

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Report to the Historical Resources Board

DATE ISSUED:	April 15, 2021	REPORT NO. HRB-21-024
ATTENTION:	Historical Resources Board Agenda of April 22, 2021	
SUBJECT:	ITEM #2 – Certified Local Government Annual Rep	oort 2019-2020
APPLICANT:	City of San Diego, Development Services and Plannin	g Departments
LOCATION:	Citywide	
DESCRIPTION:	Consider the Draft Annual Report for transmittal to the Preservation to meet the City's Certified Local Goverr to the Mayor and City Council to meet the Municipal requirements.	nment (CLG) responsibilities and

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Direct staff to forward the Annual Report to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the San Diego Mayor and City Council or revise the Annual Report and forward as appropriate.

BACKGROUND

This item is being brought before the Historical Resources Board in conjunction with the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities. The Annual Report for 2020 also satisfies the requirement for an annual report to be transmitted from the HRB to the Mayor and City Council in accordance with Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7). One of the responsibilities of a CLG is to prepare an Annual Report for the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) summarizing the work of the Board during the reporting period. The report utilizes a standard format for all CLGs and requires an accounting of the Board and staff activities throughout the state's fiscal year (October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020). The Annual Report format was provided by the Office of Historic Preservation and cannot be altered resulting in pagination, tables, and text on different pages and a number of different fonts. Since the Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7) does not specify the period of time covered in the annual report to the Mayor and City Council, staff is utilizing the state's reporting period for that report, as well.

ANALYSIS

The attached document is a draft of the Annual Report that has been prepared by staff. Boardmembers should offer their insight and provide comment to staff regarding any additional information and issues that would be appropriate to include in the final report.

The organization of the annual CLG report corresponds directly to the five CLG requirement areas: ordinance, commission, survey, public participation, and state requirements. In addition to this information, OHP requests a summary of local preservation programs. The National Park Service (NPS) reporting has also been incorporated into the annual CLG report in Section IV. The 2009 baseline report to NPS included 17,038 historic properties in the City's inventory prior to September 30, 2008, with an additional 2,029 properties added by 2019 and 40 added this past year to equal a historic resources inventory of 19,107 properties.

During the current reporting period, the HRB designated 40 new individually significant properties. This year saw a record high for Mills Act enrollment. One hundred and sixteen new contracts were completed during this period, compared to 108 new contracts in the last reporting period. The physical closure of the Development Services Department due to COVID caused significant challenges to staff; however, the number of project reviews decreased only slightly with a total of 3,563 reviews completed during the reporting period, compared to 3,719 in the previous reporting period and 3,629 in 2017-2018.

The most critical preservation planning issue facing the City continues to be the renewed development pressure on historic and potentially historic resources. With a steadily improving economy and increase in permit activity City-wide, staff has noted an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for properties over 45 years in age, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that are consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee also continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose of historic preservation.

Our single greatest accomplishment during the reporting period was the successful processing of a record high number of Mills Act contracts. The designation of new historic districts within the previous years as well as the designation of a large condominium complex; created a major increase in applications to the program. As large numbers of properties are designated through the historic district program, staff only expects these numbers to grow in the upcoming years.

The following historic preservation goals have been identified for the 2020-2021 reporting period:

- Complete the processing of the Kearney Mesa Community Plan Update (CPU) and associated Historic Context Statement and Historic Preservation Element through adoption by the City Council; and prepare the Historic Context Statement and Historic Preservation Element for the Clairemont CPU for the public hearing process.
- 2. Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.

- 3. Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 4. Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.
- 5. Complete processing of two historic districts and prepare two additional historic districts for the public hearing process.
- 6. Work with developers and property owners to increase the number of housing units on potentially historic and individually designated parcels as well as within historic districts while still maintaining consistency with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.
- 7. Provide more services to developers and property owners through new virtual portals.

CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that the Board review the information attached, provide input, and approve the report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the Mayor and City Council.

