9.957(CFS) Total area =
                                                  6.31 (Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 503.000 to Point/Station 504.000
**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
```

Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000

```
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
Time of concentration = 14.92 min.
Rainfall intensity =
                       2.911(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
Subarea runoff =
                    1.244(CFS) for
                                      0.950(Ac.)
Total runoff =
                  11.202(CFS)
                               Total area =
                                                   7.26(Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 504.000 to Point/Station
                                                           510,000
**** STREET FLOW TRAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
Top of street segment elevation =
                                  507.900(Ft.)
End of street segment elevation =
                                  501.000(Ft.)
Length of street segment = 523.000(Ft.)
Height of curb above gutter flowline =
                                         6.0(In.)
Width of half street (curb to crown) = 18.500(Ft.)
Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope from gutter to grade break (v/hz) = 0.020
Slope from grade break to crown (v/hz) =
                                          0.020
Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street
Distance from curb to property line = 10.000(Ft.)
Slope from curb to property line (v/hz) = 0.020
Gutter width = 1.500 (Ft.)
Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.)
Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150
 Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180
 Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of street =
                                                  11.541(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.472(Ft.), Average velocity =
                                                 3.191(Ft/s)
Note: depth of flow exceeds top of street crown.
Streetflow hydraulics at midpoint of street travel:
Halfstreet flow width = 18.500(Ft.)
Flow velocity = 3.19(Ft/s)
Travel time =
                2.73 min.
                             TC = 17.65 min.
 Adding area flow to street
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
                        2.722(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Rainfall intensity =
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.450
                     0.539(CFS) for
Subarea runoff =
                                      0.440(Ac.)
Total runoff =
                  11.741(CFS)
                                Total area =
                                                   7.70(Ac.)
Street flow at end of street =
                                11.741(CFS)
Half street flow at end of street =
                                     11.741(CFS)
Depth of flow = 0.474(Ft.), Average velocity =
                                                 3.213(Ft/s)
Note: depth of flow exceeds top of street crown.
Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 18.500(Ft.)
End of computations, total study area =
                                                7.700 (Ac.)
```

· · · · · · ·

3

· ·

### **APPENDIX 3**

# **Proposed Conditions Rational Method Computer Output**

PV2357.3SEngrReportsUrainageTMVYDRO/PROPOSED/1000P100.out	Pt2157.33EngiRepoteSprainage TMIYTDROPROPOSED/1000P100.out
San Diego County Pational Hydrology Program	Pipe length = $18.00$ (Ft.) Manning's N = $0.013$ No. of pipes = 1. Required pipe flow = $1.209$ (CFS)
	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.)
CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (C)1991-2003 Version 6.3	Normal flow depth in pipe = 5.51(In.)
Rational method hydrology program based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual	Flow top width inside pipe = 8.77(In.)
Rational Hydrology Study Date: 08/02/18	Pipe flow velocity = 4.26(Ft/s)
2257 EO TITIRINA	Travel time through pipe = 0.07 min.
PROPOSED CONDITIONS	Time of concentration $(10) = 5.07$ min.
SYSTEM 100, FILE: 1000P100	
	Process from Point/Station 1002.000 to Point/Station 1003.
********* Hydrology Study Control Information **********	**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
	Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Program License Serial Number 4049	Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$
	[INDUSTRIAL area type ]
Pational hydrology study storm event year is 100 0	Time of concentration = 5.07 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.364/In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
English (in-lb) input data Units used	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, $Q=KCIA$ , $C = 0$
English (in) rainfall data used	Subarea runoff = 2.073(CFS) for 0.500(Ac.)
Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and	TOTAL FUNDIE = 3.282(CFS) TOTAL area = 0.79(Ac.)
Elevation 0 - 1500 feet	
Factor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
Runoff Coefficients by rational method	
	Upstream point/station elevation = 488.300(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 483.100/Ft.)
***************************************	Pipe length = $411.00$ (Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
Process from Point/Station 1000,000 to Point/Station 1001.000	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 3.282(CFS)
INITIAL AREA EVALUATION	Calculated individual pipe flow $=$ 3.282(CFS)
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Normal flow depth in pipe = 8.26(In.)
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Flow top width inside pipe = 11.11(In.)
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000	Pipe flow velocity = 5.69(Ft/s)
[INDUSTRIAL area type ]	Travel time through pipe = 1.20 min.
Highest elevation = 497.900(Ft.)	itme of concentration (it) = 6.2/ min.
Lowest elevation = 492.100(Ft.)	
Elevation difference = 5.800(Ft.)	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.20 min.	**** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****
$TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)}]$	Name Mala Churrer surbant 1 de several atorem autors
rc = (1.8*(1.1=0.9500)*(-343.000".5)/(-1.691"(1/3))= -4.20	Along main Stream number: I in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 0.790(Ac.)
Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm	Runoff from this stream = 3.282(CFS)
Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950	Time of concentration = 6.27 min.
Total initial stream area = 0.290 (Ac.)	Varurary functionally = 4.011(TU/UT)
	************************************
**********	Process from Point/Station 1004.000 to Point/Station 1005.0
Process from Point/Station 1001.000 to Point/Station 1003.000	**** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****
TIEDELON INNYSS TIME (Program estimated Size)	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Upstream point/station elevation = 488.500(Ft.)	Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
Cownstream point/station elevation = 488.300(Ft.)	Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$

\_\_\_\_.

29.2 House of the state of the state of the book state of the state of

· · · · · ·

Pr2357.33EngrReportsDramage TMIYTDR0PR0POSED/10000P.100.out	P.22357.35EngTReports/Drainage-TMHYDROPROPOSED(1000P100.out
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [MULTI - UNITS area type ] Initial subarea flow distance = 80.000 (Ft.) Highest elevation = 502.500(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 501.700(Ft.) Elevation difference = 0.000(Ft.)	<pre>triterest and a state and a state of the state of th</pre>
Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = $6.44$ min. TC = $[1.8^+(1.1-C)^+distance(Ft.)^{5/}(1.510pe^{(1/3)})$ TC = $[1.8^+(1.1-0.7000)^+(80.000^{5/}(1.000^{(1/3)})] = 6.44$ Rainfall intensity (I) = $3.970(In/Hr)$ for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.700 Subarea runoff = $1.195(CFS)$ Total initial stream area = $0.430(Ac.)$	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 8.25 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.619(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 2.750(CFS) for 0.800(Ac.) Total runoff = 17.158(CFS) Total area = 6.43(Ac.)
<pre>t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+</pre>	***** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
Downstream point elevation = 496.500 (Ft.) Channel length thru subarea = 532.000 (Ft.) Channel base width = 2.000 (Ft.) Slope or '2' of left channel bank = 2.000 Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel = 8.421 (CFS) Manning's 'N' = 0.015 Maximum depth of channel = 2.000 (Ft.) Flow(q) thru subarea = 8.421 (CFS) Depth of flow = 0.537 (Ft.), Average velocity = 5.096 (Ft/s) Channel flow top width = 4.150 (Ft.) Flow Velocity = 5.10 (Ft/s) Travel time = 1.74 min. Time of concentration = 8.18 min. Critical depth = 0.656 (Ft.) Adding area flow to channel Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction group D = 1.000	Upstream point/station elevation = 483.300(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 483.100(Ft.) Pipe length = 22.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 17.158(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 24.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 17.158(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 16.17(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 22.50(In.) Critical Depth = 17.91(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.62(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.05 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 8.30 min. ***** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ***** Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
[MULTI - UNITS area type ] ] Rainfall intensity = 3.630 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.700 Subarea runoff = 13.213 (CFS) for 5.200 (Ac.) Total runoff = 14.408 (CFS) Total area = 5.63 (Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 0.30 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.611(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIR, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 2.813(CFS) for 0.820(Ac.) Total runoff = 19.971(CFS) Total area = 7.25(Ac.)
Upstream point/station elevation = 483.700(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 483.400(Ft.) Pipe length = 31.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 14.408(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 15.94(In.) Flow depth in pipe = 15.94(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 17.96(In.) Critical Depth = 16.91(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.36(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.07 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 8.25 min.	***** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****         Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2         Stream flow area = 7.250 (Ac.)         Runoff from this stream = 19.971 (CFS)         Time of concentration = 8.30 min.         Rainfall intensity = 3.611(In/Hr)         Stream flow rate TC       Rainfall Intensity
Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 3 of 26	Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 4 of 26

-----

-----

алана на стратите стратите со стратите стратите на население на каза на

	(CFS) (min)	(In/Hr)	[INDUSTRIAL area type ]
			Initial subarea flow distance 😐 120.000(Ft.)
			Highest elevation = 515.000(Ft.)
1	3.282 6.27	4.011	Lowest elevation = 513.100(Ft.)
2	19,971 8.30	3.611	Elevation difference = 1.900(Ft.)
Qmax(1)	24		Time of concentration calculated by the urban
	1.000 * 1.000 *	3.282) +	areas overland flow method (App X-C) = $2.54$ min.
	1.000 * 0.756 *	19.971) + = 18.381	TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)]
Qmax(2)	=		TC == [1.8*(1.1-0.9500)*( 120.000^.5)/( 1.583^(1/3)]↔ 2.54
	0.900 * 1.000 *	3.282) +	Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes
	1.000 * 1.000 *	19.971) + - 22.926	Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
			Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950
Total of	f 2 streams to confluence		Subarea runoff = 0.792 (CFS)
Flow rat	tes before confluence poi	nt:	Total initial stream area == 0.190(Ac.)
3	3.282 19.971		
Maximum	flow rates at confluence	using above data:	
_1	18,381 22,926		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Area of	streams before confluenc	e:	Process from Point/Station 1011.000 to Point/Station 1013.0
	0.790 7.250		**** STREET FLOW TRAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
Results	or confluence:		
Total fl	low rate = 22,926(CFS		Top of street segment elevation = 513.100(Ft.)
Time of	concentration = 8.29	o min.	End of street segment elevation = 495.400(Ft.)
FLIGCLIA	ve stream area arter cont	Tuence = 8.040(AC.)	Hength of Street segment = $1/2.000$ (Ft.)
			neight of curb above gutter flowing $\approx 0.0(10.)$
			wight of Half Streat (curp to crown) = 23.000 (Ft.)
++++++++	***************************************	102 000 be Bei-t/Chatien 1022 000	Distance from crown to crossiall grade break = $18,000$ (FC.)
FIOCESS	IFOM POINT/Station I	solution to Point/Station 1027.000	Stope from grade break $(v/nz) = 0.020$
···· PIP	PERLOW TRAVEL TIME (Progr	am estimated Size; ****	Stope from de op (1) add(c) of the streat
Upotron	neint/station aloustion	- 483 100/Ft )	Distance from (1) $3100(5)$ (1) fine $51202$
opscream	poinc/scation erevation	- 103.100(FL.)	Shape from guide to property line $-12.000(rt.)$
Downstre	sam point/station elevati	un = 401.400 (FE.)	Supportion curb to property line $(\sqrt{nz}) = 0.020$
ripe ien	igtn ≈ 109.00(FC.) M	anning's N = 0.013	Gutter width $= 1.300(fL)$
NO. OI P	pipes = 1 Required pipe	ILOW W 22.926(CES)	Gutter nike from Howine = 1.500(in.)
Nearest	computed pipe diameter	24.00(11.)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Carcurac	ed individual pipe ilow	= 22.920(CES)	Maining S N from gutter to grade break a 0,0150
NOTRAL 1	liow depth in pipe = 10	.59(111.)	maining's without glade break to clowin = 0.0160
FIOW top	30  Midth inside pipe = 2	2.17(10.)	Best and the and the at a support of street = 2.73 (Crs)
Critical	1  Depcn = 20.40(10.)		Streatfley hydrollics at midneing of these transle
Pipe IIO	W VELOCITY = 9.89(F	L/S}	Screetilow hydraulics at minpoint of street travel:
Travel c	ime through pipe = 0.	18 min.	Hallscreet flow width = $9.313$ (ft.)
itme of	concentration (TC) **	0.40 min.	Flow velocity = $2.31(FL/S)$
			Induces that $=$ 4.42 min, 10 $=$ 9.42 min.
			Proving area FLOW to Screet
++++++++	Doint (Chabies 1	007 000 to Deist/Chation 1027 000	Decimal fraction sold group $A = 0.000$
FLOCESS	TION FOILL/SLATION I	****	Decimal fraction soil group 6 - 0.000
CON	ALTOPACE OF WATH SIKEAMS		Decimal fraction soil group $C = 1,000$
	aulas data (aslda Main B	turne (a listade	Industry and the second s
THE LOTT	Cowing data inside Main S'	Tream 13 TT2C60:	j
To Mad-	Stream number: 1	,	Reinial intensity = 5.447(inter) for a 100.0 year storm
In Main	.⊥uw area ≈ s.U4U(AC	•/	Remote coefficient used for sub-area, Rational Rethol, $Q$ =RCIA, $C = 0$
In Main Stream f	this strong - 22	· 920 (Cro)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} \text{Subarea runoff} & & 3.045(CFS) & \text{For} & 0.350(AC.) \\ \text{Total runoff} & & 3.026(CFS) & \text{Total runoff} & & 1.12(2-1) \\ \end{array} $
In Main Stream f Runoff f	rom this stream = 22	nin	
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of	rom this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48	min.	Charle flour at an at at at an at a 2 030 (CEC)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall	rom this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(I	min. n/Hr)	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program	rom this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(In is now starting with Main	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program	rom this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(In is now starting with Main	mín. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(FL,), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width form any bounder second = 0.750(Ft = 3.124(Ft/s))
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program	From this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(I is now starting with Mai	mín. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 10.758(Ft.)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program	rom this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(I) is now starting with Mai	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 10.758(Ft.)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program ++++++++ Process	from this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 m intensity = 3.582(II is now starting with Mai from Point/Station 11	min. /Hr) n Stream No. 2 ////////////////////////////////////	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 10.758(Ft.)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program ++++++++ Process **** INI	From this stream = 22 concentration = 0.46 i intensity = 3.582(II is now starting with Main from Point/Station 11 TIAL AREA EVALUATION ***	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2 ************************************	Street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 10.758(Ft.)
