APPENDIX H2

Preliminary Stormwater Quality Management Plan

PRELIMINARY STORMWATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN LETTER REPORT

WAKELAND HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT 4TH CORNER – AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

JUNE 15, 2020 REVISED JULY 15, 2020

Prepared For:

WAKELAND HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT 1230 Columbia Street, Suite 950 San Diego, CA 92101

Prepared By:

KETTLER & LEWECK

ENGINEERING

1620 5th Avenue, Suite 675 San Diego, California 92101 (619) 269-3444

Steven C. Kettler RCE 48,358 Registration Expires 6-30-2020



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	PAGE 1
II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
III. STORMWATER TREATMENT AND HYDROMODIFICATION METHODOLOGY	1
IV. PROPOSED CONDITION STORMWATER OVERVIEW	1
V. PRELIMNARY BMP SIZING	2
VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	3

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A DEVELOPED CONDITION STORMWATER BMPS

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A INFILTRATION FEASIBILITY CONDITION LETTER BY LEIGHTON AND ASSOCIATES
- APPENDIX B PRELIMINARY STORMWATER BMP SIZING WORKSHEETS AND SPREADSHEETS

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this preliminary stormwater letter report is to outline the preliminary stormwater approach for the Wakeland Housing and Development 4th Corner Affordable Housing project including the preliminary sizing of the BMPs for use in the subsequent ministerial permit process.

II. <u>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>

The proposed project is 75-unit affordable housing apartment project located in the City Heights community in the City of San Diego. The project fronts Fairmount Avenue north of University and south of Polk Avenue. The proposed project will demolish the one existing building, parking lot, and community garden improvements. The proposed improvements will include the construction of a new apartment building, hardscape, landscape, private utilities, private storm drain, and construction and post construction stormwater BMPs.

III. STORMWATER TREATMENT AND HYDROMODIFICATION METHODOLOGY

The preliminary stormwater approach developed for the project is based on the current MS-4 permit and the City of San Diego's stormwater manual. The preliminary approach was developed in conjunction with the project's Infiltration Feasibility Letter dated 06-05-2020 by Leighton and Associates – See Appendix A. The feasibility to infiltrate was determined to be "zero"/"no Infiltration". The feasibility to harvest re-use runoff is assumed to be "not feasible". All the above was used to develop a preliminary treatment and hydromodification stormwater approach. The types of proposed BMPs include flow-thru planters sized for treatment and hydromod. Section IV. describes the proposed condition stormwater approach and BMPs in more detail.

IV. DEVELOPED CONDITION STORMWATER OVERVIEW

The stormwater approach for the 4th Corner project was developed based on the current stormwater permit and requirements. The following is a summary of the stormwater approach:

DMA #1 and DMA #4

DMA #1 consists of a portion of the proposed building, hardscape, and landscape improvements. DMA #4 consists of hardscape and landscape, including the parkway area of Fairmount Avenue. The runoff from DMA #1 will be directed to a flow-thru planter box sized for treatment and hydro-mod storage (i.e. BMP #1). Although the runoff from DMA #4 cannot physically drain to BMP #1, BMP#1 has been sized to accommodate the equivalent treatment and hydo-mod volume for DMA #4. The treated runoff will be directed via a propose storm drain to the existing public alley. The applicant reserves the right to explore the Green Street portion of the permit for DMA #4 during the ministerial permit application.

DMA #2 and DMA #5

DMA #2 consists of a portion of the proposed building, hardscape, and landscape improvements. DMA #5 consists of hardscape and landscape, including the parkway area of Fairmount Avenue. The runoff from DMA #2 will be directed to a flow-thru planter box sized for treatment and hydro-mod storage (i.e. BMP #2). Although the runoff from DMA #5 cannot physically drain to BMP #2, BMP#2 has been sized to accommodate the equivalent treatment and hydo-mod volume for DMA #5. The treated runoff will be directed via a propose storm drain to the Fairmount Avenue street gutter. The applicant reserves the right to explore the Green Street portion of the permit for DMA #5 during the ministerial permit application.

DMA#3 and DMA #6

DMA #3 consists of a portion of the proposed building, hardscape, and landscape improvements. DMA #6 consists of hardscape and landscape, including the parkway area of Fairmount Avenue. The runoff from DMA #3 will be directed to a flow-thru planter box sized for treatment and hydro-mod storage (i.e. BMP #3). Although the runoff from DMA #6 cannot physically drain to BMP #3, BMP #3 has been sized to accommodate the equivalent treatment and hydo-mod volume DMA #6. The treated runoff will be directed via a propose storm drain to the Fairmount Avenue street gutter. The applicant reserves the right to explore the Green Street portion of the permit for DMA #6 during the ministerial permit application.

<u>DM#1</u>

DM #1 consists of proposed hardscape and landscape improvements. The runoff from this DMA will be directed to the adjacent public alley. Although the runoff from DM #1 cannot physically drain to BMP #1, BMP #1 has been sized to accommodate the equivalent treatment and hydomod volume DM #1. The applicant reserves the right to explore the De Minimus portion of the permit for DM #1 during the ministerial permit application.

<u>DM#2</u>

DM #2 consists of proposed hardscape and landscape improvements. The runoff from this DMA will be directed to the adjacent public alley. Although the runoff from DM #2 cannot physically drain to BMP #3, BMP #3 has been sized to accommodate the equivalent treatment and hydomod volume DM #2. The applicant reserves the right to explore the De Minimus portion of the permit for DM #2 during the ministerial permit application.

Hydro-Modification

BMP #1, #2, and #3 are flow-thru planter boxes sized for treatment and hydro-mod.

Refer to Exhibit A for a depiction of the proposed condition stormwater BMPs.

V. PRELIMINARY BMP SIZING

The project is anticipated to be a Priority Development Project (PDP). It will incorporate appropriate LID Design Practices, Site Design BMPs, Source Control BMP's, and Treatment

Control BMPs. The treatment control BMPs are described by DMA above. BMPs include flow-thru planters sized for treatment and hydro-mod sized for treatment and hydromodification. The preliminary sizing for the BMPs were based on the BMP sizing worksheets and spreadsheets. The preliminary estimate of the hydromodification volume of the proposed vault used the online BMP Sizing Spreadsheet.

Refer to Appendix B for the preliminary BMP sizing worksheets and spreadsheets.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The project is anticipated to be a Priority Development Project (PDP). It will incorporate appropriate LID Design Practices, Site Design BMPs, Source Control BMP's, and Treatment Control BMPs. The treatment control BMPs are described by DMA above. BMPs include flow-thru planters sized for treatment and hydro-modification storage. Wakeland Housing and Development will be responsible for the maintenance of the BMPs and will be executing the City required Storm Water Maintenance Agreement. Finally, a formal, detailed Stormwater Quality Management Plan (SWWQMP), addressing the projects stormwater approach and compliance with the stormwater permit in place at the time the grading plan/permit is obtained, will be prepared and submitted as part of the future grading plan/permit application. The applicant reserves the right to explore the Green Street portion of the permit for DMA #4, #5, and #6 during the ministerial permit application. Also, the applicant reserves the right to explore the De Minimus portion of the permit for DM #1 and #2 during the ministerial permit application

APPENDIX A

INFILTRATION FEASIBILITY LETTER BY LEIGHTON AND ASSOCIATES



June 5, 2020

Project No. 11534.003

To: Wakeland Housing and Development, Inc. 1230 Columbia Street San Diego, CA 92101

- Attention: Ms. Dani McMillin
- Subject: Infiltration Feasibility Letter, Fourth Corner Residential Project, San Diego, California

As requested, we have prepared this letter to discuss the infiltration feasibility at the project site. Therefore, in general accordance with Section C.1.1 Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter of the San Diego Storm Water Standards (City of San Diego, 2018), Leighton has prepared this summary letter discussing infiltration feasibility at the site. Items associated with C.1.1 of the City BMP Design Manual are included in italics and summarized below:

The phase of the project in which the geotechnical engineer first analyzed the site for infiltration feasibility.

The site was first analyzed for infiltration feasibility during the field investigation for the geotechnical report dated February 6, 2017 (Appendix A). At that time the site was not considered feasible for storm water infiltration.

Results of previous geotechnical analyses conducted in the project area, if any.

The results of the project geotechnical investigation, referenced in Appendix A, indicate that the site is underlain by undocumented fill soils (approximately 2 feet thick) apparently placed during the initial site development, were observed in our exploration locations across the site. Localized deeper unknown fills associated with past development may exist across the site. As encountered during our explorations, the fill soils were observed to generally consist of dark brown, moist, soft, high plasticity, sandy lean clay with variable amounts of gravel and cobble and light brownish gray, moist, loose to medium dense, silty sand. As observed in the off-site boring B-1 performed at

4089 Fairmount Avenue, we encountered undocumented fill to a depth of approximately 7 feet thick. The fill materials consist of light brownish gray, moist, loose to medium dense, silty sand. Pliocene-aged Normal Heights Mudstone was encountered underlying the undocumented fill and extended to depths of approximately 6 to 7 feet bgs at the subject site. The Normal Heights Mudstone, which caps the mesa, is generally composed of poorly consolidated claystone that is characteristically steel gray in color and highly cohesive. Where observed in our exploration, the Normal Heights Mudstone consists of very dark gray, moist, firm to very stiff, high plasticity, claystone with interbedded layers of gravel and cobble. Late Pleistocene-aged Very Old Paralic Deposits underlie the entire site. As encountered, these deposits consist primarily of light yellowish brown to yellowish brown, dense to very dense, moist, fine-grained, oxidized, clayey sandstone with gravel with interbedded layers of cobble conglomerate.

The development status of the site prior to the project application (i.e., new development with raw ungraded land, or redevelopment with existing graded conditions).

This is a redevelopment-type project. The subject site is a rectangular shaped parcel of land. Specifically, the proposed residential development will be located at 4021, 4029, 4035, and 4061 Fairmount Avenue in a previously developed area known as City Heights in the City of San Diego, California. The property at 4089 Fairmont Avenue was explored; however, it is our understanding that this property is not currently being proposed for redevelopment at this time. In general, the site is bounded by Fairmount Avenue to the west, an alleyway to the east and existing commercial developments to the north and south. Overall dimensions of the subject site are approximately 130 by 240 lineal feet. The site is currently occupied by asphalt paved parking lots, a two-story commercial building (i.e., United Women of East Africa Organization) and areas that are used for urban gardening. Other site improvements consist of underground utilities, concrete hardscaping, and perimeter security fences. Site topography is nearly level with surface elevations ranging from approximately 366 to 364 feet above mean sea level (msl) (i.e., drainage from the west to the east). The site was developed prior to the 1950's. There are no areas of exposed surface soils across the site where water infiltration might occur.

The history of design discussions for the project footprint, resulting in the final design determination.

Leighton was not involved in design discussions related to project footprint and final design determination. However, the footprint of the proposed building is a property line to property line footprint covering generally the central and northern portions of the City block (Figure 2).



Full/partial infiltration BMP standard setbacks to underground utilities, structures, retaining walls, fill slopes, and natural slopes applicable to the DMA that prevent full/partial infiltration.

Numerous existing underground utilities are located within 10 feet of the site within City of San Diego Right-of-Way. These utilities include settlement sensitive wet utilities such as storm drain and sewer lines. In addition, several dry utilities are located within 10 feet of the site which will be adversely impacted by infiltration of storm water.

The physical impairments (i.e., fire road egress, public safety considerations, etc.) that prevent full/partial infiltration.

Physical impairments that prevent infiltration were not observed at the site.

Conclusion or recommendation from the geotechnical engineer regarding the DMA's infiltration condition.

As previously mentioned above, the site is underlain by approximately 2 feet of undocumented fill which in turn is underlain by Normal Heights Mudstone. BMPs located in these soil units can be problematic and may induce adverse soil movement. In addition, numerous existing underground utilities are located within 10 feet of the site. These utilities include settlement sensitive wet utilities such as storm drain and sewer lines. In addition, several dry utilities are located within 10 feet of the site which will be adversely impacted by infiltration of storm water.

It is therefore our opinion that storm water infiltration at the site is not feasible.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact this office. We appreciate this opportunity to be of service.



Attachments (1) Appendix A - References

Distribution: (1) email

Respectfully submitted LEIGHTON AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Mike Jensen, CEG 2457 Associate Geologist

Ś

Leighton

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

- Leighton and Associates, 2020, Updated Infiltration Feasibility Letter, Fourth Corner Residential Project, San Diego, California 92101, Project No 11534.003, dated April 15, 2020.
 - _____, 2020, Addendum Geotechnical Investigation, Fourth Corner Residential Project, Fairmount Avenue, San Diego, California 92101, Project No 11534.003, dated April 13, 2020.

_____, 2017, Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Fourth Corner Residential Project, Fairmount Avenue, San Diego, California 92101, Project No 11534.001, dated February 6, 2017.



P:\DRAFTING\11534\001\CAD\11534-001_F02_GM_2017-01-24.DWG (01-30-17 3:41:30PM) Plotted by: mmurphy

LEGEND

B-4

Approximate Location of Exploration Boring

Approximate Location of Field Percolation Test

QInh

Geologic Cross-Section

Approximate Limits of Site and Remedial Grading

Not Proposed for Development at the time of this Report was Issued

Afu Undocumented Artificial Fill

Quarternary-aged Normal Heights Mudstone (circled where buried)

Qvop Quarternary-aged Very Old Paralic Deposits (circled where buried)





APPENDIX B

PRELIMINARY STORMWATER BMP SIZING WORKSHEETS AND SPREADSHEETS

WAKELAND'S 4TH CORNER CITY 2nd RESUBMITTAL 7/15/2020

DMA 1 (including DMA 4 and DM1)

		Runoff Factor (Cx)	Area (Ax)	Cx * Ax
Impervious	Areas	0.9	10187.00	9168.30
Landscape		0.1	1702.00	170.20
Total			11889.00	
Weighted (2			0.79

WAKELAND'S 4TH CORNER CITY 2nd RESUBMITTAL 7/15/2020

DMA 2 (including DMA 5)

		Runoff Factor (Cx)	Area (Ax)	Cx * Ax
Impervious	Areas	0.9	11937.00	10743.30
Landscape		0.1	4475.00	447.50
Total			16412.00	
Weighted C	,			0.68

WAKELAND'S 4TH CORNER CITY 2nd RESUBMITTAL 7/15/2020

DMA 3 (including DMA 6 and DM2)

		Runoff Factor (Cx)	Area (Ax)	Cx * Ax
Impervious	Areas	0.9	10737.00	9663.30
Landscape		0.1	917.00	91.70
Total			11654.00	
Weighted (2			0.84

1	The City of	Project Name	Wakeland H	lousing - 4th Co	rner	
	SAN DIEGO	BMP ID		BMP 1		
	ing Method for Pollutant Removal C	l	Worl	ksheet B.5-1		
	Area draining to the BMP	Jintonu		11889	sq. ft.	
	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B	.2)	0.79		
3	85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth			0.51	inches	
4	Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line 2 x	(Line 3/12)]		399	cu. ft.	
	P Parameters			000	ou. n.	
5	Surface ponding [6 inch minimum, 12 inc	ch maximum]		12	inches	
6	Media thickness [18 inches minimum], aggregate sand thickness to this line for	also add mulch layer and w	ashed ASTM 33 fine	18	inches	
7	Aggregate storage (also add ASTM No 8 – use 0 inches if the aggregate is not ove			12	inches	
8	Aggregate storage below underdrain ir aggregate is not over the entire bottom s		use 0 inches if the	3	inches	
9	Freely drained pore storage of the media	l		0.2	in/in	
10	Porosity of aggregate storage			0.4	in/in	
11	Media filtration rate to be used for sizing control; if the filtration rate is controlled b infiltration into the soil and flow rate thro in/hr.)	ntrolled rate (includes	5	in/hr.		
Bas	eline Calculations					
_	Allowable routing time for sizing			6	hours	
13	Depth filtered during storm [Line 11 x Lir	ne 12]		30	inches	
14	Depth of Detention Storage			21.6	inches	
	[Line 5 + (Line 6 x Line 9) + (Line 7 x Line	e 10) + (Line 8 x Line 10)]				
	Total Depth Treated [Line 13 + Line 14]			51.6	inches	
	ion 1 – Biofilter 1.5 times the DCV					
				599	cu. ft.	
	Required Footprint [Line 16/ Line 15] x 1			139	sq. ft.	
Opt	ion 2 - Store 0.75 of remaining DCV in p	pores and ponding				
18	Required Storage (surface + pores) Volu	•		299	cu. ft.	
19	Required Footprint [Line 18/ Line 14] x 1		166	sq. ft.		
Foo	tprint of the BMP					
20	BMP Footprint Sizing Factor (Default 0.03 from Line 11 in Worksheet B.5-4)	3 or an alternative minimum t	ootprint sizing factor	0.03		
21	Minimum BMP Footprint [Line 1 x Line 2	x Line 20]		282	sq. ft.	
22	Footprint of the BMP = Maximum(Minimu	ım(Line 17, Line 19), Line 21)	282	sq. ft.	
23	Provided BMP Footprint			533	sq. ft.	
24	4 Is Line 23 ≥ Line 22? Yes, Performance Standard is Met					

The City of		Project Name	Wakeland Ho	osuing - 4th Corner	
54	SAN DIEGO BMP ID		1	BMP 1	
	Sizing Method for Volume R	etention Criteria	Works	sheet B.5-2	
1	Area draining to the BMP			11889	sq. ft.
2	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage are	a (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.	2)	0.79	
3	85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth			0.51	inches
4	Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line	2 x (Line 3/12)]		399	cu. ft.
Volum	e Retention Requirement				1
5	C soils enter 0.30 When in no infiltration condition and th	When mapped hydrologic soil groups are used enter 0.10 for NRCS Type D soils and for NRCS Type			
6	Factor of safety			2	
7	Reliable infiltration rate, for biofiltration	BMP sizing [Line 5 / Line 6]		0	in/hr.
8	Average annual volume reduction target (Figure B.5-2) When Line 7 > 0.01 in/hr. = Minimum (40, 166.9 x Line 7 +6.62) When Line 7 \leq 0.01 in/hr. = 3.5%			3.5	%
9	Fraction of DCV to be retained (Figure When Line $8 > 8\% =$ 0.0000013 x Line $8^3 - 0.000057$ x Line When Line $8 \le 8\% = 0.023$,	0.023		
10	Target volume retention [Line 9 x Line	4]		9	cu. ft.