Suzanne Segur Senior Planner

SS/ss

Attachment: Draft CLG Annual Report 2019-2020 (without attachments)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: Historical Resources Board and City Staff

Date of commission/board review: April 22, 2021

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. *REMINDER*: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. No amendments or revisions to the City's historic preservation program were processed or considered within the reporting period.
- 2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division02.pdf</u>

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance, HPOZ, etc.)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2019 – September 30, 2020, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Alan LeMay's Gopher Gulch by William Wahrenberger 2166 Pine Street	10/24/2019		12/9/2019
Harry Gregg/William Sterling Hebbard House 140 West Thorn Street	10/24/2019		12/9/2019
The Lewis and Annie Dodge/Charles Salyers/Dodge Construction Spec House #2 4624 Granger Street	10/24/2019		12/9/2019
H.B. and Helen Silvey House 2506 33 rd Street	10/24/2019		12/9/2019
Laura Slemmons Spec House #1 3853 8 th Avenue	11/21/2019		1/31/2020
Genevieve Howard House 1025 Devonshire Drive	11/21/2019		1/31/2020
Dr. Asa and Agnes Churchill Spec House 3481 Cooper Street	11/21/2019		1/31/2020
Lloyd and Raymona Swortwood/ Carl Rogers House 2311 Via Siena	11/21/2019		1/31/2020
The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company Garage 660 10 th Avenue	11/21/2019		1/31/2020
A.L. and Margaret Horton Spec House #1 2453 Curlew Street	1/23/2020		On Appeal
Asa and Ora Casady House 3935 Georgia Street	1/23/2020		6/5/2020

Certified Local Government Program -- 2019-2020 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Ida Kuhn Spec House #1 3450 Kite Street	1/23/2020	6/5/2020
Gaslamp Quarter Historic District Contributor 340 5 th Avenue	2/27/2020	Designation Overturned by the City Council
Margarette Meyers/William Lumpkins House 9565 La Jolla Farms Road	2/27/2020	6/5/2020
Fred and Anne Payne/Dennstedt Company House 4875 East Adler Drive	2/27/2020	6/5/2020
Howard and Jewel Morin/Dennstedt Company House 1411 Torrance Street	2/27/2020	6/5/2020
Richard and Olivia Ledbetter/Kendrick Bangs Kellogg House 3545 Inez Street	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Nelson Larson House 4350 Hermosa Way	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Kate O. Sessions/William Templeton Johnson Spec House 4154 Lark Street	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Frank and Ada Melcher Spec House #1 1736 W Montecito Way	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Rev. Nassau and Estelle Stephens/Thomas Shepard House 1802 Amalfi Street	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
A.W. Woods Spec House #1 1674 Torrance Street	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Nora Connell/Allen Hilton Spec House #1 4030 North Hempstead Circle	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Caroline Reynolds House 4680 West Talmadge Drive	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Lt Cdr Donald and Maj Joyce Schmock/Sim Bruce Richards House 7345 Remley Place	6/25/2020	8/11/2020
Max and Louise Gross/Russell Forester House 5911 Folsom Drive	7/23/2020	9/25/2020
Louis and Frances Stroud/Thomas Shepherd Residence 211 Avenida Cortez	7/23/2020	9/25/2020
Col O.H.B and Gladys Trenchard/Cliff May House 6126 Avenida Cresta	7/23/2020	9/25/2020

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Board of Education Warehouse Building	8/27/2020	On Appeal
2101 Commercial Street		
Dorothy and Harriet Cottages	8/27/2020	11/6/2020
825-827 Coast Boulevard		
Dr. Francis and Florence Mead House	8/27/2020	11/4/2020
3330 6 th Avenue		
John and Sarah Sinks House	8/27/2020	11/4/2020
3340 6 th Avenue		
Elizabeth Mills Spec House #1	8/27/2020	11/4/2020
4397 Piedmont Drive		
Charles and Leanna Kern House	8/27/2020	11/4/2020
4079 Falcon Street		
Ray and Clytie Kahler/Dennstedt Company House	8/27/2020	11/4/2020
4885 Hart Drive		
Frederick Thomas House	9/24/2020	On Appeal
540 Thorn Street		
Helen Bradish Spec House #1 and Florence Hotel Carriage House	9/24/2020	On Appeal
2004 4 th Avenue and 328 Grape Street		
Arthur and Catherine Welcome House	9/24/2020	Pending
3204 Felton Street		
Cherokee Apartments	9/24/2020	Pending
3629 Front Street		
Mission Hills Branch Public Library	9/24/2020	On Appeal
925 W Washington Street		

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
None		Click or tap here to enter text.

