



## CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST SUBMITTAL APPLICATION

- ❖ The Checklist is required only for projects subject to CEQA review.<sup>2</sup>
- ❖ If required, the Checklist must be included in the project submittal package. Application submittal procedures can be found in [Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures](#) of the City's Municipal Code.
- ❖ The requirements in the Checklist will be included in the project's conditions of approval.
- ❖ The applicant must provide an explanation of how the proposed project will implement the requirements described herein to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

### Application Information

#### Contact Information

Project No./Name: Sewer & Storm Drain Group 828 (WBS B-16155 and B-00434)

Property Address: Switzer Canyon (Greater North Park and Balboa Park neighborhoods) and Balboa Park Golf Course

Applicant Name/Co.: Sabeen Cochinwala /City of San Diego Public Works

Contact Phone: 619-533-4661 Contact Email: scochinwala@sandiego.gov

Was a consultant retained to complete this checklist? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes, complete the following

Consultant Name: Patrick Mulvey Contact Phone: 858-413-2400

Company Name: Infrastructure Engineering Corporation Contact Email: pmulvey@iecorporation.com

#### Project Information

1. What is the size of the project (acres)? N/A - Underground Utility Construction
2. Identify all applicable proposed land uses:
- ☐ Residential (indicate # of single-family units): \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Residential (indicate # of multi-family units): \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Commercial (total square footage): \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Industrial (total square footage): \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ Other (describe): Underground Utility Construction
3. Is the project or a portion of the project located in a Transit Priority Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No
4. Provide a brief description of the project proposed:

Installation of approximately 9,006.7 linear feet of new 8", 12" and 15" sewer main, laterals, lateral replumbing, and also installation of 361.16 linear feet of 18-inch storm drains including inlets, cleanouts and discharge structures.

<sup>2</sup> Certain projects seeking ministerial approval may be required to complete the Checklist. For example, projects in a Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone may be required to use the Checklist to qualify for ministerial level review. See Supplemental Development Regulations in the project's community plan to determine applicability.



## CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST QUESTIONS

### Step 1: Land Use Consistency

The first step in determining CAP consistency for discretionary development projects is to assess the project's consistency with the growth projections used in the development of the CAP. This section allows the City to determine a project's consistency with the land use assumptions used in the CAP.

Step 1: Land Use Consistency		
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation and supporting documentation for your answer)	Yes	No
A. Is the proposed project consistent with the existing General Plan and Community Plan land use and zoning designations? <sup>3</sup> <u>OR</u> ,		
B. If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, and includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment, would the proposed amendment result in an increased density within a Transit Priority Area (TPA) <sup>4</sup> and implement CAP Strategy 3 actions, as determined in Step 3 to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department?; <u>OR</u> ,	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, does the project include a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment that would result in an equivalent or less GHG-intensive project when compared to the existing designations?		

If **"Yes,"** proceed to Step 2 of the Checklist. For question B above, complete Step 3. For question C above, provide estimated project emissions under both existing and proposed designation(s) for comparison. Compare the maximum buildout of the existing designation and the maximum buildout of the proposed designation.

If **"No,"** in accordance with the City's Significance Determination Thresholds, the project's GHG impact is significant. The project must nonetheless incorporate each of the measures identified in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacts unless the decision maker finds that a measure is infeasible in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15091. Proceed and complete Step 2 of the Checklist.

The project is consistent with the existing General Plan and Community Plan land use and zoning designations. The project, as proposed, will not require a Certificate of Occupancy from the Building Official and is therefore not subject to Step 2 of this checklist. The project agrees to implement best management practices (BMPs) for construction activities as set forth in the Greenbook.

<sup>3</sup> This question may also be answered in the affirmative if the project is consistent with SANDAG Series 12 growth projections, which were used to determine the CAP projections, as determined by the Planning Department.

<sup>4</sup> This category applies to all projects that answered in the affirmative to question 3 on the previous page: Is the project or a portion of the project located in a transit priority area.