# DRAINAGE STUDY

For:

Towne Centre View

PTS#: 624751

APN 343-121-35, 36, 37, 42, 43 9855, 9865, 9875, 9885 Towne Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92121

Prepared By:

Gregory W. Lang, P.E.

RCE 68075

EXP: 06-30-23

Pasco Laret Suiter & Associates, Inc. 535 N. Highway 101, Suite A Solana Beach, CA 92075



CIVIL ENGINEERING + LAND PLANNING + LAND SURVEYING




# Prepared for:

BRE-BMR Towne Centre Science Park LLC c/o Project Management Advisors, Inc. 420 Stevens Avenue, Suite 170 Solana Beach, CA 92075

September 2020 Revised December 2021

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. IN	VTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Project Description	3
1.2	Existing Conditions	3
1.3	Proposed Conditions	4
2. M	ETHODOLOGY	5
2.1	Rational Method	5
2.2	Runoff Coefficient	5
2.3	Rainfall Intensity	6
2.4	Detention	6
2.5	Section 401/404 Water Quality Certification	6
3. C	ALCULATIONS/RESULTS	7
3.1	Existing and Proposed Peak Flow Comparison	7
4. C	ONCLUSION	7
	Appendix 1	



## 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### 1.1 Project Description

The project site is located at the north end of Towne Centre Drive in San Diego, California. The site is located east of the I-5, west of I-805, south of the merge of I-5 and I-805 and north of La Jolla Village Drive.

The Project involves redevelopment of the Project with a five-building campus (Buildings A through E), which would include scientific R&D, laboratories, technology, and office uses, with supporting parking structures and surface parking areas, recreational facilities, amenities, and landscaping. Offsite improvements consist of three driveway entrances and a non-contiguous sidewalk along the project frontage at Towne Centre Drive.

#### 1.2 Existing Conditions

The total property area consists of 33.52 acres. The property is located on a ridge surrounded by steep canyons and Towne Centre Drive to the south. The western portion of the project site is currently rough graded for a previous project, Summit Point Plaza, PTS#6109. Full build out of Summit Pointe Plaza was not completed and the project ended to include only rough grading of the site and drainage infrastructure. The eastern portion of the site consists of an existing office complex.

In the existing condition the western portion of the site, per approved Summit Pointe Plaza plans PTS#6109, is rough graded with building pad sites, retaining walls, large sedimentation basins and drainage infrastructure. Refer to Appendix 4 for the approved Summit Pointe Plaza grading plans. Drainage infrastructure was installed for each of the five drainage areas of the previously approved project. For the locations of the existing drainage basins and discharge points refer to the Existing Conditions Hydrology Exhibit located in Appendix 1. Drainage areas were listed as areas A1, B1, B3, C1 and C3. The existing drainage infrastructure includes sedimentation basins, outlet structures from the sedimentation basins including perforated riser pipes or stand pipes, brow ditch conveyance channels and level spreaders to dissipate concentrated flow and minimize the erosion potential at discharge locations.

The hydrology report associated with the approved grading plans was requested from the City of San Diego and previous engineer, however, the report is not available. The approved plans have a table of previously approved hydrology characteristics for the five drainage basins, including 100-year flow rates. Table 1 below summarizes the hydrologic information of the previously approved plans.

Table 1 - EXISTING CONDITION HYDROLOGY SUMMARY (WEST)			
Control Point	Basin	Area (ac)	Q100 (cfs)
1	(A1)	2.80	12.10
2	(B1)	1.95	10.10
3	(B3)	1.04	5.40
4	(C1)	1.21	6.30
5	(C3)	2.51	12.60

The eastern portion of the site is developed and consists of office buildings, parking areas and landscape. Hydrologic calculations were performed for the eastern portion of the site. Refer to Appendix 2 for the calculations. Table 2 below summarizes the hydrologic calculations for the eastern portion of the site.

Table 2 - EXISTING CONDITION HYDROLOGY SUMMARY (EAST)			
Control Point	Basin	Area (ac)	Q100 (cfs)
1	(A2)	1.16	3.54
6	(D2)	6.75	19.72
7	(D1)	1.29	4.60

#### 1.3 Proposed Conditions

The proposed project consists of the construction of new science, research and development, laboratory, technology and office buildings, including an underground parking garage, an above ground parking garage, a surface parking area, drive aisles, sports fields, and landscaped areas. Offsite improvements consist of three driveway entrances and a non-contiguous sidewalk along the project frontage at Towne Centre Drive.

In the proposed condition, the site consists of 7 drainage basins:

Drainage Basin 1 is located in the southwest portion of the site and includes DMA A1, A2 and A3. Storm water runoff in DMA A1 and A2 will be collected in proposed storm drain and conveyed to underground storage vaults and subsequent Modular Wetland Systems. Runoff from impervious areas in DMA A3 will be directed to landscape areas for dispersion.

Drainage Basin 2 is located along the western boundary of the site and includes DMA B1. Storm water runoff from impervious areas in DMA B1 will be directed to landscape areas for dispersion.

Drainage Basin 3 is located along the western boundary of the site and includes DMA B2. Storm water runoff from impervious areas in DMA B2 will be directed to landscape areas for dispersion.

Drainage Basin 4 is located in the northwest corner of the site and includes DMA C1. Storm water runoff from impervious areas in DMA C1 will be directed to landscape areas for dispersion.

Drainage Basin 5 is located in the northwest portion of the site and includes DMA C2. Storm water runoff in DMA C2 will be collected in proposed storm drain and conveyed to an underground storage vault and subsequent biofiltration basin.

Drainage Basin 6 is located in the central portion of the site and includes DMA D1 and D2. Storm water runoff in DMA D1 will be collected in proposed storm drain and conveyed to an underground storage vault and subsequent Modular Wetland System. Runoff in DMA D2 will be collected in proposed storm drain and conveyed to an underground storage vault and subsequent biofiltration basin.

Drainage Basin 7 is located in the eastern portion of the site and includes DMA D3 and D4. Storm water runoff from impervious areas in DMA D3 will be directed to landscape areas for dispersion. Storm water runoff in DMA D4 will be collected in proposed storm drain and conveyed to underground storage vaults and subsequent Modular Wetland Systems.

The proposed underground storage vaults provide mitigation of the 100-year storm event peak discharge. For the locations of the proposed drainage basins and discharge points refer to the Proposed Condition Hydrology Exhibit located in Appendix 1.

The table below provides a summary of the hydrologic information for the proposed conditions.

Table 3 – PROPOSED CONDITION HYDROLOGY SUMMARY				
Control Point	Basin	Area (ac)	Q100 (cfs)	
	A1	2.42	7.99	
1	A2	1.35	3.16	
	A3	1.88	4.30	
2	B1	0.85	1.87	
3	B2	0.59	1.30	
4	C1	1.31	2.88	
5	C2	4.13	9.99	
6	D1	3.38	7.58	
O	D2	3.40	9.42	
	D3	0.51	1.12	
7	D4a	1.14	2.86	
	D4b	0.45	1.35	

## 2. METHODOLOGY

Pursuant to the 2017 City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual, the Rational Method is recommended for analyzing the runoff response from drainage areas less than 0.5 square mile, therefore the Rational Method was used to analyze this project's hydrologic characteristics.

#### 2.1 Rational Method

Runoff was calculated for the 100-year, 6-hour storm event using the Rational Method which is calculated using the following equation:

Where:

O = Flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs)

C = Runoff coefficient (Table A-1 of City of SD Drainage Design Manual)

I = Rainfall Intensity in inches per hour (in/hr)

A = Drainage basin area in acres (ac)

#### 2.2 Runoff Coefficient

The runoff coefficients for the project are based on Table A-1 and Footnote 2 from the 2017 City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual.

#### 2.3 Rainfall Intensity

Rainfall intensity was determined using the Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves shown in Section A.1.3 of the 2017 City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual. Based on Figure A-1 and a 5-minute time of concentration, the 100-year intensity is 4.4 inches per hour.

#### 2.4 Detention

The underground storage vaults provide mitigation of the 100-year storm event peak flow rate. The 100-year storm event detention analysis was performed using HydroCAD Stormwater Modeling software. The inflow runoff hydrographs to the vaults were modeled using RatHydro which is a Rational Method Design Storm Hydrograph software that creates a hydrograph using the results of the Rational Method calculations. HydroCAD has the ability to route the 100-year 6-hour storm event inflow hydrograph through the facilities considering dynamic tailwater effects. Based on the facility cross sectional geometry, stage storage and outlet structure data, HydroCAD calculates the detained peak flow rate and detained time to peak. Refer to the plans for details of each facility.

Based on the results of the HydroCAD analysis, mitigation for the 100-year storm event peak flow rate is provided, detaining the peak flow rate in the proposed condition to below the existing condition. Refer to Appendix 3 for the HydroCAD detention detailed output.

#### 2.5 Section 401/404 Water Quality Certification

This project does not have any waters of the United States (e.g., creek, drainage, wetland) on the property and does not require Federal permitting or approval.

## 3. <u>CALCULATIONS/RESULTS</u>

## 3.1 Existing and Proposed Peak Flow Comparison

The table below summarizes the 100-year 6-hour peak flow rate calculations for the project.

	SUMMARY OF 100-YR STORM EVENT HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS						
	Existing Condition		Pr	oposed Conditio	on	Proposed Detained Condition	
Control Point	Basin	Area (ac)	Q100 (cfs)	Basin	Basin Area (ac) Q100 (cfs)		Q100 (cfs)
	A1	2.80	12.10	A1	2.42	7.99	6.19
1	Al	2.80	12.10	A2	1.35	3.16	3.06
1	A2	1.16	3.54	A3	1.88	4.30	4.30
2	B1	1.95	10.10	B1	0.85	1.87	1.87
3	В3	1.04	5.40	B2	0.59	1.30	1.30
4	C1	1.21	6.30	C1	1.31	2.88	2.88
5	C3	2.51	12.60	C2	4.13	9.99	0.77
6	D2	6.75	19.72	D1	3.38	7.58	3.64
O	DZ	0.73	19.72	D2	3.40	9.42	8.81
				D3	0.51	1.12	1.12
7	D1	1.29	4.60	D4a	1.14	2.86	0.26
				D4b	0.45	1.35	0.10


In the proposed detained condition, the 100-year storm event peak discharge rates are lower than the existing flow rates. The project will not have adverse impacts to downstream drainage facilities.

## 4. **CONCLUSION**

The proposed project was designed to honor previously approved drainage infrastructure and flow rates and minimize the effects of the development to downstream drainage facilities. Storm water runoff rates will be decreased from existing conditions.