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program ++++++++ Process *+** INI	From this stream = 222 concentration = 0.48 ( intensity = 3.582(I) is now starting with Main from Point/Station 1 TTAL AREA EVALUATION ****	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2 HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH J10.000 to Point/Station 1011.000	Street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 10.758(Ft.) Hittittittittittittittittittittittittitt
In Main Stream f Runoff f Time of Rainfall Program ++++++++ Process **** INI Decimal	From this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(II is now starting with Mai from Point/Station 11 TIAL AREA SVALUATION *** fraction soil group A =	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2 10.000 to Point/Station 1011.000 * J.000	Street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Flow(RT) Half street flow at end of street = 3.838(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 10.758(Ft.) ************************************
In Main Stream f Runoff f Rainfall Program ++++++++ Process *+++ INI Decimal Decimal	<pre>from this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 intensity = 3.582(II is now starting with Mai from Point/Station II TTAL AREA EVALUATION **** fraction soil group A = fraction soil group A = fraction soil group A =</pre>	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2 ************************************	Street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown) = 10.758(Ft.) HINTER STREET ST
In Main Stream f Runoff f Rainfall Program ++++++++ Process **** INI Decimal Decimal Decimal	<pre>from this stream = 22 concentration = 8.48 m intensity = 3.582(I) is now starting with Main from Point/Station 10 TIAL AREA EVALUATION **** fraction soil group A = 0 fraction soil group B = 0 fraction soil group B = 0 fraction soil group C = 0</pre>	min. n/Hr) n Stream No. 2 * 10.000 to Point/Station 1011.000 * 0.000 >.000 >.000	Street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Half street flow at end of street = 3.638(CFS) Depth of flow = 0.310(Ft.), Average velocity = 3.124(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 10.758(Ft.) Hittittittittittittittittittittittittitt

\_\_\_\_\_

PI2357.35EingrReportsDiranageTMHYDR0PR0POSED10000P100:out P12357.35EngrReportsDramage-TMHYDROVPROPOSED1000P100.out b axe bit with the interval is a second bit of the interv \*\*\*\* CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS \*\*\*\* Along Main Stream number: 2 in normal stream number 1 Along Main Stream homber: 2 in normal Str Stream flow area = 4.680(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 12.825(CFS) Time of concentration = 10.39 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.327(In/Hr) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1021.000 Process from Point/Station 1013.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* 1015,000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type Initial subarea flow distance = 371.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 486.700(Ft.) Elevation difference = 7.300(Ft.) Upstream point/station elevation = 491.800(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 491.800(Ft.) Pipe length = 13.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 11.319(CT Nearest computed pipe diameter = 12.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 11.319(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 6.73(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 11.91(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 24.99(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.01 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 9.43 min. 11.319(CFS) Lowest elevation = 486.700 (Ft.) Elevation difference = 7.300 (Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.15 min. TC =  $[1.8^+(1.1-C)^*distance(Ft.)^*.5)/(1 \pm 30pc^*(1/3)] = 4.15$ Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 3.002 (CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.720 (Ac.) 1015.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* 1021.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIL area type ] Time of concentration = 9.43 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.446(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 1.506(CFS) for 0.460(Ac.) Total runoff = 12.825(CFS) Total area = 4.68(Ac.) Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 5.00 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.389(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 5.905(CFS) for 2.390(Ac.) Total runoff = 8.908(CFS) Total area = 3.71(Ac.) \*\*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* 1023.000 \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL THE (Program estimated size) \*\*\*
Upstream point/station elevation = 488.200(Ft.)
Downstream point/station elevation = 483.200(Ft.)
Pipe length = 444.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 12.825(CFS)
Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.)
Calculated individual pipe flow = 12.825(CFS)
Normal flow depth in pipe = 13.73(In.)
Flow top width inside pipe = 19.98(In.)
Critical Depth = 16.00(In.)
Pipe flow velocity = 7.70(Ft/s)
Travel time through pipe = 0.96 min.
Time of concentration (TC) = 10.39 min. 1023.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 483.300(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 483.200(Ft.) Pipe length = 15.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 8.908(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 8.908(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 12.80(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 20.49(In.) Critical Depth = 13.31(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 5.80(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 min. ripe flow velocity = 5.80(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 m \*\*\*\*\*\* Process from Point/Station 1015.000 to Point/Station 1023.000 0.04 min. Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 7 of 26 Page 8 of 26

. . . . . .

rs p

and the second state of state of states and states

Time of concentration (TC) = 5.04 min.	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 24.00(In.)
	Normal flow depth in pipe = 20.44(In.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Flow top width inside pipe = 17.07(In.)
**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****	Pipe flow velocity = 7.71(Ft/s)
Decised fraction poil group $h = 0.000$	Travel time through pipe = 0.34 min.
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Time of concentration (ic) = 10.75 min.
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	121111111111111111111111111111111111111
[INDUSTRIAL area type ]	Process from Point/Station 1023.000 to Point/Station 1027.0
Time of concentration = 5.04 min.	**** CONFLUENCE OF MAIN STREAMS ****
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950	The following data inside Main Stream is listed:
Subarea runoff = $3.116$ (CFS) for $0.750$ (Ac.) Total runoff = $12.024$ (CFS) Total area = $4.46$ (Ac.)	In Main Stream number: 2 Stream flow area = 9 140/Ac )
	Runoff from this stream = 21.973 (CFS)
+	Time of concentration = 10.73 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.289(In/Hr)
Process from Point/Station 1022.000 to Point/Station 1023.000	Summary of stream data:
**** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****	Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity
Along Main Stream number: 2 in normal stream number 2	No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)
Runoff from this stream = 12.024 (CFS)	
Time of concentration = 5.04 min.	1 22.926 8.48 3.582 2 21.973 10.73 3.280
Summary of stream data:	Qmax(1) =
Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity	1.000 * 1.000 * 22.926) +
No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)	Qmax(2) =
	0.918 * 1.000 * 22.926) + 1.000 * 1.000 * 21.973) + = 43.021
1 12.825 10.39 3.327	
2 12.024 5.04 4.374 Qmax(1) ••	Flow rates before confluence point:
1.000 * 1.000 * 12.825) + 0.751 * 1.000 * 12.825) + 0.751 * 0.000 * 12.024) + 0.751 * 0.072	22.926 21.973
$Q_{max}(2) = 21.975$	40.287 43.021
1.000 + 0.485 + 12.825) + 1.000 + 1.000 + 12.024) + = 18.247	Area of streams before confluence:
1.000 * 1.000 * 12.024) + = 18.247	6.000 9.140
Total of 2 streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point:	Results of confluence:
12.825 12.024	Total flow rate = 43.021(CFS)
Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 21.973 18.247	Time of concentration = 10.735 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 17.180(Ac.)
Area of streams before confluence:	
Results of confluence:	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Total flow rate = 21.973 (CFS)	Process from Point/Station 1024.000 to Point/Station 1027.0
Effective stream area after confluence = 9.140(Ac.)	SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
, · · ·	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
***************************************	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$
Process from Point/Station 1023.000 to Point/Station 1027.000	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
FIELDIN TONED THE (FLOGRAM ESCENALED SIZE)	Time of concentration = 10.73 min.
Upstream point/station elevation = 482.900(Ft.)	Rainfall intensity = 3.289(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Pipe length = $158.00$ (Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013	Subarea runoff = 2,281(CFS) for 0.730(Ac.)
No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 21.973(CFS)	Total runoff = 45.302(CFS) Total area = 17.91(Ac.)

. .

Pi2357.35Eng/Reports/Dranage-TMI/YDROPROPOSED1000P100.out P:2357.35Eng/ReportsDrainage TMVYDROVROPOSED11000P100.out Subarea runoff = Total runoff = 1.037(CFS) for 0.340(Ac.) 49.689(CFS) Total area = 19.33(Ac.) 1027.000 \*\*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type Time of concentration = 10.73 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.289(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCTA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 2.406(CFS) for 0.770(Ac.) Total runoff = 47.707(CFS) Total area = 18.68(Ac.) 1033.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 476.900(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 476.200(Ft.) Pipe length = 447.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 49.669(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 36.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 49.669(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 28.27(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 29.57(In.) Critical Depth = 27.53(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 8.35(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.69 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 12.37 min. Upstream point/station elevation = 481.500(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 478.900(Ft.) Pipe length = 389.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 47.707(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 36.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 47.707(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 26.09(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 32.16(In.) Critical Depth = 26.97(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 8.70(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.75 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 11.48 min. Process from Point/Station 1030.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS \*\*\*\* 1033.000 Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 19.330(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 49.669(CFS) Time of concentration = 12.37 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.123(In/Hr) \*\*\*\* INITIAL AREA EVALUATION \*\*\*\* 1043.000 ---- INITIAL AREA EVALUATION \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [WULTI - UNITS area type 211.000(FL.) Highest elevation = 502.000(FL.) Lowest elevation = 502.000(FL.) Elevation difference = 5.500(FL.)Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 7.50 min. TC =  $[1.8^{*}(1.1-C)^{*}distance(FL.)^{*}5)/($ slope^{-}(1/3)]$ TC =  $[1.8^{*}(1.1-C)^{*}distance(FL.)^{*}5)/($ slope^{-}(1/3)] = 7.60$ Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.730(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.700 Subarea runoff = 0.809(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.310(Ac.)Process from Point/Station 1027.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* 1030.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 11.48 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.210(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 0.945(CFS) for 0.310(Ac.) Total runoff = 48.653(CFS) Total area = 18.99(Ac.) \*\*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* Total initial stream area = 0.310(Ac.) 1030.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 11.48 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.210(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\* 1043.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 11 of 26 Page 12 of 26

2 1 1 10 11

fre en e

in black of a

P-2357.35EngtReportsDrainage-TMV+TDROPROPOSED1000P100.out P12257.33EngrReportsDranage-TMHYDROPROPOSEDI1000P100.out http://www.statics.index.index.index.or/adaption.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index.index. Process Fight Distribute Table Trime \*\*\*\*
Upstream point elevation = 496.500 (Ft.)
Downstream point elevation = 496.500 (Ft.)
Downstream point elevation = 496.500 (Ft.)
Channel length thru subarea = 1040.000 (Ft.)
Channel length thru subarea = 1040.000 (Ft.)
Channel base width = 2.000
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 2.000
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel = 18.449 (CFS)
Maximum depth of channel = 2.000 (Ft.)
Flow(elevation = 18.449 (CFS)
Maximum depth of channel = 18.449 (CFS)
Plow(elevation = 18.449 (CFS)
Flow(elevation = 18.449 (CFS)
Flow(eleva \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1033.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 476.400(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 476.400(Ft.) Pipe length = 20.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 43.154(C Nearest computed pipe diameter = 30.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 43.154(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 26.34(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 19.63(In.) Critical Depth = 26.32(In.) Fipe flow velocity = 9.46(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 10.45 min. 43.154 (CFS) 1033.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 10.45 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.320(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 1.419(CFS) for 0.450(Ac.) Total runoff = 44.574(CFS) Total area = 18.92(Ac.) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Process from Point/Station 1044.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* 1032.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 462.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 476.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 24.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 41.101(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 18.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 41.101(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 12.38(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 16.69(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 31.74(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.01 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 10.42 min. 1033.000 Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 10.920(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 44.574(CFS) Time of concentration = 10.45 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.320(In/Hr) Summary of stream data: Stream Flow rate No. (CFS) TC (min) Rainfall Intensity (In/Hr) Process from Point/Station 1032.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* 1032.000 49.689 44.574 12.37 10.45 3.123 3.320 Qmax(1) = Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.0001.000 1.000 \* 1.000 \* 0.941 \* 49.689) + 44.574) + = 1.000 \* 91.612 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 13 of 26 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 14 of 26

8 5 8 G 5 1

The Provide Constant Contractor of Statement Statement Constants Contractor

P12357.35EngrReportsUranage TMWYDROPROPOSED1000P100.out	PI2357,35E rg/ReportsUbran3ge TMIH/DROPROPOSED/100.001
Qmax(2) = 1.000 * 0.845 * 49.689) + 1.000 * 1.000 * 44.574) + = 86.557	Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.700 Subarea runoff = 1.075(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.350(Ac.)
Total of 2 streams to confluence:	
49,689 44.574 Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 91.612 86.557	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Area of streams before confluence: 19.330 18.920	Upstream point elevation = 493.000(Ft.)
Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 91.612(CFS)	Downstream point elevation = 476.500(Ft.) Channel length thru subarez = 1050.000(Ft.)
Time of concentration = 12.372 min.	Channel base width w 2,000 (Ft.)
Bilective stream area after contruence - 56.250(AC.)	Slope of 'Z' of fight channel bank = 2.000
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel = 9.294 (CFS) Manning's 'N' = 0.015
Process from Point/Station 1033.000 to Point/Station 1040.000	Maximum depth of channel = $2.000$ (Ft.) Flow(g) thru subarea = $9.294$ (CFS)
	Depth of flow = 0.499(Ft.), Average velocity = 6.210(Ft/s)
Downstream point/station elevation = 475.200(Ft.)	Flow Velocity = $6.21(Ft/s)$
Pipe length = 87.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 91.612(CFS)	Travel time 🛥 2.82 min. Time of concentration 📼 7.82 min.
Nearest computed pipe diameter = 45.00(In.)	Critical depth = 0.688(Ft.)
Normal flow depth in pipe = 33.75(In.)	Decimal fraction soil group $A = 0.000$
Flow top width inside pipe = 38.97(In.) Critical Depth = 35.33(In.)	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$
Pipe flow velocity = 10.31(Ft/s)	Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$
Time of concentration (TC) = 12.51 min.	Rainfall intensity = 3.691 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.70 Subarea runoff = 13.822(CFS) for 5.350(Ac.)
<pre>t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+</pre>	Total runoff = 14.898(CFS) Total area = 5.70(Ac.)
Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Stream flow area = 38.250 (Ac.) Bunoff from this stream = 91.612 (CFS)	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
Time of concentration = 12.51 min.	Upstream point/station elevation = 476.500(Ft.)
kaintall intensity = 5.110(10/Hr)	Pipe length = $14.00$ (Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
********	No, of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 14.898(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.)
Process from Point/Station 1034.000 to Point/Station 1035.000	Calculated individual pipe flow = 14.898(CFS)
	Flow top width inside pipe = 18.70 (In.)
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Critical Depth = 17.16(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.95(Ft/s)
Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$	Travel time through pipe $\approx 0.03$ min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.85 min.
[MULTI - UNITS area type ]	
Highest elevation = 498.000(Ft.)	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Lowest elevation = 493.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 5.000(Ft.)	Process from Point/Station 1037.000 to Point/Station 1039.000 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
Time of concentration calculated by the urban	Unstream point/station elevation = 476 340/Et )
$TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(8 slope^{(1/3)})$	Downstream point/station elevation = 476.280(Ft.)
TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.7000)*(100.000^.5)/(5.000^(1/3)]= 4.21 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes	Pipe length == 6.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow == 14.899(CFS)

P:2357.33/EngrReports/Dranage TWHYDRO/PROPOSED/1000DP.100.out P12357.35EngrReportsDrainageTMUYDROPROPOSED(1000P100.cut Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.)Calculated individual pipe flow = 14.898(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 16.17(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 17.67(In.)Critical Depth = 17.16(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 7.49(Ft/s)Travel time through pipe = 0.01 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.86 min. Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 6.060(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 15.826(CFS) Time of concentration - 8.02 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.656(In/Hr) Summary of stream data: Stream Flow rate No. (CFS) тC Rainfall Intensity (min) (In/Hr) 91.612 12.51 3.110 3.656 15.826 8.02 Qmax(1) = Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.0001.000 \* 0.851 \* 1.000 \* 1.000 \* 91.612) + 15.826) + = 105.073 Qmax(2) -1.000 \* 1.000 \* 0.641 + 1.000 \* 91.612) + 15.826) + = Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [MULTI - UNITS area type ] Time of concentration = 7.86 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.663(In/Kr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.700 Subarea runoff = 0.464(CFS) for 0.180(Ac.) Total runoff = 15.362(CFS) Total area = 5.86(Ac.) 74.537 Total of 2 streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point: 91.612 15.826 Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 105.073 74.537 Area of streams before confluence: 38.250 6.060 Results of confluence: 105.072 (2000) 1039.000 Total flow rate = 105.073(CFS) Time of concentration = 12.513 min. Effective stream area after confluence = Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [MULT - UNITS area type ] ] Time of concentration = 7.86 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.683(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.700 Subarca runoff = 0.464(CFS) for 0.180(Ac.) Total runoff = 15.826(CFS) Total area = 6.06(Ac.) 44.310 (Ac.) \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* Upstream point/station elevation = 475.500(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 474.950(Ft.) Pipe length = 91.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 105.073(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 48.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 105.073(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 37.03(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 40.31(In.) Critical Depth = 37.24(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 10.11(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.15 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 12.66 min. \*\*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* Upstream point/station elevation = 476.180(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 475.600(Ft.) Pipe length = 66.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 15.826(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 15.826(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 18.90(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 12.60(In.) Critical Depth = 17.62(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 6.94(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.16 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 8.02 min. 1052.000 President SUBAREA From Restruct Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 12.66 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.036(In/Kr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=RCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 2.030(CFS) for 0.690(Ac.) \*\*\*\*+\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Process from Point/Station 1039.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS \*\*\*\* 1040.000 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 17 of 26 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 18 of 26

ta tua ta e

P1235739EngrReportsUzanageTMHYDROPROPOSED/1000P100.out P:2357.35EngrRepotsDrainageTMVYDROPROPOSED1000P100.out Total runoff = 107.102(CFS) Total area = 45.00 (Ac.) 1052.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 12.66 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.096(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 1.265(CFS) for 0.430(Ac.) Total runoff = 108.367(CFS) Total area = 45.43(Ac.) Upstream point/station elevation = 483.300(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 482.300(Ft.) Pipe length = 6.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 1.251(CFS)Nearest computed pipe diameter = 6.00(In.)Calculated individual pipe flow = 1.251(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 4.30(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 5.41(In.)Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 8.30(Ft/s)Travel time through pipe = 0.01 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 5.01 min. Upstream point/station elevation = 474.650(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 0.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 236.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 108.367(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 18.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 10.367(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 11.39(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 17.35(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 91.97(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 min. 1047.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type 5.01 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.385(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 0.833(CFS) for 0.200(Ac.) Total runoff = 2.084(CFS) Total area = 0.50(Ac.) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 Time of concentration (TC) = 12. min. 12.71 min. Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 45.430 (Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 108.367(CFS) Time of concentration = 12.71 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.092(In/Hr) Process from Point/Station 1047.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* 1049.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 462.530(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 473.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 260.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2.084(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.084(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 5.42(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 8.61(In.) Critical Depth = 7.43(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.49(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.58 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 5.59 min. 1045.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 152.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 434.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 487.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 7.000(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.00 min. TC = [1.8\*(1.1-C)\*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] 1049.000 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 19 of 26 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 20 of 26

The Provide second s

a a ca co co a p

P12357.35EngliReportsDrangeeTNIHYDROPROPOSEDI1000P100.out P12357.35EngtRepots/Drainage TMWYDROPROPOSED/1000P100.cut Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Total runoff = 136.805(CFS) Total area = 58.59(Ac.) Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal str Stream flow area = 0.500 (Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 2.084 (CFS) Time of concentration = 5.59 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.196 (In/Hr) Summary of stream data: ++++++++++ 1066.000 The following data inside Main Stream is listed: In Main Stream number: 1 Stream flow area = 58.590 (Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 136.805 (CFS) Time of concentration = 13.36 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.036 (In/Kr) Program is now starting with Main Stream No. 2 Stream Flow rate No. (CFS) Rainfall Intensity {In/Hr} ΨC (min) \_\_\_\_\_367 \_\_\_\_\_2.084 Qmax{1} = 108.367 12.71 3.092 5.59 1.000 \* 0.737 \* Qmax(2) = 1.000 \* 1.000 \* 108.367) + 2.084) + = 109.903 1.000 \* 1.000 \* 0.440 \* 108.367) + 1.000 \* 2.084) + = 49.763 Total of 2 streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point: 108.367 2.084 Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 109.903 49.763 Area of streams before confluence: 4.000 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group E = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type] Initial subarea flow distance = 300.000 (Ft.) Highest elevation = 508.000 (Ft.) Lowest elevation = 508.000 (Ft.) Elevation difference = 4.000 (Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.25 min. TC =  $[1.8^{*}(1.1-C)^{*}distance(Ft.)^{-}S)/(* slope^{-}(1/3)]$ TC =  $[1.8^{*}(1.1-C)^{*}distance(Ft.)^{*}S)/(* slope^{-}(1/3)]$ TC =  $[1.8^{*}(1.1-C)^{*}distance(Ft.)^{*}S)/(* slope^{-}(1/3)]$ TC =  $[1.8^{*}(1.1-C)^{*}distance(Ft.)^{*}S)/(* slope^{-}(1/3)]$ Subarea runoff = 1.876(CFS)Total initial stream area = 0.450(Ac.)Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 45.430 0.500 43.430 0.500 Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 109.903(CFS) Time of concentration = 12.706 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 45.930(Ac.) \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* 1066.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 473.330(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 467.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 516.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 109.903(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 42.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 109.903(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 33.94(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 33.08(In.) Critical Depth = 38.03(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 13.20(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.65 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 13.36 min. Process from Point/Station 1055.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\* 1057.000 Upstream point/station elevation = 499.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 498.900(Ft.) Pipe length = 9.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 1.876(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 12.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 1.876(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 6.00(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 12.00(In.) Critical Depth = 7.00(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 4.78(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.03 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 5.03 min. Process from Point/Station 1064.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* 1066.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [MULTI - UNITS area type ] Time of concentration = 13.36 [In/Hr] for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.700 Subarea runoff = 26.902(CFS) for 12.660(Ac.) Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 21 of 26 Page 22 of 26

2 1 12 11 14

and the second second

1 11 f 11 1232-201 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11

P12357,35EngrReportsDrainage TMIHYDROIPROPOSED(1000P100.out P-V2357.33EEngrReports/Drainage-TMWYDRO/PROPOSED/1000P100:out Upstream point/station elevation = 488.360(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 488.360(Ft.) Pipe length = 693.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 11.226(CFS) Calculated individual pipe flow = 11.226(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 10.75(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 17.66(In.) Critical Depth = 15.37(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 10.20(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 1.13 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.15 min. Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 5.03 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.378 (In/Nr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 4.201(CFS) for 1.010(Ac.) Total runoff = 6.077(CFS) Total area = 1.46(Ac.) 11.226(CFS) \*\*\*\* PIPELOW TRAVEL TIME (Forgram estimated size) \*\*\*\* Upstream point/station elevation = 450.600(Ft.)Downstream point/station elevation = 460.600(Ft.)Pipe length = 477.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 6.077Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.)Calculated individual pipe flow = 6.077(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 8.86(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 14.75(In.)Critical Depth = 11.95(In.)Flow velocity = 8.05(Ft/s)Travel time through pipe = 0.99 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 6.022 min. 1063.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 7.15 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.815(In/Kr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 3.371(CFS) for 0.930(Ac.) Total runoff = 14.597(CFS) Total area = 3.72(Ac.) 6.077 (CFS) \*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME {Program estimated size} \*\*\*\* Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 0.000 [INUUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 6.02 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.076(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 2.788(CFS) for 0.720(Ac.) Total runoff = 8.865(CFS) Total area = 2.18(Ac.) Upstream point/station elevation = 470.230(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 470.200(Ft.) Pipe length = 19.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 14.597(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 21.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 14.597(CFS) Normal flow dopth in pipe = 14.70(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 19.25(In.) Critical Depth = 17.01(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 8.12(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.04 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.19 min. Process from Point/Station 1059.000 to Point/Station \*\*\*\* SUPAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\* 1058.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 6.02 min. Rainfall intensity = 4.076(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 2.382(CFS) for 0.610(Ac.) Total runoff = 11.226(CFS) Total area = 2.79(Ac.) 1061.000 Provide the second 1063.000 Page 24 of 26 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 23 of 26 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM

P12357.35Eng/Reports/DxanageTMHYDROIPROPOSED/1000P100.out	P2357.3SEngrRepolsDrangeTMHYDR0PR0P0SED11000P100.out
Process from Point/Station 1061.000 to Point/Station 1065.000 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 13.36 min. Bainfall intensity = 3.036 (Tr/Ht) for a 100.0 year storm
Downstream point/station elevation = 467.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 52.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 NO. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 18.504(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 18.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 18.504(CFS)	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 0.000(CFS) for 0.000(Ac.) Total runoff = 151.501(CFS) Total area = 63.39(Ac.)
Normal flow depth in pipe = 11.86(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 17.07(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 14.97(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min.	*****       PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size)         ****       Upstream point/station elevation = 465.500(Et.)
Time of concentration (TC) = 7.25 min. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Downstream point/station elevation = 430.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 264.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 151.601(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 30.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 151.601(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 24.80(In.)
The following data inside Main Stream is listed: In Main Stream number: 2 Stream flow area = 4.800 (Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 18.504 (CFS) Time of concentration = 7.25 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.796 (In/Hr) Summary of stream data:	Flow top width inside pipe = 22.72(In.) Critical depth could not be calculated. Pipe flow velocity = 34.92(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.13 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 13.48 min. End of computations, total study area = 63.390 (Ac.)
Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)	
1 136.805 13.36 3.036 2 18.504 7.25 3.796 Qmax(1) =	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Total of 2 main streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point: 136.805 18.504 Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 151.601 92.743 Area of streams before confluence: 58.590 4.800	
Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 151.601(CFS) Time of concentration = 13.357 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 63.390(Ac.)	
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	
Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 25 of 26	Printed: 8/14/2018 2:41:52 PM PM Modified: 8/2/2018 11:24:10 AM AM Page 26 of 26

-----

1919 - Paris Francisco, Carlos Carlos - 1919 - 36 Mittada, escar de Matada, actuar compte company company actua

· # · . • :

San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 2.25/(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 9.00(In.)
CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3	Calculated individual pipe flow = 2.257(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 5.63(In.)
Rational method hydrology program based on	Flow top width inside pipe = 8.71(In.)
San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual	Pipe flow velocity = 7.77(Ft/s)
Rational Hydrology Study Date: 08/02/18	Travel time through pipe = 0.90 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 15.76 min.
2357.50 ILLUMINA	
PROPOSED CONDITIONS SYSTEM 200. FILE: 2000P100	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
	Process from Point/Station 2002.000 to Point/Station 200
********* Wydrology Study Control Information **********	**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
nyokokogy staly control information	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
	Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
	Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000
Program License Serial Number 4049	[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]
	Time of concentration = 15.76 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.850(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C
English (in-lb) input data Units used	Subarea runoff = 3.680(CFS) for 2.870(Ac.)
sugress (In) exercise data data	
Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and	
Factor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000	Process from Point/Station 2003.000 to Point/Station 200
Only used if inside City of San Diego	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method	Upstream point/station elevation = 473,400(Ft.)
	Downstream point/station elevation = 471.900(Ft.)
	Pipe length = 152.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
Process from Point/Station 2000.000 to Point/Station 2001.000	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.)
**** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****	Calculated individual pipe flow = 5.937(CFS)
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Flow top width inside pipe = 12.82(In.)
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000	Critical Depth = 11.82(In.)
Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$	Pipe IIOW VCLOCITY = $5.94$ (Ft/S) Travel time through pipe = 0.43 min.
[RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type]	Time of concentration (TC) == 16.18 min.
Initial subarea flow distance = 306.000(Ft.)	
Lowest elevation = 490.000(Ft.)	*****************
Elevation difference = 8.000(Ft.)	Process from Point/Station 2004.000 to Point/Station 200
Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = $14.86$ min.	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)]	Upstream point/station elevation = 471.600(Ft.)
$C = [1.8*(1.1-0.4500)*(306.000^{-}.5)/(2.614^{-}(1/3)) = 14.86$	Downstream point/station elevation = 460.000(Ft.)
Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.450	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 5.937(CFS)
Subarea runoff = 2.257 (CFS)	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.)
Total initial stream area = 1.720(Ac.)	Calculated individual pipe flow = 5.937(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 10.45(In.)
	Flow top width inside pipe - 13.79(In.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Critical Depth = 11.82(In.)
**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****	Travel time through pipe = $2.43$ min.
	Time of concentration (TC) = 18.62 min.
Jpstream point/station elevation = 489.000(Ft.)	
Pipe length = 420.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013	***************************************

\_\_\_\_\_

# P23573SEngrMseorisDramage-MMMYDROWROPOSED2000P100.out Process from Point/Station 2006.000 to Point/Station 2005.000 \*\*\*\* SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION \*\*\*\*

LEA BLE & LA LLASSE

1 0 11 1 1

;

Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type] Time of concentration = 18.62 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.662(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.450 Subarea runoff = 4.280(CFS) for 3.580(Ac.) Total runoff = 10.226(CFS) Total area = 8.17(Ac.)

\*\*\*\* PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) \*\*\*\*

Upstream point/station elevation = 463.430(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 463.430(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 406.500(Ft.) Pipe length = 135.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 10.226(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 7.02(In.) Elevation to the state of the state 8.170 (Ac.)

Modified: 8/2/2018 11:29:46 AM AM Page 3 of 3

San Diego County Racional Hydrology Program	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3	[INDUSTRIAL area type } Time of concentration = 5.00 min.
Rational method hydrology orogram based on San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 10/13/17	Rainfall intensity = 4.389[In/Hr] for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = Subarea runoff = 2.669[CFS] for 0.640[Ac.) Total runoff = 3.919[CFS] Total area = 0.94[Ac.)
2357.50 ILLUMINA PROPOSED CONDITIONS SYSTEM 300, FILE: 3000P100	+++++ PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
********* Hydrology Study Control Information **********	Upstream point/station elevation = 490.520(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 488.400(Ft.)
Program License Serial Number 4049	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 3.919(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 15.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 3.919(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 9.55(In.)
Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0 English (in-lb) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used	Critical Depth = $9.61(T_n)$ Critical Depth = $9.61(T_n)$ Pipe flow velocity = $4.76(Ft/s)$ Travel time through pipe = $1.09$ min. Time of concentration (TC) = $6.09$ min.
Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000 Only used if inside City of San Diego San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method	End of computations, total study area = 0.940 (Ac.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
Decimal fraction soil group b = 0.000	
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type	
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Initial subarea flow distance = 130.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 499.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 496.200(Ft.) Elevation difference = 2.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.38 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope(1/3))	
Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $D = 1.000$ [INDUSTRIAL area type 1 Initial subarea flow distance = 130.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 499.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 2.800(Ft.) Elevation difference = 2.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.38 min. areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.38 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^-5.)/(* slope^1(1/3)) TC = [1.9*(1.1-0.9500)*(130.000^.5)/(2.154^(1/3))= 2.38 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity [1] = 4.389[In/Hr] for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCTA) is C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 1.251(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.300(Ac.)	
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Initial subarea flow distance = 130.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 499.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 2.800(Ft.) Elevation difference = 2.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 2.38 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^5)/(% slope^(1/3)) TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^5)/(% slope^(1/3)) TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^6, 2.154^1/3)] = 2.38 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 1.251(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.300(Ac.) ***** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****	

The second s
San Diego Courty Rational Hydrology Brogram         CVT/LAND/CVT/INDEXIGE Engineering Software, (c) 1959-2000 Version 6.3         Rational method hydrology, program based on San Diego Courty Flood Control Lovision 185 hydrology menual Extinces Hydrology Brogen based on San Diego Courty Flood Control Lovision 185 hydrology menual Extinces Hydrology Study Control Lovision 185 hydrology Menual Extinces Hydrology Menual Hydrology Menual Extinces Hydrology Study Control Lovision 185 hydrology Menual Extinces Hydrology Menual Extinces Hydrology Menual Extinces Hydrology Menu Hydrology Menual Extines Hydrology Menual Extinces Hydrology Men	P19357335EnntReprints/rainaneTUMY/DR0/PROPOSED4000/P200.out	PV35735End/RecotsDizinage-TWYYDROPROPOSED/4000P100.out
San Diego County Reicheal Hydrology Program         CVTLCXBD/CVTLDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1951-2003 Version 6.3         Rational method hydrology program based on the synthesis of the synt		No. of pipes = 3 Required pipe flow = 6.967(CFS)
CVILIDAD/CVILIDESIGN Engineering Sectures, (01)591-2003 Version 6.3         Rational rehead bydralogy program based of Rational bydralogydralogydrand bydralogy program based Rational bydral	San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 18.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 6.967(CFS)
Rational method hydrology program based on San Liego County Flood Control Division 1985 bydrology manual Rational Mydrology Study Date: 07/31/18 237.50 ILLBNDA PROVESSIC CONDITIONS STERM 4000, FLEX + 000100 	CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3	Normal flow depth in pipe = 10.71(In.)
