

ERRATA SHEET

BDM Mixed-Use Addendum No. 673818 April 7, 2023

Subsequent to the posting of the Addendum to the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update (OMCPU) Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) (Project No. 30330/304032; SCH No. 2004651076) (hereinafter referred to as the CPU PEIR) on February 21, 2023 for the BDM Mixed-use project (Project No. 673818), the following revisions to the environmental document were made (changes are shown in ~~strikeout~~/underline). These revisions are intended to clarify the analysis completed in the document.

1. III. Environmental Setting, Page 6, a portion of the last sentence of this section was inadvertently omitted. The typographical error has been corrected as follows:

“The MHPA is located east of the proposed project as well.”

2. V. Impact Analysis, Table 1, Impact Assessment Table, Page 8, there was a typographical error on the “Biological Resources” row resulting in text that does not match the analysis completed in the Biological Resources section. The text has been revised as follows:

Biological Resources	Significant but Mitigated	Yes	No New Impacts	No Yes	Less than Significant Significant but Mitigated
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----	----------------	-------------------	--

3. V. Impact Analysis, Table 1, Impact Assessment Table, Page 8, there was a typographical error on the “Paleontological Resources” row resulting in text that does not match the analysis completed in the Paleontological Resources section. The text has been revised as follows:

Paleontological Resources	Significant but Mitigated	Yes	No New Impacts	Yes No	Significant but Mitigated Less than Significant
----------------------------------	---------------------------	-----	----------------	-------------------	---

4. V. Impact Analysis, Land Use, Conclusion, Page 20, the text provided was inconsistent with the text in the Historical Resources analysis section. The fourth sentence was clarified, and a sentence was added. The text has been revised as follows:

“Pursuant to Mitigation Framework LU-1b, the project would grade into native soils resulting in the potential to encounter unknown subsurface ~~it has been demonstrated no~~ archaeological resources. ~~are present on the site and a discretionary review is not triggered due to archaeological resources.~~ Archaeological monitoring requirements identified in Mitigation Framework LU-1b would be carried forward as project-specific mitigation HIST-1.”

5. V. Impact Analysis, Noise, Project, Traffic Affecting the Project, Page 51, the last sentence in the second paragraph has been clarified as follows to specifically state how the CPU PEIR Mitigation Framework has been carried forward onto the project:

"In order to ensure ultimate building plans provide compliance with the City of San Diego General Plan Noise Compatibility Guidelines and CBC Section 1206.4 requirement to not exceed of 45 dBA CNEL in residences, the project would ~~implement~~ require implementation of Mitigation Framework NOI-2 as a condition of approval. Impacts would be less than significant."

6. V. Impact Analysis, Noise, Conclusion, Page 52, the conclusion statement regarding noise has been clarified as follows to specifically state how the CPU PEIR Mitigation Framework has been carried forward onto the project:

"In accordance with NOI-1, NOI-2, and NOI-3, the project would provide a site-specific noise report and a site-specific interior noise analysis as a condition of approval. Impacts would be less than significant."

7. V. Impact Analysis, Paleontological Resources, Project, Page 53, the last sentence of the second paragraph has been clarified to specifically state how the CPU PEIR Mitigation Framework has been carried forward onto the project as follows:

"Therefore, paleontological monitoring Mitigation Framework from the CPU PEIR would be a requirement for the project as mitigation measure PALEO-1 to reduce impacts to less than significant."

8. V. Impact Analysis, Paleontological Resources, Conclusion, Page 54, the second sentence has been clarified as follows to specifically state how the CPU PEIR Mitigation Framework has been carried forward onto the project:

"The project would implement CPU PEIR Mitigation Framework PALEO-1. Paleontological monitoring would be required for ~~implemented~~ the proposed project as mitigation."

9. Public Utilities, Project, Solid Waste, Appendix M was updated (see Appendix M-Revised) and Page 67 was updated to reflect the March 2023 Waste Management Plan date as follows:

"Per the requirements of the CPU PEIR Mitigation Framework measure UTL-1, a site-specific WMP was prepared for the project (KLR Planning, March 2023 January 2022) and is included as Appendix M to this Addendum. "

10. Public Utilities, Project, Solid Waste, Page 67, two sentences were added after the fourth sentence to clarify that the project would be conditioned consistent with the Waste Management Plan as follows:

"The WMP identifies a project condition of approval to ensure compliance, with the condition requiring a Solid Waste Coordinator to implement the WMP. This condition in the WMP would be a condition of the project."