**Existing and Proposed Hydrology Exhibits** 




# LEGEND

DESCRIPTION RIGHT-OF-WAY PROPERTY LINE BASIN BOUNDARY

BASIN SUBAREA

(PRE MITIGATION)



# HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP

BASIN SUMMARY Q100 EXISTING

HYDROLOGIC SOIL TYPE: C & D\*
\*FOR THE PURPOSE OF DRAINAGE CALCS, THE ENTIRE SITE WILL BE MODELED WITH TYPE D SOILS

# DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER

DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER > 20 FT

# PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

PARCEL AREA: 25.45 AC

EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA: EXISTING LANDSCAPE AREA: 12.83 AC


# SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS (WEST)

EXIST. DRAINAGE BASIN	EXIST. DRAINAGE AREA (AC)*	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, C*	Q100 (CFS)*
(A1)	2.80	0.85	12.10
(B1)	1.95	0.85	10.10
(B3)	1.04	0.85	5.40
(C1)	1.21	0.85	6.30
(C3)	2.51	0.85	12.60

\*TABULATED VALUES FROM SUMMIT POINT PLAZA GRADING PLANS, PTS#6109, 32375-6-D, DETENTION FACILITES AND DETAILS


# SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS (EAST)

EXIST. DRAINAGE BASIN	EXIST. DRAINAGE AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, C	Q100 (CFS)
(A2)	1.16	0.85	3.54
(D1)	1.29	0.85	4.60
(D2)	6.75	0.85	19.72



# EXISTING CONDITION DRAINAGE EXHIBIT

PASCO LARET SUITER San Diego | Solana Beach | Orange County Phone 858.259.8212 | www.plsaengineering.com TOWNE CENTRE VIEW
TOWN CENTRE DRIVE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 PLSA JOB # 3342 SCALE 1"=80' OCTOBER 2020 SHEET 1 OF 1



# **LEGEND**

DESCRIPTION

RIGHT-OF-WAY


PROPERTY LINE

BASIN BOUNDARY

PROPOSED IMPERVIOS AREA

DRAINAGE ARROW

BASIN SUMMARY Q100 PROPOSED (PRE MITIGATION)



# HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP

HYDROLOGIC SOIL TYPE: C & D\*

\*FOR THE PURPOSE OF DRAINAGE CALCS, THE ENTIRE SITE WILL BE
MODELED WITH TYPE D SOILS

# DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER

DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER > 20 FT

# PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

TOTAL SITE AREA: 33.52 AC


PROPOSED DISTURBED AREA: 20.64 AC

PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA: 10.62 AC

PROPOSED LANDSCAPE AREA: 10.02 AC

# SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS

OCIVIIVI/ (I	<del>(1 01 1 1</del>	101 00	
PROP. DRAINAGE BASIN	PROP. DRAINAGE AREA (AC)	Q100 (CFS)	Q100 DETAINED (CFS)
A1	2.42	7.99	6.19
A2	1.35	3.45	3.34
A3	1.88	4.30	4.30
B1	0.85	1.87	1.87
B2	0.59	1.30	1.30
C1	1.31	2.88	2.88
C2	4.13	9.99	0.77
D1	3.38	7.58	3.64
D2	3.40	9.42	8.81
D3	0.51	1.12	1.12
D4a	1.14	2.86	0.26
D4b	0.45	1.35	0.10



# PROPOSED CONDITION DRAINAGE EXHIBIT

TOWNE CENTRE VIEW
TOWN CENTRE DRIVE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121
PLSA JOB # 3342
SCALE 1"=80'
JULY, 2022
SHEET 1 OF 1

PASCULARE SUITER

& ASSOCIATES

San Diego | Solana Beach | Orange County

Phone 858.259.8212 | www.plsaengineering.com



Table A-1. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

Land Use	Runoff Coefficient (C)		
Land Use	Soil Type (1)		
Residential:			
Single Family	0.55		
Multi-Units	0.70		
Mobile Homes	0.65		
Rural (lots greater than ½ acre)	0.45		
Commercial (2)			
80% Impervious	0.85		
Industrial (2)			
90% Impervious	0.95		

#### Note:

Actual imperviousness = 50% Tabulated imperviousness = 80% Revised C = (50/80) x 0.85 = 0.53

The values in Table A–1 are typical for urban areas. However, if the basin contains rural or agricultural land use, parks, golf courses, or other types of nonurban land use that are expected to be permanent, the appropriate value should be selected based upon the soil and cover and approved by the City.

## A.1.3. Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensity (I) is the rainfall in inches per hour (in/hr.) for a duration equal to the  $T_{\text{C}}$  for a selected storm frequency. Once a particular storm frequency has been selected for design and a  $T_{\text{C}}$  calculated for the drainage area, the rainfall intensity can be determined from the Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart (Figure A-1).



<sup>(1)</sup> Type D soil to be used for all areas.

<sup>(2)</sup> Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.




Figure A-1. Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart



\*

RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE Reference: SAN DIEGO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT 2003,1985,1981 HYDROLOGY MANUAL

(c) Copyright 1982-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1452

Analysis prepared by:

PASCO LARET SUITER & ASSOCIATES 535 NORTH HIGHWAY 101, STE A SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075 858-259-8212

* 3342 TOWNE CENTRE VIEW *  * 3342 TOWNE CENTRE VIEW *  * EASTERN SITE EXISTING CONDITION *  * 100-YR *  FILE NAME: 3342E100.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 17:12 09/17/2020  USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION:
USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT (YEAR) = 100.00  SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE (INCH) = 4.00  SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS (DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.90  RAINFALL-INTENSITY ADJUSTMENT FACTOR = 1.000  *USER SPECIFIED:  NUMBER OF [TIME,INTENSITY] DATA PAIRS = 9  1) 5.000; 4.400  2) 10.000; 3.450  3) 15.000; 2.900  4) 20.000; 2.500  5) 25.000; 2.200  6) 30.000; 2.000  7) 40.000; 1.700  8) 50.000; 1.500  9) 60.000; 1.300  SPECIFIED CONSTANT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.850  NOTE: ONLY PEAK CONFLUENCE VALUES CONSIDERED  NOTE: ONLY PEAK CONFLUENCE VALUES CONSIDERED  NOTE: ONLY PEAK CONFLUENCE VALUES CONSIDERED  NOTE: DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL*
HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR NO. (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE / WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (PT) (PT)
1 30.0 20.0 0.018/0.018/0.020 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150
GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS:  1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.00 FEET  as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb)  2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 6.0 (FT*FT/S)  *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN  OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.*
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 22
>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS
*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500

1

S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 0.45
TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.12 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 51 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT) <>>> ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 353.60 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 347.00 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 123.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0537 CHANNEL BASE (FEET) = 50.00 "Z" FACTOR = 50.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.015 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.197 \*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 2.54 TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.92AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.03 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.07 Tc(MIN.) = 6.07 SUBAREA AREA (ACRES) = 1.17 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 4.17 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.850 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 1.3 END OF SUBAREA CHANNEL FLOW HYDRAULICS: DEPTH(FEET) = 0.04 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 2.52 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 102.00 = 393.00 FEET. \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 103.00 IS CODE = 41 \_\_\_\_\_\_ >>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA< >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <>>> ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 339.66 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 338.41 FLOW LENGTH (FEET) = 60.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013
DEPTH OF FLOW IN 12.0 INCH PIPE IS 9.3 INCHES DEPTH OF FLOW IN 12.0 INCH PIPE IS 9.3 INCHES
PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY (FEET/SEC.) = 7.06
GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER (INCH) = 12.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1
PIPE-FLOW (CFS) = 4.60
PIPE TRAVEL TIME (MIN.) = 0.14 Tc (MIN.) = 6.21
LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 103.00 = 453.00 FEET. \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 103.00 TO NODE 104.00 IS CODE = 41 ----->>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA< >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <<<< \_\_\_\_\_\_ ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 338.11 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 324.00 FLOW LENGTH (FEET) = 95.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 3.8 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY (FEET/SEC.) = 14.15 GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER (INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 4.60 PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.11 Tc(MIN.) = 6.32 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 104.00 = 548.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 22

>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<
*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 USER SPECIFIED TC (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 0.64 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.17 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 0.64
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 51
>>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<<->>>>TRAVELITME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<>>>>
ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 356.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 340.86 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 800.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0189 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 10.00 "2" FACTOR = 50.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.015 MAXIMUM DEPPH(FEET) = 1.00 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 3.564 ** USBR SPECIFIED(GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 8.37 TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.03 AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.16 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 4.40 TC (MIN.) = 9.40 SUBAREA AREA (ACRES) = 5.05 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 15.30 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.850 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 5.2 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 15.81 END OF SUBAREA CHANNEL FLOW HYDRAULICS: DEPTH(FEET) = 0.21 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.65
LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 202.00 = 895.00 FEET.
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE 203.00 IS CODE = 41
>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<
ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 331.83 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 330.00 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 214.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 16.2 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 7.01 GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 15.81 PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.51 Tc(MIN.) = 9.91 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 203.00 = 1109.00 FEET.
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 81
>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<
100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 3.467 *USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C. S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.8500 SUBAREA AREA (ACRES) = 0.20 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 0.59 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 5.4 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 15.97

FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 10 >>>>MAIN-STREAM MEMORY COPIED ONTO MEMORY BANK # 1 <<<<< \_\_\_\_\_\_ \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 210.00 TO NODE 211.00 IS CODE = 22 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS< \*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.75 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.20 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 211.00 TO NODE 212.00 IS CODE = 51 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT) < \_\_\_\_\_ ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 344.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 343.00 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 238.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0042 CHANNEL BASE (FEET) = 10.00 "Z" FACTOR = 50.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.015 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 3.691 \*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72
TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.06
AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.09 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 3.73 Tc(MIN.) = 8.73 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.46 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.44 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.850 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.7 END OF SUBAREA CHANNEL FLOW HYDRAULICS: DEPTH(FEET) = 0.11 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.22 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 210.00 TO NODE 212.00 = 452 00 FEET \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 212.00 TO NODE 213.00 IS CODE = 41 \_\_\_\_\_\_ >>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA< >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <<<< \_\_\_\_\_\_ ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 335.72 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 332.60 FLOW LENGTH (FEET) = 296.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 ASSUME FULL-FLOWING PIPELINE PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY (FEET/SEC.) = 3.07 (PIPE FLOW VELOCITY CORRESPONDING TO NORMAL-DEPTH FLOW AT DEPTH = 0.94 \* DIAMETER) GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER (INCH) = 6.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 2.07 PIPE TRAVEL TIME (MIN.) = 1.61 Tc (MIN.) = 10.34 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 210.00 TO NODE 213.00 = 748.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 213.00 TO NODE 213.00 IS CODE = 81

\*

3

TC(MIN.) = 9.91

>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<>>>
100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 3.413 *USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NBIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.8500 SUBAREA AREA (ACRES) = 0.70 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 2.03 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 1.4 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 3.94 TC (MIN.) = 10.34
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 213.00 TO NODE 214.00 IS CODE = 41
>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<>>>> >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT)
ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 332.60 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 330.00 FLOW LENGTH (FEET) = 55.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 12.0 INCH PIPE IS 6.3 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 9.52 GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER (INCH) = 12.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 3.94 PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.10 Tc(MIN.) = 10.44 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 210.00 TO NODE 214.00 = 803.00 FEET.
**************************************
>>>>CONFLUENCE MEMORY BANK # 1 WITH THE MAIN-STREAM MEMORY
** MAIN STREAM CONFLUENCE DATA ** STREAM RUNOFF TC INTENSITY AREA NUMBER (CFS) (MIN.) (INCH/HOUR) (ACRE) 1 3.94 10.44 3.402 1.36 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 210.00 TO NODE 204.00 = 803.00 FEET.
** MEMORY BANK # 1 CONFLUENCE DATA ** STREAM RUNOFF TC INTENSITY AREA NUMBER (CFS) (MIN.) (INCH/HOUR) (ACRE) 1 15.97 9.91 3.467 5.42 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 204.00 = 1109.00 FEET.
** PEAK FLOW RATE TABLE ** STREAM RUNOFF TC INTENSITY NUMBER (CFS) (MIN.) (INCH/HOUR) 1 19.72 9.91 3.467 2 19.62 10.44 3.402
COMPUTED CONFLUENCE ESTIMATES ARE AS FOLLOWS: PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 19.72 Tc(MIN.) = 9.91 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.8
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 41
>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<>>>> >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <>>>>
ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 328.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 328.00 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 26.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 14.3 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 10.13 GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1

PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.04 Tc(MIN.) = 9.95 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 205.00 = 1135.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 301.00 IS CODE = 22 \_\_\_\_\_\_ >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS< \*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 0.15
TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.04 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 301.00 TO NODE 302.00 IS CODE = 51 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT) < \_\_\_\_\_ ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 350.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 346.00 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 93.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0430 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 10.00 "Z" FACTOR = 50.000
MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.015 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.191 \*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72 TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.41
AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.02 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.10 Tc(MIN.) = 6.10SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.09 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.32 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.850 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.1 END OF SUBAREA CHANNEL FLOW HYDRAULICS:
DEPTH(FEET) = 0.03 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.59 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 302.00 = 119.00 FEET. \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 302.00 TO NODE 303.00 IS CODE = 41 >>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA< >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <<<< ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 342.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 339.02 FLOW LENGTH (FEET) = 370.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 6.0 INCH PIPE IS 4.7 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY (FEET/SEC.) = 2.78
GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER (INCH) = 6.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 0.46 PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 2.22 Tc(MIN.) = 8.32 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 303.00 = FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 303.00 TO NODE 303.00 IS CODE = 81 >>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW< 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 3.769 \*USER SPECIFIED (GLOBAL):

PIPE-FLOW(CFS) =

19.72

5

```
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500
 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72
AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.8500
 SUBAREA AREA (ACRES) = 0.52 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.67
TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.6 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 2.08
 TC(MIN.) = 8.32
************************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 303.00 TO NODE 304.00 IS CODE = 41
 >>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA
 >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <<<<
           -----
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 339.02 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 338.37
 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 33.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013
DEPTH OF FLOW IN 12.0 INCH PIPE IS 5.6 INCHES
 PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY (FEET/SEC.) = 5.83
 GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER (INCH) = 12.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1
 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 2.08
PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.09 Tc(MIN.) = 8.42
 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 304.00 =
*************************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 304.00 TO NODE 305.00 IS CODE = 41
 >>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<
 >>>>USING USER-SPECIFIED PIPESIZE (EXISTING ELEMENT) <>>>
______
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 338.37 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 326.10
 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 318.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013
DEPTH OF FLOW IN 42.0 INCH PIPE IS 3.1 INCHES
 PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 6.45
GIVEN PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 42.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1
 PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 2.08

PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.82 To(MIN.) = 9.24

LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 305.00 =
                                                     840.00 FEET.
*******************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 305.00 TO NODE 305.00 IS CODE = 81
______
 >>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<>>>
______
  100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 3.595
 *USER SPECIFIED(GLOBAL):
 NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .8500
 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 72
 AREA-AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.8500
SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.56
TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.2 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.54
 TC(MIN.) = 9.24
END OF STUDY SUMMARY:
 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 1.2
PEAK FLOW RATE (CFS) = 3.54
                           1.2 TC(MIN.) = 9.24
-----
```

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE Reference: SAN DIEGO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT 2003,1985,1981 HYDROLOGY MANUAL

(c) Copyright 1982-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1452

Analysis prepared by:

PASCO LARET SUITER & ASSOCIATES 535 NORTH HIGHWAY 101, STE A SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075 858-259-8212

**************************************						
USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION:						
USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 100.00  SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 4.00  SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.90  RAINFALL-INTENSITY ADJUSTMENT FACTOR = 1.000  *USER SPECIFIED:  NUMBER OF [TIME,INTENSITY] DATA PAIRS = 9  1) 5.000; 4.400  2) 10.000; 3.450  3) 15.000; 2.900  4) 20.000; 2.500  5) 25.000; 2.200  6) 30.000; 1.700  8) 50.000; 1.700  8) 50.000; 1.500  SAN DIEGO HUDROLOGY MANUAL "C"-VALUES USED FOR RATIONAL METHOD NOTE: ONLY PEAK CONFLUENCE VALUES CONSIDERED  *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL*  HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURE GUTTER-GEOWETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN - / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIRE FACTOR NO. (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT)						
1 30.0 20.0 0.018/0.018/0.020 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150						
GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS:  1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.00 FEET     as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb)  2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 6.0 (FT*FT/S)  *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.*						
**************************************						
>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS						
*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .7500						

1

S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 7.99
TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 2.42 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 7 99 \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 22 \_\_\_\_\_\_ >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS< \*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5800 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBARRA RUNOFF (CFS) = 3.45 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 1.35 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 301.00 IS CODE = 22 \_\_\_\_\_\_ >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS \_\_\_\_\_\_ \*USER SPECIFIED (SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5200 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 4.30 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.88 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 400.00 TO NODE 401.00 IS CODE = 22 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS< \*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5000 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED TC(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.87 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.85 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = 1 87 \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 500.00 TO NODE 501.00 IS CODE = 22 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS< \*USER SPECIFIED (SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5000 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.30
TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.59 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = \* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 600.00 TO NODE 601.00 IS CODE = 22 \_\_\_\_\_\_ >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS \_\_\_\_\_\_ \*USER SPECIFIED (SUBAREA):

2


NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5000

USER SPECIFIED Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 2.88 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.31 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = 2.88
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 700.00 TO NODE 701.00 IS CODE = 22
>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS
*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5500 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED TC(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 9.99 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.13 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = 9.99
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 800.00 TO NODE 801.00 IS CODE = 22
>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS
*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5100 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 7.58 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 3.38 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 7.58
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 900.00 TO NODE 901.00 IS CODE = 22
>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS
*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED TC (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = 9.42
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED To(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42  FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 1000.00 TO NODE 1001.00 IS CODE = 22
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF(CFS) = 9.42 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 1000.00 TO NODE 1001.00 IS CODE = 22
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42  FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 1000.00 TO NODE 1001.00 IS CODE = 22  >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSISS
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED To(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBARDA RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42  **IOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 10.00.00 TO NODE 1001.00 IS CODE = 22  **S>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBARBA ANALYSIS<***  **USER SPECIFIED(SUBARBA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5000 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED To(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBARBA RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.12 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.51 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.12  **FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 1100.00 TO NODE 1101.00 IS CODE = 22
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6300 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 3.40 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.42  ***FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 1000.00 TO NODE 1001.00 IS CODE = 22  >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<***  **USER SPECIFIED (SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .5000 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.12 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.51 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.12

S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED TC (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 2.86 TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 1.14 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 2.86						
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 1200.00 TO NODE 1201.00 IS CODE = 22						
>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS						
*USER SPECIFIED(SUBAREA): NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .6800 S.C.S. CURVE NUMBER (AMC II) = 0 USER SPECIFIED TC (MIN.) = 5.000 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY (INCH/HOUR) = 4.400 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.45 TOTAL RUNOFF (CFS) = 1.35						
END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA (ACRES) = 0.4 TC (MIN.) = 5.00 PEAK FLOW RATE (CFS) = 1.35						
END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS						

Appendix 3

Detention Output



## **Summary for Link 1L: Inflow to VAULT-A1**


Inflow = 7.92 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.349 af

Primary = 7.92 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.349 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-A1 RatHydro.csv

#### Link 1L: Inflow to VAULT-A1



Printed 9/29/2020 Page 37

## **Summary for Pond 17P: VAULT-A1**

Inflow = 7.92 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.349 af

Outflow = 6.19 cfs @ 4.11 hrs, Volume= 0.349 af, Atten= 22%, Lag= 1.8 min

Primary = 6.19 cfs @ 4.11 hrs, Volume= 0.349 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 105.55' @ 4.11 hrs Surf.Area= 1,700 sf Storage= 9,428 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 539.6 min calculated for 0.349 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 539.6 min ( 751.1 - 211.5 )

Volume	Inver	t Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description			
#1 100.00' 9,639 cf Custom Stage Data (Conic) Listed below (Recalc)							
Elevatio		Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area		
(fee	,	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)		
100.0	00	1,700	0	0	1,700		
101.0	00	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,846		
102.0	00	1,700	1,700	3,400	1,992		
103.0	00	1,700	1,700	5,100	2,138		
104.0	00	1,700	1,700	6,800	2,285		
105.1	17	1,700	1,989	8,789	2,456		
105.6	67	1,700	850	9,639	2,529		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	25			
#1	Primary	100.00'	12.0" Round				
#1	Filliary	100.00			ting   V 0 000		
	L= 10.0' RCP, groove end projecting, Ke= 0.200					0 0.000	
Inlet / Outlet Invert= 100.00' / 99.90' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900						CC= 0.900	
	5	400.001	•	ow Area= 0.79 sf			
#2	Device 1	100.00'			imited to weir flow	at low neads	
#3	Device 1	105.17'		r, Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.2	!8)		
			, ,	0.00 0.50 0.50			
			Width (feet)	8.00 8.00 0.00			


Primary OutFlow Max=6.15 cfs @ 4.11 hrs HW=105.54' (Free Discharge)

**1=Culvert** (Passes 6.15 cfs of 10.62 cfs potential flow)

**—2=Orifice** (Orifice Controls 0.14 cfs @ 11.27 fps)

-3=Custom Weir (Weir Controls 6.02 cfs @ 2.01 fps)

## Pond 17P: VAULT-A1



Page 6

## **Summary for Link 4L: Inflow to VAULT-A2**

Inflow = 3.42 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.146 af


Primary = 3.42 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.146 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routed to Pond 5P: VAULT-A2

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-A2 RatHydro.csv

## **Link 4L: Inflow to VAULT-A2**



HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 10097 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 7/9/2021 Page 7

## **Summary for Pond 5P: VAULT-A2**

Inflow 3.42 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.146 af

4.08 hrs, Volume= 4.08 hrs, Volume= Outflow = 0.146 af, Atten= 2%, Lag= 0.4 min 3.34 cfs @

Primary = 3.34 cfs @ 0.146 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 105.47' @ 4.08 hrs Surf.Area= 500 sf Storage= 2,737 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 327.9 min calculated for 0.146 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 327.7 min ( 541.6 - 213.8 )

Volume	Inv	ert Avail.S	Storage	Storage	Description		
#1	100.0	00' 2	,835 cf	Custon	n Stage Data (Cor	nic) Listed below	(Recalc)
Elevatio		Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc. (cubic	Store -feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)	
100.0	00	500	,	0	0	500	
101.0	00	500		500	500	579	
102.0	00	500		500	1,000	659	
103.0	00	500		500	1,500	738	
104.0	00	500		500	2,000	817	
105.1	17	500		585	2,585	910	
105.6	67	500		250	2,835	949	
Device	Routing	Inve	rt Outle	t Device	es		
#1	Primary	100.00	D' <b>12.0'</b>	Round	d Culvert		
	,				P, groove end pro		
Inlet / Outlet Invert= 100.00' / 99.90' S= 0.010 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 0.79 sf			9.90° S= 0.0100	7 Cc= 0.900			
#2	Device 1	100.00		•	ifice C= 0.600	Limited to wair fl	ow at low boads
#2 #3	Device 1						ow at low rieads
#3 Device 1 105.17' <b>Custom Weir, Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.28)</b> Head (feet) 0.00 0.50 0.50							
				` ,	6.00 6.00 0.00		
				\/			

Primary OutFlow Max=3.32 cfs @ 4.08 hrs HW=105.47' (Free Discharge)


**-1=Culvert** (Passes 3.32 cfs of 10.54 cfs potential flow)

**-2=Orifice** (Orifice Controls 0.05 cfs @ 11.23 fps)

-3=Custom Weir (Weir Controls 3.27 cfs @ 1.80 fps)

Page 8

Pond 5P: VAULT-A2



## **Summary for Link 10L: Inflow to VAULT-C2**


Inflow = 9.90 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.432 af

Primary = 9.90 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.432 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-C2 RatHydro.csv

Link 10L: Inflow to VAULT-C2



## **Summary for Pond 22P: VAULT-C2**

Inflow 9.90 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.432 af

4.52 hrs, Volume= 4.52 hrs, Volume= 0.432 af, Atten= 92%, Lag= 26.7 min Outflow = 0.77 cfs @

Primary = 0.77 cfs @ 0.432 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 107.10' @ 4.52 hrs Surf.Area= 2,000 sf Storage= 14,192 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 661.1 min calculated for 0.432 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 661.5 min ( 873.5 - 212.0 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Sto	rage Storage D	Description			
#1	100.00'	20,00	O cf Custom Stage Data (Conic) Listed below (Recalc)				
Elevatio (fee		urf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)		
100.0	·	2,000	Ó	0	2,000		
101.0		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,159		
102.0	0	2,000	2,000	4,000	2,317		
103.0	0	2,000	2,000	6,000	2,476		
104.0	0	2,000	2,000	8,000	2,634		
104.5	0	2,000	1,000	9,000	2,713		
105.0		2,000	1,000	10,000	2,793		
106.0		2,000	2,000	12,000	2,951		
107.0		2,000	2,000	14,000	3,110		
108.0		2,000	2,000	16,000	3,268		
109.0		2,000	2,000	18,000	3,427		
109.5		2,000	1,000	19,000	3,506		
110.0	0	2,000	1,000	20,000	3,585		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices				
#1	Primary	100.00'	Inlet / Outlet In	, groove end proje vert= 100.00' / 99.	ecting, Ke= 0.200 90' S= 0.0100 '/' C	c= 0.900	
#2 #3	Device 1 Device 1	100.00' 104.50'	n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf  1.4" Vert. Orifice C= 0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads  6.0" W x 2.0" H Vert. Orifice C= 0.600  Limited to weir flow at low heads				
#4	Device 1	109.50'	Custom Weir, Head (feet) 0.0	Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.28			

Primary OutFlow Max=0.77 cfs @ 4.52 hrs HW=107.10' (Free Discharge)


**-1=Culvert** (Passes 0.77 cfs of 26.79 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice** (Orifice Controls 0.14 cfs @ 12.77 fps)

-3=Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.64 cfs @ 7.63 fps)

**-4=Custom Weir** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

## Pond 22P: VAULT-C2



## Summary for Link 11L: Inflow to VAULT-D1


Inflow = 7.52 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.328 af

Primary = 7.52 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.328 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-D1 RatHydro.csv

**Link 11L: Inflow to VAULT-D1** 



Printed 9/29/2020 Page 43

## **Summary for Pond 23P: VAULT-D1**

Inflow 7.52 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.328 af

Outflow 4.14 hrs, Volume= 0.328 af, Atten= 52%, Lag= 3.7 min 3.64 cfs @

4.14 hrs, Volume= Primary 3.64 cfs @ 0.328 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 105.39' @ 4.14 hrs Surf.Area= 1,800 sf Storage= 9,711 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 628.4 min calculated for 0.328 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 628.8 min ( 840.8 - 212.0 )


Volume	Inve	ert Avail.St	orage Storage	e Description		
#1	100.0	00' 10,2	206 cf Custon	n Stage Data (Cor	<b>nic)</b> Listed below (R	ecalc)
Elevatio		Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)	
100.0	00	1,800	0	0	1,800	
101.0	00	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,950	
102.0	00	1,800	1,800	3,600	2,101	
103.0	00	1,800	1,800	5,400	2,251	
104.0	00	1,800	1,800	7,200	2,402	
105.0	00	1,800	1,800	9,000	2,552	
105.1	17	1,800	306	9,306	2,578	
105.6	67	1,800	900	10,206	2,653	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es		
#1	Primary	100.00'	12.0" Round	d Culvert		
	,			P. groove end pro	jecting, Ke= 0.200	
					9.90' S= 0.0100 '/'	
				ow Area= 0.79 sf		
#2	Device 1	100.00	•		Limited to weir flow	at low heads
#3	Device 1	105.17	<b>Custom Wei</b>	r, Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.	.28)	
				0.00 0.50 0.50	-,	
			` ,	10.00 10.00 0.00	)	

Primary OutFlow Max=3.62 cfs @ 4.14 hrs HW=105.39' (Free Discharge)

**-1=Culvert** (Passes 3.62 cfs of 10.46 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.14 cfs @ 11.12 fps)
3=Custom Weir (Weir Controls 3.48 cfs @ 1.55 fps)

## Pond 23P: VAULT-D1



## **Summary for Link 12L: Inflow to VAULT-D2**


Inflow = 9.34 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.409 af

Primary = 9.34 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.409 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-D2 RatHydro.csv

Link 12L: Inflow to VAULT-D2



Printed 9/29/2020 Page 30

## **Summary for Pond 9P: VAULT-D2**

Inflow 9.34 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.409 af

Outflow 4.09 hrs, Volume= 0.409 af, Atten= 6%, Lag= 0.7 min 8.81 cfs @

4.09 hrs, Volume= Primary 8.81 cfs @ 0.409 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 105.58' @ 4.09 hrs Surf.Area= 1,800 sf Storage= 10,047 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 513.3 min calculated for 0.409 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 513.2 min ( 725.3 - 212.1 )


Volume	Inve	ert Avail.St	orage Storage	e Description		
#1	100.0	00' 10,2	206 cf Custon	n Stage Data (Cor	<b>nic)</b> Listed below (R	ecalc)
Elevatio		Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)	
100.0	00	1,800	0	0	1,800	
101.0	00	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,950	
102.0	00	1,800	1,800	3,600	2,101	
103.0	00	1,800	1,800	5,400	2,251	
104.0	00	1,800	1,800	7,200	2,402	
105.0	00	1,800	1,800	9,000	2,552	
105.1	17	1,800	306	9,306	2,578	
105.6	67	1,800	900	10,206	2,653	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es		
#1	Primary	100.00'	12.0" Round	d Culvert		
	,			P. groove end pro	jecting, Ke= 0.200	
					9.90' S= 0.0100 '/'	
				ow Area= 0.79 sf		
#2	Device 1	100.00	•		Limited to weir flow	at low heads
#3	Device 1	105.17	<b>Custom Wei</b>	r, Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.	.28)	
				0.00 0.50 0.50	-,	
			` ,	10.00 10.00 0.00	)	

Primary OutFlow Max=8.79 cfs @ 4.09 hrs HW=105.58' (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Passes 8.79 cfs of 10.66 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.14 cfs @ 11.31 fps)
3=Custom Weir (Weir Controls 8.65 cfs @ 2.10 fps)

Pond 9P: VAULT-D2



#### Summary for Link 14L: Inflow to VAULT-D4a


Inflow = 2.84 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.122 af

Primary = 2.84 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.122 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-D4a RatHydro.csv

Link 14L: Inflow to VAULT-D4a



Printed 9/29/2020 Page 39

#### **Summary for Pond 18P: VAULT-D4a**

Inflow 2.84 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.122 af

Outflow 4.45 hrs, Volume= 0.122 af, Atten= 91%, Lag= 22.2 min 0.26 cfs @

4.45 hrs, Volume= Primary 0.26 cfs @ 0.122 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 104.17' @ 4.45 hrs Surf.Area= 1,000 sf Storage= 4,171 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 1,152.6 min calculated for 0.122 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 1,152.6 min (1,364.9 - 212.3)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description		
#1	100.0	00' 5,6	70 cf Custom	Stage Data (Conic	c) Listed below (Red	alc)
Elevation		Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)	
100.0	00	1,000	0	0	1,000	
101.0	00	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,112	
102.0	00	1,000	1,000	2,000	1,224	
103.0	00	1,000	1,000	3,000	1,336	
104.0	00	1,000	1,000	4,000	1,448	
105.0	00	1,000	1,000	5,000	1,560	
105.1	17	1,000	170	5,170	1,580	
105.6	67	1,000	500	5,670	1,636	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	S		
#1	Primary	100.00'	12.0" Round	Culvert		
	•		L= 10.0' RCI	P, groove end proje	ecting, Ke= 0.200	
			Inlet / Outlet I	nvert= 100.00' / 99.	.90' S= 0.0100 '/'	Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013, Flo	w Area= 0.79 sf		
#2	Device 1	100.00'	0.8" Vert. Ori	fice C= 0.600 Li	mited to weir flow a	t low heads
#3	Device 1	104.00'	12.0" W x 3.0	" H Vert. Orifice	C= 0.600	
			Limited to we	ir flow at low heads		
#4	Device 1	105.17'		, Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.28	3)	
			Head (feet) 0	0.00 0.50 0.50		
			Width (feet)	5.00 5.00 0.00		

Primary OutFlow Max=0.26 cfs @ 4.45 hrs HW=104.17' (Free Discharge)


**-1=Culvert** (Passes 0.26 cfs of 9.06 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice** (Orifice Controls 0.03 cfs @ 9.79 fps)

-3=Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.23 cfs @ 1.33 fps)

**-4=Custom Weir** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond 18P: VAULT-D4a



#### Summary for Link 15L: Inflow to VAULT-D4b


Inflow = 1.34 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.060 af

Primary = 1.34 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.060 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

DISCHARGE Imported from VAULT-D4b RatHydro.csv

Link 15L: Inflow to VAULT-D4b



Printed 9/29/2020 Page 45

#### **Summary for Pond 24P: VAULT-D4b**

Inflow 1.34 cfs @ 4.08 hrs, Volume= 0.060 af

0.10 cfs @ 5.50 hrs, Volume= 0.10 cfs @ 5.50 hrs, Volume= Outflow = 0.060 af, Atten= 93%, Lag= 85.3 min

Primary = 0.060 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 105.20' @ 5.50 hrs Surf.Area= 400 sf Storage= 2,081 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 731.9 min calculated for 0.060 af (100% of inflow)

Center-of-Mass det. time= 732.1 min ( 946.1 - 214.0 )

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.S	Storage	Storage	Description		
#1	100.0	00' 2	,268 cf	Custon	n Stage Data (Co	<b>onic)</b> Listed below	(Recalc)
Elevatio (fee		Surf.Area (sq-ft)		Store -feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)	
100.0	0	400	•	0	0	400	
101.0	0	400		400	400	471	
102.0	0	400		400	800	542	
103.0	0	400		400	1,200	613	
104.0	0	400		400	1,600	684	
105.1	7	400		468	2,068	767	
105.6	57	400		200	2,268	802	
Device	Routing	Inve	rt Outle	et Device	es		
#1	Primary	100.0	0' <b>12.0'</b>	' Round	d Culvert		
	,		L= 10	0.0' RC	P, groove end pr	ojecting, Ke= 0.2	200
			Inlet	/ Outlet	Invert= 100.00' /	99.90' S= 0.0100	0 '/'     Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.	.013, Flo	ow Area= 0.79 sf		
#2	Device 1	100.0	0' <b>0.7"</b> '	Vert. Or	<b>ifice</b> C= 0.600	Limited to weir fl	ow at low heads
#3	Device 1	105.1			r, Cv= 2.62 (C= 3	3.28)	
				` ,	0.00 0.50 0.50		
			Widtl	h (feet)	3.00 3.00 0.00		


Primary OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 5.50 hrs HW=105.20' (Free Discharge)

**-1=Culvert** (Passes 0.09 cfs of 10.25 cfs potential flow)

**-2=Orifice** (Orifice Controls 0.03 cfs @ 10.95 fps)

-3=Custom Weir (Weir Controls 0.06 cfs @ 0.60 fps)

#### Pond 24P: VAULT-D4b





Summit Pointe Plaza Grading Plans, PTS 6109

## GENERAL NOTES

- APPROVAL OF THESE PLANS BY THE CITY ENGINEER DOES NOT AUTHORIZE ANY WORK TO BE PERFORMED UNTIL A PERMIT HAS BEEN
- 2. THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN OR ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DOES NOT AUTHORIZE THE SUBDIVIDER AND OWNER TO VIOLATE ANY FEDERAL, STATE OR CITY LAWS, ORDINANCES, REGULATIONS, OR POLICIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE FEDERAL ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973 AND AMENDMENTS THERETO (16 USC SECTION 1531 ET.SEQ.).
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SURVEY MONUMENTS AND/OR VERTICAL CONTROL BENCH MARKS WHICH ARE DISTURBED OR DESTROYED BY CONSTRUCTION. A LAND SURVEYOR MUST FIELD LOCATE, REFERENCE, AND/OR PRESERVE ALL HISTORICAL OR CONTROLLING MONUMENTS PRIOR TO ANY EARTHWORK. IF DESTROYED, SUCH MONUMENTS SHALL BE REPLACED WITH APPROPRIATE MONUMENTS BY A LAND SURVEYOR. A CORNER RECORD OR RECORD OF SURVEY, AS APPROPRIATE. SHALL BE FILED AS REQUIRED BY THE LAND SURVEYORS ACT. IF ANY VERTICAL CONTROL IS TO BE DISTURBED OR DESTROYED, THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FIELD SURVEY SECTION MUST BE NOTIFIED, IN WRITING, AT LEAST 3 DAYS PRIOR TO THE CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COST OF REPLACING ANY VERTICAL CONTROL BENCH MARKS DESTROYED BY THE CONSTRUCTION.
- 4. IMPORTANT NOTICE: SECTION 4216 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE REQUIRES A DIG ALERT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER BE ISSUED BEFORE A "PERMIT TO EXCAVATE" WILL BE VALID. FOR YOUR DIG ALERT I.D. NUMBER, CALL UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT, TOLL FREE 1-800-422-4133, TWO DAYS BEFORE YOU DIG.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT AN EROSION CONTROL PROGRAM DURING THE PROJECT GRADING AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE PROGRAM SHALL MEET THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS OF THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD AND THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE AND STORM WATER STANDARDS MANUAL.
- 6. "PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT SUBJECT TO DESUETUDE OR DAMAGE." IF REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT OF SUCH PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS IS REQUIRED, THE OWNER SHALL OBTAIN THE REQUIRED PERMITS FOR WORK IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY SATISFACTORY TO THE PERMIT-ISSUING AUTHORITY.
- 7. ALL EXISTING AND/OR PROPOSED PUBLIC UTILITY SYSTEMS AND SERVICE FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED UNDERGROUND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 144.0240 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE.
- 8. PRIOR TO ANY DISTURBANCE TO THE SITE, EXCLUDING UTILITY MARK-OUTS AND SURVEYING, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE ARRANGEMENTS FOR A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FIELD ENGINEERING DIVISION (858) 627-3200.
- A CONSTRUCTION CHANGE IS APPROVED BY THE CITY ENGINEER OR THE CHANGE IS REQUIRED BY THE CITY INSPECTOR.

9. DEVIATIONS FROM THESE SIGNED PLANS WILL NOT BE ALLOWED UNLESS

- 10. AS-BUILT DRAWINGS MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE RESIDENT ENGINEER PRIOR TO ACCEPTANCE OF THIS PROJECT BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.
- 11. AN AS-GRADED GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO BOOTH 32 ON THE 3RD FLOOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES WITHIN 15 CALENDAR DAYS OF COMPLETION OF GRADING. AN ADDITIONAL SET SHALL BE PROVIDED TO THE FIELD INSPECTION DIVISION.
- 12. THE AREA WHICH IS DEFINED AS A NONGRADING AREA AND WHICH IS NOT TO BE DISTURBED SHALL BE STAKED PRIOR TO THE START OF WORK. THE PERMIT APPLICANT AND ALL OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVES OR CONTRACTORS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION OF THIS AREA AS REQUIRED BY ANY APPLICABLE AGENCY.
- 13. ISSUANCE OF THE CITY'S GRADING PERMIT SHALL NOT RELIEVE THE APPLICANT OR ANY OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVES OR CONTRACTORS FROM COMPLYING WITH ANY STATE OR FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS BY AGENCIES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD AND CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME. COMPLIANCE MAY INCLUDE OBTAINING PERMITS, OTHER AUTHORIZATION, OR COMPLIANCE WITH MANDATES BY ANY APPLICABLE STATE OR FEDERAL

# GRADING NOTES

- 1. GRADING AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH CURRENT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND CHAPTER 14, ARTICLE 2, DIVISION 1, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE, 2000 EDITION.
- 2. PLANT AND IRRIGATE ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES AS REQUIRED BY ARTICLE 2, DIVISION 4, SECTION 142.0411 OF THE SAN DIEGO LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND ACCORDING TO SECTION IV OR THE LAND DEVELOPMENT MANUAL LANDSCAPE STANDARDS.
- 3. GRADED PAD AREAS SHALL BE HYDROSEEDED TO PREVENT EROSION IF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION DOES NOT COMMENCE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF GRADING. HYDROSEED SHALL BE IRRIGATED OR REAPPLIED AS NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH GROWTH.
- 4. ALL GRADED, DISTURBED OR ERODED AREAS THAT WILL NOT BE PERMANENTLY PAVED OR COVERED BY STRUCTURES SHALL BE PERMANENTLY REVEGETATED AND IRRIGATED AS SHOWN IN TABLE 142-04F AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT MANUAL.

STREET	DESIGN DATA TAE		
STREET NAME	CLASSIFICATION	DESIGN FUTURE SPEED ADT	R/W
TOWNE CENTRE DRIVE	TWO-LANE INDUSTRIAL	30MPH 500	70FT
CUL-DE-SAC TO WESTERRA CT.	COLLECTOR		

	CONS	STRUCTION CHANGE TABLE
CHANGE	DATE	EFFECTED OR ADDED SHEET NUMBERS
:		

# GRADING AND IMPROVEMENT PLANS FOR: SUMMIT POINTE PLAZA

### SPECIAL NOTES

THE FOLLOWING NOTES ARE PROVIDED TO GIVE DIRECTIONS TO THE CONTRACTOR BY THE FNGINFER OF WORK. THE CITY ENGINEER'S SIGNATURE ON THESE PLANS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF ANY OF THESE NOTES AND THE CITY WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR ENFORCEMENT.

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO DESIGN, CONSTRUCT AND MAINTAIN ALL SAFETY DEVICES, INCLUDING SHORING, AND SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONFORMING TO ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS, LAWS AND REGULATIONS. NEITHER THE OWNER, NOR THE ENGINEER OF WORK WILL ENFORCE SAFETY MEASURES OR REGULATIONS.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO INSURE THAT ALL SLOPES, STREETS. UTILITIES AND STORM DRAINS ARE BUILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THESE PLANS. IF THERE IS ANY QUESTION REGARDING THESE PLANS OR FIELD STAKES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REQUEST AN INTERPRETATION BEFORE DOING ANY WORK BY CALLING THE ENGINEER OF WORK AT 619-460-9000. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ALSO TAKE THE NECESSARY STEPS TO PROTECT THE PROJECT AND ADJACENT PROPERTY FROM ANY FROSION AND SILTATION THAT RESULT FROM HIS OPERATIONS BY APPROPRIATE MEANS (SAND BAGS, HAY BALES, TEMPORARY DESILTING BASINS, DIKES, SHORING, ETC.) UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT THE PROJECT IS COMPLETED AND ACCEPTED FOR MAINTENANCE BY WHATEVER OWNER. AGENCY OR ASSOCIATION IS TO BE ULTIMATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTENANCE.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES TO PROTECT THE UTILITY LINES SHOWN HEREON AND ANY OTHER EXISTING LINES NOT OF RECORD OR NOT SHOWN ON THESE PLANS AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE OCCASIONED BY HIS/HER FAILURE TO LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UTILITIES. CALL UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT AT 1-800-422-4133 TWO WORKING DAYS BEFORE STARTING CONSTRUCTION.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAIR/REPLACE ALL DESTROYED OR DAMAGED SURFACE IMPROVEMENTS WITH IMPROVEMENTS EQUAL TO THOSE
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE HIS WORK WITH THAT OF OTHER CONTRACTORS WORKING ON THE SITE INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, GRADING, DRAINAGE, LANDSCAPING AND IRRIGATION.
- CONSTRUCTION CHANGES MAY BE NECESSARY DUE TO FIELD CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL GIVE THE ENGINEER OF WORK ADEQUATE TIME TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS OR CLARIFICATIONS. ALL CONSTRUCTION AND/OR DESIGN CHANGES TO THE APPROVED PLANS SHALL BE PROPOSED BY THE ENGINEER OF WORK. CONSTRUCTION CHANGES SHALL BE INCORPORATED AT THE SITE AFTER APPROVAL BY THE CITY INSPECTOR.
- 7. IF THE ENGINEER OF WORK IS NOT EMPLOYED TO PROVIDE THE CONSTRUCTION REVIEW, CONSTRUCTION STAKING, AND THE PREPARATION OF THE RECORD PLANS, THE OWNER AGREES TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS FOR SUCH SERVICES AND TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD THE ENGINEER OF WORK HARMLESS AND RELEASE THE ENGINEER OF WORK FROM ALL LIABILITY ARISING FROM USE OF THE PLANS INCLUDING ANY COSTS TO MAKE SAID CLARIFICATIONS, ADJUSTMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION MODIFICATIONS. IT IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OWNER OR HIS CONSTRUCTION REPRESENTATIVE TO REQUEST AND COORDINATE CLARIFICATIONS OR ADJUSTMENTS FROM THE ENGINEER OF WORK AND TO SEE THAT THE ENGINEER'S RECOMMENDATIONS ARE ACCOMPLISHED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- NOTWITHSTANDING THE MINIMUM STANDARDS SET FORTH IN THE GRADING ORDINANCE AND NOTWITHSTANDING THE APPROVAL OF THESE PLANS, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PREVENTION OF DAMAGE TO THE ADJACENT PROPERTY. NO PERSON SHALL EXCAVATE ON LAND SO CLOSE TO THE PROPERTY LINE AS TO ENDANGER ANY ADJOINING PUBLIC STREET, SIDEWALK, ALLEY OR ANY OTHER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE PROPERTY WITHOUT SUPPORTING OR PROTECTING SUCH PROPERTY FROM SETTLING, CRACKING, EROSION, SILTING, SCOUR OR OTHER DAMAGE WHICH MIGHT RESULT FROM THE GRADING DESCRIBED ON THIS PLAN.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN AND MAKE AVAILABLE TO THE ENGINEER ONE SET OF PLANS WITH ALL CHANGES SHOWN. A COPY OF THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO THE ENGINEER UPON COMPLETION OF WORK.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND JOB CONDITIONS RELATING TO THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS WORK BEFORE STARTING WORK, AND SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF WORK IMMEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES FOUND.
- 11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF IMPROVEMENTS TO BE MET BY WORK TO BE DONE BY FIELD MEASUREMENTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF NEW WORK. THE CONTRACTOR WILL MAKE EXPLORATORY EXCAVATIONS (POTHOLE) AND LOCATE EXISTING UNDERGROUND FACILITIES SUFFICIENTLY AHEAD OF CONSTRUCTION TO PERMIT REVISIONS TO PLANS IF REVISIONS ARE NECESSARY BECAUSE OF THE ACTUAL LOCATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES.

# GRADING QUANTITIES


DISTURBED AREA GRADED AREA CUT FILL EXPORT	12 AC 11.5 AC 54,000 CY 54,000 CY 0 CY	MAX. CUT DEPTH=17FT, SLOPE RATIO 2:1 MAX. FILL DEPTH=42FT, SLOPE RATIO 2:1
--	--	---

GRADING QUANTITIES ARE GEOMETRIC ESTIMATED FOR PERMIT PURPOSES ONLY AND ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR FINAL PAY QUANTITIES.

UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES & USES: The engineer preparing these plans will not be responsible for, or liable for, unauthorized EELSOC changes to or uses of these plans. All changes to the plans must be in writing and must be approved by the preparer of these plans.

#### GRADING AND GEOTECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 1. ALL GRADING SHALL BE DONE UNDER OBSERVATION AND TESTING BY A

- QUALIFIED CIVIL ENGINEER OR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AND, IF REQUIRED. BOTH A QUALIFIED CIVIL ENGINEER OR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AND AN ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST. ALL GRADING MUST BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CITY ORDINANCE AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS SET FORTH IN THE SOILS REPORT OR GEOLOGICAL/GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION ENTITLED: UPDATE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION, TOWNE CENTRE CORPORATE PLAZA, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
- FOR: LAWRENCE M. CUSHMAN PREPARED BY: GEOCON, INC. DATED: JULY 15, 2005.
- INCLUDING ADDENDA NOS. 1 AND 2, TOWNE CENTRE CORPORATE PLAZA, PREPARED BY GEOCON, INC., PROJECT NO. 06376-22-03 DATED AUGUST 31, 2005, AND MARCH 5, 2007, RESPECTIVELY.
- 2. ALL FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 90% OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF A.S.T.M. D-1557 OR AN APPROVED ALTERNATIVE STANDARD.
- 3. AT THE COMPLETION OF THE GRADING OPERATIONS FOR THE EARTHWORK SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. AN AS-GRADED SOILS REPORT, OR IF REQUIRED, AN AS-GRADED SOILS AND GEOLOGICAL REPORT WILL BE PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST RECENT EDITION OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO TECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS. THE FINAL "AS GRADED" GEOTECHNICAL REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE FIELD ENGINEERING SECTION OF PUBLIC WORKS AND A SECOND COPY TO THE GEOLOGY SECTION OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES WITHIN 15 DAYS OF THE COMPLETION OF GRADING. WHERE GEOLOGIC INSPECTION IS INDICATED IN THE PERMIT OR PROJECT PLANS, REPORTS OR SPECIFICATIONS, THE FINAL REPORT MUST ALSO BE REVIEWED AND SIGNED BY A CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST.
- 4. IF THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT OF RECORD IS CHANGED FOR THE PROJECT, THE WORK SHALL BE STOPPED UNTIL THE REPLACEMENT HAS AGREED IN WRITING TO ACCEPT THE RESPONSIBILITY WITHIN THE AREA OF THEIR TECHNICAL COMPETENCE FOR APPROVAL UPON COMPLETION OF WORK. IT SHALL BE THE DUTY OF THE PERMITTEE TO NOTIFY THE CITY ENGINEER AND THE GEOLOGY SECTION OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES IN WRITING OF SUCH CHANGE PRIOR TO THE RECOMMENCEMENT OF GRADING.
- 5. THESE PLANS HAVE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AND FOUND TO BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN THE REFERENCED GEOTECHNICAL



COMPANY NAME: GEOCON, INC. PHONE NO.: 858-558-6900

6. FOR SOIL FILE SEE CITY RECORD S-6109

# SPECIAL GEOTECHNICAL NOTES

- 1. THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT SHALL PROVIDE AN OBSERVA PROGRAM DURING EXCAVATION TO VERIFY THE LOCATION OF FAULTING ON THE SITE. FAULTS DISCOVERED DURING GRADING OF THE SITE SHALL BE EVALUATED AND ANALYZED FOR ACTIVITY LEVEL BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT WITH DETAILED REPORTS SUBMITTED SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY CITY GEOLOGY STAFF PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS.
- 2. A "NOTICE OF GEOLOGIC AND GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS" SHALL BE RECORDED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS FOR HABITABLE STRUCTURES SITED OVER ANY POTENTIALLY ACTIVE FAULT(S) DISCOVERED ON SITE UNLESS A SETBACK FROM THE FAULT IS RECOMMENDED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. NO STRUCTURE FOR HUMAN OCCUPANCY SHALL BE PERMITTED OVER THE TRACE OF AN ACTIVE FAULT.
- 3. THE PRECISE AS-GRADED LOCATION OF FAULT(S) SHALL BE SHOWN ON THE FINAL AS-GRADED PLANS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY CITY GEOLOGY STAFF.

# TOPOGRAPHY NOTES

- 1. THE EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS FROM AERIAL SURVEY PROVIDED BY SAN-LO AERIAL SURVEYS, JOB NO. 7508, FLOWN AT 40 SCALE WITH 2FT CONTOUR INTERVALS ON 11-3-87, AND SUPPLEMENTED BY FIELD SURVEY MEASUREMENTS MADE BY WILLIAM A. STEEN AND ASSOCIATES.
- 2. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES HAVE BEEN ESTIMATED BY PHYSICAL SURFACE FEATURES AND BY RECORD DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY THE UTILITY COMPANIES.
- 3. ADDITIONAL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES MAY EXIST ON SITE BUT CANNOT BE LOCATED FROM FIELD OBSERVATIONS. ACTUAL LOCATIONS OF ANY UTILITY SERVICES SHOULD BE FIELD VERIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

# TRAFFIC CONTROL NOTES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT A TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN (11"x17") FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO STARTING WORK. THE PLAN SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE TRAFFIC CONTROL PERMIT COUNTER, 3RD FLOOR, BOOTH 22, LAND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW DIVISION, DEVELOPMENT SERVICES CENTER, 1222 FIRST AVENUE, SAN DIEGO (619-446-5150). CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN A TRAFFIC CONTROL PERMIT A MINIMUM OF TWO (2) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO STARTING WORK. AND A MINIMUM OF FIVE (5) DAYS IF WORK WILL AFFECT A BUS STOP OR AN EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL, OR IF WORK WILL REQUIRE A ROAD OR ALLEY CLOSURE.

REFERENCE DRAWINGS

KEY MAP LEGEND

Omference of or some from O

C 55819

EXP. 12-31-08

TOWNE CENTRE DRIVE

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>

**BOUNDARY** 

SEWER

SHEET NUMBER

SEWER TO BE

ABANDONED

23029-D

29333-D 98-0326

PLAN:

SEWER

IMPVTS.

CITY MONUMENT AT SOUTHERLY END OF CUL-DE-SAC ON ROSELLE STREET.

ELEVATION 65.363 M.S.L. DATUM PER CITY OF SAN DIEGO VERTICAL CONTROL

EXISTING: LOT "A" OF PUEBLO LOT 1320, OF PUEBLO LANDS OF SAN DIEGO,

MISC. MAP NO. 36, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, AS PER

IMPROVEMENT PLANS-SEWER MAIN AND PRIVATE STORM DRAIN

SUPERIOR COURT CASE ACTION NO. 17622.

GRADING PLAN-NOTES, LEGEND, KEY MAP

DETENTION FACILITY SPECS. AND DETAILS

FNVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS

STORM DRAIN

OWNER/APPLICANT

2901 FIFTH AVENUE

BENCH MARK

SHEET INDEX

11,12

APN

No. 2401

EXP. 09/30/08

343-121-03

'=300'

STANDARDS.

13-23

SAN DIEGO, CA 92103

TOWNE CENTRE SCIENCE PARK L.P.,

A DELAWARE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

RECORD (INDEX 2652 17034).

PROPOSED: PARCELS 1 AND 2 OF PM \_

GRADING PLAN-NOTES

GRADING PLAN-DETAILS

EROSION CONTROL PLAN

GRADING PLAN-WALL PROFILES

LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION PLANS

7 N75.17'03"E 570.86'

PARCEL

189°05'14"W 550.10) MHA

**KEY MAP** SCALE: 1"=300"

PROJECT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6703 OF THE BUSINESS AND

I UNDERSTAND THAT THE CHECK OF PROJECT DRAWINGS AND

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROJECT DESIGN.

WILLIAM A. STEEN, R.C.E. 18136

ONLY AND DOES NOT RELIEVE ME, AS ENGINEER OF WORK, OF MY

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM THE ENGINEER OF WORK FOR THIS PROJECT,

THAT I HAVE EXERCISED RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OVER THE DESIGN OF THE

PROFESSIONS CODE, AND THAT THE DESIGN IS CONSISTENT WITH CURRENT

10-5-07

CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYING & PLANNING

10-5-07

DATE

6247-101

8580 LA MESA BLVD., SUITE 102, LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91941

**■** (619) 460-9000 **■** FAX (619) 460-9005 **■** 

DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE

GRADING PLAN

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

WORK TO BE DONE THE IMPROVEMENTS CONSIST OF THE FOLLOWING WORK TO BE DONE ACCORDING TO THESE PLANS AND THE SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

# STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

- 1. STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION, 2006 EDITION (GREENBOOK), DOCUMENT NO. AEC1231061, FILED DECEMBER 31, 2006, INCLUDING THE REGIONAL AND CITY OF SAN DIEGO SUPPLEMENT, DOCUMENT NO. AEC1231062, FILED DECEMBER 31, 2006.
- DWG. NO.: W.O. NO.: 21075-D 118150 2. 1999 STANDARD SPECIAL PROVISIONS FOR SIGNALS, LIGHTING AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS 118958
  - 3. CALIFORNIA MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (FHWA'S MUTCD, 2003 EDITION. AS AMENDED FOR USE IN CALIFORNIA), DOCUMENT NO. AEC1231064, FILED DECEMBER 31, 2006.

OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, DOCUMENT NO. 769842, FILED OCTOBER 22, 1999.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, DOCUMENT NO. AECO925062, FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2006.

#### STANDARD DRAWINGS

- CITY OF SAN DIEGO STANDARD DRAWINGS, INCLUDING ALL REGIONAL STANDARD DRAWINGS, DOCUMENT NO. AEC1231063, FILED DECEMBER 31, 2006.
- 2. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, STANDARD PLANS, DOCUMENT NO. AECO925061, FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2006.