San Diego Contry Flood Control Dirision 1998 hydraiogy shared by study control Dirision 1998 hydraiogy shared study control Information ************************************	Rational method hydrology program based on	Critical Depth = 12.26(In.)
337.30       Time of concentration (TC) = 7.24 min.         7:00       Time of concentration (TC) = 7.24 min.         7:00       Traction (TC) = 7.70 Mac.)         7:00       Traction (TC) = 7.24 min.         7:00       Traction (TC) = 7.70 Mac.)	San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 07/31/18	Pipe flow velocity == 6.36(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe == 0.21 min.
<pre>PROPOSE CONDITIONS STETM 4000, CTLE: 4009100 STATM 4000, CTLE: 4009100 StatMark FLOW ADDITION ***** Declaal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Declaal fraction soil group</pre>	2357 50 TILIMINA	Time of concentration (TC) == 7.24 min.
STATEM 4000, FiLE: A000F100       A000	PROPOSED CONDITIONS	
Mydrology Study Control Information ************************************	SYSTEM 4000, FILE: 4000P100	Process from Point/Station 4009.000 to Point/Station 4011.000
Augusta 1       Augusta 1         Augusta 1       Augusta 1         Program License Serial Number 4049         Augusta 1       Augusta 1         Augusta 1	******** Underlage Study Control Toformation *********	**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
<ul> <li>Decimal Fraction soli group B = 0.000</li> <li>Program License Serial Number 4049</li> <li>Program Serie Series Number 4049</li> <li>Program Series Series Number 4049</li> <li>Program License Series Number 4049</li> <li>Program Series Number 404</li></ul>	hydrorogy blady control intormation	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Program License Serial Number 4049       Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000         INUUSTRAL area type       1.24 min.         Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and       Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard Intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard Intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction in 100 feet       Standard Intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Direction soil group A = 0.000       Standard Intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and         Directin I fraction soil group A = 0.000       <	***************************************	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$
<pre>regram inderse serial Number (199</pre>		Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
<ul> <li>Hydrology study store event year is 100.0</li> <li>Anglish (in-1b) Input data Units used</li> <li>Anglish (in-1b) Input data Units used</li> <li>Standard intensity of Appendix 1-B used for year and</li> <li>Standard intensity intensity - 1.000</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.737 (In/Hz) For a 2.68 (Ac.)</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.737 (In/Hz) For a 2.68 (Ac.)</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.737 (In/Hz) For a 2.68 (Ac.)</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.737 (In/Hz) For a 2.68 (Ac.)</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.737 (In/Hz) For a 100.0 year storm of concentration soil group A = 0.000</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.737 (In/Hz)</li> <li>Standard intensing - 3.600 (Ft.)</li> <li>Standard intensity - 3.600 (Ft.</li></ul>	rrogram License Serial Number 4049	Time of concentration = 7.24 min.
<pre>Sadiation (in-b) Input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elevation 0 - 1500 feet San Jude Aydining Circle (intensity) = 1.000 San Jude Aydining Circle (intensity) = 1.000 Secting I fraction soil group A = 0.000 Secting I fraction soil group D = 0.000 Secting I fraction soil grou</pre>	Pational budrology study storm event year is 100 0	Rainfall intensity = 3.797 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area Rational method OmKCIA C = 0.950
English (in) rainfall data usedTotal runoff - 9.749(CFS) Total area - 2.68(Ac.)Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elvastion - 51500 feet- 1.000Only used if inside City of San Diago San Diego Mytology annual 'C' values used000Runoff coefficients by rational method000+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	English (in-lb) inout data Units used	Subarea runoff = 2.778 (CFS) for 0.770 (Ac.)
Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and Elvation 0 - 1500 feet Factor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000 Thing do	English (in) rainfall data used	Total runoff = 9.745(CFS) Total area = 2.68(Ac.)
<pre>Lavaron (b - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 1.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 Liply + iLensity) = 0.000 Description (c - 1 L</pre>	Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and	
Only used if inside City of San Diego         San Diego Mydrology manual 'C' values used         Sundiego Mydrology Mydrology Mydrology Mydrology Mydrego Mydrology Mydrego         Sundiego	Factor (to multiply * intensity) = 1.000	Process from Point/Station 4009.000 to Point/Station 4011.000
Along Main Stream number: 1Along Main Stream number: 1Along Main Stream number: 1Munoff coefficients by reional methodHutther Stream for ValuationHutther Stream for ValuationProcess from Point/StationObecimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 0.000 <t< td=""><td>Only used if inside City of San Diego</td><td>**** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****</td></t<>	Only used if inside City of San Diego	**** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****
Stream 1A0W area = 2.480 (Ac.)Hittitititititititititititititititititi	Runoff coefficients by rational method	Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1
<pre>t++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	·	Stream flow area = 2.660(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 9.745(CFS)
Process from Point/StationAUIS.000 to Point/StationAUIS.000 to Point/StationAUIS.000 to Point/StationPacimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Process from Point/Station4003.000 to Point/Station4005.Pacimal fraction soil group D = 0.000Process from Point/Station4003.000 to Point/Station4005.Pacimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Process from Point/Station4003.000 to Point/Station4005.Process from Point/Station55.000(Ft.)Process from Point/Station4003.000 to Point/Station4005.Process from Point/Station55.000(Ft.)Process from Point/Station11Process from Point/Station1.910(Ac.)Process from Point/Station1.910(Ac.)1Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station1.910(Ac.)1.910(Ac.)1Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4011.0004011.0001Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4011.00011Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4011.00011Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4011.0002.920(In/Ht) for a 1.00.0 year storProcess from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4011.00011Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4011.00011Process from Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4010.000 to Point/Station4010.000 to Point/StationProcess from Point/Station4010.000 to Poi		Time of concentration = $7.24$ min.
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000INUDUSTRIAL area typeInitial subarea flow distance = 680.000(Ft.)Lowest elevation = 515.000(Ft.)Lowest elevation = 502.000(Ft.)Elevation difference = 13.000 [Ft.)Decimal fraction soil group D = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000(INDUSTRIAL area typeIntensity (I) = 3.400(In/K1 for a 100.0 year stormEffective runoff = 6.957(CFS)Total initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.)Process from Point/Station elevation = 497.300(Ft.)Process from Point/Station elevation = 497.300(Ft.)Pipe length = 80.00(7t.)Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PMPage 1 of 8Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PMModified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PMPage 1 of 8Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM	**** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****	RAINTALL INCENSICY = 5.797(IN/HE)
Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.0004003.000 to Point/Station4005.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.0001INUUSRIAL area type1Initial subarea flow distance = 680.000(Ft.)Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Bedwait elevation = 512.000(Ft.)Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Steve in a fixed stance (Ft.)Steve in a fraction soil group D = 1.000Itme of concentration calculated by the urbanInitial subarea flow distance = 632.000(Ft.)Tre = 1.8*(1.1-0.*500)*( 880.000^*.5)/(1.477*(1/3)] = 7.03Lowest elevation = 508.300(Ft.)Cr = 1.8*(1.1-0.*500)*( 880.000^*.5)/(1.477*(1/3)] = 7.03Time of concentration calculated by the urbanStainfail intensity (I) = 3.840(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year stormTime of concentration calculated by the urbanStective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950Time of concentration calculated by the urbanStective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950Time of concentration calculated by the urbanStective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950Subarea runoff = 4.982(CFS)Total initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.)Subarea runoff = 4.842(CFS)PipErEGW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****Subcore from point/Station 4005.000 to point/Station 401.000***** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****Sub(Ac.)***** PI	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area typeDecimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 [Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area typeDecimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [Interest sole for acta (Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type1Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [Intel single sole conservation clouted by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min. 32.00(n-H)1<	Decimal fraction soil group $B \approx 0.000$	Process from Point/Station 4003.000 to Point/Station 4005.000
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000Highest elevation = 515.000(Ft.)Lighest elevation = 502.000(Ft.)Elevation difference = 13.000(Ft.)Elevation difference = 13.000(Ft.)Stevation difference = 13.000(Ft.)C = (1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)*.5)/(* slope*(1/3)]C = (1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)*.5)/(* slope*(1/3)]T = (1.8*(1.1-C)*di	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000	
Highest elevation = 515.000(Ft.)Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Lowast elevation = 502.000(Ft.)Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Lime of concentration calculated by the urban rreas overland flow method (App X-C) = 7.03 min.Intensity (1.1-0.9500)(Ft.)C = [1.8*(1.1-0.9tdistance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^1/3)] Station alevation for area (Q-KCIA) is C = 0.950Intensity (1) = 3.840(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.9tdistance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^1/3)] Total initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.)Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000Nothing the stream area = 1.910(Ac.)Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.9tdistance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^1/3)] TC = 1.00.0 year store Subarea runof	[INDUSTRIAL area type ] [nitial subarea flow distance = 880.000(Ft.)	Decimal fraction soil group $A = 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$
Lowest elevation = 502.000(Ft.)       Deckmal fraction Soli group D = 1.000         Elevation difference = 13.000(Ft.)       INNUSTRIAL area type         Time of concentration calculated by the urban       Initial subrare flow distance = 632.000(Ft.)         Tracs overland flow method (App X-C) = 7.03 min.       Elevation difference = 6.700(Ft.)         C = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)(* slope^1(1/3)]       7.03         tainfall intensity (I) = 3.840(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm       Elevation difference = 6.700(Ft.)         tainfall intensity (I) = 6.967(CFS)       Time of concentration calculated by the urban         tract on field stream area = 1.910(Ac.)       Time of concentration (App X-C) = 6.66 min.         thistic stream area = 1.910(Ac.)       Time of concentration (App X-C) = 3.920(Th/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm         thistic stream area = 1.910(Ac.)       Subarca runoff = 4.842(CFS)         thistic stream area = 1.910(Ac.)       Subarca runoff = 4.842(CFS)         thistic stream area = 1.300(Ft.)       Subor(Ft.)         thistic stream area = 49.300(Ft.)       Subarca runoff = 4.842(CFS)         townstream point/station elevation = 498.100(Ft.)       Subarca runoff = 4.842(CFS)         townstream point/station elevation = 497.300(Ft.)       Total initial stream area = 1.300(Ac.)         timed: 8/4/2018 2/42/02 PM PM       Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM         timed: 8/4/2018 2/42/02 PM PM       Modifie	lighest elevation = 515.000(Ft.)	Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$
Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 7.03 min.TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] = 7.03 tainfail intensity [1] = 3.840[In/Hr] for a 100.0 year storm Sffective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950 Total initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.)Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min. Trace of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min. Trace of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.9500)*(632.000^{5})/(1.060^{-(1/3)}] = 6.66 Rainfail intensity [1] = 3.920(In/Hr] for a 100.0 year storm subarea runoff = 4.842(CFS)Process from Point/Station elevation = 498.100(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 497.300(Ft.)Subarea runoff = 4.842(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.300(Ac.)Total initial stream area = 80.00(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 497.300(Ft.)Subarea runoff = 4.842(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.300(Ac.)Total initial stream area = 80.00(Ft.) Mondified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PMPage 1 of 8Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PMModified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM	Lowest elevation = 502.000(Ft.) Slevation difference = 13.000(Ft.)	[INDUSTRIAL area type ]
<pre>Treas overland flow method (App X-C) = 0.50 min. CC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(FL)*.5)/(8 slope*(1/3)] CC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(FL)*.5)/(1.477*(1/3)] = 7.03 ainfall intensity (1] = 3.840(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950 Dubarea runoff = 6.957(CCS) Total initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.) ************************************</pre>	Time of concentration calculated by the urban	Initial subarea flow distance = 632.000(Ft.)
TC = [1.0*(1.1-0.9500)*(880.000^.5)/(1.477*(1/3)]= 7.03         Sainfail intensity (I) = 3.840(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm         Elevation difference = 6.700(Ft.)         Subarca runoff = 6.967(CFS)         Total initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.)         Total initial intensity (I) = 3.920(In/Hz) for a 100.000 to Point/Station 4011.000         Total initial stream area = 1.300(Ac.)         PipErELOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****         Timed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM         Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM         Page 1 of 8	$IC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^{.5})/(\$ slope^{1/3})]$	Lowest elevation = 508.300(Ft.)
Mainian intend for rank (1// R)       10 a 100 a 1	$PC = [1.8*(1.1-0.9500)*(880.000^{.5})/(1.477^{(1/3)}) = 7.03$	Elevation difference = 6.700 (Ft.)
Subarea runoff =       6.967(CES)         Total initial stream area =       1.910(Ac.)         Total initial stream area =       1.910(Ac.)         TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*Gistance[Ft.)^5)/(% slope*(1/3)]         TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*Gistance[Ft.)^5DO(Ft.)         Pipte Length =       80.00(Ft.)         Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM       Page 1 of 8         Pinted: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM       Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM	Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950	areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 6.66 min.
Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.920 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year stor         Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.920 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year stor         Process from Point/Station 4010.000 to Point/Station 4011.000         Process from Point/Station elevation = 498.100 (Ft.)         Pystream point/Station elevation = 497.300 (Ft.)         Pile length = 80.00 (Ft.)         Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM         Page 1 of 8	Subarea runoff = 6.967(CFS) Fotal initial stream area = 1.910(Ac.)	$TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*oistance(Ft.)^{.5})/(% slope^{(1/3)})$ $TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.9500)*(632.000^{.5})/(1.060^{(1/3)}) = 6.66$
thitter:       thiter:       thiter:       t	Toon There's Coronn Bred - This had	Rainfall intensity (I) = 3.920(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
Process from Point/Station       4010.000 to Point/Station       4011.000         **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size)       *****         Upstream point/station elevation =       498.100(Ft.)         Downstream point/station elevation =       497.300(Ft.)         Pipe length =       80.00(Ft.)         Mind: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM       Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM         Page 1 of 8       Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM       Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM	*******	Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 4.842(CFS)
Jpstream point/station elevation = 498.100(Ft.)       ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Process from Point/Station 4010.000 to Point/Station 4011.000 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****	Total initial stream area = 1.300(Ac.)
Downstream point/station elevation = 497.300(Ft.)         Process from Point/Station 4005.000 to Point/Station 4008.           Pipe length = 80.00(Ft.)         Manning's N = 0.013         **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****           rinted: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM         Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM         Page 1 of 8	Upstream point/station elevation = 498.100(Ft.)	***************************************
rinted: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM Page 1 of 8 Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM Page 1	Jownstream point/station elevation = 497.300(Ft.) Pipe length = 80.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013	Process from Point/Station 4005.000 to Point/Station 4008.000 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
	rinted: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM Page 1 of 8	Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM Page 2 of

Upstream point/station elevation = $502.000(Ft.)$ Downstream point/station elevation = $501.730(Ft.)$ Pipe length = $13.00(Ft.)$ Manning's N = 0.013 No. of nipes = 1 Required pipe flow = $4.842(CFS)$	<pre>++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>
Nearest computed pipe diameter = 12.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 4.842(C7S) Normal flow depth in pipe = 9.27(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 10.06(In.) Critical Depth = 10.90(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.43(Ft/S)	Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 7.150(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 10.804(CFS) Time of concentration = 8.24 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.620(In/Hr) Summary of stream data:
Travel time through pipe = 0.03 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 6.69 min.	Stream Flow rate TC Rainfall Intensity No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 9.745 7.24 3.797 2 18.804 8.24 3.620 Cmax(1) =
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	1.000 * 1.000 * 9.745) + 1.000 * 0.879 * 18.804) + = 26.273 Qmax(2) = 0.000 + 0.000 + 0.0000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 } [INDUSTRIAL area type ] Time of concentration = 6.69 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.914 [In/Hr] for a 100.0 year storm	0.953 * 1.000 * 9.7451 + 28.094 1.000 * 1.000 * 18.804) + - 28.094 Total of 2 streams to confluence:
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 6.953(CFS) for 1.870(Ac.) Total runoff = 11.795(CFS) Total area = 3.17(Ac.)	Flow rates before confluence point: 9.745 18.804 Maximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 26.273 28.094
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Area of streams before confluence: 2.680 7.150 Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 20.094(CFS) Time of concentration = 8.240 min
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 (RURAL(greater than 0.5 Ac, 0.2 ha) area type) Time of concentration = 6.69 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.914(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, C=KCIA, C = 0.450	Effective stream area after confluence = 9.830(Ac.) Hittittittittittittittittittittittittitt
Subarea runoff = 7.010(CFS) for 3.980(Ac.) Total runoff = 18.804(CFS) Total area = 7.15(Ac.)	Downstream point/station elevation = 492.560(Ft.) Pipe length = 421.78(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 28.094(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 27.00(In.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Calculated individual pipe flow = 28.094(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 20.11(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 23.54(In.) Critical Depth = 22.13(In.)
Upstream point/station elevation = 501.400(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 493.360(Ft.) Pipe length = 766.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 18.804(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 24.00(In.)	Fipe flow velocity = $8.84$ (Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = $0.79$ min. Time of concentration (TC) = $9.03$ min.
Calculated individual pipe flow = 18.804(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 16.41(In.) Flow too width inside pipe = 22.32(In.) Critical Depth = 18.73(In.)	<pre>++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>
Pipe flow velocity = $6.22(Ft/s)$ Travel time through pipe = $1.55$ min. Time of concentration (TC) = $8.24$ min	Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 9,830(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 28.094(CFS)

and the second second

•• *p* 

<pre>Rainfall intensity = 3.501(In/Hr)  Hithithithithithithithithithithithithithi</pre>	Upstream point/station elevation = 494.780(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 494.620(Ft.) Pipe length = 27.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 39.601(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 33.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe = 26.25(In.) Calculated individual pipe = 26.25(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 26.62(In.) Critical Depth = 25.14(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.61(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.45 min. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
<pre>++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 33.601(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 33.00(In.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 33.601(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 26.25(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 26.62(In.) Critical Depth = 25.14(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.81(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.45 min. **** Process from Point/Station 4010.000 to Point/Station 4015.000 **** SUBARE FLOW ADDITION ****
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Initial subarea flow distance = 100.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.8972)*(180.000^*.5)/(1.000^*(1/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) **** IMPROVED CRANKEL TRAVEL TIME **** Upstream point elevation = 504.200(Ft.)	Normal flow depth in pipe = 26.25(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 26.62(In.) Critical Depth = 25.14(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.81(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.45 min. ***** Process from Point/Station 4010.000 to Point/Station 4015.000 **** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION **** Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soll group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type D = 1.000 Initial subarea flow distance = 180.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Decimal fiference = 1.800(Ft.) Decimal fiference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.8972)*(180.000^{-5})/(1.000^{1}/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr.) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Flow top Width inside pipe = 20.02(in.) Critical Depth = 25.14(in.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.81(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.45 min. HINTERSTRAND Process from Point/Station 4010.000 to Point/Station 4015.000 **** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION **** Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIAL area type 1 Note: user entry of impervious value, Ap = 0.850 Initial subarea flow distance = 180.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 504.200(Ft.) Levest elevation = 504.200(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(\$ slope^1(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(\$ slope^1(1/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q-KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CES) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) Hittitititititititititititititititititi	<pre>Pips flow velocity = 7.81(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.45 min.  ***********************************</pre>
Decimal fraction soll group D = 1.000 [INDUSTRIA area type ] 1 Note: user entry of impervious value, Ap = 0.850 Initial subarea flow distance = 180.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 504.200(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = $[1.6^{+}(1.1-C)^{+}distance(Ft.)^{-},5)/(ft slope^{-}(1/3)]$ TC = $[1.6^{+}(1.1-C)^{+}distance(Ft.)^{-}(ft slope^{-}(1/3)]$ To a $[1.030, 0.00, ft slope^{-}(1/3)]$ To a $[1.030, 1.00, ft slope^{-}(1/3)]$ Hittitititititititititititititititititi	Travel time through pipe = 0.06 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 7.45 min. Hittittittittittittittittittittittittitt
<pre>(INDUSTRIAL area type Note: user entry of impervious value, Ap = 0.850 Initial subarea flow distance = 180.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.8972)*(180.000^.5)/( 1.000^(1/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	<pre>imme of concentration (rc) # 7.45 min.  t+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>
<pre>Initial subares flow distance = 160.000(Ft.) Highest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.8972)*(180.000^{-5})/(1*000^{-1}/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) Hittifference = 10.300 (Ac.) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference = 10.000 (D = 0.000 (D = 0.000) Hittifference</pre>	<pre>t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+</pre>
Highest elevation = 506.000(Ft.) Lowest elevation = 504.200(Ft.) Elevation difference = 1.800(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(\$ slope^1(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(\$ slope^1(1/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity [I] = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ***** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME ***** Upstream point elevation = 504.200(Ft.)	<pre>t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+</pre>
Dowest elevation = 504.200(rt.) Elevation difference = 1.800(rt.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(rt.)^.5)/(t slope^1(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(rt.)^.5)/(t slope^1(1/3)] = 4.90 Satting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q-KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	<pre>#rocess from #olf.Station #015.000 to Point/Station #015.000 ***** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION **** Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000</pre>
Time of concentration calculated by the urban areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.8*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.)^.5)/(% slope^(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.8972)*(180.000^.5)/( 1.000^(1/3)]= 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) Hittittittittittittittittittittittittitt	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
areas overland flow method (App X-C) = 4.90 min. TC = [1.6*(1.1-C)*distance(Ft.).^5)/(\$ slope^1(1/3)] TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.8972)*(180.000^.5)/(1.000^(1/3)] = 4.90 Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
IC = [1.6*(1.1-C) follscatte(FC): 3)/(1 slope (1/3)]       4.90         Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes         Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm         Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897         Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS)         Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.)         Hittitititititititititititititititititi	
Setting time of concentration to 5 minutes Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q-KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CES) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ************************************	Decimal fraction soil group $C = 0.000$
Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCIA) is C = 0.897 Subarea runoff = 4.056(CFS) Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
Entering control contribution of a control and (percent) is c = 0.057         Subarea runoff = 4.055(CES)         Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.)         **** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVZL TIME ****         Upstream point elevation = 504.200(Ft.)	[INDUSTRIAL area type ]
Total initial stream area = 1.030(Ac.) ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Rainfall intensity = 3.757 (In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm
<pre>t++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0. Subarea runoff = 3.284(CFS) for 0.920(Ac.) Total museff = 42.864(CFS) for 1.200(Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 4013.000 to Point/Station 4014.000 **** IMPROVED CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME **** Upstream point elevation = 504.200(Ft.)	10(al funori = 42.004(CFS) 10(al area = 11.00(AC.)
Upstream point elevation = 504.200(Ft.)	
Upstream point elevation = 504.200(Ft.)	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
Downstream point elevation = 496.000(Ft.)	
Channel length thru subarea = 840.000(Ft.)	Downstream point/station elevation = 494.520(Ft.)
Slope or 'Z' of left channel bank = 4.000	Pipe length = 63.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013
Slope or 'Z' of right channel bank = 4.000	No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 42.884(CFS)
Manning's 'N' = 0.015	Rearest computed pipe diameter == 33.00(in.) Calculated individual pipe flow == 42.884(CFS)
Maximum depth of channel = 2.000(Ft.)	Normal flow depth in pipe = 29.25(In.)
Flow(q) thru subarea = 23.608(CFS)	Flow top width inside pipe = 20.95(In.)
Depth of flow = 0.698(Ft.), Average velocity = 5.845(Ft/s) Channel flow top width = 8.580(Ft.)	Critical Depth = 25.09(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 7.71(Ft/s)
Flow Velocity = 5.85(Ft/s)	Travel time through pipe = 0.14 min.
Travel time = 2.40 min.	Time of concentration (TC) = 7.59 min.
Critical depth = 0.059(Ft.)	
Adding area flow to channel	*****************
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	Process from Point/Station 4009.000 to Point/Station 4016.000
Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000	SAPERT FOR ADVIION
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
[INDUSTRIAL area type ] Rainfall intensity = 3.768(In/Rr) for a 100.0 year storm	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$
Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.950	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
Subarea runoff = 35.545(CFS) for 9.930(Ac.)	[INDUSTRIAL area type ]
Total runoff = 39.601(CFS) Total area = 10.96(Ac.)	Time of concentration = 7.59 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.732(In/Hr) for a 100 0 year store
	included incensicy - 5,752 (in/hi/ ior a 100.0 year storm
	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.9
Process from Point/Station 4014.000 to Point/Station 4015.000	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.9 Subarea runoff = 2.233(CFS) for 0.630(Ac.)
TEDION NEWSD TEND (FOULAN COUNCED SIZE)	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.9 Subarea runoff = 2.233(CFS) for 0.630(Ac.) Total runoff = 45.118(CFS) Total area = 12.51(Ac.)
	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q-KCIA, C = 0.: Subarea runoff = 2.233(CFS) for 0.630(Ac.) Total runoff = 45.118(CFS) Total area = 12.51(Ac.)

an disa di an andre da atanàna aona amin'ny solahara amin'ny fisiana aona aona amin'ny fisiana aona aona aona a

• • :. :

P-0357-33EbgrRepodsDhainage-TMHYDRO/PROPOSED4000P-100.out	P12357.35EngrHepotsUtranageTMHHDR0/FR0F0SED(4000P100.out
<pre>++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>	Calculated individual pipe flow = 71.302(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 31.83(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 35.99(In.) Critical Depth = 31.73(In.)
Upstream point/station elevation = $494.050(Ft.)$ Downstream point/station elevation = $492.560(Ft.)$ Pipe length = $219.00(Ft.)$ Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = $45.118(CFS)$ Nearest computed pipe diameter = $33.00(In.)$	Pipe flow velocity = 9.11(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.14 min, Time of concentration (TC) = 9.18 min.
Calculated individual pipe flow = 45.118(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 28.22(In.) Flow top width inside pipe = 23.23(In.) Critical Depth = 26.71(In.)	<pre>++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</pre>
Pipe Flow velocity = 0.35(F(7S) Travel time through pipe = 0.44 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 8.03 min.	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 [COMMERCIAL area type ]
Process from Point/Station 4016.000 to Point/Station 4017.000 **** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS ****	Time of concentration = 9.18 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.481(In/Kr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.850 Subarea runoff = 34.260(CFS) for 11.580(Ac.)
Along main Stream homber: 1 in hormal stream homber 2 Stream flow area = 12.510(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 45.118(CFS) Time of concentration = 8.03 min. Rainfall intensity = 3.655(In/Hr)	Process from Point/Station 4020.000 to Point/Station 4025.000
Summary of stream data:	**** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (Program estimated size) ****
No. (CFS) (min) (In/Hr)	Downstream point/station elevation = 462.900(Ft.) Pipe length = 80.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 105.562(CFS)
1 28,094 9.03 3.501 2 45.118 8.03 3.655 Qmax(1)	Nearest computed pipe diameter = 39.00(in.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 105.562(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 29.02(In.)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Flow top width inside pipe = 34.04(In.) Critical Depth = 36.70(In.) Pipe flow velocity = 15.94(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.08 min
1.000 * 1.000 * 45.118) + - 70.076	Time of concentration (TC) = 9.26 min. End of computations, total study area = 33.920 (Ac.)
Total of 2 streams to confluence: Flow rates before confluence point: 28.094 45.118	
Naximum flow rates at confluence using above data: 11.302 70.076 Area of streams before confluence:	
9,830 12.510 Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 71.302(CFS) Time of concentration = 9.035 min.	
Effective stream area after confluence = 22.340(Ac.)	
THEFT THE ACCOUNT OF A STATE OF A	
Upstream point/station elevation = 492.460(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 492.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 78.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 71.302(CFS) Nearest computed pipe diameter = 42.00(In.)	
Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM Page 7 of 8	Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:02 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:04:39 PM PM Page 8 of 8

2. Construction of the second s second se

٠.

P22357.35EhertRepdrisDranage:TNNTYDRO/PROPOSEDI5000P100.out	CP-2257.35/Engt/Repote/Drainage-TAN+fyDRO/FROPOSED/5000P100.out
San Diego County Rational Hydrology Program	Length of street segment = 320.000(Ft.) Height of curb above gutter flowline = 6.0(In.)
CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c)1991-2003 Version 6.3	Width of half street (curb to crown) = 26.000(Ft.) Distance from crown to crossfall grade break = 10.000(Ft.)
Rational method hydrology program based on	Slope from gutter to grade break $(v/hz) = 0.020$ Slope from grade break to crown $(v/hz) = 0.020$
San Diego County Flood Control Division 1985 hydrology manual Rational Hydrology Study Date: 07/31/18	Street flow is on [1] side(s) of the street Distance from curb to property line = 15.000(Ft.)
	Slope from curb to property line $(v/hz) = 0.020$
PROPOSED CONDITIONS	Gutter hike from flowline = 1.500(In.)
SYSTEM 500, FILE: 5000P100	Manning's N in gutter = 0.0150 Manning's N from gutter to grade break = 0.0180
Natestate Budrology Study Control Toformation tattat	Manning's N from grade break to crown = 0.0180
"Jarotogj bedaj concret antonimeton	Depth of flow = 0.278(Ft.), Average velocity = 2.117(Ft/s)
	Halfstreet flow width = 9.136(Ft.)
Program License Serial Number 4049	Flow velocity = $2.12$ (Ft/s) Travel time = $2.52$ min. TC = $7.52$ min.
,	Adding area flow to street Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Rational hydrology study storm event year is 100.0	Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000
English (in-ib) input data Units used English (in) rainfall data used	Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
Standard intensity of Appendix I-B used for year and	[INDUSTRIAL area type ] Rainfall intensity = 3.745(In/Hr) for a 100.0 vear storm
Elevation 0 - 1500 feet	Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method, Q=KCIA, C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 0.711(CES) for 0.200(Ac.)
Only used if inside City of San Diego	Total runoff $\approx$ 2.213(CFS) Total area = 0.56(Ac.)
San Diego hydrology manual 'C' values used Runoff coefficients by rational method	Half street flow at end of street = 2.213(CFS)
	Depth of flow = 0.289(Ft.), Average velocity = 2.187(Ft/s) Flow width (from curb towards crown)= 9.697(Ft.)
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
**** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION ****	
Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000	**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
Decimal fraction soll group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soll group C = 0.000	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000	Decimal fraction soil group $B \approx 0.000$ Decimal fraction soil group $C \approx 0.000$
Initial subarea flow distance = 470.000(Ft.)	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.00D
Lowest elevation = 510.000 (Ft.)	Time of concentration = $7.52 \text{ min.}$
Elevation difference = 8.000(Ft.) Time of concentration calculated by the urban	Rainfall intensity = 3.745(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=KCIA, C = 0.950
areas overland flow method (App X-C) = $4.90 \text{ min}$ .	Subarea runoff = $4.304$ (CFS) for $1.210$ (Ac.) Total runoff = $6.517$ (CFS) Total area = $1.77$ (Ac.)
TC = [1.8*(1.1-0.9500)*(470.000^.5)/(1.702^(1/3))= 4.90	
Rainfall intensity (I) = 4.389(In/Mr) for a 100.0 year storm	**************************************
Effective runoff coefficient used for area (Q=KCLA) is C = 0.950 Subarea runoff = 1.501(CFS)	**** SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION ****
Total initial stream area = 0.360(Ac.)	Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000
	Decimal fraction soil group $B = 0.000$
Process from Point/Station 5003.000 to Point/Station 5008.000	Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000
SIREET FLOW INAVEL TIME + SUBAREA FLOW ADDITION	Time of concentration = 7.52 min.
Top of street segment elevation = 502.000(Ft.) End of street segment elevation = 497.500(Ft.)	Rainfall intensity = 3.745(In/Hz) for a 100.0 year storm Runoff coefficient used for sub-area, Rational method,Q=XCIA, C = 0.950
Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:06 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:07:43 PM PM Page 1 of 3	Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:06 PM PM Modified: 7/31/2018 4:07:43 PM PM Page 2 of 3

алараан алараан

P12357.35EngrReportsDranageTMHYDROPROPOSEDI5000P100.out	
newitelet for the second content of the second of the second second second second second second second second s	a sussian wa

· .

Subarea runoff =	0.391(CFS) for	0.110(Ac.)	
Total runoff =	6.908(CFS) Total	area 🚥	1.88(Ac.)
End of computations,	total study area	₩ ]	L.880 (Ac.)
-			

Printed: 8/14/2018 2:42:06 PM PM

Modified: 7/31/2018 4:07:43 PM PM Page 3 of 3

## **APPENDIX 4**

# **Preliminary Detention Calculations**



- 1 - EX15 - Ron (Total In) - 1 - EX10 - Ron (Total Out) - 1 - EX10 - Volume - 1 - EX10 - Baneton - CH-1 - EX10 - Ron (Total) - O-1 - EX10 - Ron

Title	System 4000- North Basin
Engineer	PDC
Company	PDC
Date	7/23/2018

Notes

.

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 1 of 18

Subsection: Master Network Summary

#### **Catchments Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (min)	Peak Flow . (ft³/s)
CM-1	EX10	0	4.893	252.000	105.60

#### **Node Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (min)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)
0-1	EX10	0	4.893	267.000	13.35

#### **Pond Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event	Hydrograph Volume	Time to Peak (min)	Peak Flow (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	Maximum Water	Maximum Pond Storage
		(years)	(ac-ft)			Surface Elevation	(ac-ft)
					a an	(ft)	
1 (IN)	EX10	0	4.893	252.000	105.60	(N/A)	(N/A)
1 (OUT)	EX10	0	4.893	267.000	13.35	488.63	3.009

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 2 of 18

Subsection: Read Hydrograph Label: CM-1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Peak Discharge	105.60 ft³/s
Time to Peak	252.000 min
Hydrograph Volume	4.893 ac-ft

### HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES (ft³/s) Output Time Increment = 9.000 min Time on left represents time for first value in each row.

Time	Flow	Flow	Flow	· Flow	Flow
(min)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/ŝ)
0.000	0.00	0.00	3.60	3.70	3.80
45.000	3.90	4.00	4.10	4.30	4.40
90.000	4.60	4.70	5.00	5.10	5.40
135.000	5.60	6.00	6.30	6.80	7.10
180.000	7.90	8.40	9.60	10.40	12.70
225.000	14.50	21.30	32.00	105.60	17.10
270.000	11.40	8.90	7.50	6.50	5.80
315.000	5.30	4.90	4.50	4.20	4.00
360.000	3.80	0,00	(N/A)	(N/A)	(N/A)

North.ppc 8/15/2018

ę

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 3 of 18

...

Subsection: Elevation-Area Volume Curve Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation (ft)	Planimeter (ft²)	Area (ft²)	A1+A2+sqr (A1*A2) ((ft²)	Volume (ac-ft)	Volume (Total) (ac <sub>i</sub> ft)
481.00	0.0	10.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
483.90	0.0	10.000	30.000	0.001	0.001
484.00	0.0	18,816.000	19,259.774	0.015	0.015
490.00	0.0	45,867.000	94,060.431	4.319	4.334

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 4 of 18 .

Subsection: Volume Equations Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Pond Volume Equations \* Incremental volume computed by the Conic Method for Reservoir Volumes.

#### Volume = (1/3) \* (EL2 - El1) \* (Area1 + Area2 + sqr(Area1 \* Area2))

 where:
 EL1, EL2
 Lower and upper elevations of the increment

 Area1, Area2
 Areas computed for EL1, EL2, respectively

 Volume
 Incremental volume between EL1 and EL2

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 5 of 18

Subsection: Outlet Input Data Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Requested Pond Water Surface Elevations					
Minimum (Headwater)	481.00 ft				
Increment (Headwater)	0.10 ft				
Maximum (Headwater)	490.00 ft				

#### **Outlet Connectivity**

Structure Type Outlet ID Direction Outfall E1 E2 (ft) (ft)

					and the second
Orifice-Circular	1-Lowflow orifice	Forward	TW	484.50	490.00
Orifice-Circular	2-Midflow orifice	Forward	тw	486.50	490.00
Orifice-Circular	3-Highflow orifice	Forward	тw	487.50	490.00
Stand Pipe	Riser - 1	Forward	тw	488.50	490.00
	0-				
Orifice-Circular	Underdrain orifice	Forward	ΤW	481.25	490.00
Tailwater Settings	Tailwater			(N/A)	(N/A)

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 6 of 18

Subsection: Outlet Input Data Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Structure ID: 0-Underdrain orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	
Number of Openings	1
Elevation	481.25 ft
Orifice Diameter	6.0 in
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Structure ID: 2-Midflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	
Number of Openings	3
Elevation	486.50 ft
Orifice Diameter	6.0 in
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Structure ID: 3-Highflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	
Number of Openings	2
Elevation	487.50 ft
Orifice Diameter	8.0 in
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Structure ID: Riser - 1 Structure Type: Stand Pipe	
Number of Openings	1
Elevation	488.50 ft
Diameter	36.0 in
Orifice Area	7.1 ft²
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Weir Length	9.42 ft
Weir Coefficient	3.00 (ft^0.5)/s
K Reverse	1.000
Manning's n	0.000
Kev, Charged Riser	0.000
Weir Submergence	False
their sestiller genee	
Orifice H to crest	True
Orifice H to crest Structure ID: 1-Lowflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	True
Orifice H to crest Structure ID: 1-Lowflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular Number of Openings	True
Orifice H to crest Structure ID: 1-Lowflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular Number of Openings Elevation	True 3 484.50 ft
Orifice H to crest Structure ID: 1-Lowflow onifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular Number of Openings Elevation Orifice Diameter	True 3 484.50 ft 4.0 in

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 7 of 18

Subsection: Outlet Input Data Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Structure ID: TW
Structure Type: TW Setup, DS Channel

Tailwater Type	Free Outfall
Convergence Tolerances	
Maximum Iterations	30
Tailwater Tolerance (Minimum)	0.01 ft
Tailwater Tolerance (Maximum)	0.50 ft
Headwater Tolerance (Minimum)	0.01 ft
Headwater Tolerance (Maximum)	0.50 ft
Flow Tolerance (Minimum)	0.001 ft³/s
Flow Tolerance (Maximum)	10.000 ft³/s

.

North.ppc 8/15/2018

.

.

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center . 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 8 of 18

.

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

ı.

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Water Surface	Flow	vater Elevation	ergence Error
clevation (ft)	(π³/s)	(IT)	(ft)
481.00	0.00	(N/A)	0.00
481.10	0.00	(N/A)	0.00
481.20	0.00	(N/A)	0.00
481.25	0.00	(N/A)	0.00
481.30	0.01	(N/A)	0.00
481.40	0.05	(N/A)	0.00
481.50	0.13	(N/A)	0.00
481.60	0.25	(N/A)	0.00
481.70	0.38	(N/A)	0.00
481.80	0.52	(N/A)	0.00
481.90	0.60	(N/A)	0.00
482.00	0.67	(N/A)	0.00
482.10	0.73	(N/A)	0.00
482.20	0.79	(N/A)	0.00
482.30	0.85	(N/A)	0.00
482.40	0.90	(N/A)	0.00
482.50	0.95	(N/A)	0.00
482.60	0.99	(N/A)	0.00
482.70	1.04	(N/A)	0.00
482.80	1.08	(N/A)	0.00
482.90	1.12	(N/A)	0.00
483.00	1.16	(N/A)	0.00
483.10	1.20	(N/A)	0.00
483.20	1.23	(N/A)	0.00
483.30	. <b>1.2</b> 7	(N/A)	0.00
483.40	1.30	(N/A)	0.00
483.50	1.34	(N/A)	0.00
483.60	1.37	(N/A)	0.00
483.70	1.40	(N/A)	0.00
483.80	1.43	(N/A)	· 0.00
483.90	1.46	(N/A)	0.00
484.00	1.49	(N/A)	0.00
484.10	1.52	(N/A)	0.00
484.20	1.55	(N/A)	0.00
484.30	1.58	(N/A)	0.00
484.40	1.61	(N/A)	0.00
484.50	1.64	(N/A)	0.00
484.60	1.72	(N/A)	0.00
484.70	1.90	(N/A)	0.00
484.80	2.13	(N/A)	0.00
484.90	2.35	(N/A)	0.00
485.00	2.50	(N/A)	0.00
485.10	2.62	(N/A)	0.00
th and	Bentley Systems, Ir	nc. Haestad Methods Solution	

8/15/2018

Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 9 of 18

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Water Surface	Flow	Tailwater Elevation	Convergence Error	
Elevation	(ft³/s)		(ft)	
485.20	2.74	(N/A)	0.00	
485.30	2,84	(N/A)	0.00	
485.40	2.95	(N/A)	0.00	
485.50	3.04	(N/A)	0.00	
485.00	3.13	(N/A)	0.00	
465.70	3.22	(N/A)	0.00	
405.00	3.30	(N/A)	0.00	
486.00	2.30	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00	
486.10	3.40	(N/A)	0.00	
486.20	3.61	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00	
486.30	3.68	(N/A)	0.00	
486.40	3.00	(N/A)	0.00	
486.50	3.82	(N/A)	0.00	
486.60	3.96	(N/A)	0.00	
486.70	4.22	(N/A)	0.00	
486.80	4.58	(N/A)	0.00	
486.90	5.02	(N/A)	0.00	
487.00	5.56	· (N/A)	0.00	
487.10	5.88	(N/A)	0.00	
487.20	6.16	(N/A)	0.00	
487.30	6.42	(N/A)	0.00	
487.40	6.66	(N/A)	0.00	
487.50	6.89	(N/A)	0.00	
487.60	7.16	(N/A)	0.00	
487.70	7.52	(N/A)	0.00	
487.80	7.96	(N/A)	0.00	
487.90	8.47	(N/A)	0.00	
488.00	9.03	(N/A)	. 0.00	
488.10	9.63	(N/A)	· · 0.00	•
488.20	10.26	(N/A)	0.00	
488.30	10.69	(N/A)	0.00	
488.40	11.09	(N/A)	0.00	
488.50	11.46	(N/A)	0.00	
488.60	12.71	(N/A)	0.00	
488.70	14.68	(N/A)	0.00	
488.80	17.12	(N/A)	0.00	
488.90	19.94	(N/A)	0.00	
489.00	23.09	(N/A)	0.00	
489.10	26.53	(N/A)	0.00	
489.20	30.23	. (N/A)	0.00	
489.30	34.18	(N/A)	0.00	
489.40	38.36	(N/A)	0.00	
	Bentley S	vsiems, inc. Haestad Methods	s Solution	

North.ppc 8/15/2018

ey Sys nc 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA `+1-203-755-1666 ns, I

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 10 of 18

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Composite Outflow Summary

	, (ft³/s)	(ft)	ergence Error (ft)
(ft)			
489.50	42.76	(N/A)	0.00
489.60	47.36	(N/A)	0.00
489.70	52.16	(N/A)	0.00
489.80	54.03	(N/A)	0.00
489.90	55.74	(N/A)	0.00
490.00	57.39	(N/A)	0.00
Contributing Struc	tures		
None Contributing			
0-Underdrain orifice			•
0-Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solutio Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 11 of 18

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 0-Underdrain orifice 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 12 of 18

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

### Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 13 of 18

North.ppc 8/15/2018

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 3-Highflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 14 of 18

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1 .

Infiltration	
Infiltration Method (Computed)	No Infiltration
Initial Conditions	<u></u>
Elevation (Water Surface, Initial)	481.00 ft
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft
Flow (Initial Outlet)	0.00 ft³/s
Flow (Initial Infiltration)	0.00 ft³/s
Flow (Initial, Total)	0.00 ft³/s
Time Increment	1.000 min

Elevation	Outflow	Storage	Area	Infiltration	Flow (Total)	25/t + 0
(U) 200 (U)	<((π³/s)	्र (ac-ft)	και (tt²) <u>(</u> τε	(ft³/s)	<u>淡淡(ft³/s) 於</u> 一	(ft³/s) 🚳
481.00	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.00
481.10	0.00	. 0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.03
481.20	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.07
481.25	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.08
481.30	0.01	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.01	0.11
481.40	0.05	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.05	0.19
481.50	0.13	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.13	0.30
481.60	. 0.25	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.25	0.45
481.70	• 0.38	0.000	10.000	0.00	· 0.38	0.62
481.80	0.52	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.52	0.78
481.90	0.60	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.60	0.90
482.00	0.67	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.67	1.00
482.10	0.73	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.73	1.10
482.20	0.79	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.79	1.19
482.30	0.85	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.85	1.28
482.40	0.90	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.90	1.36
482.50	0.95	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.95	1.45
482.60	0.99	0.000	10.000	0.00	0,99	1.52
482.70	1.04	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.04	1.60
482.80	1.08	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.08	1.68
482.90	1.12	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.12	1.75
483.00	1.16	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.16	1.82
483.10	1,20	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.20	1.90
483.20	1.23	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.23	1.97
483.30	1.27	0.001	10.000	. 0.00	1.27	2.03
483.40	1.30	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.30	2.10
483.50	1.34	. 0.001	10.000	0.00	1.34	2.17
483.60	1.37	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.37	2.24
483.70	1.40	0.001	10.000	Q.00	1.40	2.30

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

North.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 15 of 18

.