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

Do you address historic preservation in your general plan?
 ☑ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.

□ Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan or to the separate historic preservation element. <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf</u>

□ No

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

□ All projects subject to design review go the commission.

Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources. Historical Resources staff reviews and approves minor modifications to historical resources that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a project as a minor modification or if the DAS review concludes that a project is consistent with the Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review and comment.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all Section 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National Register eligible resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design Assistance Subcommittees and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Architect	08/04/2017	03/01/2018	andrewbowen@cox.net
History	04/26/2017	03/01/2019	dianacordileone@pointloma.edu
Fine Arts/Law	07/16/2016	03/01/2018	courtcoyle@aol.com
Real Estate/Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	ash@petersonprice.com
Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	thutter@allenmatkins.com
Landscape Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	david@mlasd.com
Landscape Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	tdpitman@ucsd.edu
Archaeologist	04/26/2017	03/01/2019	c.stankowski@att.net
Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	Mwinter2000@gmail.com
Architectural History	11/12/2009	03/01/2017	acwoods@ucsd.edu
	History Fine Arts/Law Real Estate/Law Law Landscape Architect Landscape Architect Archaeologist Architect	History04/26/2017Fine Arts/Law07/16/2016Real Estate/Law07/19/2016Law07/19/2016Landscape Architect07/19/2016Landscape Architect07/19/2016Archaeologist04/26/2017Architect07/19/2016	History 04/26/2017 03/01/2019 Fine Arts/Law 07/16/2016 03/01/2018 Real Estate/Law 07/19/2016 03/01/2019 Law 07/19/2016 03/01/2018 Landscape Architect 07/19/2016 03/01/2019 Landscape Architect 07/19/2016 03/01/2019 Archaeologist 04/26/2017 03/01/2019 Architect 07/19/2016 03/01/2019

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. Type here.
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? The HRB currently has one vacancy and one termed-out position. The Mayor's office and CLG staff are actively recruiting knowledgeable individuals to fill these positions. The termed-out Boardmember will continue to serve until they are replaced. All of the members are awaiting reappointments, this will occur as soon as the Mayor's office can schedule it before the City Council.

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No If not, who serves as staff? <u>Click or</u> <u>tap here to enter text.</u>
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? Type here.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2019-2020 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Shannon Anthony Associate Planner (6/2018 to Present)	Planner Preservation Planning Section		SAnthony@sandiego.gov
Megan Bacik Junior Planner (1/2019 to Present)	History	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	MBacik@sandiego.gov
Emma Haggerty Assistant Planner (8/2018 to Present)	Planning/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	EHaggerty@sandiego.gov
Jamie Kennedy Senior Planner (7/2019 to 2/2020)	Environmental Planning	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	JMKennedy@sandiego.gov
Lea Kolesky Management Intern (10/2018 to 1/2019)	Management Intern	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	LKolesky@sandiego.gov
Anna McPherson Program Manager (8/2018 to Present)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	AMcPherson@sandiego.gov
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary (6/2018 to Present)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Dvision	SantosS@sandiego.gov
Suzanne Segur Senior Planner (5/2016 to Present)	American Studies/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	SSegur@sandiego.gov
Kelley Stanco Project Manager/ CLG Liaison (3/2006 to Present)	History & Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	KStanco@sandiego.gov
Gemma Tierney Associate Planner (1/20202 to Present)	Urban Studies/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	GTierney@sandiego.gov
Bernie Turgeon Senior Planner (3/2017 to Present)	Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	bturgeon@sandiego.gov

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Andrew Bowen	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\square	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
Dr. Diana Cordileone			No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Courtney Coyle	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Amy Strider - Harleman	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes		No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Tim Hutter	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
David McCullough	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Todd Pitman	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes	\square	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Cindy Stankowski	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Matthew Winter	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes	\square	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Dr. Ann Woods	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes
Shannon Anthony Associate Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting			No Meeting	No Meeting					
Megan Bacik Junior Planner						No Meeting	No Meeting					
Emma Haggerty Associate Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes		No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Jamie Kennedy Senior Planner	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Lea Kolesky Management Intern	\boxtimes	\boxtimes										