# LEGEND

# PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS SUBDIVISION BOUNDARY

<u>SYMBOL</u> STD. DWG. \_\_\_\_\_ 348.0 FINISH SPOT ELEVATION *----348----*FINISH CONTOUR -H-C-H-CUT/FILL TRANSITION LINE DAYLIGHT LINE SLOPE DIRECTION OF SURFACE RUNOFF --SUBDRAIN LINE, 6" PVC SCHEDULE 40 (PER GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER'S RECOMMENDATIONS) (BY SEPARATE PERMIT) SEGMENTAL RETAINING WALL SYSTEM 

PRECAST CONCRETE DRAIN BOX 18"x18" W/ PARKWAY GRATE CURB INLET, TYPE-B (PVT.) D-2, D-11, D-12, M-2, WITH FILTER INSERT SDD-100, SDG-110 CATCH BASIN, TYPE F (PUBLIC) D-7, D-11, M-2STORM DRAIN CLEANOUT, TYPE A (PVT.) D-9, D-11, M-3HEADWALL, WING TYPE (PVT.) D-34 RIPRAP ENERGY DISSIPATER, TYPE 2 (PVT.) D-40, SDD-100 STORM DRAIN (PVT.) D-60

DRAINAGE DITCH D-75, SDD-100 (PVT. UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE) CURB AND GUTTER, TYPE G G-2, G-10, SDG-100 SDG-113, G-18

PAVEMENT, CONCRETE, SCHEDULE "J" (W/ 2% CROSSFALL) SDS-110C, SDS-100, SDS-101 SEWER MAIN (PUBLIC) SDS-110C, SDS-100, SDS-101 SEWER MAIN (PVT.) SLOPE PROTECTION INSTALLATION

(FOR GRADES 20% TO 50%) SDS-107, SM-07, M-3, SDM-113 -----SEWER MANHOLE (PUBLIC) SDS-107. M-3. SM-07 SEWER MANHOLE (PVT.) SDS-113 CONCRETE BACKFILL

DRAINAGE DITCH OUTLET STRUCTURE (PVT.) (SEE DETAIL SHT. 5) 3' RIBBON GUTTER (PVT.) (SEE DETAIL SHT. 5) (SEE DETAIL SHT. 6) DETENTION FACILITY (PVT.) DG MAINTENANCE ACCESS PATH, 4"

# EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENT

**ABBREVIATIONS** ASPHALT CONCRETE BOTTOM OF WALL CONC CONCRETE CENTERLINE DISINTEGRATED GRANITE EXIST **EXISTING** FG FINISHED GRADE

GRADE HAND HOLE HIGH POINT INVERT ELEVATION PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE

POLYVINYL CHLORIDE PRIVATE REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE RIGHT OF WAY SEWER MANHOLE TW TYP. TOP OF WALL

**TYPICAL** 

TM NO. 2761, REZONE NO. 2759, CDP NO. 117798, SDP NO. 2758 RETAINING WALL PERMIT NO. (SEE NOTE 4 ON SHT. 3) CONSTRUCTION SITE PRIORITY: HIGH PRIVATE CONTRACT

GRADING AND IMPROVEMENT PLANS FOR:

NOTES, LEGEND, KEY MAP CITY OF SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA W.O. NO. 421166 DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT P.T.S. NO. 6109 SHEET 1 OF 23 SHEETS 2761 FOR CITY ENGINEE APPROVED DATE FILMED DESCRIPTION BY ORIGINAL WAS 1904-6263 NAD 83 COORDINATES 264-1703 LAMBERT COORDINATES AS-BUILTS DATE STARTED CONTRACTOR 32375-1-D

AM A. SX EXP. 6-30-09 NO. 18136

SPECIFICATIONS BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO IS CONFINED TO A REVIEW WILLIAM A. STEEN & ASSOCIATES

VILLAGE / DR VICINITY MAP NO SCALE WDID NO. 937C349192

a

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

 $O_{SMH}$ 

0 0

**SUMMIT POINTE PLAZA** 

DATE COMPLETED

#### SWPPP NOTES

- 1. DISCHARGING SEDIMENT LADEN WATER WHICH WILL CAUSE OR CONTRIBUTE TO AN EXCEEDANCE OF THE APPLICABLE RWQCB'S BASIN PLANS FROM A DEWATERING SITE OR SEDIMENT BASIN/TRAP INTO ANY RECEIVING WATER OR STORM DRAIN WITHOUT FILTRATION OR EQUIVALENT TREATMENT IS PROHIBITED.
- 2. THE DISCHARGER SHALL AMEND THE SWPPP WHENEVER THERE IS A CHANGE IN CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATIONS, WHICH MAY AFFECT THE DISCHARGE OF POLLUTANTS TO SURFACE WATERS, GROUNDWATERS, OR A MUNICIPAL STORM DRAIN SYSTEM. THE SWPPP SHALL ALSO BE AMENDED IF THE DISCHARGER VIOLATES ANY CONDITION OF THE GENERAL PERMIT OR HAS NOT ACHIEVED THE GENERAL OBJECTIVE OF REDUCING OR ELIMINATING POLLUTANTS IN STORMWATER DISCHARGES. ALL AMENDMENTS SHOULD BE DATED AND DIRECTLY ATTACHED TO THE
- TEMPORARY ON-SITE DRAINAGES TO CARRY CONCENTRATED FLOW SHALL BE SELECTED TO COMPLY WITH CITY REQUIREMENTS TO CONTROL EROSION. TO RETURN FLOWS TO THEIR NATURAL DRAINAGE COURSES, AND TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO DOWNSTREAM PROPERTIES.
- DISCHARGES ORIGINATING FROM OFF SITE, WHICH FLOW ACROSS OR THROUGH AREAS DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION THAT MAY CONTAIN POLLUTANTS, SHOULD BE REPORTED TO THE RWQCB.
- 5. DISCHARGERS WHO ARE PRESENTLY COVERED UNDER NPDES GENERAL PERMIT NO. CA000002 FOR DISCHARGE OF STORMWATER ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY MAY SUBMIT A NOTICE OF TERMINATION WHEN THEY MEET THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:
- A. THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN MET: ALL ELEMENTS OF THE STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN HAVE BEEN COMPLETED; CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE WASTE HAVE BEEN DISPOSED OF PROPERLY; THE SITE IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL LOCAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS INCLUDING EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL REQUIREMENTS AND THE APPROPRIATE USE PERMITS HAVE BEEN OBTAINED; AND A POSTCONSTRUCTION STORMWATER OPERATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN IS IN PLACE.
- B. CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE BEEN SUSPENDED, EITHER TEMPORARILY OR INDEFINITELY AND THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN MET: ALL ELEMENTS OF THE STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN HAVE BEEN COMPLETED: CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE WASTE HAVE BEEN DISPOSED OF PROPERLY; ALL DENUDED AREAS AND ALL OTHER AREAS OF POTENTIAL EROSION ARE STABILIZED; AN OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL IS IN PLACE: AND THE SITE IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL LOCAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS INCLUDING EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL REQUIREMENTS. THE DATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WERE SUSPENDED, AND THE EXPECTED DATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WILL START UP AGAIN SHOULD BE PROVIDED.
- C. CONSTRUCTION SITE CANNOT DISCHARGE STORMWATER TO WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES. PLEASE INDICATE IF ALL STORMWATER IS RETAINED ON SITE OR IF STORMWATER IS COLLECTED OFF SITE.
- D. DISCHARGE OF CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER FROM THE SITE IS NOW SUBJECT TO ANOTHER NPDES GENERAL PERMIT OR AN INDIVIDUAL NPDES PERMIT. THE GENERAL PERMIT OR INDIVIDUAL PERMIT NPDES NUMBER AND DATE COVERAGE BEGAN SHOULD BE PROVIDED.
- E. THERE IS A NEW OWNER OF THE IDENTIFIED SITE. IF OWNERSHIP OR OPERATION OF THE FACILITY HAVE BEEN TRANSFERRED THEN THE PREVIOUS OWNER MUST SUBMIT A NOTICE OF TERMINATION AND THE NEW OWNER MUST SUBMIT A NOTICE OF INTENT FOR COVERAGE UNDER THE GENERAL PERMIT. THE DATE OF TRANSFER AND INFORMATION ON THE NEW OWNER SHOULD BE PROVIDED. NOTE THAT THE PREVIOUS OWNER MAY BE LIABLE FOR DISCHARGE FROM THE SITE UNTIL THE NEW OWNER FILES A NOTICE OF INTENT FOR COVERAGE UNDER THE GENERAL PERMIT.
- SEDIMENT CONTROL BMP'S ARE REQUIRED AT APPROPRIATE LOCATIONS, ALONG THE SITE PERIMETER AND AT ALL OPERATIONAL INTERNAL INLETS TO THE STORM DRAIN SYSTEM AT ALL TIMES.
- THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT ADEQUATE SEDIMENT CONTROL MATERIALS ARE AVAILABLE TO CONTROL SEDIMENT DISCHARGES AT THE DOWNGRADE PERIMETER AND OPERATIONAL INLETS (WEATHER AND STORM PREDICTIONS CAN BE OBTAINED BY CALLING THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE AT (858) 675-8700 OR BY VISITING THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE WEB SITE AT HTTP://WWW.WRH.NOAA.GOV/SANDIEGO/INDEX.SHTML FOR WEATHER INFORMATION AND CURRENT SATELLITE/RADAR FEEDS).
- 8. THE OUTLETS OF ALL SEDIMENT BASINS, TRAPS, AND LOCATIONS OF ARTIFICIALLY CONCENTRATED FLOW SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH OUTLET PROTECTION TO PREVENT EROSION AND SCOUR.
- INSPECTIONS SHALL BE PERFORMED BEFORE AND AFTER STORM EVENTS AND ONCE EACH 24-HOUR PERIOD DURING EXTENDED STORM EVENTS TO IDENTIFY BMP EFFECTIVENESS AND IMPLEMENT REPAIRS OR DESIGN CHANGES AS SOON AS FEASIBLE, DEPENDING ON FIELD CONDITIONS. EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, AND WORKERS MUST BE AVAILABLE FOR RAPID RESPONSE TO FAILURES AND EMERGENCIES. ALL CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE TO BMP'S SHALL BE PERFORMED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF EACH STORM, DEPENDING UPON WORKER
- 10. FOR EACH INSPECTION, A QUALIFIED PERSON SHALL COMPLETE AN INSPECTION CHECKLIST CONTAINING THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM INFORMATION: INSPECTION DATE, WEATHER INFORMATION (BEGINNING/END OF STORM EVENT, DURATION, TIME SINCE LAST STORM, APPROXIMATE RAINFALL IN INCHES). DESCRIPTION OF INADEQUATE BMP'S, LIST OF OBSERVATIONS OF ALL BMP'S AND VISIBLE INSPECTION OF OUTFALLS, DISCHARGE POINTS, DOWNSTREAM LOCATIONS, AND PROJECTED REQUIRED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, CORRECTIVE ACTIONS REQUIRED, INCLUDING CHANGES TO THE SWPPP AND IMPLEMENTATION DATES, INSPECTOR'S NAME, TITLE, SIGNATURE, AND QUALIFICATIONS.

Construction contractor agrees that in accordance with generally accepted construction practices, construction contractor will be required to assume sole and complete responsibility for job site conditions during the course of construction of the project, including safety of all persons and property; that this requirement shall be made to apply continuously and not be limited to normal working hours, and construction contractor further agrees to defend, indemnify and hold design professional harmless from any and all liability, real or alleged, in connection with the performance of work on this project, excepting liability arising from the sole negligence of design

#### SWPPP NOTES (CONTINUED)

- 11. INDIVIDUALS RESPONSIBLE FOR SWPPP, IMPLEMENTATION, AND PERMIT COMPLIANCE SHALL BE APPROPRIATELY TRAINED. THIS INCLUDES THOSE PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION, INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR OF BMP'S. THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSEEING, REVISING, AND AMENDING THE SWPPP SHALL ALSO DOCUMENT THEIR TRAINING. THE QUALIFIED PERSON SHALL ALSO ATTEND THE PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING. THE QUALIFIED PERSON SHALL HAVE KNOWLEDGE AND TRAINING OF THE INTENT AND ENFORCEMENT OF SWPPP'S AND BMP'S AND BE PROPERLY TRAINED TO CONDUCT INSPECTIONS AND PREPARE REPORTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION SITE WITH RESPECT TO THE CITY'S CODE/ORDINANCES AND THE SWPPP.
- 12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A COPY OF THE SWPPP AT THE CONSTRUCTION SITE WHICH SHALL BE PROVIDED, UPON REQUEST, TO THE RWQCB OR CITY PERSONNEL. THE SWPPP IS CONSIDERED A REPORT THAT SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC BY THE RWQCB UNDER SECTION 308(B) OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT.
- 13. RECORDS OF ALL INSPECTIONS, COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATIONS, NONCOMPLIANCE REPORTING, AND ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS GENERATED AS PART OF THE SWPPP, MUST BE RETAINED FOR A PERIOD OF AT LEAST THREE YEARS FROM THE DATE GENERATED.
- 14. A CONCRETE WASHOUT SHALL BE INSTALLED FOR ALL PROJECTS THAT PROPOSE CONCRETE TO BE MIXED ON SITE OR DELIVERED FROM A BATCH PLANT. THE CONCRETE WASHOUT SHALL BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF 50' FROM ANY DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE OR NATURAL DRAINAGE FEATURES OR WATER BODIES AND INCORPORATE AN IMPERMEABLE LINER (6 MIL MIN.) TO CONTAIN THE REQUIRED VOLUME. ALL DRIED CONCRETE WASTE SHALL BE BROKEN INTO MANAGEABLE PIECES AND DISPOSED OF IN A PROPER MANNER. THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED PERSON SHALL LOCATE CONCRETE WASHOUTS IN THIS PRESCRIBED MANNER AS CONSTRUCTION PROGRESSES.
- 15. THE QUALIFIED PERSON SHALL CONDUCT REGULAR INSPECTIONS OF THE PROJECT SITE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS OUTLINED IN THE SWPPP. EACH INSPECTION SHALL BE DOCUMENTED IN THE FORM OF WRITTEN REPORTS RETAINED ON SITE. ALL REPORTS SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND RWQCB REPRESENTATIVES UPON REQUEST.
- 16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE EMERGENCY MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT ON HAND FOR UNFORESEEN SITUATIONS, SUCH AS DAMAGE TO UNDERGROUND WATER AND SEWER UTILITIES WHEREBY FLOWS MAY GENERATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT POLLUTION.
- 17. THE PERMITTEE OR DESIGNEE SHALL PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF COVERAGE UNDER THE GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT, IN THE FORM OF A NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI) FILED WITH THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY CONSTRUCTION PERMITS.
- 18. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS MAY BE REMOVED ONLY WHEN CONTRIBUTORY UPSTREAM AREAS BECOME STABILIZED OR ARE MANAGED UPSTREAM (I.E., SINGLE-LOT SEDIMENT CONTROLS) AND AS LONG AS SEDIMENT LADEN RUNOFF WILL NOT DISCHARGE FROM THE SITE.
- 19. WHEN FUTURE WORK BY THE DEVELOPER NOT SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS TO BE PERFORMED, THE SWPPP SHALL BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE SAID WORK AND ANY ADDITIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL MEASURES REQUIRED.
- 20. WHEN OWNERSHIP CHANGES FOR PORTIONS OF THE SITE OR THE LIMITS OR NATURE OF WORK ARE ALTERED, THE DEVELOPER SHALL FILE A CHANGE OF INFORMATION (COI) OR A REVISED NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI), RESPECTIVELY, WITH THE CRWQCB AND SWRCB, RESPECTIVELY.
- 21. THIS PLAN SHALL BE IN EFFECT UNTIL ALL DISTURBED AREAS ARE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED. TRANSFERRED TO NEW OWNERSHIP, OR DEVELOPED UNDER FUTURE PLANS WITH A NEW NOI, SWPPP, AND WDID

#### MINIMUM POSTCONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE PLAN AT THE COMPLETION OF THE WORK SHOWN, THE FOLLOWING PLAN SHALL BE FOLLOWED TO ENSURE WATER QUALITY CONTROL IS MAINTAINED FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT:

- 1. STABILIZATION: ALL PLANTED SLOPES AND OTHER VEGETATED AREAS SHALL BE INSPECTED PRIOR TO OCTOBER 1 OF EACH YEAR AND AFTER MAJOR RAINFALL EVENTS (MORE THAN 1/2 INCH) AND REPAIRED AND REPLANTED AS NEEDED UNTIL A NOTICE OF TERMINATION IS FILED.
- 2. STRUCTURAL PRACTICES: DESILTING BASINS, DIVERSION DITCHES, DOWNDRAINS. INLETS. OUTLET PROTECTION MEASURES, AND OTHER PERMANENT WATER QUALITY AND SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE INSPECTED PRIOR TO OCTOBER 1ST OF EACH YEAR AND AFTER MAJOR RAINFALL EVENTS (MORE THAN 1/2 INCH). REPAIRS AND REPLACEMENTS SHALL BE MADE AS NEEDED AND RECORDED IN THE MAINTENANCE LOG IN PERPETUITY.
- OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING: POSTCONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT MEASURES ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER UNTIL THE TRANSFER OF RESPECTIVE SITES TO HOME BUILDERS, INDIVIDUAL OWNERS, HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS, SCHOOL DISTRICTS, OR LOCAL AGENCIES AND/OR GOVERNMENTS. AT THAT TIME, THE NEW OWNERS SHALL ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THEIR RESPECTIVE PORTIONS OF THE DEVELOPMENT.

#### EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

TEMPORARY EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL, PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF FINAL IMPROVEMENTS, SHALL BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED PERSON AS INDICATED BELOW:

- 1. ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO "LAND DEVELOPMENT MANUAL, STORM WATER STANDARDS" MUST BE INCORPORATED INTO THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED GRADING/IMPROVEMENTS CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP), WATER QUALITY TECHNICAL REPORT (WTQR), AND OR WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN (WPCP).
- 2. FOR STORM DRAIN INLET, PROVIDE A GRAVEL BAG SILT BASIN IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM OF INLET AS INDICATED ON DETAILS.
- 3. FOR INLETS LOCATED AT SUMPS ADJACENT TO TOP OF SLOPES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT WATER DRAINING TO THE SUMP IS DIRECTED INTO THE INLET AND THAT A MINIMUM OF 1.0' FREEBOARD EXISTS AND IS MAINTAINED ABOVE THE TOP OF THE INLET. IF FREEBOARD IS NOT PROVIDED BY GRADING SHOWN ON THESE PLANS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE IT VIA TEMPORARY MEASURES, I.E. GRAVEL BAGS OR DIKES.
- 4. THE GRADING CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CLEANUP OF SILT AND MUD ON ADJACENT STREET(S) DUE TO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND MAINTAIN ALL LINED AND UNLINED DITCHES AFTER EACH RAINFALL.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE SILT AND DEBRIS AFTER EACH MAJOR
- 7. EQUIPMENT AND WORKERS FOR EMERGENCY WORK SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES DURING THE RAINY SEASON. ALL NECESSARY MATERIALS SHALL BE STOCKPILED ON SITE AT CONVENIENT LOCATIONS TO FACILITATE RAPID CONSTRUCTION OF TEMPORARY DEVICES WHEN RAIN IS IMMINENT.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RESTORE ALL EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES TO WORKING ORDER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY ENGINEER OR RESIDENT ENGINEER AFTER EACH RUNOFF PRODUCING RAINFALL.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ADDITIONAL EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY THE RESIDENT ENGINEER AND THE ENGINEER OF WORK DUE TO UNCOMPLETED GRADING OPERATIONS OR UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH MAY ARISE.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE AND SHALL TAKE NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO PREVENT PUBLIC TRESPASS ONTO AREAS WHERE IMPOUNDED WATERS CREATE A HAZARDOUS CONDITION.
- 11. ALL EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES PROVIDED PER THE APPROVED GRADING PLAN SHALL BE INCORPORATED HEREON. ALL EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL FOR INTERIM GRADING CONDITIONS SHALL BE DONE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE RESIDENT ENGINEER.
- 12. GRADED AREAS AROUND THE PROJECT PERIMETER MUST DRAIN AWAY FROM THE FACE OF THE SLOPE AT THE CONCLUSION OF EACH WORKING
- 13. ALL REMOVABLE PROTECTIVE DEVICES SHOWN SHALL BE IN PLACE AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY WHEN RAIN IS IMMINENT.
- 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ONLY GRADE, INCLUDING CLEARING AND GRUBBING, THE AREAS FOR WHICH THE CONTRACTOR CAN PROVIDE EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.
- 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ARRANGE FOR WEEKLY MEETINGS DURING OCTOBER 1ST TO APRIL 30TH FOR PROJECT TEAM (GENERAL CONTRACTOR, QUALIFIED PERSON, EROSION CONTROL SUBCONTRACTOR, IF ANY, ENGINEER OF WORK, OWNER/DEVELOPER AND THE RESIDENT ENGINEER) TO EVALUATE THE ADEQUACY OF THE EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND OTHER RELATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.

# PERMANENT POSTCONSTRUCTION BMP NOTES


- 1. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SHALL BE SECURED BY AN EXECUTED AND RECORDED STORM WATER MANAGEMENT AND DISCHARGE CONTROL MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT (SWMDCM), COVENANTS CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS (CC&R'S), OR ANOTHER MECHANISM APPROVED BY THE CITY ENGINEER, THAT ASSURES ALL PERMANENT BMP'S WILL BE MAINTAINED IN PERPETUITY, PER THE LAND DEVELOPMENT MANUAL, STORM WATER STANDARDS.
- 2. PERMANENT POSTCONSTRUCTION BMP DEVICES SHOWN ON PLAN SHALL NOT BE MOVED OR MODIFIED WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY ENGINEER OR RESIDENT ENGINEER AND THE ENGINEER OF WORK.

	PROPO	SED OPER	ATION AND MAINTENANCE PI	ROCEDURE D	ETAILS		
	O&M RESPONSIBLE PARTY DESIGNEE: PROPERTY OWNER						
POSTCONSTRUCTION PERMANENT BMP'S		MAINTENANCE FREQUENCY	MAINTENANCE METHOD	SERVICE FREQUENCY	SERVICE METHOD		
PROPOSED SITE DESIGN							
RIPRAP ENERGY DISSIPATERS	3/YR	3/YR	REMOVE DEBRIS	AS NEEDED	(1)		
DRAINAGE DITCH OUTLET STRUCTURES	3/YR	3/YR	REMOVE DEBRIS	AS NEEDED	(1)		
CONCRETE LINED DRAINAGE DITCHES	3/YR	3/YR	REMOVE DEBRIS	AS NEEDED	(1)		
PROPOSED TREATMENT CONTROL							
CATCH BASIN FILTER INSERTS	3/YR	3/YR	REMOVE DEBRIS	AS NEEDED			
ROOF DRAIN DOWNSPOUT FILTERS (2)	3/YR	3/YR	REMOVE DEBRIS	AS NEEDED			
PROPOSED SOURCE CONTROL							
SEEDING AND PLANTING	3/YR	3/YR	REPLANT	AS NEEDED	(1)		

(1) TO BE PERFORMED BY LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE CONTRACTOR

(2) ROOF DRAIN DOWNSPOUT FILTERS ARE NOT A PART OF THESE GRADING PLANS. THEY WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE BUILDING PLANS.

I WILLIAM A. STEEN & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYING & PLANNING 8580 LA MESA BLVD., SUITE 102, LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91941 **■** (619) 460-9000 **■** FAX (619) 460-9005 **■** 10-5-07 6247-101




				<u> </u>
NO.	SIZE	TYPE	LENGTH	YEAR INSTALLED
1	10"	PVC	290 FT	1984
2	10"	PVC	255 FT	1984
3	10"	PVC	280 FT	1984

GRADING AND IMPROVEMENT NOTES FOR: **SUMMIT POINTE PLAZA** 

PRIVATE CONTRACT

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA W.O. NO. 421166 DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT P.T.S. NO. 6109 SHEET 2 OF 23 SHEETS DZ4 10/17/07 <u> 2761</u> FOR CITY ENGINEER DESCRIPTION BY APPROVED DATE FILMED ORIGINAL WAS 1904-6263



NAD 83 COORDINATES 264-1703 LAMBERT COORDINATES AS-BUILTS **CONTRACTOR** DATE STARTED 32375-2-D DATE COMPLETED



**UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES & USES:** The engineer preparing these plans will not be responsible for, or liable for, unauthorized CELSOC changes to or uses of these plans. All changes to the plans must be in writing and must be approved by the preparer of these plans.