The City of		Project Name	Wakeland - 4t	h Corner				
SAN	DIEGO	Project Name	BMP 1					
		BMP ID						
		n for No Infiltration Condition				Work	sheet B.5-6	-
1	Area draining to the biofiltra	ation BMP					11889	sq. ft.
2	Adjusted runoff factor for dr	djusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2)					0.79	
3	Effective impervious area d	raining to the BMP [Line 1 x Line 2]					9392	sq. ft.
4	Required area for Evapotra	nspiration [Line 3 x 0.03]					282	sq. ft.
5	Biofiltration BMP Footprint						533	sq. ft.
Landscape Are	a (must be identified on D	S-3247)						
		Identification	1	2		3	4	5
6	Landscape area that meet t Fact Sheet (sq. ft.)	he requirements in SD-B and SD-F						
7	Impervious area draining to	the landscape area (sq. ft.)						
8	Impervious to Pervious Area [Line 7/Line 6]	a ratio	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
9	Effective Credit Area	7/4 5)	0	0		0	0	0
10	If (Line 8 >1.5, Line 6, Line Sum of Landscape area [su						0	A
-							-	sq. ft.
11		otranspiration [Line 5 + Line 10]					533	sq. ft.
	ion Performance Standard		n					
12	Is Line 11 ≥ Line 4?					erformance	Standard is Met	n
13	4]	e standard met through the BMP footpr	int and/or landso	aping [Line 11/I	Line		1.89	
14	•	ine 10 from Worksheet B.5.2]					9	cu. ft.
15	Volume retention required f [(1-Line 13) x Line 14]	rom other site design BMPs					-8.01	cu. ft.
Site Design BN	IP							
	Identification	Site Desi	ign Type				Credit	
	1							cu. ft.
	2							cu. ft.
	3							cu. ft.
16	4							cu. ft.
10	5							cu. ft.
	Sum of volume retention benefits from other site design BMPs (e.g. trees; rain barrels etc.). [sum of Line 16 Credits for Id's 1 to 5] Provide documentation of how the site design credit is calculated in the PDP SWQMP.					0	cu. ft.	
17	Is Line 16 ≥ Line 15?			Volume Retenti	on Pe	erformance	Standard is Met	

I	The City of	Project Name	Wakeland F	lousing - 4th Cor	per
	SAN DIEGO	BMP ID		BMP 2	
	ing Method for Pollutant Removal C	l	Worl	blviF 2 ksheet B.5-1	
	Area draining to the BMP	Shiena		16412	sq. ft.
	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B	.2)	0.68	54. 11.
	oc th noncentile 24 hour reinfell donth			0.51	inchoo
-	85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line 2 x	(Lino 2/12)]		0.51	inches
4	P Parameters			474	cu. ft.
-		h movimum]		10	inches
5	Surface ponding [6 inch minimum, 12 inc			12	inches
6	Media thickness [18 inches minimum], aggregate sand thickness to this line for		ashed ASTM 33 fine	18	inches
7	Aggregate storage (also add ASTM No 8 – use 0 inches if the aggregate is not ove			12	inches
8	Aggregate storage below underdrain ir aggregate is not over the entire bottom s		use 0 inches if the	3	inches
9	Freely drained pore storage of the media	1		0.2	in/in
10	Porosity of aggregate storage			0.4	in/in
11	Media filtration rate to be used for sizing control; if the filtration rate is controlled b infiltration into the soil and flow rate thro in/hr.)	ntrolled rate (includes	5	in/hr.	
Bas	eline Calculations				-
12	Allowable routing time for sizing			6	hours
13	Depth filtered during storm [Line 11 x Lir	ne 12]		30	inches
14	Depth of Detention Storage			21.6	inches
	[Line 5 + (Line 6 x Line 9) + (Line 7 x Line	e 10) + (Line 8 x Line 10)]		21.0	Inches
15	Total Depth Treated [Line 13 + Line 14]			51.6	inches
Opti	ion 1 – Biofilter 1.5 times the DCV				
16	Required biofiltered volume [1.5 x Line 4]]		711	cu. ft.
17	Required Footprint [Line 16/ Line 15] x 1	2		165	sq. ft.
Opt	ion 2 - Store 0.75 of remaining DCV in p	pores and ponding			
18	Required Storage (surface + pores) Volu	me [0.75 x Line 4]		356	cu. ft.
19	Required Footprint [Line 18/ Line 14] x 1	2		198	sq. ft.
Foo	tprint of the BMP				
20	BMP Footprint Sizing Factor (Default 0.0 from Line 11 in Worksheet B.5-4)	3 or an alternative minimum	footprint sizing factor	0.03	
21	Minimum BMP Footprint [Line 1 x Line 2	x Line 20]		335	sq. ft.
22	Footprint of the BMP = Maximum(Minimu	ım(Line 17, Line 19), Line 21)	335	sq. ft.
23	Provided BMP Footprint			775	sq. ft.
24	4 Is Line 23 ≥ Line 22? Yes, Performance Standard is Met				

The City of		Project Name	Wakeland Ho	ousing - 4th Corner	-
24	SAN DIEGO BMP ID		1	BMP 2	
	Sizing Method for Volume R	etention Criteria	Works	sheet B.5-2	
1	Area draining to the BMP			16412	sq. ft.
2	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage are	ea (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.	2)	0.68	
3	85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth			0.51	inches
4	Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line	2 x (Line 3/12)]		474	cu. ft.
Volum	e Retention Requirement				•
5	Measured infiltration rate in the DMA Note: When mapped hydrologic soil groups C soils enter 0.30 When in no infiltration condition and th are geotechnical and/or groundwater h	0	in/hr.		
6	Factor of safety			2	
7	Reliable infiltration rate, for biofiltration	n BMP sizing [Line 5 / Line 6]		0	in/hr.
8	Average annual volume reduction target (Figure B.5-2) When Line 7 > 0.01 in/hr. = Minimum (40, 166.9 x Line 7 +6.62) When Line 7 \leq 0.01 in/hr. = 3.5%			3.5	%
9	Fraction of DCV to be retained (Figure When Line $8 > 8\% =$ 0.0000013 x Line $8^3 - 0.000057$ x Line When Line $8 \le 8\% = 0.023$,	0.023		
10	Target volume retention [Line 9 x Line	4]		11	cu. ft.

The City of	of Project Name Wakeland Hosuing - 4th Corner							
SAN	DIEGO	Project Name	BMP 2					
		BMP ID						
		n for No Infiltration Condition				Work	sheet B.5-6	1
1	Area draining to the biofiltra	rea draining to the biofiltration BMP 164					16412	sq. ft.
2	Adjusted runoff factor for dr	usted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2)					0.68	
3	Effective impervious area d	raining to the BMP [Line 1 x Line 2]					11160	sq. ft.
4	Required area for Evapotra	nspiration [Line 3 x 0.03]					335	sq. ft.
5	Biofiltration BMP Footprint						775	sq. ft.
Landscape Are	a (must be identified on D	S-3247)						
		Identification	1	2		3	4	5
6	Landscape area that meet t Fact Sheet (sq. ft.)	he requirements in SD-B and SD-F						
7	Impervious area draining to	the landscape area (sq. ft.)						
8	Impervious to Pervious Area [Line 7/Line 6]	a ratio	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Effective Credit Area		0	0		0	0	0
9	If (Line 8 >1.5, Line 6, Line	7/1.5]	0	0		0	0	0
10	Sum of Landscape area [su	m of Line 9 Id's 1 to 5]					0	sq. ft.
11	Provided footprint for evapo	transpiration [Line 5 + Line 10]					775	sq. ft.
Volume Retent	ion Performance Standard							
12	ls Line 11 ≥ Line 4?					rformance	Standard is Met	-
13	Fraction of the performance 4]	standard met through the BMP footpr	int and/or landso	aping [Line 11/L	.ine		2.31	
14	•	ine 10 from Worksheet B.5.2]					11	cu. ft.
15	Volume retention required f [(1-Line 13) x Line 14]	rom other site design BMPs					-14.41	cu. ft.
Site Design BN	IP							-
	Identification	Site Desi	gn Type				Credit	
	1							cu. ft.
	2							cu. ft.
	3							cu. ft.
16	4							cu. ft.
10	5							cu. ft.
	Sum of volume retention benefits from other site design BMPs (e.g. trees; rain barrels etc.). [sum of Line 16 Credits for Id's 1 to 5] Provide documentation of how the site design credit is calculated in the PDP SWQMP.				of		0	cu. ft.
17	ls Line 16 ≥ Line 15?			Volume Retentio	on Pe	rformance	Standard is Met	•

T	The City of	Project Name	Wakeland F	lousing - 4th Cor	mer	
	SAN DIEGO	BMP ID	Wakeland	BMP 3		
	ing Method for Pollutant Removal C	l	Worl	ksheet B.5-1		
	Area draining to the BMP	Jintonu		11654	sq. ft.	
	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B	.2)	0.84		
3	85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth			0.51	inches	
4	Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line 2 x	(Line 3/12)]		416	cu. ft.	
	P Parameters			110	ou. n.	
-	Surface ponding [6 inch minimum, 12 inc	ch maximum]		12	inches	
6	Media thickness [18 inches minimum], aggregate sand thickness to this line for	also add mulch layer and w	ashed ASTM 33 fine	18	inches	
7	Aggregate storage (also add ASTM No 8 – use 0 inches if the aggregate is not ove			12	inches	
8	Aggregate storage below underdrain ir aggregate is not over the entire bottom s		use 0 inches if the	3	inches	
9	Freely drained pore storage of the media	l		0.2	in/in	
10	Porosity of aggregate storage			0.4	in/in	
11	Media filtration rate to be used for sizing control; if the filtration rate is controlled b infiltration into the soil and flow rate thro in/hr.)	ntrolled rate (includes	5	in/hr.		
Bas	eline Calculations					
12	Allowable routing time for sizing			6	hours	
13	Depth filtered during storm [Line 11 x Lir	ne 12]		30	inches	
14	Depth of Detention Storage			21.6	inches	
	[Line 5 + (Line 6 x Line 9) + (Line 7 x Line	e 10) + (Line 8 x Line 10)]		21.0		
15	Total Depth Treated [Line 13 + Line 14]			51.6	inches	
Opti	ion 1 – Biofilter 1.5 times the DCV					
16	Required biofiltered volume [1.5 x Line 4]]		624	cu. ft.	
17	Required Footprint [Line 16/ Line 15] x 1	2		145	sq. ft.	
Opt	ion 2 - Store 0.75 of remaining DCV in I	pores and ponding				
	Required Storage (surface + pores) Volu	• •		312	cu. ft.	
	Required Footprint [Line 18/ Line 14] x 12			173	sq. ft.	
Foo	tprint of the BMP					
20	BMP Footprint Sizing Factor (Default 0.0 from Line 11 in Worksheet B.5-4)	3 or an alternative minimum	ootprint sizing factor	0.03		
21	Minimum BMP Footprint [Line 1 x Line 2	x Line 20]		294	sq. ft.	
22	Footprint of the BMP = Maximum(Minimu	ım(Line 17, Line 19), Line 21)	294	sq. ft.	
23	Provided BMP Footprint			624	sq. ft.	
24	Provide Line 23 ≥ Line 22? Yes, Performance Standard is Met					

The City of		Project Name	Wakeland Ho	ousing - 4th Corner	
24	SAN DIEGO BMP ID		1	BMP 3	
	Sizing Method for Volume R	etention Criteria	Works	sheet B.5-2	
1	Area draining to the BMP	11654	sq. ft.		
2	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage are	a (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.	2)	0.84	
3	85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth			0.51	inches
4	Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line	2 x (Line 3/12)]		416	cu. ft.
Volum	e Retention Requirement				
5	Note: When mapped hydrologic soil groups C soils enter 0.30 When in no infiltration condition and th are geotechnical and/or groundwater h	0	in/hr.		
6	Factor of safety			2	
7	Reliable infiltration rate, for biofiltration	BMP sizing [Line 5 / Line 6]		0	in/hr.
8	Average annual volume reduction target (Figure B.5-2) When Line 7 > 0.01 in/hr. = Minimum (40, 166.9 x Line 7 +6.62) When Line 7 \leq 0.01 in/hr. = 3.5%			3.5	%
9	Fraction of DCV to be retained (Figure When Line $8 > 8\% =$ 0.0000013 x Line $8^3 - 0.000057$ x Line When Line $8 \le 8\% = 0.023$,	0.023		
10	Target volume retention [Line 9 x Line	4]		10	cu. ft.

The City of		Wakeland Housing - 4th Corner Project Name							
SAN	DIEGO	Project Name	BMP 3						
		BMP ID							
	Volume Retention for No Infiltration Condition Worksheet B.5-6								
1	Area draining to the biofiltration BMP 11654								
2	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2) 0.84								
3	Effective impervious area draining to the BMP [Line 1 x Line 2] 9789								
4	Required area for Evapotra	nspiration [Line 3 x 0.03]					294	sq. ft.	
5	Biofiltration BMP Footprint						624	sq. ft.	
Landscape Are	a (must be identified on D	S-3247)							
		Identification	1	2		3	4	5	
6	Landscape area that meet t Fact Sheet (sq. ft.)	he requirements in SD-B and SD-F							
7	Impervious area draining to	the landscape area (sq. ft.)							
8	Impervious to Pervious Area [Line 7/Line 6]	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		
9	Effective Credit Area	0	0		0	0	0		
10	If (Line 8 >1.5, Line 6, Line					0	A		
10							-	sq. ft.	
11	Provided footprint for evapo	otranspiration [Line 5 + Line 10]					624	sq. ft.	
	ion Performance Standard								
12	Is Line 11 ≥ Line 4?					erformance	Standard is Met		
13	4]	e standard met through the BMP footpr	int and/or landso	aping [Line 11/I	Line		2.12		
14	•	ine 10 from Worksheet B.5.2]					10	cu. ft.	
15	Volume retention required f [(1-Line 13) x Line 14]	rom other site design BMPs					-11.2	cu. ft.	
Site Design BN	IP								
	Identification	Site Desi	gn Type				Credit		
	1							cu. ft.	
	2							cu. ft.	
	3							cu. ft.	
16	4							cu. ft.	
	5							cu. ft.	
	Sum of volume retention benefits from other site design BMPs (e.g. trees; rain barrels etc.). [sum of Line 16 Credits for Id's 1 to 5] 0 cu. ft Provide documentation of how the site design credit is calculated in the PDP SWQMP.								
17	Is Line 16 ≥ Line 15?	Volume Retention Performance Standard is Met							

BI	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0					
Project Name:	4th Corner					
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing					
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego					
Parcel (APN):	Multiple					
Hydrologic Unit:						
Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh					
Total Project Area (sf):	40,183					
Channel Susceptibility:	Low					

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0						
Project Name:	4th Corner	Hydrologic Unit:	0			
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing	Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh			
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego	Total Project Area:	40,183			
Parcel (APN):	Multiple	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2			
BMP Name:	BMP 1	BMP Type:	Biofiltration			
BMP Native Soil Type:	N/A - Impervious Liner	BMP Infiltration Rate (in/hr):	N/A			

DMA NamePre Project Soil TypePre Project SlopePost Project Surface TypeArea Weighted Runoff Factor (Table G.2-1)1Surface Area Surface AreaSurface Area (SF)Impervious9,029DFlatRoofs1.00.05451Pervious823DFlatLandscape0.10.0544Impervious1,087DFlatConcrete1.00.0554Pervious726DFlatLandscape0.10.0544Impervious71DFlatConcrete1.00.0544Pervious71DFlatLandscape0.10.0544Pervious71DFlatLandscape0.10.0544Pervious153DFlatConcrete1.00.0544Pervious153DFlatConcrete1.00.054Pervious153DFlatConcrete1.00.051Pervious153DFlatLandscape0.10.051	
Pervious 823 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 1,087 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 54 Pervious 726 D Flat Concrete 0.1 0.05 44 Impervious 726 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 44 Impervious 726 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
Impervious 1,087 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 54 Pervious 726 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 726 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
Impervious 1,087 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 54 Pervious 726 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 726 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
Pervious 726 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 4 Impervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
Impervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
Impervious 71 D Flat Concrete 1.0 0.05 4 Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
Pervious 153 D Flat Landscape 0.1 0.05 1	
BMP Tributary Area 11,889 Minimum BMP Size 518	
Proposed BMP Size* 533 * Assumes	standard configuration
Surface Ponding Depth 12.00 in	
Bioretention Soil Media Depth 18.00 in	
Filter Coarse 6.00 in	
Gravel Storage Layer Depth 12 in	
Underdrain Offset 3.0 in	

Notes:

1. Runoff factors which are used for hydromodification management flow control (Table G.2-1) are different from the runoff factors used for pollutant control BMP sizing (Table B.1-1). Table references are taken from the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual,

Describe the BMP's in sufficient detail in your PDP SWQMP to demonstrate the area, volume, and other criteria can be met within the constraints of the site.

BMP's must be adapted and applied to the conditions specific to the development project such as unstable slopes or the lack of available head. Designated Staff have final review and approval authority over the project design.

This BMP Sizing Spreadsheet has been updated in conformance with the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual, April 2018. For questions or concerns please contact the jurisdiction in which your project is located.

	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0						
Project Name:	4th Corner	Hydrologic Unit:	0				
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing	Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh				
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego	Total Project Area:	40,183				
Parcel (APN):	Multiple	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2				
BMP Name	BMP 1	BMP Type:	Biofiltration				

DMA Name	Rain Gauge	Pre-deve Soil Type	loped Condition Slope	Unit Runoff Ratio (cfs/ac)	DMA Area (ac)	Orifice Flow - %Q ₂ (cfs)	Orifice Area (in ²)
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.207	0.044	0.63
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.019	0.004	0.06
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.025	0.005	0.08
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.017	0.004	0.05
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.002	0.000	0.00
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.004	0.001	0.01

3.75	3.75 0.059		1.03	
Max Orifice Head	Max Orifice Hood Max Tot. Allowable		Max Orifice	
Max Office fieldu	Orifice Flow	Orifice Area	Diameter	
(feet)	(cfs)	(in²)	(in)	

0.054	0.058	0.83	1.030
Average outflow during surface drawdown	Max Orifice Outflow	Actual Orifice Area	Selected Orifice Diameter
(cfs)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Drawdown (Hrs)	2.7

BI	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0					
Project Name:	4th Corner					
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing					
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego					
Parcel (APN):	Multiple					
Hydrologic Unit:						
Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh					
Total Project Area (sf):	40,183					
Channel Susceptibility:	Low					

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0						
Project Name:	4th Corner	Hydrologic Unit:	0			
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing	Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh			
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego	Total Project Area:	40,183			
Parcel (APN):	Multiple	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2			
BMP Name:	BMP 2	BMP Type:	Biofiltration			
BMP Native Soil Type:	N/A - Impervious Liner	BMP Infiltration Rate (in/hr):	N/A			

			Areas Draining to BMP			HMP Sizing Factors	Minimum BMP Size	7
DMA Name	Area (sf)	Pre Project Soil Type	Pre-Project Slope	Post Project Surface Type	Area Weighted Runoff Factor (Table G.2-1) ¹	Surface Area	Surface Area (SF)	
Impervious	10,614	D	Flat	Roofs	1.0	0.05	531	
Pervious	3,893	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.05	19	
						0	0	1
Impervious	1,323	D	Flat	Roofs	1.0	0.05	66	1
Pervious	582	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.05	3	1
						0	0	1
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	1
						0	0	
						0	0	1
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
BMP Tributary Area	16,412					Minimum BMP Size	619	
		_				Proposed BMP Size*	775	* Assumes standard configuration
					Surface Ponding Depth	12.00	in	1
				Bior	retention Soil Media Depth	18.00	in	
					Filter Coarse	6.00	in	
				(Gravel Storage Layer Depth	12	in	
					Underdrain Offset	3.0	in]
]
]

Notes:

1. Runoff factors which are used for hydromodification management flow control (Table G.2-1) are different from the runoff factors used for pollutant control BMP sizing (Table B.1-1). Table references are taken from the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual,

Describe the BMP's in sufficient detail in your PDP SWQMP to demonstrate the area, volume, and other criteria can be met within the constraints of the site.

BMP's must be adapted and applied to the conditions specific to the development project such as unstable slopes or the lack of available head. Designated Staff have final review and approval authority over the project design.

This BMP Sizing Spreadsheet has been updated in conformance with the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual, April 2018. For questions or concerns please contact the jurisdiction in which your project is located.

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0				
Project Name:	4th Corner	Hydrologic Unit:	0	
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing	Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh	
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego	Total Project Area:	40,183	
Parcel (APN):	Multiple	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2	
BMP Name	BMP 2	BMP Type:	Biofiltration	

DMA Name	Rain Gauge	Pre-deve Soil Type	loped Condition Slope	Unit Runoff Ratio (cfs/ac)	DMA Area (ac)	Orifice Flow - %Q ₂ (cfs)	Orifice Area (in ²)
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.244	0.052	0.75
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.089	0.019	0.27
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.030	0.007	0.09
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.013	0.003	0.04

3.75	0.081	1.15	1.21	
Max Orifice Head	Max Tot. Allowable Orifice Flow	Max Tot. Allowable Orifice Area	Max Orifice Diameter	
(feet)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)	

0.075	0.081	1.15	1.210
Average outflow during surface drawdown	Max Orifice Outflow	Actual Orifice Area	Selected Orifice Diameter
(cfs)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Drawdown (Hrs) 2.9

BI	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0				
Project Name:	4th Corner				
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing				
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego				
Parcel (APN):	Multiple				
Hydrologic Unit:					
Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh				
Total Project Area (sf):	40,183				
Channel Susceptibility:	Low				

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0					
Project Name:	4th Corner	Hydrologic Unit:	0		
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing	Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh		
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego	Total Project Area:	40,183		
Parcel (APN):	Multiple	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2		
BMP Name:	BMP 3	BMP Type:	Biofiltration		
BMP Native Soil Type:	N/A - Impervious Liner	BMP Infiltration Rate (in/hr):	N/A		

			Areas Draining to BMP			HMP Sizing Factors	Minimum BMP Size]
DMA Name	Area (sf)	Pre Project Soil Type	Pre-Project Slope	Post Project Surface Type	Area Weighted Runoff Factor (Table G.2-1) ¹	Surface Area	Surface Area (SF)	
Impervious	9,722	D	Flat	Roofs	1.0	0.05	486	
Pervious	778	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.05	4	1
						0	0	1
Impervious	911	D	Flat	Concrete	1.0	0.05	46	1
Pervious	139	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.05	1	1
						0	0	1
Impervious	104	D	Flat	Concrete	1.0	0.05	5	1
Pervious	0	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.05	0]
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	I
						0	0	
						0	0	I
						0	0	
						0	0	
BMP Tributary Area	11,654					Minimum BMP Size	541	
						Proposed BMP Size*	624	* Assumes standard configuration
					Surface Ponding Depth	12.00	in	
				Bio	retention Soil Media Depth	18.00	in	
					Filter Coarse	6.00	in	
				(Gravel Storage Layer Depth	12	in]
					Underdrain Offset	3.0	in	1
								1
								1

Notes:

1. Runoff factors which are used for hydromodification management flow control (Table G.2-1) are different from the runoff factors used for pollutant control BMP sizing (Table B.1-1). Table references are taken from the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual,

Describe the BMP's in sufficient detail in your PDP SWQMP to demonstrate the area, volume, and other criteria can be met within the constraints of the site.

BMP's must be adapted and applied to the conditions specific to the development project such as unstable slopes or the lack of available head. Designated Staff have final review and approval authority over the project design.

This BMP Sizing Spreadsheet has been updated in conformance with the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual, April 2018. For questions or concerns please contact the jurisdiction in which your project is located.

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0				
Project Name:	4th Corner	Hydrologic Unit:	0	
Project Applicant:	Wakeland Housing	Rain Gauge:	Lindbergh	
Jurisdiction:	City of San Diego	Total Project Area:	40,183	
Parcel (APN):	Multiple	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2	
BMP Name	BMP 3	BMP Type:	Biofiltration	

DMA Name	Rain Gauge	Pre-devel Soil Type	oped Condition Slope	Unit Runoff Ratio (cfs/ac)	DMA Area (ac)	Orifice Flow - %Q ₂ (cfs)	Orifice Area (in ²)
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.223	0.048	0.68
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.018	0.004	0.05
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.021	0.004	0.06
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.003	0.001	0.01
Impervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.002	0.001	0.01
Pervious	Lindbergh	D	Flat	0.429	0.000	0.000	0.00

3.75	0.057	0.82	1.02
Max Orifice Head	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Orifice
Max Office Head	Orifice Flow	Orifice Area	Diameter
(feet)	(cfs)	(in²)	(in)

0.053	0.057	0.82	1.020
Average outflow during surface drawdown	Max Orifice Outflow	Actual Orifice Area	Selected Orifice Diameter
(cfs)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Drawdown (Hrs) 3.2



Table G.2-3: Sizing Factors for Hydromodification Flow Control Infiltration BMPs Designed Using Sizing Factor Method							
Lower Flow Threshold	Soil Group	Slope	Rain Gauge	Α			
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lindbergh	0.055			
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.055			
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lindbergh	0.055			
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lindbergh	0.045			
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.045			
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lindbergh	0.045			
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lindbergh	0.035			
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.035			
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lindbergh	0.035			
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lindbergh	0.03			
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.03			
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lindbergh	0.03			
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Oceanside	0.06			
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Oceanside	0.06			
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Oceanside	0.06			
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Oceanside	0.05			
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Oceanside	0.05			
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Oceanside	0.05			
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Oceanside	0.05			
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Oceanside	0.05			
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Oceanside	0.045			
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Oceanside	0.035			
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Oceanside	0.035			
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Oceanside	0.035			
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.085			
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.085			
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.085			
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.07			

0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.055
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.055
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.055
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.04
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.04
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.04

		Using Sizing I		D : 0	
Lower Flow Threshold	Soil Group	Slope	below low orifice invo	Rain Gauge	Α
0.1Q2	А	Flat	18	Lindbergh	0.08
0.1Q ₂	А	Moderate	18	Lindbergh	0.08
0.1Q ²	А	Steep	18	Lindbergh	0.08
0.1Q ₂	В	Flat	18	Lindbergh	0.065
0.1Q ₂	В	Moderate	18	Lindbergh	0.065
0.1Q ²	В	Steep	18	Lindbergh	0.06
0.1Q 2	С	Flat	6	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q 2	С	Moderate	6	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q 2	С	Steep	6	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q ²	D	Flat	3	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q ₂	D	Moderate	3	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q 2	D	Steep	3	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q ²	А	Flat	18	Oceanside	0.08
0.1Q ²	А	Moderate	18	Oceanside	0.075
0.1Q 2	А	Steep	18	Oceanside	0.075
0.1Q ²	В	Flat	18	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q ²	В	Moderate	18	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q ²	В	Steep	18	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q 2	С	Flat	6	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q 2	С	Moderate	6	Oceanside	0.07

0.1Q ²	С	Steep	6	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q 2	D	Flat	3	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q 2	D	Moderate	3	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q 2	D	Steep	3	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q 2	А	Flat	18	Lake Wohlford	0.11
0.1Q 2	А	Moderate	18	Lake Wohlford	0.11
0.1Q 2	А	Steep	18	Lake Wohlford	0.105
0.1Q 2	В	Flat	18	Lake Wohlford	0.09
0.1Q 2	В	Moderate	18	Lake Wohlford	0.085
0.1Q 2	В	Steep	18	Lake Wohlford	0.085
0.1Q 2	С	Flat	6	Lake Wohlford	0.065
0.1Q 2	С	Moderate	6	Lake Wohlford	0.065
0.1Q 2	С	Steep	6	Lake Wohlford	0.065
0.1Q 2	D	Flat	3	Lake Wohlford	0.06
0.1Q 2	D	Moderate	3	Lake Wohlford	0.06
0.1Q 2	D	Steep	3	Lake Wohlford	0.06

Table G.2-5: Sizing Factors for Hydromodification Flow Control Biofiltration BMPs Designed Using Sizing Factor Method				
Lower Flow Threshold	Soil Group	Slope	Rain Gauge	Α
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lindbergh	0.32
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.3
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lindbergh	0.285
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lindbergh	0.105
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.1
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lindbergh	0.095
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lindbergh	0.055
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lindbergh	0.05
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Oceanside	0.15
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Oceanside	0.14
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Oceanside	0.135

0.1Q2	В	Flat	Oceanside	0.085
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Oceanside	0.085
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Oceanside	0.085
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Oceanside	0.075
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Oceanside	0.075
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Oceanside	0.075
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Oceanside	0.07
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.285
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.275
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.27
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.15
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.145
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.145
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.06
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.06
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.06

Table G.2-6: Sizing Factors for Hydromodification Flow Control Cistern Facilities Designed Using Sizing Factor Method				
Lower Flow Threshold	Soil Group	Slope	Rain Gauge	V
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lindbergh	0.54
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.51
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lindbergh	0.49
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lindbergh	0.19
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.18
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lindbergh	0.18
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lindbergh	0.11
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.11
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lindbergh	0.11
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lindbergh	0.09

0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.09
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lindbergh	0.09
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Oceanside	0.26
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Oceanside	0.25
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Oceanside	0.25
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Oceanside	0.16
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Oceanside	0.16
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Oceanside	0.16
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Oceanside	0.14
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Oceanside	0.14
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Oceanside	0.14
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Oceanside	0.12
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Oceanside	0.12
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Oceanside	0.12
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.53
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.49
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.49
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.28
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.28
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.28
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.14
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.14
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.14
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.12
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.12
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.12



DMA NO.	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)
1	823
2	3,893
3	778
4	726
5	582
6	139