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation	Outflow	🔆 Storage 🔬	Area	Infiltration	Flow (Total)	2S/t + 0
(ft) %	(ft³/s)	(ac-ft)	(ft²)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)
483.80	1.43	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.43	2.37
483.90	1.46	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.46	2.43
484.00	1.49	0.015	18,816.000	0.00	1.49	23.86
484.10	1.52	0.059	19,169.694	0.00	1.52	87.20
484.20	1.55	0.103	19,526.682	0.00	1.55	151.72
484.30	1.58	0.149	19,886.963	0.00	1.58	217.44
484.40	1.61	0.195	20,250.538	0.00	1.61	284.36
484.50	1.64	0.242	20,617.406	0.00	1.64	352.50
484.60	1.72	0.289	20,987.568	0.00	1.72	421.92
484.70	1.90	0.338	21,361.023	0.00	1.90	492.68
484.80	2.13	0.387	21,737.771	0.00	2.13	564.75
484.90	2.35	0.438	22,117.812	0.00	2.35	638.06
485.00	2.50	0.489	22,501.148	0.00	2.50	712.57
485.10	2.62	0.541	22,887.776	0.00	2.62	788.34
485.20	2.74	0.594	23,277.698	0.00	2.74	865.40
485.30	2.84	0.648	23,670.913	0.00	2.84	943.75
485.40	2.95	0.703	24,067.422	0.00	2.95	1,023.41
485.50	3.04	0.759	24,467.224	0.00	3.04	1,104.40
485.60	3.13	0.815	24,870.320	0.00	3.13	1,186.72
485.70	3.22	0.873	25,276.709	0.00	3.22	1,270.38
485.80	3.30	0.931	25,686.391	0.00	3.30	1,355.40
485.90	3.38	0,991	26,099.367	0.00	3.38	1,441.79
486.00	3.46	1.051	26,515.636	0.00	3.46	1,529.56
486.10	3.54	1.112	26,935.199	0.00	3.54	1,618.72
486.20	3.61	1.175	27,358.055	0.00	3.61	1,709.28
486.30	3.68	1.238	27,784.204	0.00	3.68	1,801.26
486.40	3.75	1.302	28,213.647	0.00	3.75	1,894.66
486.50	3.82	1.368	28,646.383	0.00	3.82	1,989.49
486.60	3.96	1.434	29,082.413	0.00	3.96	2,085.84
486.70	4.22	1.501	29,521.736	0.00	4.22	2,183.78
486.80	4.58	1.569	29,964.352	. 0,00	4.58	2,283.28
486.90	5.02	1.639	30,410.262	0.00	5.02	2,384.34
487.00	5.56	1.709	30,859,466	0.00	5.56	2,487.00
487.10	5.88	1./80	31,311.962	0.00	5.88	2,590.94
487.20	6.16	1.853	31,767.752	0.00	6.16	2,696.35
487.30	6.42	1.926	32,226.836	0.00	6.42	2,803.27
487.40	6.66	2.001	32,689.213	0.00	6.66	2,911.70
487.50	6.89	2.076	33,154.883	0.00	6.89	3,021,67
487.60	/.16	2.153	33,623.847	0.00	7.16	3,133.24
487.70	/.52	2.231	34,096.104	0.00	7.52	3,246.46
487.80	/.96	2.309	34,571.655	0.00	7.96	3,361.35
487.90	8.4/	2.389	35,050.499	0.00	8.47	3,477.89
488.00	I. 9.03	2.470	35,532.636	0.00	9.03	3,596.09

North.ppc 8/15/2018

.

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 16 of 18

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation	Outflow	Storage	Area	, Infiltration	Flow (Total)	2S/t + 0
(ft)	(ft³/s)	(ac-ft)	(ft²)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	∞
488.10	9.63	2.553	36,018.067	0.00	9.63	3,715.94
488.20	10.26	2.636	36,506.791	0.00	10.26	3,837.45
488.30	10.69	2.720	36,998.809	0.00	10.69	3,960.38
488.40	11.09	2.806	37,494.120	0.00	11.09	4,084.93
488.50	11.46	2.892	37,992.724	0.00	11.46	4,211.12
488.60	12.71	2.980	38,494.622	0.00	12.71	4,339.84
488.70	14.68	3.069	38,999.813	0.00	14.68	4,470.97
488.80	17.12	3,159	39,508.298	0.00	17.12	4,604.26
488.90	19.94	3.250	40,020.076	0.00	19.94	4,739.63
489.00	23.09	3.343	40,535.148	0.00	23.09	4,877.03
489.10	26.53	3.437	41,053.512	0.00	26.53	5,016.45
489.20	30.23	3.531	41,575.171	0.00	30.23	5,157.86
489.30	34.18	3.627	42,100.123	0.00	34.18	5,301.27
489.40	38.36	3.725	42,628.368	0.00	38.36	5,446.67
489.50	42.76	3.823	43,159.906	0.00	42.76	5,594.04
489.60	47.36	3.923	43,694.738	0.00	47,36	5,743.40
489.70	52.16	4.024	44,232.863	0.00	52.16	5,894.75
489.80	54.03	4.126	44,774.282	0.00	54.03	6,044.96
489.90	55.74	4.229	45,318.994	0.00	55.74	6,196.82
490.00	57.39	4.334	45,867.000	0.00	57.39	6,350.45

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

.

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 17 of 18

Subsection: Pond Inflow Summary Label: 1 (IN)

### Summary for Hydrograph Addition at '1'

Catchment to Outflow Node> CM-1

#### **Node Inflows**

Inflow Type	Elemer	it Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (min)	Flow (Peak) (ft³/s)
Flow (From)	CM-1	4.893	252.000	105.60
Flow (In)	1	4.893	252.000	105.60

.

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

North.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 18 of 18



- 1 - EX10 - Row (Total In) - 1 - EX10 - Fam (Total Out) - 1 - EX10 - Volume - 1 - EX10 - Elevation - CH-1 - EX10 - Row (Total) - 0.1 - EX10 - Row

Title	South Basin
Engineer	PDC
Company	PDC
Date	8/15/2018

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 1 of 22

Subsection: Master Network Summary

#### **Catchments Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (min)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)
CM-1	EX10	0	9.069	252.000	151.60

#### **Node Summary**

Label	Scenario	Event (years)	Volume (ac-ft)	(min)	reak Flow .(ft³/s)
0-1	EX10	0	9.069	265.000	36.24

#### **Pond Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (min)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)	Maximum Water Surface Elevation	Maximum Pond Storage (ac-ft)
1 (IN) 1 (OUT)	EX10 EX10	0	9.069 9.069	252.000 265.000	151.60 36.24	(ft) (N/A) 468.88	(N/A) 6.242

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 2 of 22

Subsection: Read Hydrograph Label: CM-1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Peak Discharge	151.60 ft³/s
Time to Peak	252.000 min
Hydrograph Volume	9.069 ac-ft

#### HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES (ft<sup>3</sup>/s) Output Time Increment = 14.000 min Time on left represents time for first value in each row.

Time (min)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)
0.000	0.00	6.50	6.90	7.00	7.50
70.000	7.70	8.30	8.60	9.40	9.80
140.000	10.90	11.50	13.20	14.30	17.50
210.000	19.90	29.30	37,60	151.60	23.50
280.000	15.70	12.30	10.30	9.00	8.00
350.000	7.30	6.70	0.00	(N/A)	(N/A)

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 3 of 22

Subsection: Elevation-Area Volume Curve Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation (ft)	Planimeter (ft?))	Area (ft²)	A1+A2+sqr (A1*A2) (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Volume (ac <del>.</del> ft)	Volume (Total) (ac-ft)
460.80	0.0	10.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
463.70	0.0	10.000	30.000	0.001	0.001
463.80	0.0	46,285.000	46,975.331	0.036	0.037
474.00	0.0	76,427.000	182,188.245	14.220	14.257

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 4 of 22

Subsection: Volume Equations Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Pond Volume Equations \* Incremental volume computed by the Conic Method for Reservoir Volumes.

### Volume = (1/3) \* (EL2 - El1) \* (Area1 + Area2 + sqr(Area1 \* Area2))

where: EL1, EL2 Area1, Area2 Volume Lower and upper elevations of the increment Areas computed for EL1, EL2, respectively Incremental volume between EL1 and EL2

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 5 of 22

Subsection: Outlet Input Data Label: Outlet#1 Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Requested Pond Water Surface Elevations				
Minimum (Headwater)	460.80 ft			
Increment (Headwater)	0.10 ft			
Maximum (Headwater)	474.00 ft			

Structure Type	Outlet ID	Direction	Outfall	E1 (ft)	E2 (ft)
Orifice-Circular	1-Lowflow orifice	Forward	τw	464.30	474.00
Orifice-Circular	2-Midflow orifice	Forward	τw	466.30	474.00
Stand Pipe	Riser - 1 0-	Forward	τw	467.90	474.00
Orifice-Circular	Underdrain orifice	Forward	τw	461.05	474.00
Tailwater Settings	Tailwater	ALE: N		(N/A)	(N/A)

#### **Outlet Connectivity**

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 6 of 22

Subsection: Outlet Input Data Label: Outlet#1 Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Structure ID: 0-Underdrain onfice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	
Number of Openings	1
Elevation	461.05 ft
Orifice Diameter	6.0 in
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Structure ID: 2-Midflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	
Number of Openings	2
Elevation	466.30 ft
Orifice Diameter	8.0 in
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Structure ID: Riser - 1 Structure Type: Stand Pipe	
Number of Openings	1
Elevation	467.90 ft
Diameter	36.0 in
Orifice Area	7.1 ft <sup>2</sup>
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Weir Length	9.42 ft
Weir Coefficient	3.00 (ft^0.5)/s
K Reverse	1.000
Manning's n	0.000
Kev, Charged Riser	0.000
Weir Submergence	False
Orifice H to crest	True
Structure ID: 1-Lowflow orifice Structure Type: Orifice-Circular	
Number of Openings	2
Elevation	464.30 ft
Orifice Diameter	3.0 in
Orifice Coefficient	0.600
Structure ID: TW Structure Type: TW Setup, DS Channel	
Tailwater Type	Free Outfall
Convergence Tolerances	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Maximum Iterations	30
Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution	

Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 7 of 22

Subsection: Outlet Input Data Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

0.01 ft
0.50 ft
0.01 ft
0.50 ft
0.001 ft³/s
10.000 ft³/s

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 8 of 22
Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Composite Outflow Summary

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
460.80 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   460.90 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.00 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.05 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.05 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.10 0.01 (N/A) 0.00   461.20 0.05 (N/A) 0.00   461.30 0.13 (N/A) 0.00   461.40 0.25 (N/A) 0.00   461.50 0.38 (N/A) 0.00   461.60 0.52 (N/A) 0.00   461.70 0.60 (N/A) 0.00   461.80 0.67 (N/A) 0.00   461.90 0.73 (N/A) 0.00   461.90 0.73 (N/A) 0.00   462.00 0.79 (N/A) 0.00   462.10 0.85 (N/A) 0.00   462.20 0.90 (N/A) 0.00   462.30 0.95 <
460.90 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.00 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.05 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.05 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.10 0.01 (N/A) 0.00   461.20 0.05 (N/A) 0.00   461.30 0.13 (N/A) 0.00   461.40 0.25 (N/A) 0.00   461.50 0.38 (N/A) 0.00   461.60 0.52 (N/A) 0.00   461.60 0.52 (N/A) 0.00   461.70 0.60 (N/A) 0.00   461.80 0.67 (N/A) 0.00   461.90 0.73 (N/A) 0.00   462.00 0.79 (N/A) 0.00   462.10 0.85 (N/A) 0.00   462.20 0.90 (N/A) 0.00   462.30 0.95 (N/A) 0.00   462.40 0.99 <
461.00 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.05 0.00 (N/A) 0.00   461.10 0.01 (N/A) 0.00   461.20 0.05 (N/A) 0.00   461.30 0.13 (N/A) 0.00   461.40 0.25 (N/A) 0.00   461.50 0.38 (N/A) 0.00   461.60 0.52 (N/A) 0.00   461.70 0.60 (N/A) 0.00   461.80 0.67 (N/A) 0.00   461.90 0.73 (N/A) 0.00   461.90 0.73 (N/A) 0.00   462.00 0.79 (N/A) 0.00   462.10 0.85 (N/A) 0.00   462.20 0.90 (N/A) 0.00   462.20 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.30 0.95 (N/A) 0.00   462.40 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.50 1.04 <
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
461.80 0.67 (N/A) 0.00   461.90 0.73 (N/A) 0.00   462.00 0.79 (N/A) 0.00   462.10 0.85 (N/A) 0.00   462.20 0.90 (N/A) 0.00   462.30 0.95 (N/A) 0.00   462.40 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.50 1.04 (N/A) 0.00   462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
462.10 0.85 (N/A) 0.00   462.20 0.90 (N/A) 0.00   462.30 0.95 (N/A) 0.00   462.40 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.50 1.04 (N/A) 0.00   462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.20 0.90 (N/A) 0.00   462.30 0.95 (N/A) 0.00   462.40 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.50 1.04 (N/A) 0.00   462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.22 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.30 0.95 (N/A) 0.00   462.40 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.50 1.04 (N/A) 0.00   462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.40 0.99 (N/A) 0.00   462.50 1.04 (N/A) 0.00   462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.50 1.04 (N/A) 0.00   462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.60 1.08 (N/A) 0.00   462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00   463.10 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.70 1.12 (N/A) 0.00   462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00   463.10 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.80 1.16 (N/A) 0.00   462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00   463.10 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
462.90 1.20 (N/A) 0.00   463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00   463.10 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
463.00 1.23 (N/A) 0.00
463.10 1.27 (N/A) 0.00
463.20 1.30 (N/A) 0.00
463.30 1.34 (N/A) 0.00
463.40 1.37 (N/A) 0.00
463.50 1.40 (N/A) 0.00
463.60 1.43 (N/A) 0.00
463.70 1.46 (N/A) 0.00
463.80 1.49 (N/A) 0.00
463.90 1.52 (N/A) 0.00
464.00 1.55 (N/A) 0.00
464.10 1.58 (N/A) 0.00
464.20 1.61 (N/A) 0.00
464.30 1.64 (N/A) 0.00
464.40 1.70 (N/A) 0.00
464.50 1.80 (N/A) 0.00
464.60 1.91 (N/A) 0.00
464.70 1.99 (N/A) 0.00
464.80 2.06 (N/A) 0.00
464.90   2.12   (N/A)   0.00

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 9 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

÷

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Water Surface	Flow	Tailwater Elevation	Convergence Error
Elevation	(ft³/s)	(ft)	( <b>ft</b> )
(J)			
465.00	2.18	(N/A)	0.00
465,10	2.23	(N/A)	0.00
465.20	2.28	(N/A)	0.00
465.30	2.33	(N/A)	0.00
465.40	2.38	(N/A)	0.00
465.50	2.43	(N/A)	0.00
465.60	2.4/	(N/A)	0.00
405.70	2.52	(N/A)	0.00
405.00	2.50	(N/A)	0.00
403.90	2.00	(IN/A)	0.00
400.00	2,04	(IV/A) (N/A)	0.00
466.20	2.00	(N/A)	0.00
466 30	2.72	(N/A)	0.00
466.40	2.70	(N/A)	0.00
466 50	2.00	(N/A)	0.00
466.60	3 33	(N/A)	0.00
466 70	3.68	(N/A)	0.00
466.80	4 10	(N/A)	0.00
466.90	4 56	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00
467.00	5.05	(N/A)	0.00
467.10	5.34	(N/A)	0.00
467.20	5.61	(N/A)	0.00
467.30	5.86	(N/A)	0.00
467.40	6.09	(N/A)	0.00
467.50	6.31	(N/A)	0.00
467.60	6.52	(N/A)	0.00
467.70	6.72	(N/A)	0.00
467.80	6.91	(N/A)	0.00
467.90	7.09	(N/A)	0.00
468.00	8.16	(N/A)	0.00
468.10	9.97	(N/A)	0.00
468.20	12.25	(N/A)	0.00
468.30	14.92	(N/A)	0.00
468.40	17.92	(N/A)	0.00
468.50	21.22	(N/A)	0.00
468.60	24.79	(N/A)	0.00
468.70	28.61	(N/A)	0.00
468.80	32.66	(N/A)	0.00
468.90	36.94	(N/A)	0.00
469.00	41.42	(N/A)	0.00
469.10	46.11	(N/A)	0.00
469.20	47.86	(N/A)	0.00
	Bentley S	systems Inc. Haestad Methods	Solution

South.ppc 8/15/2018

ey Sys s, Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 10 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Water Surface	Flow	Tailwater Elevation	Convergence Error
Elevation	(ft³/s)	(ft)	(ft)
(π)			
469.30	49.46	(N/A)	0.00
469.40	51.00	(N/A)	0.00
469.50	52.49	(N/A)	0.00
469.60	53.94	(N/A)	0.00
469.70	55.35	(N/A)	0.00
469.80	56./3	(N/A)	0.00
469.90	58.00	(N/A)	0.00
470.00	59.37	(IN/A) (N1/A)	0.00
4/0.10	00.05	(N/A)	0.00
470.20	61.90	(N/A)	0.00
4/0.30	21.60	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00
470.40	04.32 65 50	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00
470.50	05.50 66 65	(N/A) /N/A)	0.00
470.00	67 79	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00
470.80	68.90	(N/A)	0.00
470.00	70.00	(N/A)	0.00
471.00	70.00	(N/A) (N/A)	0.00
471 10	71.00	(N/A)	0.00
471.20	73 19	(N/A)	0.00
471.30	73.12	(N/A)	0.00
471.40	75.24	(N/A)	0.00
471.50	76.24	(N/A)	0.00
471.60	77.23	(N/A)	0.00
471.70	78.21	(N/A)	0.00
471.80	79.17	(N/A)	0.00
471.90	80.12	(N/A)	0.00
472.00	81.06	(N/A)	0.00
472.10	81.99	(N/A)	0.00
472.20	82.91	(N/A)	0.00
472.30	83.82	(N/A)	0.00
472.40	84.72	. (N/A)	0.00
472.50	85.61	(N/A)	0.00
472.60	86.49	(N/A)	0.00
472.70	87.36	(N/A)	0.00
472.80	88.23	(N/A)	0.00
472.90	89.08	(N/A)	0.00
473.00	89.93	(N/A)	0.00
473.10	90.76	(N/A)	0.00
473.20	91.59	(N/A)	0.00
473.30	92.42	(N/A)	0.00
473.40	93.23	(N/A)	0.00
473.50	94.04	(N/A)	0.00

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 11 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

# Composite Outflow Summary

Water Surface	Flow	Tailwater Elevation	Convergence Error
Elevation	(π <sup>s</sup> /S)	(π)	(Ħ)
472.69	04.04		
4/3.00	94.84	(N/A)	0.00
4/3./0	95.03	(IN/A)	0.00
4/3.80	96.42	(N/A)	0.00
4/3.90	97.20	(N/A)	0.00
4/4.00	97.98	(N/A)	0.00
None Contributing			
None Contributing			
None Contributing			
None Contributing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
0-1 Inderdrain orifice			
0-1 Inderdrain orifice			
0-1 Inderdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			
0 Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain onlice			
0-Underdrain onlice			
0-Underdrain office			
0 Underdrain office			
0-Underdrain orifico			
0-Underdrain ornice			
0-Underdrain onlice			
0-Underdrain office			
0-Underdrain office			
0-Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			
u-undergrain onnice			
0 Underdrain erifice			
0-Underdrain orifice			

South.ppc 8/15/2018

.