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Anna McPherson Program Manager	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Suzanne Segur Senior Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Kelley Stanco Project Manager/CLG Liaison		\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Gemma Tierney Associate Planner				\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Bernie Turgeon Senior Planner			No Meeting			No Meeting	No Meeting					

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Boardmembers	Brown Act Training	30 minutes	Lindsey Sebastian, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney	10/24/19
Staff	Webinar: Historic Context Statements: A Primer	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	2/5/20
Staff	Webinar: Learning from Large- Scale Adaptive Reuse Projects	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	3/25/20
Staff	Webinar: The Doctor Is In: Preservation Positive L.A., Housing, Density & More	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	5/5/20

Certified Local Government Program -- 2019-2020 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Staff	Webinar: Advocating for African American and Black Heritage	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	6/22/20
Staff	Webinar: Modernism 1: The Challenges of Preserving Modernist Concrete	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	6/24/20
Staff	Webinar: Documenting Sites of Social Justice	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	6/29/20
Staff	Webinar: Curating Culture in the African American Context	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	7/13/20
Staff	Webinar: Modernism 2: Master Architects of Modernism	1.5 Hours	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	7/15/20
Boardmembers	Site Development Permit Training for Boardmembers	30 minutes	Lindsey Sebastian, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney	7/23/20
Staff	Webinar: Neuroscience, Architecture and Neutra	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	8/11/20
Staff	Webinar: Modernism 3: Saving the Seventies	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	8/26/20
Boardmembers	Ethics Training	1 Hour	Stacey Fulhorst, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission	8/27/20
Staff	Webinar: Mastering CEQA Compliance and Mitigation for Historic Resources	8 Hours	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	9/11/20

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic</u> <u>Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP) NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Kearny Mesa Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement was prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Kearny Mesa Community to assist in the identification of resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the Kearny Mesa Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Kearny Mesa.	In Development.
Clairemont Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Clairemont Community to assist in the identification of resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the Clairemont Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Clairemont.	In Development.
University Historic Context Statement & Focused Reconnaissance Survey	A historic context statement and focused reconnaissance survey is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the University Community to assist in the identification of resources important to that community.	The historic context statement and focused reconnaissance survey will inform the policies of the University Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR; will determine the likelihood of encountering historic resources in this largely master- planned community; and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in University.	In Development.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Mira Mesa Historic Context Statement & Focused Reconnaissance Survey	A historic context statement and focused reconnaissance survey is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Mira Mesa Community to assist in the identification of resources important to that community.	The historic context statement and focused reconnaissance survey will inform the policies of the Mira Mesa Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR; will determine the likelihood of encountering historic resources in this largely master- planned community; and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Mira Mesa.	In Development.
Hillcrest LGBTQ+ Historic Context Statement & MPL Survey	A historic context statement and Multiple Property Listing (MPL) survey is being prepared in conjunction with the Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment to the Uptown Community Plan, in order to assist in the identification of resources important to the LGBTQ+ community in Hillcrest.	The historic context statement and MPL survey will inform the land use decisions and historic preservation policies of the Hillcrest FPA and the analysis in the associated environmental document; will establish a local Multiple Property Listing for LGBTQ+ resources; and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of LGBTQ+ resources in Hillcrest.	In Development.

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
University Historic Context Statement & Focused Reconnaissance Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance	8,700	TBD	In Progress	N/A

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Mira Mesa Historic Context Statement & Focused Reconnaissance Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance	10,500	TBD	In Progress	N/A
Hillcrest LGBTQ+ Historic Context Statement & MPL Survey	Yes	Intensive	380	TBD	In Progress	N/A

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify and preserve significant historical resources. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources. Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluated at the project level when a permit application is submitted.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Individual meetings with historic property owners.	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing
Brown Act Training	The Board's required training on compliance with the Brown Act was open to the public.	10/24/19

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Item or Event	Description	Date
Site Development Permit Training	The Board's required training on Site Development Permits was open to the public.	7/23/20
Ethics Training	The Board's required Ethics training was open to the public.	8/27/20

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

<u>NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. Please read "Guidance for completing the Annual</u> <u>Products Report for CLGs" located at http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG_GPRA/FY2013_BaselineQuestionnaireGuidance-May2015.docx.</u>

A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Desginations	40

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? ⊠Yes □ No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019? 40

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020? *Click or tap here to enter text.*

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2019-2020	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Mills Act	116	1716

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ⊠No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020? Type here.

	Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefit	am
Type here.		Type here.	

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020? **3563**

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? □Yes ⊠ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN A SUMMARY OF LOCAL PRESERVATION PROGRAMS

A. What are your most critical preservation planning issues? As with the last three reporting periods, recovery from the Great Recession has led to an increase in permit activity City-wide, including an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for potentially historic properties, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that accommodate new development and adaptive reuse in a manner consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals.

The City's review process has been very successful in identifying historical resources that are not yet listed on the City's Register of Historical Resources and avoiding impacts that would destroy the character defining features and eligibility of the resource, while still accommodating new development. This includes projects that range from expansion of a single family home, to adaptive reuse of a residential or commercial building, to construction of additional dwelling units within the existing resource or in a new building adjacent to the

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

historical resource. However, the success of this process may be undermined by a newer preservation planning issue: State legislation that would limit a local jurisdiction's ability to identify and preserve not only potential historical resources, but even some designated historical resources. While the City appreciates and shares the legislature's concern regarding the State's housing crisis and the need to pursue innovative new ways to encourage housing development, we can do so in a way that also preserves the irreplaceable resources important to our local history, as the City of San Diego and other jurisdictions across the state have successfully demonstrated.

B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? Our single greatest accomplishment during the reporting period was the successful processing of a record high number of Mills Act contracts. The designation of new historic districts within the previous years as well as the designation of a large condominium complex; created a major increase in applications to the program. As large numbers of properties are designated through the historic district program, staff only expects these numbers to grow in the upcoming years.

A major challenge faced by staff was the physical closure of the City's Development Services Department (DSD) to the public due to COVID. In order to continue processing development and construction permits, DSD implemented a new online submittal portal which relieved applicants from the need to submit plans in person. This required staff to learn a new project tracking system for the processing of digital reviews while completing the review of projects already started with physical plans. The conversion to a digitial DSD also required historical resources staff to conduct all meetings regarding projects, Mills Act contracts/ applications, and potential designations through online platforms. Additionally, Historical Resources Board meetings needed to be conducted virtually which created a significant challenge for hearing staff in regards to technical issues, Brown Act compliance and the recording of resolutions.

- C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? Due to COVID, we were unable to celebrate Historic Preservation Month during the reporting period. Staff intends to reintroduce the celebration in the upcoming year.
- D. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2020-2021?

 Complete the processing of the Kearney Mesa Community Plan Update (CPU) and associated Historic Context Statement and Historic Preservation Element through adoption by the City Council; and prepare the Historic Context Statement and Historic Preservation Element for the Clairemont CPU for the public hearing process.
 Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

3.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

4.) Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.

5.) Complete processing of two historic districts and prepare two additional historic districts for the public hearing process.

6.) Work with developers and property owners to increase the number of housing units on potentially historic and individually designated parcels as well as within historic districts while still maintaining consistency with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.

7.) Provide more services to developers and property owners through new virtual portals.

- E. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? National Historic Landmark Stewardship; and Preservation and Treament of Historic Materials and the Use of Substitute Materials for Historic Propertie. Additionally, assistance regarding the preservation of culturally significant resources would be appreciated. Specifically, culturally significant resources can pose unique challenges in regard to appropriate treatment (are the Standards the best method and do they require any adaptation or modification); and how do you acknowledge and preserve culturally significant resources without unintentionally impacting (via gentrification or economic hardship) the group(s) or individual(s) you are attempting to acknowledge and honor through preservation?
- F. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Cultural Landscapes	Webinars, workshops, case studies, technical assistance bulletins.
Postmodernism	Webinars, workshops, case studies, technical assistance bulletins.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Materials - Treatment, Preservation and Substitute Materials for Historic Properties	Webinars, hands-on workshops, trainings led by materials experts and professionals, technical assistance bulletins.
Preservation of Culturally Significant Resources	Webinars, hands-on workshops, trainings led by materials experts and professionals, technical assistance bulletins.

G. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP? MYes No

H. Is there anything else you would like to share with OHP? Click or tap here to enter text.

XII Attachments (electronic)

- Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff
- \boxtimes Minutes from commission meetings
- □ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
- □ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan
- \Box Public outreach publications

Email to Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov