

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Report to the Hearing Officer

DATE ISSUED:	February 1, 2023	REPORT NO. 23-005
HEARING DATE:	February 8, 2023	
SUBJECT:	3757 MISSION BLVD, PROCESS THREE DECISI	ON
PROJECT NUMBER:	<u>PRJ-1059496</u>	
OWNER/APPLICANT:	AHR PROPERTIES LLC / DANIEL LINN	

SUMMARY

Should the Hearing Officer approve the demolition, reconstruction, second-story addition and remodel of an existing commercial retail store at 3757 Mission Boulevard and 810 Queenstown Court within the Mission Beach Community Planning area?

Staff Recommendation:

- 1. Approve Coastal Development Permit No. 3153358.
- 2. Approve Neighborhood Development Permit No. 3169901.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u>: On October 18, 2022, the Mission Beach Community Planning Group voted 7-0-0 to continue the review of the project until the November 15, 2022 meeting. On November 15, 2022, the Mission Beach Community Planning Group voted 7-0-1 to recommend denial of the proposed project with recommendations (Attachment 7).

<u>Environmental Review</u>: This project was determined to be categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA section 15301 (Existing Facilities). This project is not pending an appeal of the environmental determination. The environmental exemption determination for this project was made on November 14, 2022, and the opportunity to appeal that determination ended November 29, 2022 (Attachment 6).

BACKGROUND

The 0.09-acre project site is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard and 810 Queenstown Court, within the, Mission Beach Planned District of the Mission Beach Community Planning Area

(Attachment 2). The site is designated for mixed use, high density residential at a rate of 29 dwelling units per acre.

The project site is in the MBPD-NC-N zone of the Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limit and Parking Impact (Beach and Coastal) Zones. The site is designated Neighborhood Commercial and is immediately surrounded by other neighborhood commercial and multi-family dwelling units of varying sizes and architectural styles. The site is located between the Pacific Ocean to the west and Mission Bay to the east (Attachment 1).

There are two lots (P & Q) with existing structures on each. The front structure on lot Q is used as a convenience / liquor store and the rear structure on Lot P used as storage and restrooms for the convenience store. Previously, the rear structure was a residential unit and a connection was made combining the two structures some time ago.

The owner of the property has demolished most of the rear portion of the existing structure located at 810 Queenstown Court under building permit (PTS Project No. 692411). Demolition work was halted when it was determined by the City staff that a Coastal Development Permit was required because more than 50% of the structure was demolished. In addition, a Neighborhood Development Permit is required to maintain the existing zerofoot set back per SDMC Section 127.0106(b) Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures "Maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of a structure with a previously conforming structural envelope for proposed development that requires a Coastal Development Permit pursuant to Section 126.0704(b) requires a Neighborhood Development Permit decided in accordance with Process Two."

DISCUSSION

The project proposes demolition and reconstruction of the rear structure with a new second floor at 810 Queenstown Court. The project also proposes a remodel of the existing front structures at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The rear structure was demolished except for the southern wall along Queenstown Court. The project proposes to retain the wall along Queenstown Court and include that wall as part of the new reconstructed structure. Retention of this wall provides previously comforming premises for continuation of the existing zero-foot setback. The project proposes to maintain the existing previously conforming side yard setbacks for the first floor of the structures.

The scope of work includes the demolition of the remainder of the rear structure consisting of 979 square-feet. This demolished footprint will be reconstructed and provide an additional 270 square-feet as a second-floor addition. The1,710 square-foot front structure at 3757 Mission Boulevard will be remodeled. The combined reconstruction, addition and remodel will total 2,959 square-feet and encompass both the 3757 Mission Boulevard structure and 810 Queenstown Court structure (Attachment 10).

The existing use is a convenience store that has been in operation for decades. The existing structure is over 45 years old and was reviewed by City Staff to determine eligibility for historic designation per SMDC Section 143.0212. Staff determined the site was not eligible.

The Mission Beach Community Precise Plan Local Coastal Program Addendum (Community Plan) designates this site as Neighborhood Commercial (Attachment 2) within the Mission Beach Planned District – Neighborhood Commercial- North (MBPD-NC-N) zone) which is consistent with the existing and proposed retail use.

The project requires a Coastal Development Permit (CDP) in accordance with San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC) Section 126.0702 for the proposed construction on property with the Coastal Overlay Zone. A Neighborhood Development Permit (NDP) is required per SDMC Section 126.0402 for development on sites with previously conforming structures (Attachment 4 and 5).

COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

On November 15, 2022, the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board voted 7-0-1 to recommend denial of the project based on the following;

- On 810 Queenstown Court (south end of the rear stucture) SDMC Section 127.0102 (a) General Rules for Previously Conforming Premises and Uses should not be considered due to a conecting portion of the structure was never permitted.
- 2. The existing structure within the zero foot setback along Queenstown Court will be connected to the Hub Liquor building by exceeding the height of the existing structure within the setback.
- 3. The project does not meet the findings for a NDP.

The proposed issues were reviewed by City Staff and the Project was determined to be in compliance with the San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC), Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance, and the Community Plan and all the necessary findings can be made.

CONCLUSION

Staff has reviewed the project plans and documents, and all the necessary findings can be made to approve the Project as discussed in depth above and demonstrated in the resolution of approval (Attachment 4 and 5). Staff recommends that the Hearing Officer approve Coastal Development Permit No. 3153358 and Neighborhood Development Permit No. 3169901.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve Coastal Development Permit No. 3153358 and Neighborhood Development Permit No. 3169901, with modifications.
- 2. Deny Coastal Development Permit No. 3153358 and Neighborhood Development Permit 3169901, if the findings required to approve the project cannot be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Will Rogers

Will Rogers, Development Project Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Project Location Map
- 2. Community Plan Land Use Map
- 3. Aerial Photograph
- 4. Draft Resolution with Findings
- 5. Draft Permit with Conditions
- 6. Environmental Exemption
- 7. Community Planning Group Recommendation
- 8. Ownership Disclosure Statement
- 9. Project Plans





Aerial Photograph

HUB LIQUOR / NDP, CDP Project No.1059496, 3757 MISSION BLVD







Land Use Map

HUB LIQUOR / NDP, CDP Project No.1059496, 3757 MISSION BLVD







Project Location Map

HUB LIQUOR / NDP, CDP Project No.1059496, 3757 MISSION BLVD



HEARING OFFICER, RESOLUTION NO. _____ COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 3153358 AND NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 3169901

3757 MISSION BLVD - PROJECT NO. PRJ-1059496

WHEREAS, A.H.R. PROPERTIES LLC, Owner, and RANDY IBRAHIM (MANAGING MEMBER),

Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit to partially demolish an existing structure to allow a 1,249-square-foot remodel to the existing adjacent 1,710-square-foot retail building, (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibit "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit Nos. 3153358 and 3169901), on portions of a 0.09-acre site;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard and 810 Queenstown Court: in the MBPD-NC-N zone of the Mission Beach Community Precise Plan Local Coastal Program Addendum (MBCP) within the Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limit and Parking Impact (Beach and Coastal) Zones within Council District 2;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as PARCEL 1: LOT "Q" AND "R" IN BLOCK 187 OF MISSION BEACH, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1651, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, DECEMBER 14, 1914; and PARCEL 2: LOT P IN BLOCK 187 OF MISSION BEACH, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1651, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, DECEMBER 14, 1914;

WHEREAS, on November 14, 2022, the City of San Diego, as Lead Agency, through the Development Services Department, made and issued an Environmental Determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code

ATTACHMENT 4

Section 21000 et seq.) under CEQA Guidelines Section15301 (Existing Facilities) which allows for additions to existing structures that will not result in the addition of greater than 10,000 square feet; and where the exceptions listed in Section 15300.2 would not apply; and there was no appeal of the Environmental Determination filed within the time period provided by San Diego Municipal Code Section 112.0520;

WHEREAS, on February 8, 2023, the HEARING OFFICER of the City of San Diego considered

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT No. 3153358 and NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT No.

3169901 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego, that it adopts the following findings with respect to Coastal Development Permit No. 3153358 and Neighborhood Development Permit No. 3169901:

A. COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT [SDMC Section 126.0708(a)]

1. Findings for all Coastal Development Permits:

a. The proposed coastal development will not encroach upon any existing physical accessway that is legally used by the public or any proposed public accessway identified in a Local Coastal Program land use plan; and the proposed coastal development will enhance and protect public views to and along the ocean and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the Local Coastal Program land use plan.

The proposed development would not encroach upon any existing or proposed physical accessway as identified in the Mission Beach Planned District (MBPD), Mission Beach Community Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Addendum (MBCP) (LCP)

The site ranges from approximately 5.58 feet above mean sea level (AMSL) at the highest elevation of the property to 5.42 feet AMSL at the lowest elevation of the property. The topography of the site is generally flat and drains to the west. The proposed structures and any projections will not exceed the 30-foot maximum height limit allowed by the Coastal Height Limit Overlay Zone (CHLOZ). The project's location relative to coastal resources and the building heights proposed would not obscure public views to and along the ocean, and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the MBPD, MBCP and LCP land use plan.

The project proposes zero-foot setbacks that comply with Previously Conforming premises or use (SDMC §127.0106(b) (Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures). Proposed expansion or enlargement of a *previously* conforming structural envelope within a setback, or of a structure on a premises with previously conforming density that does not meet the criteria for expansion or enlargement in accordance with Section 127.0106(a), requires a Neighborhood Development Permit decided in accordance with Process Two, which shall only be granted if the proposed expansion or enlargement meets certain criteria including "conforms to the setback observed by the existing structure.

"Therefore, the proposed development would not encroach upon any existing or proposed physical access ways that are legally used by the public identified by the Mission Beach Planned District, Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Land Use Plan; and the proposed coastal development will not impact public views to and along the ocean and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the Mission Beach Community Plan and Local Coastal Program Land Use Plan.

b. The proposed coastal development will not adversely affect environmentally sensitive lands.

The proposed development would not adversely affect any environmentally sensitive lands as none are present on the site.

The project is in a mixed-use urbanized area of the Mission Beach Community, with surrounding development consisting of small retail shops, restaurants, and multi-residential housing. While the site is located 365 feet from Mission Bay and 415 feet from the Pacific Ocean, there are no environmentally sensitive lands on or adjacent to the site. The project has been conditioned to comply with Storm Water Regulations that will lessen runoff impacts to Mission Bay and the Pacific Ocean.

In addition, City Staff has determined that the project qualifies as categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 (Existing Facilities).The project site contains no environmentally sensitive lands and therefore no environmentally sensitive lands would be adversely affected by the proposed project.

c. The proposed coastal development is in conformity with the certified Local Coastal Program land use plan and complies with all regulations of the certified Implementation Program.

The proposed development is in conformity with the certified Local Costal Program (LCP) Land use plan and complies with all regulations and goals identified in the Mission Beach Planned District and Mission Beach Community Precise Plan Local Coastal Program Addendum (MBCP) The site is consistent with the Local Coastal Land Use Plan by continuing to supply access to Mission Bay and the Pacific Ocean. The project proposes zero-foot setbacks that comply with Previously Conforming premises or use (SDMC §127.0106(b) (Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures).

The project complies with the Mission Beach Planned District- Neighborhood Commercial – North zone (MBPD-NC-N) and the (MBCP) by providing commercial facilities necessary for the convenience of the residents of the area and tourists attracted to the area (MBCP p. 36). By the continued operation of an existing convenience store in the Santa Clara District of the Mission Beach Planning area, the proposed project is consistent with the commercial Santa Clara District within the MBCP and the LCP.

d. For every Coastal Development Permit issued for any coastal development between the nearest public road and the sea or the shoreline of any body of water located within the Coastal Overlay Zone the coastal development is in conformity with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

The proposed development is in conformance with the public access and public recreation policies as identified in the MBCP or the Local Coastal Program (LCP) Land Use Plan.

The site is located between the Pacific Ocean to the west and Mission Bay to the east. The proposed project is within the first public roadway between the sea or shoreline of any body of water located in the Coastal Overlay Zone. Public access to the Pacific Ocean is through Queenstown Court walkway and access to Mission Bay is along an alley south of Queenstown Court which also includes access to Santa Clara public recreation facilities. The proposed expansion of the existing commercial use does not impede public access and therefore, the proposed project conforms with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

B. NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT [SDMC Section 126.0404(a)

1. <u>Findings for all Neighborhood Development Permits</u>:

a. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

The proposed project would not adversely affect the Neighborhood Commercial – North zone (MBPD-NC-N) and the Mission Beach Precise Plan.

The project is within a mixed-use urbanized area within Mission Beach Planned District- Neighborhood Commercial – North zone (MBPD-NC-N) and the MBCP. The site is surrounded by development consisting of small retail shops, restaurants, and multi-residential housing.

Additionally, the project site is designated "Neighborhood Commercial" and is within the height limit of 30 feet of the Coastal Height Limit Overlay Zone (CHLOZ) and the proposed zero-foot setbacks comply with Previously Conforming premises or use (SDMC §127.0106(b) (Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures. The walls connecting the two structures will meet the current setbacks in this area as described in MBPD Ordinance (SDMC Section 1513.0307 (b)(4)). The retail project is consistent with the underlying MBPD-NC-N zone and the goals of MBCP elated to the neighborhood commercial use. Therefore, the project will not adversely affect the land use plan.

b. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

The project must satisfy conditions of approval to achieve compliance with the regulations of the SDMC. Such conditions have been determined necessary to avoid adverse impacts upon the health, safety and general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding area. Prior to issuance of any building permit, grading permit, and public improvement permit for the proposed project, the plans shall be reviewed by City Staff to ensure compliance with all building, electrical, mechanical, plumbing and fire code requirements, and the Owner/Permittee shall be required to obtain building, grading and public improvement permits. Compliance with these regulations during and after construction would be enforced through building inspections completed by the City's building inspectors. Therefore, the proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

c. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code including any allowable deviations pursuant to the Land Development Code.

The proposed project was reviewed by City Staff and determined to be in compliance with the MBPD Ordinance (SDMC Section 1513.0307 and SDMC §127.0106(b) (Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures) regulations of the Land Development Code.

The project proposes zero-foot setbacks that comply with Previously Conforming premises or use (SDMC §127.0106(b) (Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures). Proposed expansion or enlargement of a *previously* conforming structural envelope within a setback, or of a structure on a premises with previously conforming density that does not meet the criteria for expansion or enlargement in accordance with Section 127.0106(a), requires a Neighborhood Development Permit decided in accordance with Process Two, which shall only be granted if the proposed expansion or enlargement meets certain criteria including "conforms to the setback observed by the existing structure." The proposed expansion conforms to the setback observed by the existing structure and the existing zero-foot setback will remain at 810 Queenstown Court. The addition of a second floor will require a 10-foot setback consistent with the current setback regulations. Therefore, the project will comply the applicable regulations of the Land Development.

The above findings are supported by the minutes, maps and exhibits, all of which are incorporated herein by this reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on these findings adopted by the HEARING OFFICER, COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 3153358 and NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 3169901 are hereby GRANTED by the HEARING OFFICER to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms, and conditions as set forth in Permit No. 3153358 and 3169901, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Will Rogers Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: February 8, 2023

IO#: 24009228

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

INTERNAL ORDER NUMBER: 24009228

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 3153358 AND NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 3169901 3757 MISSION BLVD - PROJECT NO. PRJ-1059496 HEARING OFFICER

This COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT No. 3153358 and NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT No. 3169901 is granted by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego to A.H.R. PROPERTIES LLC, Owner, and RANDY IBRAHIM (MANAGING MEMBER), Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC) section 126.0702 and section 126.0203. The 0.09-acre site is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard and 810 Queenstown Court in the MBPD-NC-N zone of the Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limit and Parking Impact (Beach and Coastal) Zones within the Mission Beach Community Precise Plan Local Coastal Program Addendum (MBCP) and Council District 2. The project site is legally described as: PARCEL 1: LOT "Q" AND "R" IN BLOCK 187 OF MISSION BEACH, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1651, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, DECEMBER 14, 1914; and PARCEL 2: LOT P IN BLOCK 187 OF MISSION BEACH, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1651, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, DECEMBER 14, 1914; and PARCEL 2: LOT P IN BLOCK 187 OF MISSION BEACH, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1651, 1914; and PARCEL 2: LOT P IN BLOCK 187 OF MISSION BEACH, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1651, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, DECEMBER 14, 1914.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee, to demolish an existing structure to allow 1,249-square-foot remodel expansion of adjacent 1,710 square-foot retail space as described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated February 8, 2023, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Partial demolition of an existing structure located at 810 Queenstown Court to allow expansion of adjacent retail space while maintaining an existing wall and setbacks.
- b. Reconstruction of 979 square feet of the existing, partially demolished building located at 810 Queenstown Court with construction of a new 270 square foot, second- floor addition for storage totaling 1,249 square feet.
- c. An remodel of an existing 1,710square foot retail building located at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The new combined total of the retail buildings is 2,959 square feet;

- b. Per SDMC Section §127.0106(b) (Expansion or Enlargement of Previously Conforming Structures) to keep a portion of the existing wall located at 810 Queenstown Court to allow for existing setbacks on 810 Queenstown Court;
- c. Off-street parking; four compact spaces and one van-accessible space; and
- d. Public and private accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards for this site in accordance with the adopted community plan, the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] and the CEQA Guidelines, the City Engineer's requirements, zoning regulations, conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36-month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision-maker. This permit must be utilized by February 23, 2026.

2. This Coastal Development Permit shall become effective on the eleventh working day following receipt by the California Coastal Commission of the Notice of Final Action or following all appeals.

3. No permit for the construction, occupancy, or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

4. While this Permit is in effect, the subject property shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision-maker.

5. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.

6. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

7. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State, or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

8. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial building modifications and site improvements may be required to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State and Federal disability access laws.

9. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." Changes, modifications, or alterations to the construction plans are prohibited unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

10. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and were determined necessary to make the findings required for approval of this Permit. The Permit holder is required to comply with each and every condition in order to maintain the entitlements that are granted by this Permit.

If any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" condition(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo, and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, 11. and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

CLIMATE ACTION PLAN REQUIREMENTS:

12. Owner/Permittee shall comply with the Climate Action Plan (CAP) Consistency Checklist stamped as Exhibit "A." Prior to issuance of any construction permit, all CAP strategies shall be noted within the first three (3) sheets of the construction plans under the heading "Climate Action Plan Requirements" and shall be enforced and implemented to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department.

ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:

13. Prior to the issuance of any building permit the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond to reconstruct the existing alley curb ramp with the current City Standard curb ramp adjacent to the site on Mission Boulevard, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

14. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan WPCP. The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Part 2 of Construction BMP Standards Chapter 4 of the City's Storm Water Standards.

PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

15. The automobile, motorcycle, and bicycle parking spaces must be constructed in accordance with the requirements of the SDMC. All on-site parking stalls and aisle widths shall be in compliance with the requirements of the City's Land Development Code and shall not be converted and/or utilized for any other purpose unless otherwise authorized in writing by the appropriate City decision-maker in accordance with the SDMC.

16. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.

17. All signs associated with this development shall be consistent with sign criteria established by the approved Exhibit "A", Mission Beach Planned District sign regulations, or City-wide sign regulations.

18. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

INFORMATION ONLY:

• The issuance of this discretionary permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. Any operation allowed by this discretionary permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received a final inspection.

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this Permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code-section 66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego on February 8, 2023 and Resolution Number ______.

ATTACHMENT 5

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 3153358 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 3169901 DATE OF APPROVAL: FEBRUARY 8, 2023

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Will Rogers Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

A.H.R. PROPERTIES LLC

By

RANDY IBRAHIM (MANAGING MEMBER)

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: November 14, 2022 NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

IO: 24009228

PROJECT NAME / NUMBER: 3757 Mission Blvd / PRJ-1059496 COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: Mission Beach Community Plan COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2 LOCATION: 3757 Mission Blvd, San Diego, CA 92109

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Coastal Development Permit for the demolition of an existing structure to allow expansion of adjacent retail space. Work to include the addition of 1,219 square feet to existing 1,710 square-foot retail building for total of 2,989-square-feet. The property is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The 0.09-acre site is in the MBPD-NC-N and Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limitation and Parking Impact (Coastal) Zones within the Mission Beach Community Plan area and Council District 2.

ENTITY CONSIDERING PROJECT APPROVAL: City of San Diego Hearing Officer

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA State Guidelines, Section 15301, Existing Facilities

ENTITY MAKING ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: City of San Diego

STATEMENT SUPPORTING REASON FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The City of San Diego determined that the project would qualify to be categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) which allows for additions to existing structures that will not result in addition of greeter than 10,000 square feet; and where the exceptions listed in Section 15300.2 would not apply.

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGER:	Will Rogers
MAILING ADDRESS:	1222 First Avenue, MS 501, San Diego, CA 92101-4153
PHONE NUMBER / EMAIL:	619-446-5283 / rogersw@sandiego.gov

On November 14, 2022 the City of San Diego made the above-referenced environmental determination pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This determination is appealable to the City Council. If you have any questions about this determination, contact the City Development Project

Manager listed above.

Applications to appeal CEQA determination made by staff (including the City Manager) to the City Council must be filed in the office of the City Clerk within 5 business days from the date of the posting of this Notice (November 29, 2022). During the Statewide "Safer-at-Home" directive to reduce the spread of COVID-19, beginning March 19, 2020, appeals to the City Clerk must be filed by email or US Mail as follows:

 Appeals filed via E-mail: The Development Permit/Environmental Determination Appeal Application Form DS-3031 can be obtained at <u>https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/development-</u> <u>services/pdf/industry/forms/ds3031.pdf</u>.

Send the completed appeal form (including grounds for appeal and supporting documentation in pdf format) by email to <u>Hearings1@sandiego.gov</u> by 5:00pm. on the last day of the appeal period; your email appeal will be acknowledged within 24 business hours. You must separately mail the appeal fee by check payable to the City Treasurer to: City Clerk/Appeal, MS 2A, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101. The appeal filing fee must be United States Postal Service (USPS) postmarked) before or on the final date of the appeal. Please include the project number on the memo line of the check.

2) Appeals filed In-Person: The appeal application can be obtained in the Lobby of the City Administration Building located at 202 'C' Street, San Diego, CA 92101. The completed appeal package must be submitted by 5:00pm on the last day of the appeal period and include the required appeal fee in the form of a check payable to the City Treasurer to: City Clerk/Appeal, MS 2A, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request.

POSTED IN	THE (OF	FI	CE OF	DSD
Posted	NOV	1	4	2022	ne
Removed_	NOV	2	9	2022	
Posted by	My	nal	100	/	

MISSION BEACH PRECISE PLANNING BOARD ("MBPPB") Tuesday, October 18, 2022 @ 6:30 PM Meeting via Zoom (Video) AGENDA

<u>NOTE ON PUBLIC ATTENDANCE</u>: Any member of the public wanting to attend this Zoom conference must email a request to the *Meeting Coordinator* at <u>mbppb.zoom.2022@gmail.com</u> no later than 1 hour prior to the meeting and provide your name and email address to get login information (or, if requested, the telephone dial in number).

*<u>NOTE ON NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: Members of the public who wish to comment on a topic NOT on the Agenda within the Board's purview are asked to contact the Chair at <u>dkwatkns@aol.com</u> prior to the meeting. Comments are subject to time and technological constraints.

OPENING FUNCTIONS

Call to Order, Quorum Count

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

Revisions to October 2022 Agenda September 20, 2022 Meeting via ZOOM Minutes – Modifications and Approval Chair's Report

REPORTS FROM GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS

BUILDING PLAN REVIEW

Action Items:

- Pan Residence; Project No. 698872; Coastal Development Permit for the demolition of an existing 2-level residence and construction of new 1,469 SF 3-level single family residence with an attached garage located at 3812 Bayside Lane. The 0.03-acre site is located in the MBPD-R-N and the Coastal (appealable) Overlay Zone within the Mission Beach Community Plan and Council District 2. Presentation by Tim Golba, Architect at Golba Architecture, Inc.
- 3757 Mission Boulevard; PRJ-1059496; (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit for the demolition or removal of 50 percent or more of the exterior walls of the existing structure (810 Queenstown Court) to allow expansion of adjacent retail space. Work to include the addition of 1,219 square feet to existing 1,710 square-foot retail building for total of 1,989 square feet. The property is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The 0.09-acre site is in the MBPD-NC-N and Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limitation and Parking Impact (Coastal) Zones within the Mission Beach Community Plan area and Council District 2.

In addition, consideration at <u>Applicant's</u> request for a Neighborhood Development Permit (Process 2) to keep previously conforming rights of existing setbacks per SDMC Section 127.0106(b) for the expansion of the structure per SDMC Sections 127.0104(a & b). Presentation by Daniel Linn Architect

*NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT - One minute per speaker for issues NOT on the Agenda and within the purview of the MBPPB. Comments are subject to time and technological constraints. [See: *NOTE above.]

BOARD COMMUNICATIONS

• Liaison Update (ANAC)

ADJOURNMENT

Our next meeting will be held on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>November 15</u>, <u>2022 at 6:30 PM via Zoom Conference</u>. Submit Agenda Items 10 days PRIOR to the scheduled Board meeting to <u>dkwatkns@aol.com</u> for consideration.

MISSION BEACH PRECISE PLANNING BOARD ("MBPPB") Tuesday, October 18, 2022, 2022 @ 6:30 PM Meeting via Zoom (Video) Conference Minutes of Meeting - EXCERPT

Board Members Present:

Rebecca Abbott	Rob Brown	Josh Geller	Gloria Henson
Cindi Stratton	Gernot Trolf	Debbie Watkins	Jenine Whittecar

Absent: Michelle Baron; Dennis Lynch; Bob Semenson; Brian McCarthy

OPENING FUNCTIONS

Meeting was called to order by Chair Debbie Watkins at approximately 6:37 PM, and a quorum was confirmed.

Administrative Items

Revisions to Agenda

Copies of the Agenda for the October 18, 2022 Meeting via Zoom Conference were distributed and reviewed. No changes or additions.

BUILDING PLAN REVIEWS

Action Item:

• **3757 Mission Boulevard; PRJ-1059496;** (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit for the demolition or removal of 50 percent or more of the exterior walls of the existing structure (810 Queenstown Court) to allow expansion of adjacent retail space. Work to include the addition of 1,219 square feet to existing 1,710 square-foot retail building for total of 1,989 square feet. The property is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The 0.09-acre site is in the MBPD-NC-N and Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limitation and Parking Impact (Coastal) Zones within the Mission Beach Community Plan area and Council District 2.

In addition, consideration at <u>Applicant's</u> request for a Neighborhood Development Permit (Process 2) to keep previously conforming rights of existing setbacks per SDMC Section 127.0106(b) for the expansion of the structure per SDMC Sections 127.0104(a & b). Presentation by Daniel Linn Architect

The property owner was present.

Architect Linn (the Applicant) presented a PowerPoint showing a portion of the architectural drawings combining the Hub Liquor store on Mission Boulevard with a residential property located at 810 Queenstown Court (Lot P). The Queenstown Court property was a single-story beach cottage with a sloped roof that was torn down except the front door entrance wall set along a 0' front-yard setback. Mr. Linn informed the group that the City initially gave him a permit for a remodel but later came back and required a Coastal Development Permit (Process 3) for the demolition of 50% or more of the exterior walls of the existing structure along Queenstown Court,

First Review: PRJ-1059496 3757 Mission Boulevard (and 810 Queenstown Court)

which would require that any new structure would have to meet the current regulations and setbacks of the PDO. Later, the Applicant asked the City to consider a Neighborhood Development Permit ("NDP") (Process 2) so the previously conforming 0' setback can be kept for the new building construction on Queenstown Court.

Mr. Linn provided a colorful rendering of the proposed Hub Liquor store frontage along Mission Boulevard. However, there were no renderings presented of the back of the building along Queenstown Court where the commercial building will extend its footprint into the residential area as a two-story cement building with a windowless façade and flat roof. This is the area where the Applicant is requesting consideration of a NDP to be able to keep the previously conforming structure's 0'setback along the southwest side along Queenstown Court. According to the City, the structure that was demolished in the rear would have to maintain at least a 10-ft setback from the wall to the property line along Queenstown Court.

Mr. Linn's digital plans were difficult to decipher the heights of the new flat roof along this southwest corner and at the second floor. His answers were even more confusing. That is why the Board asked for a rendering of the proposed new structure along Queenstown Court.

The MBPPB's Project Reviewer showed several pictures related to the 10' setback required along Queenstown per the Mission Beach PDO requirements and questioned the 0' setback at the front of the structure along Queenstown Court where the remaining pieces of wood would be connected to the Hub Liquor building and roof line.

The next door neighbor stated that a standing water problem existed between the properties and was concerned the new building would worsen the problem by building a solid cement building with a flat roof that would drain off rain with no place to go. Architect Linn stated a permeable ground cover will be added along the property line. The neighbor also asked what that south side of Hub Liquor would look like as one enters Queenstown Court.

In considering the NDP, Chair pointed out that a NDP cannot subvert or override the Land Development code, which includes our PDO regulations. Chair pointed out the *Findings for a NDP Approval under SDMC Section 126.0404(a) (1), (2) & 3* must be met, which this proposed project fails to meet as follows:

(1) Proposed *development* will not adversely affect the applicable *land use plan*.

• "Land Use Plan" means the General Plan and adopted community plans, specific plans, precise plans, and sub-area plans (SDMC Section 113.0103). What this means is that the project needs to follow our PDO regulations as consistently applied since its inception in 1979 and not wander off into other provisions of the Land Development Code and attempt to override our PDO.

(2) The proposed *development* will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

• Air, space, light = PDO objective principals are lacking. Coastal Commission "View Corridor" further obstruction with 0'setback.

(3) The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the land development code including any allowable deviations pursuant to the Land Development Code.

First Review: PRJ-1059496

3757 Mission Boulevard (and 810 Queenstown Court)

• Applicable development must comply with the applicable regulations of the LDC - per our PDO - always demolition of over 50% of existing walls of structure requires new construction building back to the PDO regulations as a new structure. No non-conforming encroachments allowed. Our PDO trumps any and all exceptions -- All setbacks must be adhered to unless a variance is obtained.

Chair thanked all who submitted letters expressing support of the Hub Liquor project and Mr. Ibrahim's generous and kind service to community members. Chair noted that the Board's responsibility is to enforce the Mission Beach PDO regulations so developers and the community can rely on it now and into the future. By subverting the legal requirements of the PDO and Coastal Development Permit process for a NDP that violates required setbacks sets a precedent for other to do the same, which the PDO was established to prevent. Chair expressed hope that the Architect will be amenable to making changes to this project that meets the PDO requirements for the benefit of the community.

At this point, Robin Madaffer the attorney for the owner, and the owner asked to continue the Board's review of this project to the November 15, 2022 meeting so they can answer some of the questions raised at this meeting. Chair agreed to schedule a second review at the Board November 15, 2022 Meeting via Zoom.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion #2 was made by Gernot Trolf and seconded by Rebecca Abbott TO ADJOURN the meeting at 8:12 PM. VOTE: For: 7 Against: 0 Abstain: 0 Motion Passed.

Prepared by Debbie Watkins, Secretary

MISSION BEACH PRECISE PLANNING BOARD ("MBPPB") Tuesday, November 15, 2022 @ 6:30 PM Meeting via Zoom (Video) AGENDA

NOTE ON PUBLIC ATTENDANCE: Any member of the public wanting to attend this Zoom conference must email a request to the *Meeting Coordinator* at <u>mbppb.zoom.2022@gmail.com</u> no later than 1 hour prior to the meeting and provide your name and email address to get login information (or, if requested, the telephone dial in number).

*<u>NOTE ON NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: Members of the public who wish to comment on a topic **NOT** on the Agenda within the Board's purview are asked to contact the Chair at <u>dkwatkns@aol.com</u> prior to the meeting. Comments are subject to time and technological constraints.

OPENING FUNCTIONS

Call to Order, Quorum Count

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

Revisions to November 2022 Agenda October 18, 2022 Meeting via ZOOM Minutes – Modifications and Approval Chair's Report

REPORTS FROM GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS

BUILDING PLAN REVIEW

Action Item:

• Second Review at Applicant's Request: 3757 Mission Boulevard; PRJ-1059496; (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit for the demolition or removal of 50 percent or more of the exterior walls of the existing structure (810 Queenstown Court) to allow expansion of adjacent retail space. Work to include the addition of 1,219 square feet to existing 1,710 square-foot retail building for total of 2,929 square feet. The property is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The 0.09-acre site is in the MBPD-NC-N and Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limitation and Parking Impact (Coastal) Zones within the Mission Beach Community Plan area and Council District 2.

In addition, consideration at <u>Applicant's</u> request for a Neighborhood Development Permit (Process 2) to keep previously conforming rights of existing setbacks per SDMC Section 127.0106(b) for the expansion of the structure per SDMC Sections 127.0104 (a & b). Presentation by Daniel Linn Architect

*NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT - One minute per speaker for issues NOT on the Agenda and within the purview of the MBPPB. Comments are subject to time and technological constraints. [See: *NOTE above.]

BOARD COMMUNICATIONS

• Liaison Update (ANAC)

ADJOURNMENT

Our next meeting will be held on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>January 17</u>, <u>2023</u> at <u>6:30 PM via Zoom Conference</u>. The Board is dark in December. Submit Agenda Items 10 days PRIOR to the scheduled Board meeting to <u>dkwatkns@aol.com</u> for consideration. Mission Beach Precise Planning Board ("MBPPB") Meeting via Zoom PRJ-1059496; 3757 Mission Boulevard & 810 Queenstown Court; CDP and NDP November 15, 2022 Meeting Action Item –Votes Synopsis and Reasoning

ATTACHMENT TO COMMUNITY PLANNING COMMITTEE DISTRIBUTION FORM

PROJECT SCOPE:

• Second Review at Applicant's Request: 3757 Mission Boulevard; PRJ-1059496; (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit for the demolition or removal of 50 percent or more of the exterior walls of the existing structure (810 Queenstown Court) to allow expansion of adjacent retail space. Work to include the addition of 1,219 square feet to existing 1,710 square-foot retail building for total of 2,929 square feet. The property is located at 3757 Mission Boulevard. The 0.09-acre site is in the MBPD-NC-N and Coastal Overlay (Appealable) Zone and Coastal Height Limitation and Parking Impact (Coastal) Zones within the Mission Beach Community Plan area and Council District 2.

In addition, consideration at <u>Applicant's</u> request for a Neighborhood Development Permit (Process 2) to keep previously conforming rights of existing setbacks per SDMC Section 127.0106(b) for the expansion of the structure per SDMC Sections 127.0104 (a & b).

Problems for the MBPPB to consider a Neighborhood Development Permit ("NDP") (Process) 2 for this project at 810 Queenstown Court:

Chapter 12: Land Development Reviews in the SDMC Section 127.0102 (a) General Rules for Previously Conforming Premises and Uses <u>eliminates this project from NDP consideration</u> as follows:

(a) "Previously conforming premises or uses must have been established in compliance with all permit requirements and must have been lawful until a change in the applicable zoning regulation made the premises or uses previously conforming." [Emphasis added.]

According to the City's Senior Planner on this project, the applicant originally submitted plans for a <u>remodel/small expansion for both of the structures on site</u> at 3757 Mission Boulevard and a single-family residence at 810 Queenstown Court. On their plans, it had appeared that it was one structure, so it was signed off as below 50% exterior wall demolition. Later, it was determined that the space in between the two buildings was **never permitted** and it should have been treated as two separate structures. Since the structure in the rear of the property (810 Queenstown Court) had already been demolished more than 50% of the exterior walls, the Coastal Development Permit (Process 3) was required for that. The <u>applicant</u> wanted to keep the 0' setback along Queenstown Court so NDP (Process 2) was added to the permit.

Also, according to the same Senior Planner, the project is limited to addition at the first story level that does not exceed the height of the existing structure within the setback.

Mission Beach Precise Planning Board ("MBPPB") Meeting via Zoom PRJ-1059496; 3757 Mission Boulevard & 810 Queenstown Court; CDP and NDP November 15, 2022 Meeting Action Item –Votes Synopsis and Reasoning

The existing structure within the 0' setback along Queenstown Court will be connected to the Hub Liquor building by exceeding the height of the existing structure within the setback. The south elevation shows a new and raised roof area at the southwest corner to make that roof area match the area behind, which is clearly taller. We believe the area used to be a sloped roof and is now proposed to be a new flat roof that matches the existing taller roof section at the Hub Liquor building.

Even if we consider the Findings for NDP Approval SDMC Section 126.0404(a)(1), (2) & 3, we cannot consider granting a NDP because the project does not meet all of the findings.

- (1) Proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.
- "Land Use Plan" means the General Plan and adopted community plans, specific plans, precise plans, and sub-area plans. SDMC §113.0103.

What this means is that the project needs to follow the Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance ("PDO") regulations as consistently applied since its inception in 1979, and not wander off into other provisions of the Land Development Code and attempt to override our PDO.

"Where there is a conflict between the Land Development Code and the Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance, the Planned District Ordinance applies." SDMC §1513.0104

(2) The proposed *development* will not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

- Air, space, light = PDO objective principals. Coastal Commission "View Corridor" further obstruction caused by the 0' setback along Queenstown Court. Large 2-story commercial building being added with windowless façade along residential court.
- (3) The proposed *development* will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code including any allowable deviations pursuant to the Land Development Code.
- Applicable development must comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code per our PDO always demolition of over 50% of existing walls of structure requires new construction building back to the PDO regulations as a new structure. No non-conforming encroachments allowed. Our PDO trumps any and all exceptions -- All setbacks must be adhered to unless a VARIANCE is obtained. "

Having said this, any vote by our Board to allow a previously conforming structure with a 0' setback would set a precedent and slippery slope for other previously conforming structures to violate the intent of the PDO regulations, which the PDO was established to prevent, and the Coastal Development Permit that requires new construction building back to the PDO regulations as a new structure when demolition or removal of 50 percent or more of the exterior walls of an existing structure occurs to allow expansion of adjacent retail space, as in this case, or any structures with Mission Beach Precise Planning Board ("MBPPB") Meeting via Zoom PRJ-1059496; 3757 Mission Boulevard & 810 Queenstown Court; CDP and NDP November 15, 2022 Meeting Action Item –Votes Synopsis and Reasoning

non-conforming encroachments. The PDO details building regulations/requirements for both commercial properties and residential property in Mission Beach.

Motion: Mission Beach Precise Planning Board takes NO ACTION on this <u>NDP application</u> as this board has not been presented with enough data to properly evaluate the NDP in regards to the previously "conforming" construction that is being retained, expanded and enhanced. Given that the NDP is based on prior construction that does not observe the front yard setback we cannot make the findings to allow an expansion and enhancement of a significantly non-conforming structure. And, to avoid setting a precedent to allow this type of retention, expansion and enhancement of non-conforming setbacks, this board takes no action on this NDP application." **Motion passed. 6-0-2**

Coastal Development Permit ("CDP") (Process 3)

Motion: The Mission Beach Precise Planning voted TO DENY the CDP because the Applicant has failed to meet the setback requirements. Demolition of over 50% of existing walls requires new construction building back to the PDO regulations as a new structure with no non-conforming encroachments allowed. **Motion passed. 7-0-1**

Page 3	City of San I	Diego • Infor	mation Bulletin	620	August 2018
SD	City of San Dieg Development Se 1222 First Ave., M San Diego, CA 92	ervices /IS-302			ity Planning Distribution Form
Project Name: 3757 Mission Boule	evard; 810 Queen	stown Cour	Project Numbe PRJ-1059496	r:	de ser gin vær kontroler ett med verk file fikke ar fast forfaktioner i de som sins i Mo
Community: Miss	sion Beach	aguar habindar tan "gherdarin", raanna a	and have no an an end of the second		ur sand sigil in generalise resources and
For pr	oject scope SEE A	TTACHMEN	FOR INFORMATI	ON	
 Vote to Approv Vote to Approv Vote to Approv Vote to Approv Vote to Deny 	CEE AT		OR INFORMATIO	<mark>N</mark> Below	Date of Vote: 11/15/みみ
# of Members Yes	# c	f Members	No	# of Me	embers Abstain
Conditions or Reco	ommendations:			[
	g., Need further inform	tto "rften full")	
NAME: Debbie Wa	atkins				
TITLE: Chair	n an	and a start of a sequence of a start of the		DATE:	November 17, 2022
	Attach additional	pages if nec	essary (maximum	3 attachi	ments).

0

.

Visit our web site at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u>. Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities. DS-5620 (08-18) ONLINE FORM

ATTACHMENT 8

S	D

City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS 302 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 446-5000

Ownership Disclosure Statement

DS-318

FORM

October 2017

Approval Type: Check appropri Neighborhood Development Tentative Map Uesting Tentative	Permit 🛯 Site 🛛	Development Permit 🗖 Plai	nned Development Permit	Conditional Use F			
Project Title: HUB LIQUOR			Project No	o. For City Use Only	/:		
Project Address: 3757 MISSION BL	VD SAN DIEGO 9210	09					
Specify Form of Ownership/L Corporation B Limited Liabi Partnership I Individual	-		Corporate Identificatio	n No			
By signing the Ownership Discl with the City of San Diego on owner(s), applicant(s), and othe individual, firm, co-partnership with a financial interest in the individuals owning more than officers. (A separate page may ANY person serving as an offi A signature is required of at le notifying the Project Manager ownership are to be given to th accurate and current ownership	the subject project financially inten- optication. If the state of the	perty with the intent to re erested persons of the abo association, social club, frat he applicant includes a cor es. If a publicly-owned cor ecessary.) If any person is of the nonprofit organiza property owners. Attach a in ownership during the ti ger at least thirty days price	cord an encumbrance again we referenced property. A ternal organization, corpora poration or partnership, in poration, include the name a nonprofit organization or ation or as trustee or bene additional pages if needed. If needed, me the application is being or to any public hearing on	nst the property. If financially interestention, estate, trust, r clude the names, ti s, titles, and address a trust, list the name ficiary of the nong Note: The applica g processed or const	Please list below the d party includes any receiver or syndicate tles, addresses of all ses of the corporate hes and addresses of orofit organization. Int is responsible for sidered. Changes in		
Property Owner			-				
Name of Individual: <u>AHR PROPERT</u>		IIM (MANAGING MEMBER)	🗹 Owner	Tenant/Lessee	Successor Agency		
Street Address: 2022 COLINA GRAN	DE						
City: _EL CAJON				State: _CA	Zip:		
Phone No.: 619438279		Fax No.:	Email:				
Signature:	L	•	Date: <u>4/25/2</u>	22			
Additional pages Attached:	□ Yes	X No					
Applicant					•		
Name of Individual:	ENT)		Owner	Tenant/Lessee	Successor Agency		
Street Address: 5732 BELLEVUE AVE					-		
City: _LA JOLLA				State:	Zip:		
Phone No.:		Fax No.:	Email: linna	Email: _linnarch@gmail.com			
Signature:			Date: 4/25/	Date: _4/25/22			
Additional pages Attached:	🗆 Yes	X No					
Other Financially Interested P	ersons						
Name of Individual:			Owner	Tenant/Lessee	Successor Agency		
Street Address:							
City:				State:	Zip:		
Phone No.:		Fax No.:	Email:				
Signature:			Date:				
Additional pages Attached:	🗆 Yes	□ No					

Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u>. Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.

ATTACHMENT 9

			FORM
	City of San Diego Development Services Attn: Deposit Accounts	Deposit Account/Financially	DS-3242
300	(619) 446-5000	Responsible Party	February 2020
Project Address/Loc	ation: BLVD SAN DEGO 92109	Project No.: For CITY USE ONLY Internal Order	No.: For City Use Only
	heck appropriate box for type of	approval requested:	
		sion 🖵 Neighborhood Use 🖉 Coastal 🖵 Neighborhood De	velopment
-		Conditional Use Variance Vesting Tentative Map	(oropinonit
	Map Waiver Other:		
Is the project su	bject to a Reimbursement A	Agreement? INO Yes	
If yes, provide Rei	mbursement Agreement Applic	cation Project Number or Resolution/Ordinance No.:	
view, inspection ar The Financially R invoice when addi	nd/or project management serv esponsible Party will receive a tional deposits are necessary to be processing your project. At t	A deposit into a Trust Fund account with an initial deposit to p ices is required. The initial deposit is drawn against to pay for monthly statement reflecting the charges made against the acc o maintain a minimum balance. The payment of the invoice wi he end of the project, any remaining funds will be returned to	these services. count, and an ll be required
	FINANO	CIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY	
Name/Firm Name:		Address: E-mail:	
AHR PROPERTIE	ES, LLC State:	Zip Code: Telephone: Fax No.:	
EL CAJON	CA	92019 (619) 438-2781	
balance in the trus the account go into This is a contin NOTE: Using an 1. Same I 2. Same I 3. Same o 4. Same p	t account, unless the City of Sa o deficit, all City work may stop uation of existing Project No.: existing opened account may b ocation for both projects; Financially Responsible Party; lecision process (Ministerial an project manager is managing bo	e allowed when: nd discretionary projects may not be combined); oth projects; and	
	nary Review results in a proje d: <i>Billing statements cannot di</i>	ct application. stinguish charges between two different projects.	
Please Print Legi			
Print Name: RANE		Title: MANAGING MEMBER	
	hil		
Signature*:		Date: 04/25/2022	
a corporate offi	cer must sign the declaration	who signs this declaration must be the same. If a corport on (President, Vice-President, Chairman, Secretary or T	ation is listed, reasurer).
		FOR CITY USE ONLY Engineering Inspectio	ns (Public Works)
Project Title:		Date Requested: Discretion:	ary Project (<i>DSD</i>)
		d or 🖵 Use new Project No.:as lead MRB: \$	Other
	ACCO	UNT CLOSURE AUTHORIZATION	
Date Requested:		Completed 🖵 Inactive 📮 Withdrawn	Collections
Print Name:			
<u> </u>		site at www.sandiego.gov/development-services.	
	Upon request, this informatic	on is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.	

GRADING NOTES		SETBACK REQL	JIREMENTS (MB PD	ONC-N)
Total amount of site to be graded Percent of total site graded Area of 25% or greater slope Amount of cut Amount of fill	160 s.f. (foundations only) 4% 0 35cu. yd. (foundations) 0	A FRONT YAR B ALLEY C QUEENSTON D INTERIOR YA		"O" 10' (at new 2 nd floor) 3' (TO 20' ABOVE GRA THEN UNDER A LINE
Max. hgt. of cut slope	0 35 cu. yd (subject to compaction) 0	stairway, combustil	In all storage and co ple liquids are stored	UP AND AWAY FRO nguisher shall be provided or onstruction sheds, in location or used, and where other s
 Trash/recycle cans to be kept in area no There are no easements on the propert Plans have been designed to comply with There are no existing or proposed bus es Exterior mechanical equipment (on roof of Provide building address numbers that a street 	y. n disabled access requirements. stops. only) to be screened	2. Buildings underg to CFC Chap shall be in con 3. Address identif in a location road fronting road and the	pter 33. Welding, cur nformance with CFC lication shall be provid that is plainly visible the property. When building address car	eration, or demolition shall c tting, and other hot work Chapter led for all new and existing b and legible from the street of e access is by way of a priv not be viewed from the pub shall be used to identify the
ENGINEERING IMPROVEMENTS IN THE PUBL DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE REPLACEMENT OR REPAIR OF THE DAMA 2. ANY EXCAVATED MATERIAL THAT IS E LEGAL DISPOSAL SITE IN ACCORDANCE SPECIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CO 2015 EDITION AND REGIONAL SUPPLEMEN REGIONAL STANDARDS COMMITTEE.	REQUIRED PERMITS FOR THE GE SHALL BE OBTAINED. XPORTED SHALL BE TO A WITH STANDARD NSTRUCTION ("GREEN BOOK"), NT AMENDMENTS ADOPTED BY	501.2 and /c 4. Dumpsters and not be store openings or approved spi separated by cubic yard sh similarly prot 5. Open flames, fi	or CFC 505 trash containers exc d in buildings or place combustible roof eave rinkler system or loca y 10 feet from other hall be of non-or limite ected or separated, ire, and burning on all	shall conform to CBC Sect ceeding 1.5 cubic yards shall d within 5 feet of combustik e lines unless protected by c ated in a Type: I or ILA struc structures. Containers larg ed-combustible materials or CFC 304.3 premises is prohibited exce Diego and CFC 308."
3. DRAINAGE SHOWN IS MINIMUM REQUIR 4. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY CONS APPLICANT SHALL ENTER INTO A MAINTE ONGOING PERMANENT BMP MAINTENANC 5. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY CONS APPLICANT SHALL INCORPORATE ANY CO MANAGEMENT PRACTICES NECESSARY DIV. 1 (GRADING REGULATIONS) OF THE SI PLANS OR SPECIFICATIONS. 6. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY CONS	STRUCTION PERMIT, THE NANCE AGREEMENT FOR ANY CE. STRUCTION PERMIT, THE ONSTRUCTION BEST TO COMPLY WITH CH. 14, ART. 2, DMC, INTO THE CONSTRUCTION	NOTE "Buildings shall have identification placed fronting the propert shall be Arabic num 0.5 inch and be a m high for all others." (approved address m in a position that is p y. These numbers sh erals or alphabetical l inimum of 4 inches hi (FJ CBC 501.2 and r	umbers, building numbers or plainly legible and visible from all contrast with their backg letters. Numbers shall have gh for single family dwellings municipal code)
APPLICANT SHALL SUBMIT A WATER POL (WOPC). THE WPCP SHALL BE PREPARED GUIDELINES IN APPENDIX E OF THE CITYS 7. TOPOGRAPHY BASED UPON SURVEY E VERNON FRANCK PLS 7927. DATED MA 8. SEE BMP SHEETS FOR SITE PERMEABIL 9. ANY AND ALL WORK IN THE RIGHT-OF SEPARATE PERMIT(S).	LUTION CONTROL PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STORM WATER STANDARDS. BY METROPOLITAN MAPPING, AY 24, 2019: LITY, NOTES -WAY TO BE DONE UNDER	NOTE: ALL REQUIRE BUILDING IS		E OBTAINED FROM FIRE PLA
 NO GRADING IS PROPOSED (EXCAVA 11. THERE ARE NO PROPOSED PUBLIC OR P EASEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH ANY 12. ALL REQUIRED EMRAS ASSOCIATED W WITHIN A PUBLIC ROW OR OTHER PUBL APPROVED BY THE CITY AND RECORD 13. ALL STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM PRO 	TION ONLY) PRIVATE WATER OR SEWER FACILITIES. (ITH THE WORK TO BE DONE LIC EASEMENT MUST BE ED BY THE COUNTY OPOSED AND/OR REPLACED	SIGN A	REA CALCULAT	10N:
IMPERVIOUS AREAS WILL BE ROUTED LANDSCAPING PRIOR TO REACHING TH NOTE:	HE PUBLIC DRAIN SYSTEM	1. WALL 2. MISSI 3. WEST 4. PROP 5. MAXIN	SIGN ON THE WES ON BLVD. ROW W WALL AREA = 775	ST FRONTAGE (FACING MIDTH = 80
THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL COMPL OF THE CURRENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO MANUAL BEFORE A GRADING OR BUIL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OWNER/DESK THAT THE CURRENT STORM WATER PE STANDARDS ARE INCORPORATED INTO	STORM WATER STANDARDS DING PERMIT IS ISSUED. IT IS 1 GNER/APPLICANT TO ENSURE ERMANENT BMP DESIGN O THE PROJECT.	HE <u>ADA 1</u> 1. F 2. A	NOTES OR ALL ADA REL SEE SHEETS ADA ALL ADA REQUIRE	ATED SITE AND BUILDI
IMPERVIOUS AREAS on PRO. Existing impervious areas (building, slabs Proposed Impervious areas: Difference (decrease): Percentage decrease: 138/	s, walks, etc) 3988 s.f. 3850 s.f. 138 s.f	3. " 9 F 4. "0	AT HAZARDOUS SURFACES SHALL EDERAL STANDA ONLY APPROVED	VEHICULAR AREAS, BE YELLOW CONFO RD 595C. [CBC 11 B-70 D DSA-AC DETECTAB
TOTAL DISTURBED AREA of Lot area = 3988 s.f. Disturbed area = 1250 s,f, = 31% of p (the 1250 s.f. is under the area of th replaced)	property	in a second s	THE CALIFORNIA	SURFACES SHALL BE A CODE OF REGULATIC LE 2, 3 AND 4." (CBC, S
VICINITY MAP		n an	PROJEC	T DATA
			PREMISES: ADD	10VE STRUCTURE ON LOT P, ITION AT GRADE BEHIND RET, NEW WALK-IN COOLER, NEV
GATEWEST ANE		193	APN: 423-585-16,1 LEGAL: LOTS P, Q, 8	17-00 £ R, BLK 187, MISSION BEAC
F MISSION BLY			ZONE: MBPD-NC-N BUILDING AREAG: (S.F EXISTING	LOT AREA: 3988 S.F F.) RETAIL FIRST FL
SOIL E			PROPOSED	D STORAGE SECO STORAGE FIRST EXISTING + PROPOSED
SITE			FAR ALLOWED: 1. FAR PROPOSED: 0	25 (4,985 s.f.)
PACIFIC DCLEDN RUBALISTON	н			MB PDO COASTAL, COAST CDP (PROCESS 3), NDP, DEMO
III coult				ARATE PERMITS) NO GRA 2019 CBC, CPC, CMC, CFC; 20
 PROP "D" AND MAXIMUM HEIGHT ST. THE HIGHEST POINT OF THE ROOF ANTENNA, OR OTHER PROJECTION GRADE." 	, EQUIPMENT, OR ANY VENT PIPE		CONSTRUCTION TYP	PE: VB NON-SPRINKLERED
 GRADE PROP "D" DATUM: = 6.4 THIS PROJECT MUST COMPLY WIT MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF STRUCTURE 	H MINIMUM CODE REQUIREMENT		SPACE USE	S: M (PER CBC 311.1.1 "ACC ED FOR STORAGE PURPOS CY SHALL BE CLASSIFIED ,
HEIGHT SHALL COMPLY WITH PRO CITY OF SAN DIEGO TECH BULLET PARCEL INFORMATION	DP. "D" HEIGHT LIMITS AS OUTLINE		OCCUPANT LOAD: (C	CBC TABLE 1004.5) STORA RETAIL (CE
 BITE DOES NOT CONTAIN ENVIRONMEN BITE IS NOT IN AN HISTORIC DISTRICT BITE IS NOT IN THE FAA PART 77 NOTIFIC GEOLOGICAL HAZARD CATEGORY IS 5 	CATION AREA		MAX ALLOWABLE	NALYSIS (CBC TABLE 506.2): AREA OF A "B" OCUPANCY OF OF SINGLE OCCUPANCY:
PARKING, REQUIRED: 1 SPACE PER 500 S.F. FLO PROPOSED: 6 SPACES : 5 EXISTING REBUILT GARAGE ALONG ALLEY	OOR AREA: 2989/500=5.97 or 6	SPACES CE IN	PER EQUA A (allowed	ATION 5-2, THE ALLOWABLE A (1) = NS (9,000) \times S (2) (allowed IS GREATER THAN PROPOSE

`

,



	DRAWING INDEA	
T P, REMODEL AND ADDITION TO EXISTING RETAIL RETAINED STRUCTURE AND PARTIAL SECOND NEW EXTERIOR SIGNAGE ON BUILDING	SHEET CONTENT T PROJECT DATA SITE PLAN	SHEET CONTENT
BEACH, MAP 1809		
T FLOOR 1710	 A1 FIRST FLOOR PLAN A2 SECOND FOOR PLAN, ROOF PLANS A3 EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS 	
BECOND FLOOR 270 FIRST FLOOR <u>979</u> D TOTAL 2959	A4 EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS A5 BUILDING SECTIONS	
ASTAL HEIGHT LIMIT, BEACH IMPACT PARKING DEMO, BUILDING, SIGN, ROW GRADING PROPOSED		
C; 2019 NEC; CAC TITLE 24, CAGC, SD MC RED (EXISTING CONDITION)		
ACCESSORY STORAGE SPACES": A ROOM OR POSES THAT IS ACCESSORY TO ANOTHER ED AS PART OF THAT OCCUPANCY)		
TORAGE @ 1/300= 1249 (979+270) /300 = 4.26 L (CBC: "MERCANTILE") @ 1/60 = 1710/ 60 = <u>28.5</u> TOTAL = 33 6.2): Y OF TYPE VB NS CONSTRUCTION = 9,000 S.F.		BMP 1 DS-560 and I-4,A BMP 2 DS-570 AND BM
7: BLE AREA = 18,000 S.F. wed stories , max 2) = 18,000 S.F. ALLOWED OSED (2989)		

1. A concrete slab is provided for trash, garbage, and grease container. If walls enclose area, the interior wall surfaces will be smooth, sealed and washable (e.g., plastered smooth and painted, etc.).

- 2. All food-related and utensil-related equipment shall meet or be equivalent to sanitation standards established by an American National Standards Institute (ANSI) accredited program.
- 3. All floor mounted equipment will be installed on minimum 6" sanitary legs, castors, or completely sealed in position on a 4 " high curb with continuously coved base. Countertop equipment will be on 4-inch sanitary legs or sealed to the counter unless readily movable.
- 4. If soft drink, ice or other dispensers are self-service, or if refills are provided they must be push button types, or lever types where the lever contacts the container at least one inch below the rim. 5. Any openable windows vent openings or other similar openings must be provided with tight fitting screens of minimum 16-mesh to the inch. Windows to be fixed at food prep, utensil-washing, open food and utensil storage areas.
- 6. All exterior doors open outward and are self-closing and tight fitting. 7. Bi-fold, French, accordion style and roll-up doors cannot open into the food prep, utensil washing or unpackaged food service areas.
- 8. Toilet room and dressing room doors must be self-closing, tight fitting.
- 9. Delivery doors to have air curtain fans that span the width over the door. The fan must activate via a microswitch providing a minimum velocity of 1600 fpm measured 3 feet above the ground. 10. A minimum of 10 foot-candles of light measured 30" off floor is provided in walk-in refrigerated storage and dry storage rooms and at least 20-foot candles is provided where food is provided for consumer self-service, where fresh produce or prepackaged foods are sold or offered for consumption; inside equipment such as reach-in and under-counter refrigerators; in areas used for
- handwashing, warewashing, equipment and utensil storage, and in toilet rooms. 11. A minimum of 50 foot-candles of light measured 30" off floor is provided when working with food or working with utensils or equipment such as knives, slicers, grinders, or saws where employee safety is a factor and in all areas during periods of cleaning.
- 12. Shattershields for all lights above food preparation, work, and storage areas will be provided. 13. All warewashing sinks to have 3 compartments that are a minimum size of at least 18"x18"x12" deep (or 16"x20"x12" deep) with a minimum 18" drainboard at each end. If against a wall, it must have an 8" integral backsplash. However, it must be capable of accommodating the largest utensil to be washed. A warewashing machine does not substitute for the sink requirement. 14. Sinks to have spout(s) capable of reaching each compartment.
- 15. Food prep sink compartment(s) to be at least 18"x18"x12" deep (or 16"x20"x12" deep) with a minimum 18" drainboard. Separate food prep sinks to be provided for meats and produce.
- 16. The 3 or 4 compartment bar sink to be at least 12"x12"x10" deep (or 10"x14"x10" deep) with a minimum 18" drainboard at each end.
- 17. A separate wet waste dump fixture shall be provided for disposal of drink or waste ice or coffee waste.
- 18. Each handwashing sink must have permanently mounted single-service soap and paper towel dispensers. 19. The hot water heater will be a commercial type capable of constantly supplying hot water at a
- temperature of 120°F to all sinks. In sizing the water heater, the peak hourly demand for all sinks, etc., are added together to determine the minimum required recovery rate. 20. All lavatories or hand sinks will have a combination faucet or premixing faucet capable of supplying water tempered to 100°F. Self-closing or metered faucet to provide at least 15 seconds of water
- without reactivation. 21. All plumbing, electrical and gas lines shall be concealed within the building structure to as great an extent as possible. All exposed conduits, plumbing, etc. shall be installed at least 6" off floor and 3/4" from walls using standoff brackets.
- 22. Conduits, plumbing or piping cannot be installed across any aisle way, traffic area or door opening. 23. Multiple runs or clusters of conduit or pipelines shall be furred in or encased in an approved sealed enclosure.
- 24. All liquid waste shall be drained by means of indirect waste pipes into a floor sink. Floor sinks are to be installed flush with the finished floor surface and have suitable easily removable safety cover grates.
- 25. Floor sink to be 50% exposed when no access is provided for cleaning or be in line with the front face of elevated freestanding equipment. 26. Approved backflow prevention devices shall be properly installed upstream of any potential hazard
- between the potable water supply and a source of contamination. Hoses shall not be attached to a faucet or hose bibb unless an approved backflow preventer is provided. 27. Water supply to carbonators shall be protected by an approved reduced pressure principle
- backflow preventer. The relief valve shall drain indirectly to sewer with a legal air gap. 28. For cleaning floor mats, the janitorial sink to be a minimum 24" by 36" floor-mounted type. Mops
- shall be placed in a position that allows them to air-dry without soiling walls, equipment, or supplies. 29. The jariltorial sink faucet will have a threaded outer lip for hose attachment and an approved backflow prevention device. No chemical dispensing systems or shutoff valves to be attached to mop sink faucet outlet (unless a "sidekick" plumbing device is installed).
- 30. No condensate or wastewater including HVAC will drain into the janitorial sink. 31. Grease trap to be located outside the food service activity area, flush with the finished floor when indoors. Local wastewater district or building department to be contacted for grease removal equirements
- 32. Floor drains shall be installed in floors that are water-flushed for cleaning and in areas where pressure spray methods for cleaning equipment are used, in restrooms, janitorial rooms, sculleries, and at bars with warewashing. Floor surfaces in areas pursuant to this shall be sloped 1:50 to the
- floor drains. 33. Adequate ventilation is to be provided to all toilet rooms, janitor closets with mop sinks, and indoor trash rooms and in dressing/change room(s).
- 34. The floor finish will have a smooth surface under all equipment and walkways will have a light texture only.
- 35. The paint used on walls and ceilings of all kitchen, food preparation, work, and storage areas will be a gloss or semi-gloss enamel. Finish material shall be a light color in food prep areas for easy cleaning
- 36. Prior to installation, samples of finishes to be submitted to Environmental Health for approval as needed.
- 37. Cold storage rooms shall be provided with a section of shelving installed to hold shallow cool down pans -- not to exceed 4" in height. Space between shelving to be at least 8" high. 38. Backup dry storage shelving shall be a minimum of 96 linear feet (measured with tiers) or 25% of
- kitchen, food prep, and work areas, whichever is greater. Shelving shall be at least 18 inches deep and start a minimum six inches off the floor surface.
- 39. Shelving over wet areas (sinks, mop sinks etc.) and food prep surfaces will be metal. 40. All seams, gaps, openings to be properly sealed.

EXIT PATH LENGTH CALC. (SEE ALSO A2) (A)- B 14 14 (DING OF STOLE) B-C $\bigcirc \rightarrow \oslash$ NCL. (DING OF GTMR) 14 $\bigcirc \rightarrow \textcircled{}$ **B_E** 15' \$-\$ 167' & 75' :. OK BXIT



14 8

B.

(AB)

(A3)





PREPARED BY:	DANIEL LINN ARCHITECT
	5732 BELLEVUE AVE.
	LA JOLLA, CA.
PROJECT ADDRESS:	
	SAN DIEGO, CA. 92109 3 LIQUOR REMODEL AND ADDITION
SHEFT TITLE SELS	nd flood & ROOF PLANS
ORIGINAL DATE:	
PROJECT NUMBER	~
SHEET 3 OF 1.10	
PENGON 1	REVISION 2
REVISION 1 REVISION 3	REVISION 4

	 REVISIO
-	 REVISI
ana si sala misana ana ana ana ang	



Jo 35.42

,	EL	EVATION LEGEND (typ.)		
÷	À	SIDING: Acrylic stucco with "medium" sand finished, color per owner, min 7/8" thick	з. 	mΕ
	B	Aluminum break metal wrap "Kynar", color by owner typ.	CO O	8108 ail.con
		SIDING: 1 x 6 wood siding, "nickel gap" blind-nail installation, install over building paper per mfg. Color/finish per owner		<u>р</u> дтс
	D	STUCCO JOINT: Aluminum reglet per detail	2	4.0
ar ,	E	CANOPY: Galv. Steel per details	Ø	100 100
	F	ENTRY CANOPY: Aluminum break metal wrap, color per owner		α) ⊑
	G	SIGNAGE: Backlit (LED) aluminum letters (SEP. SIGN PERMIT)		enue 037
	H	DISABLED PARKING SIGNAGE: Reinstall signage on refinished wall		0 D G K
				Vue

TTIPLI

ZND FL, 0 TRM T.P. F 0 19TEL -----

T. SUDB/FF, - 5.87'

PREPARED BY: DANIEL LINN ARCHITECT 5732 BELLEVUE AVE. LA JOLLA CA. PROJECT ADDRESS: 3757 MISSION BLVD SAN DIEGO, CA. 92109 PROJECT TITLE: HUB LIQUOR REMODEL AND ADDITION SHEET TITLE: DATE: PROJECT NUMBER: SHEET DIECT

REVISION 1 ______ REVISION 2 _____ REVISION 3 _____ REVISION 4 _____

Danie 5732 bellev la jolla ca © copyright 202 \cap (\mathbf{X}) analetanettik tetestisteenenti \mathbf{U} And a second \Box REV 3757 SAN and the second s 6321 $\Delta e |a| 22$



A3





STORM WATER QUALITY NOTES - CONSTRUCTION BMPs

This project shall comply with all current requirements of the State Permit; California Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB), San Diego Municipal Storm Water Permit, The City of San Diego Land Development Code, and the Storm Water Standards Manual.

PRIOR TO ANY SOIL DISTURBANCE, TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS SHALL BE INSTALLED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED PERSON(S) AS INDICATED BELOW:

1. ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO "STORM WATER STANDARDS MANUAL" MUST BE INCORPORATED INTO THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED GRADING/IMPROVEMENTS CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) AND/OR WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN (WPCP) FOR CONSTRUCTION LEVEL BMPS AND, IF APPLICABLE, THE STORM WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWQMP) FOR POST-CONSTRUCTION BMPS.

2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL AND MAINTAIN ALL STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION. INLET PROTECTION IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY MUST BE TEMPORARILY REMOVED PRIOR TO A RAIN EVENT TO ENSURE NO FLOODING OCCURS AND REINSTALLED AFTER RAIN IS OVER.

3. ALL CONSTRUCTION BMPS SHALL BE INSTALLED AND PROPERLY MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION.

4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ONLY GRADE, INCLUDING CLEARING AND GRUBBING, AREAS FOR WHICH THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED CONTACT PERSON CAN PROVIDE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.

5. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT ALL SUB-CONTRACTORS AND SUPPLIERS ARE AWARE OF ALL STORM WATER BMPS AND IMPLEMENT SUCH MEASURES. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE APPROVED SWPPP/WPCP WILL RESULT IN THE ISSUANCE OF CORRECTION NOTICES, CITATIONS, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND/OR STOP WORK NOTICES.

6. THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED CONTACT PERSON SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CLEANUP OF ALL SILT, DEBRIS, AND MUD ON AFFECTED AND ADJACENT STREET(S) AND WITHIN STORM DRAIN SYSTEM DUE TO CONSTRUCTION VEHICLES/EQUIPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AT THE END OF EACH WORK DAY.

7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT NEW AND EXISTING STORM WATER CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS FROM SEDIMENTATION, CONCRETE RINSE, OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION-RELATED DEBRIS AND DISCHARGES WITH THE APPROPRIATE BMPS THAT ARE ACCEPTABLE TO THE CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER AND AS INDICATED IN THE SWPPP/WPCP

8. THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED CONTACT PERSON SHALL CLEAR DEBRIS, SILT, AND MUD FROM ALL DITCHES AND SWALES PRIOR TO AND WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER EACH RAIN EVENT OR PRIOR TO THE NEXT RAIN EVENT, WHICHEVER IS SOONER.

9. IF A NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGE LEAVES THE SITE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY STOP THE ACTIVITY AND REPAIR THE DAMAGES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER OF THE DISCHARGE, PRIOR TO RESUMING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY. ANY AND ALL WASTE MATERIAL, SEDIMENT, AND DEBRIS FROM EACH NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGE SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE STORM DRAIN CONVEYANCE SYSTEM AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF BY THE CONTRACTOR.

10. EQUIPMENT AND WORKERS FOR EMERGENCY WORK SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES. ALL NECESSARY MATERIALS SHALL BE STOCKPILED ONSITE AT CONVENIENT LOCATIONS TO FACILITATE RAPID DEPLOYMENT OF CONSTRUCTION BMPS WHEN RAIN IS IMMINENT.

11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RESTORE AND MAINTAIN ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO WORKING ORDER YEAR-ROUND.

12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ADDITIONAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES DUE TO UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES TO PREVENT NON-STORM WATER AND SEDIMENT-LADEN DISCHARGES.

13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE AND SHALL TAKE NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO PREVENT PUBLIC TRESPASS ONTO AREAS WHERE IMPOUNDED WATERS CREATE A HAZARDOUS CONDITION.

14. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES PROVIDED PER THE APPROVED SWPPP/WPCP SHALL BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS FOR INTERIM CONDITIONS SHALL BE PROPERLY DOCUMENTED AND INSTALLED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER.

15. AS NECESSARY, THE CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER SHALL SCHEDULE MEETINGS FOR THE PROJECT TEAM (GENERAL CONTRACTOR, QUALIFIED CONTACT PERSON, EROSION CONTROL SUBCONTRACTOR IF ANY, ENGINEER OF WORK, OWNER/DEVELOPER, AND THE CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER) TO EVALUATE THE ADEQUACY OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND OTHER BMPS RELATIVE TO ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.

16. THE CONTRACTOR OR QUALIFIED CONTACT PERSON SHALL CONDUCT VISUAL INSPECTIONS AND MAINTAIN ALL BMPS DAILY AND AS NEEDED. VISUAL INSPECTIONS AND MAINTENANCE OF ALL BMPS SHALL BE CONDUCTED BEFORE, DURING, AND AFTER EVERY RAIN EVENT AND EVERY 24 HOURS DURING ANY PROLONGED RAIN EVENT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN AND REPAIR ALL BMPS AS 500N AS POSSIBLE AS SAFETY ALLOWS.

17. CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE AND EXIT AREA. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE AND EXITS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CASQA FACT SHEET TC-10R CALTRANS FACT SHEET TC-01 TO PREVENT TRACKING OF SEDIMENT AND OTHER POTENTIAL POLLUTANTS ONTO PAVED SURFACES AND TRAVELED WAYS. WIDTH SHALL BE 10' OR THE MINIMUM NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT WITHOUT BY-PASSING THE ENTRANCE. (a) NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES SHALL BE EFFECTIVELY MANAGED PER THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 4, ARTICLE 3, DIVISION 3 "STORM WATER MANAGEMENT AND DISCHARGE CONTROL".

archite(58...459 A1) d) **S** m D i _oģ- \mathbf{O} Č **G** m \square N DO -----X -----

 \overline{O}

Ю Д Д д г

6/3/21

 \mathbf{O}

Construction of the local division of the lo

Q m m

A4

PREPARED BY:

ORIGINAL DATE: PROJECT NUMBER: SHEET 7. ... OF 10

REVISION 1_ -----REVISION 3 _____ REVISION 4 _____

5732 BELLEVUE AVE LA JOLLA, CA. PROJECT ADDRESS: 3757 MISSION BLVD SAN DIEGO, CA. 92109 PROJECT TITLE: HUB LIQUOR REMODEL AND ADDITION SHEET TITLE: POT, ELEVATORIS

REVISION 2

DANIEL LINN ARCHITECT





FORM

Sentember 20

Stormwater Requirements Applicability Checklist DS-560

Project Address: 3767 M1691A1 PLND. S.D. 92109 Project Number: 069241

SECTION 1: Construction Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMP) Requirements All construction sites are required to implement construction BMPs per the performance standards in the Stormwater Standards Manual. Some sites are also required to obtain coverage under the State Construction General Permit (CGP)¹, administered by the

California State Water Resources Control Board. For all projects, complete Part A - If the project is required to submit a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) or Water

Pollution Control Plan (WPCP), continue to Part B. PART A - Determine Construction Phase Stormwater Requirements

- 1. Is the project subject to California's statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activities, also known as the State Construction General Permit (CGP)? (Typically projects with land disturbance greater than or equal to 1 acre.) O Yes, SWPPP is required; skip questions 2-4. O No; proceed to the next question.
- 2. Does the project propose construction or demolition activity, including but not limited to, clearing, grading, grubbing, excavation, or any other activity resulting in ground disturbance and/or contact with stormwater? O No; proceed to the next question.
- **(** Yes, WPCP is required; skip questions 3-4. 3. Does the project propose routine maintenance to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of

the facility? (Projects such as pipeline/utility replacement) No; proceed to the next question. O Yes, WPCP is required; skip question 4.

4. Does the project only include the following Permit types listed below?

- Electrical Permit, Fire Alarm Permit, Fire Sprinkler Permit, Plumbing Permit, Sign Permit, Mechanical Permit,
- Spa Permit. Individual Right of Way Permits that exclusively include only ONE of the following activities: water service, sewer lateral,
- or utility service. Right of Way Permits with a project footprint less than 150 linear feet that exclusively include only ONE of the following activities: curb ramp, sidewalk and driveway apron replacement, potholing, curb and gutter replacement, and retaining wall encroachments.

Yes, no document is required.

Check one of the boxes below and continue to Part B

- O If you checked "Yes" for question 1, an SWPPP is REQUIRED continue to Part B lf you checked "No" for question 1 and checked "Yes" for question 2 or 3, a WPCP is REQUIRED. If the project
- proposes less than 5,000 square feet of ground disturbance AND has less than a 5-foot elevation change over the entire project area, a Minor WPCP may be required instead. Continue to Part B
- O If you check "No" for all questions 1-3 and checked "Yes" for question 4, Part B does not apply, and no document is required. Continue to Section 2.

City of San Diego • Form DS-560 • September 2021

PART B – Determine Construction Site Priority

This prioritization must be completed within this form, noted on the plans, and included in the SWPPP or WPCP. The city reserves the right to adjust the priority of projects both before and after construction. Construction projects are assigned an inspection frequency based on if the project has a "high threat to water quality." The City has aligned the local definition of "high threat to water quality" to the risk determination approach of the State Construction General Permit (CGP). The CGP determines risk level based on project specific sediment risk and receiving water risk. Additional inspection is required for projects within the Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS) watershed. NOTE: The construction priority does NOT change construction BMP requirements that apply to projects; rather, it determines the frequency of inspections that will be conducted by city staff.

Complete Part B and continue to Section 2

- 🗌 1. ASBS
- A. Projects located in the ASBS watershed.
- 2. High Priority
 - A. Projects that qualify as Risk Level 2 or Risk Level 3 per the Construction General Permit (CGP) and are not located in the
 - ASBS watershed. B. Projects that qualify as LUP Type 2 or LUP Type 3 per the CGP and are not located in the ASBS watershed.

3. Medium Priority

- A. Projects that are not located in an ASBS watershed or designated as a High priority site.
- B. Projects that qualify as Risk Level 1 or LUP Type 1 per the CGP and are not located in an ASBS watershed. C. WPCP projects (>5,000 square feet of ground disturbance) located within the Los Peñasquitos watershed management area.

4. Low Priority A. Projects not subject to a Medium or High site priority designation and are not located in an ASBS watershed.

Section 2: Construction Stormwater BMP Requirements

Additional information for determining the requirements is found in the Stormwater Standards Manual.

PART C - Determine if Not Subject to Permanent Stormwater Requirements

Projects that are considered maintenance or otherwise not categorized as "new development projects" or "redevelopment projects" according to the Stormwater Standards Manual are not subject to Permanent Stormwater BMPs.

- If "yes" is checked for any number in Part C: Proceed to Part F and check "Not Subject to Permanent Stormwater BMP
- Requirements."
- If "no" is checked for all the numbers in Part C: Continue to Part D.
- 1. Does the project only include interior remodels and/or is the project entirely within an existing enclosed structure and does not have the potential to contact stormwater?

🔿 Yes 🛛 😣 No

- 2. Does the project only include the construction of overhead or underground utilities without creating new impervious surfaces? 🔿 Yes 💿 No
- 3. Does the project fall under routine maintenance? Examples include but are not limited to roof or exterior structure surface replacement, resurfacing or reconfiguring surface parking lots or existing roadways without expanding the impervious footprint, and routine replacement of damaged pavement (grinding, overlay and pothole repair). 🔿 Yes 🛛 💿 No

City of San Diego • Form DS-560 • September 2021

Page 3

PART D - PDP Exempt Requirements

THE CITY OF SALE DISGO

Page 2

PDP Exempt projects are required to implement site design and source control BMPs.

• If "yes" is checked for any questions in Part D, continue to Part F and check the box labeled "PDP Exempt." • If "no" is checked for all questions in Part D, continue to Part E.

- 1. Does the project ONLY include new or retrofit sidewalks, bicycle lanes, or trails that: Are designed and constructed to direct stormwater runoff to adjacent vegetated areas, or other non-erodible permeable
- areas? Or; • Are designed and constructed to be hydraulically disconnected from paved streets and roads? Or; Are designed and constructed with permeable pavements or surfaces in accordance with the Green Streets guidance in the City's Stormwater Standards manual?
- O Yes, PDP exempt requirements apply O No, proceed to next question

2. Does the project ONLY include retrofitting or redeveloping existing paved alleys, streets or roads designed and constructed in accordance with the Green Streets guidance in the City's Stormwater Standards Manual?

O Yes, PDP exempt requirements apply O No, proceed to next question

PART E – Determine if Project is a Priority Development Project (PDP)

Projects that match one of the definitions below are subject to additional requirements, including preparation of a Stormwater Quality Management Plan (SWQMP).

- If "yes" is checked for any number in Part E, continue to Part F and check the box labeled "Priority Development Project." • If "no" is checked for every number in Part E, continue to Part F and check the box labeled "Standard Development Project."
- 1. New development that creates 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces collectively over OYes ONO the project site. This includes commercial, industrial, residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public or private land.
- 2. Redevelopment project that creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious OYes ONo surfaces on an existing site of 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces. This includes commercial, industrial, residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public or private land.
- 3. New development or redevelopment of a restaurant. Facilities that sell prepared foods and beverages OYes ONe for consumption, including stationary lunch counters and refreshment stands selling prepared foods and drinks for immediate consumption (Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) 5812), and where the land development creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface.
- 4. New development or redevelopment on a hillside. The project creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet O Yes O No or more of impervious surface (collectively over the project site) and where the development will grade on any natural slope that is twenty-five percent or greater.
- 5. New development or redevelopment of a parking lot that creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet OYes ONO or more of impervious surface (collectively over the project site).
- 6. New development or redevelopment of streets, roads, highways, freeways, and driveways. The 🔿 Yes 🎯 No project creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the project site).

City o	f San Diego • Form DS-560 • September 2021	Page	4
7.	New development or redevelopment discharging directly to an environmentally sensitive area. The project creates and/or replaces 2,500 square feet of impervious surface (collectively over the project site), and discharges directly to an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA). "Discharging directly to" includes flow that is conveyed overland a distance of 200 feet or less from the project to the ESA, or conveyed in a pipe or open channel any distance as an isolated flow from the project to the ESA (i.e. not commingled with flows from adjacent lands).	OYes	🖲 No
8.	New development or redevelopment projects of retail gasoline outlet (RGO) that create and/or replaces 5,000 square feet of impervious surface. The development project meets the following criteria: (a) 5,000 square feet or more or (b) has a projected Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of 100 or more vehicles per day.	OYes	● No
9,	New development or redevelopment projects of an automotive repair shop that creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces. Development projects categorized in any one of Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes 5013, 5014, 5541, 7532-7534 or 7536-7539.	O Yes	⊘ No
10.	Other Pollutant Generating Project. These projects are not covered in any of the categories above but involve the disturbance of one or more acres of land and are expected to generate post-construction phase pollutants, including fertilizers and pesticides. This category does not include projects creating less than 5,000 square feet of impervious area and projects containing landscaping without a requirement for the regular use of fertilizers and pesticides (such as a slope stabilization project using native plants). Impervious area calculations need not include linear pathways for infrequent vehicle use, such as emergency maintenance access or bicycle and pedestrian paths if the linear pathways are built with pervious surfaces or if runoff from the pathway sheet flows to adjacent pervious areas.	O Yes	• No
ART	F – Select the appropriate category based on the outcomes of Part C through Part E		
1.	The project is NOT SUBJECT TO PERMANENT STORMWATER REQUIREMENTS	OYes	O No
2.	The project is a STANDARD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT . Site design and source control BMP requirements apply. See the <u>Stormwater Standards Manual</u> for guidance.	• Yes	O No
3.	The Project is PDP EXEMPT . Site design and source control BMP requirements apply. Refer to the Stormwater Standards Manual for guidance.	OYes	O No

4. The project is a **PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT**. Site design, source control and structural pollutant OYes ONo control BMP requirements apply. Refer to the Stormwater Standards Manual for guidance on determining if the project requires hydromodification plan management.

DANIEL LININ Agent Name of Owner or Agent ARCHITECT Title

Signature

Date 10/1/21

S-handeral Tamplat

Appendiz	x A: Submit	nal Ten	plates
Source Control BMP C	herklist		
for Standard I		Form	n I-4A
All development projects must implement source control BMPs. Refe	r to Chapte	r 4 and	
Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual for information to implement BM	APs shown i	n this cl	necklist.
Note: All selected BMPs must be shown on the construction plans.	· ·.		
Source Control Requirement		Applied	h
4.2.1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	YYes .	No	
4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	Yes	No	XN/A
4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run- On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	X Yes	No	
4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	Yes		
4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff,	Yes	□ No	
and Wind Dispersal			******
4.2.6 BMPs based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	and the second		distanting .
On-site storm drain inlets	Yes	No	S N/A
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	Yes	No	N/A
Interior parking garages	Yes	No	N/A
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	Yes	No	
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use	XYes	No	N/A
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	Yes	No	XN/A
Food service	Yes	No	MN/A
Refuse areas	Yes	No	
Industrial processes	Yes	No	N/A
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	Yes	No	XN/A
Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	Yes	No	XIN/A
Fuel Dispensing Areas	Yes	No	ØN/A
Loading Docks	Yes	No	XN/A
Fire Sprinkler Test Water	Yes	No	XN/A
Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water	Yes	No	10 N/A
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	Yes	No	
SC-6A: Large Trash Generating Facilities	Ýes	No	DAN/A
CC CD: Animal Facilities	Tyes	ΠNO	EN/

SC-6C: Plant Nurseries and Garden Centers SC-6D: Automotive Facilities

Discussion / justification for all "No" answers shown above:

The City of San Diego | Storm Water Standards Form I-4A | January 2018 Edition

SC-6B: Animal Facilities

Site Design BM for Standa	ird Proj	ects		n I-5A
All development projects must implement site design BMPs. Refer of the BMP Design Manual for information to implement BMPs Note: All selected BMPs must be shown on the construction plans.	to Chapt shown i	er 4 a in this	nd Appe checklis	ndix Ę it.
Site Design Requirement		Bernericanswinister	Applied	And the second
4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic	X	Yes	No	L]N/A
Features			- Battering	
4.3.2 Conserve Natural Areas, Soils, and Vegetation		Yes	No	N/A
4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area	True to the second s	Yes	No	
4.3.4 Minimize Soil Compaction	Department	Yes	No	N/A
4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion	X	Yes	No	N/A
4.3.6 Runoff Collection		Yes	No	N/A
4.3.7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	Σ	Yes	No	
4.3.8 Harvest and Use Precipitation		Yes	No	XIN/A

Discussion / justification for all ino answers since Mr

> PREPARED BY: PROJECT ADDRESS: 3757 MISSION BLVD

DANIEL LINN ARCHITECT 5732 BELLEVUE AVE. LA JOLLA, CA. 5AN DIEGO, CA. 92109

SDy

PROJECT TITLE: HUB LIQUOR REMODEL AND ADDITION SHEET TITLE: DS-56061-45A ORIGINAL DATE: PROJECT NUMBER:_ SHEET 9 OF 12 REVISION 1 _____ REVISION 2 _____ REVISION 3 _____ REVISION 4 _____

Daniel Linnachitact5732 bellevue avenue858.45981086 sopright 20 all rights reserved .until the cows come home	
HUB LIQUOR REMODEL AND ADDITION 3757 MISSION BOULEVARD SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA 92109	
DATE REVISIONS A A A A A A A A A A A A A	