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 12 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 13 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice

> Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 14 of 22

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 15 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 16 of 22

Subsection: Composite Rating Curve Label: Outlet#1

Composite Outflow Summary

Contributing Structures 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice 1-Lowflow orifice + 2-Midflow orifice + Riser - 1 + 0-Underdrain orifice

.

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 17 of 22

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1

Infiltration	
Infiltration Method (Computed)	No Infiltration
Initial Conditions	
Elevation (Water Surface, Initial)	460.80 ft
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft
Flow (Initial Outlet)	0.00 ft³/s
Flow (Initial Infiltration)	0.00 ft³/s
Flow (Initial, Total)	0.00 ft³/s
Time Increment	1.000 min

Elevation	Outflow	Storage	Area	Infiltration	Flow (Total)	2S/t + 0
	(ft³/s)	(ac-ft)	(ft²)	(ft³/s)	. (ft³/s)	(ft³/s)
460.80	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.00
460.90	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.03
461.00	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.07
461.05	0.00	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.00	0.08
461.10	0.01	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.01	0.11
461.20	0.05	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.05	0.19
461.30	0.13	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.13	0.30
461.40	0.25	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.25	0.45
461.50	0.38	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.38	0.62
461.60	0.52	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.52	0.78
461.70	0.60	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.60	0.90
461.80	0.67	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.67	1.00
461.90	0.73	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.73	1.10
462.00	0.79	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.79	1.19
462.10	0.85	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.85	1.28
462.20	0.90	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.90	1.36
462.30	0.95	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.95	1.45
462.40	0.99	0.000	10.000	0.00	0.99	1.52
462.50	1.04	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.04	1.60
462.60	1.08	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.08	1.68
462.70	1.12	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.12	1.75
462.80	1.16	0.000	10.000	0.00	1,16	1.82
462.90	1.20	0.000	10.000	0.00	1.20	1.90
463.00	1.23	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.23	1.97
463.10	1.27	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.27	2.03
463.20	1.30	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.30	2.10
463.30	1.34	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.34	2.17
463.40	1.37	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.37	2.24
463.50	1.40	0.001	10.000	0.00	1.40	2.30

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 18 of 22

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation	Outflow (ft3/c)	Storage	Area	Infiltration	Flow (Total)	2S/t + 0
463.60	1 43		10 000		1 43	2 37
463.70	1.15	0.001	10,000	0.00	1.45	2.37
463.80	1.10	0.001	46 285 000	0.00	1.40	54 66
463.90	1.52	0.037	46 544 013	0.00	1.75	209.40
464.00	1.52	0.250	46 803 749	0.00	1.52	365.01
464.10	1.58	0.358	47.064.208	0.00	1.55	521 48
464.20	1.61	0.466	47.325.389	0.00	1.50	678.83
464.30	1.64	0.575	47,587,293	0.00	1.64	837.04
464.40	1.70	0.685	47,849,920	0.00	1.70	996.16
464.50	1.80	0.795	48.113.269	0.00	1.80	1,156,21
464.60	1.91	0.906	48.377.341	0.00	1.91	1.317.14
464.70	1.99	1.017	48.642.136	0.00	1.99	1,478,91
464.80	2.06	1.129	48,907,654	0.00	2.06	1.641.56
464.90	2.12	1.242	49,173.894	0.00	2.12	1,805.09
465.00	2.18	1.355	49,440.857	0.00	2.18	1,969.51
465.10	2.23	1.469	49,708.543	0.00	2.23	2,134.81
465.20	2.28	1.583	49,976.951	0.00	2.28	2,301.01
465.30	2,33	1.698	50,246.082	0.00	2.33	2,468.09
465.40	2.38	1.814	50,515.936	0.00	2.38	2,636.08
465.50	2.43	1.930	50,786.512	0.00	2.43	2,804.96
465.60	2.47	. 2.047	51,057.812	0.00	2.47	2,974.75
465.70	2.52	2.165	51,329.834	0.00	2,52	3,145.44
465.80	2.56	2.283	51,602.578	0.00	2.56	3,317.03
465.90	2.60	2.401	51,876.045	0.00	2.60	3,489.54
466.00	2.64	2.521	52,150.235	0.00	2.64	3,662.96
466.10	2.68	2.641	52,425.148	0.00	2.68	3,837.29
466.20	2.72	2.762	52,700.784	0.00	2.72	4,012.54
466.30	2.76	2,883	52,977.142	0.00	2.76	4,188.71
466.40	2.85	3.005	53,254.223	0.00	2.85	4,365.85
466.50	3.05	3.127	53,532.026	0.00	3.05	4,544.02
466.60	3.33	3.251	53,810.552	0.00	3,33	4,723.21
466.70	3.68	3.374	54,089.801	0.00	3.68	4,903.40
466.80	4.10	3,499	54,369.773	0.00	4.10	5,084.58
466.90	4.56	3.624	54,650.467	0.00	4.56	5,266.74
467.00	5.05	3.750	54,931.884	0.00	5.05	5,449.87
467.10	5.34	3.876	55,214.024	0.00	5.34	5,633.74
467.20	5.61	4.003	55,496.887	0.00	5.61	5,818.52
467.30	5.86	4.131	55,780.472	0.00	5.86	6,004.23
467.40	6.09	4.259	56,064.780	0.00	6.09	6,190.87
467.50	6.31	4.389	56,349.810	0.00	6.31	6,378.45
467.60	6.52	4.518	56,635.564	0.00	6.52	6,566.97
467.70	6.72	4.649	56,922.039	0.00	6.72	6,756.43
467.80	[ 6.91	4.780	57,209.238	[ 0.00	6.91	6,946.84

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 19 of 22

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation	Outflow	Storage	Area	Infiltration	Flow (Total)	2S/t + 0
(ft)	(ft³/s)	(ac-ft)	(ft²)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)
467.90	7.09	4.911	57,497.160	0.00	7.09	7,138.20
468.00	8.16	5.044	57,785.804	0.00	8.16	7,331.41
468.10	9.97	5.177	58,075.171	0.00	9.97	7,526.31
468.20	12.25	5.310	58,365.260	0.00	12.25	7,722.67
468.30	14.92	5.445	58,656.072	0.00	14.92	7,920.37
468.40	17.92	5.580	58,947.607	0.00	17.92	8,119.38
468.50	21.22	5.715	59,239.865	0.00	21.22	8,319.65
468.60	24.79	5.852	59,532.845	0.00	24.79	8,521.18
468.70	28.61	5.989	59,826.548	0.00	28.61	8,723.93
468.80	32.66	6.126	60,120.974	0.00	32.66	8,927.90
468.90	36.94	6,265	60,416.122	0.00	36.94	9,133.07
469.00	41.42	6.404	60,711.994	0.00	41.42	9,339.43
469.10	46.11	6.543	61,008.587	0.00	46.11	9,546.98
469.20	47.86	6.684	61,305.904	0.00	47.86	9,752.59
469.30	49.46	6.825	61,603.943	0.00	49.46	9,959.04
469.40	51.00	6.967	61,902.705	0.00	51.00	10,166.43
469.50	52.49	7.109	62,202.190	0.00	52.49	10,374.76
469.60	53. <del>9</del> 4	7.252	62,502.397	0.00	53.94	10,584.05
469.70	55.35	7.396	62,803.327	0.00	55.35	10,794.30
469.80	56.73	7.540	63,104.980	0.00	56.73	11,005.52
469.90	58.06	7.686	63,407.356	· 0.00	58.06	11,217.71
470,00	59.37	7.832	63,710.454	0.00	59.37	11,430.88
470.10	60.65	7.978	64,014.275	0.00	. 60.65	11,645.04
470.20	61.90	8.126	64,318.818	0.00	61.90	11,860.17
470.30	63.12	8.274	64,624.085	0.00	63.12	12,076.30
470.40	64.32	8.422	64,930.074	0.00	64.32	12,293.42
470.50	65.50	8.572	65,236.785	0.00	65.50	12,511.55
470.60	66.65	8.722	65,544.220	0.00	66.65	12,730.67
470.70	67.79	8.873	65,852.377	0.00	67.79	12,950.80
470.80	68.90	9.024	66,161.257	0.00	68.90	13,171.93
470.90	70.00	9.176	66,470.859	0.00	70.00	13,394.08
471.00	71.08	9.329	66,781.185	0.00	71.08	13,617.25
471.10	72.14	9.483	67,092.233	0.00	72.14	13,841.44
471.20	73.19	9.637	67,404.003	0.00	73.19	14,066.64
471.30	74.22	9.792	67,716.497	· 0 <b>.</b> 00	74.22	14,292.87
471.40	75.24	9.948	68,029.713	0.00	75.24	14,520.14
471.50	76.24	10.105	68,343.652	0.00	76.24	14,748.43
471.60	77.23	10.262	68,658.313	0.00	77.23	14,977.75
471.70	78.21	10.420	68,973.697	• 0.00	78.21	15,208.12
471.80	79.17	10.579	69,289.804	0.00	79.17	15,439.52
471.90	80.12	10.738	69,606.634	0.00	80.12	15,671.97
472.00	81.06	10.898	69,924.186	0.00	81.06	15,905.46
472.10	81.99	11.059	70,242.461	0.00	81.99	16,140.00

South.ppc 8/15/2018

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 20 of 22

.

Subsection: Elevation-Volume-Flow Table (Pond) Label: 1 Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

Elevation .	Outflow	Storage	Area	Infiltration	Flow (Total)	2S/t + 0
(ft)	(ft³/s)	(ac-ft)	(ft²)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)
472.20	82.91	11.221	70,561.459	0.00	82.91	16,375.59
472.30	83.82	11.383	70,881.179	0.00	83.82	16,612.24
472.40	84.72	11.546	71,201.622	0.00	84.72	16,849.94
472.50	85.61	11.710	71,522.788	0.00	85.61	17,088.71
472.60	86.49	11.875	71,844.677	0.00	86.49	17,328.53
472.70	87,36	12.040	72,167.288	0.00	87.36	17,569.42
472.80	88.23	12.206	72,490.622	0.00	88.23	17,811.38
472.90	89.08	12.373	72,814.678	0.00	89.08	18,054.41
473.00	89.93	12.540	73,139.458	0.00	89.93	18,298.51
473.10	90.76	12.709	73,464.960	0.00	90.76	18,543.69
473.20	91.59	12.878	73,791.185	0.00	91.59	18,789.95
473.30	92.42	13.047	74,118.132	0.00	92.42	19,037.29
473.40	93.23	13.218	74,445.802	0.00	93.23	19,285.71
473.50	94.04	13,389	74,774.195	0.00	94.04	19,535.22
473.60	94.84	13.561	75,103.311	0.00	94.84	19,785.81
473.70	95.63	13.734	75,433.149	0.00	95.63	20,037.50
473.80	96.42	13.908	75,763.710	0.00	96.42	20,290.28
473.90	97.20	14,082	76,094.994	0.00	97.20	20,544.16
474.00	97.98	14.257	76,427.000	0.00	97.98	20,799.14

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 21 of 22

Subsection: Pond Inflow Summary Label: 1 (IN)

Return Event: 100 years Storm Event:

#### Summary for Hydrograph Addition at '1'

Catchment to Outflow Node> CM-1

#### Node Inflows

Inflow Type	Element Volu (ac-	me Time ft) (	to Peak · Flow min) (ft <sup>:</sup>	(Peak) <sup>3</sup> /s)
Flow (From)	CM-1	9.069	252.000	151.60
Flow (In)	1	9.069	252.000	151.60

South.ppc 8/15/2018 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 22 of 22

1. 5 1

.

# **APPENDIX 5**

# Drainage Study for the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update (For Reference Only)



•

6

# **APPENDIX 6**

ι

# Drainage Exhibits





Project Name: Lumina II

# Attachment 6 Geotechnical and Groundwater Investigation Report

Attach project's geotechnical and groundwater investigation report. Refer to Appendix C.4 to determine the reporting requirements.

