

#### THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

## Report to the Historical Resources Board

DATE ISSUED:	January 11, 2018	REPORT NO. HRB-18-006
ATTENTION:	Historical Resources Board Agenda of January 25, 2018	
SUBJECT:	ITEM #11 – Certified Local Government Annual Re	eport 2016-2017
APPLICANT:	City of San Diego, Development Services and Plannin	g Departments
LOCATION:	Citywide	
DESCRIPTION:	Consider the Draft Annual Report for transmittal to t Preservation to meet the City's Certified Local Goverr to the Mayor and City Council to meet the Municipal requirements.	nment (CLG) responsibilities and

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Direct staff to forward the Annual Report to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the San Diego Mayor and City Council, or revise the Annual Report and forward as appropriate.

#### BACKGROUND

This item is being brought before the Historical Resources Board in conjunction with the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities. The Annual Report for 2017 also satisfies the requirement for an annual report to be transmitted from the HRB to the Mayor and City Council in accordance with Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7). One of the responsibilities of a CLG is to prepare an Annual Report for the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) summarizing the work of the Board during the reporting period. The report utilizes a standard format for all CLGs and requires an accounting of the Board and staff activities throughout the state's fiscal year (October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017). The Annual Report format was provided by the Office of Historic Preservation and cannot be altered resulting in pagination, tables, and text on different pages and a number of different fonts. Since the Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7) does not specify the period of time covered in the annual report to the Mayor and City Council, staff is utilizing the state's reporting period for that report, as well.

#### **ANALYSIS**

The attached document is a draft of the Annual Report that has been prepared by staff. Boardmembers should offer their insight and provide comment to staff regarding any additional information and issues that would be appropriate to include in the final report.

The organization of the annual CLG report corresponds directly to the five CLG requirement areas: ordinance, commission, survey, public participation, and state requirements. In addition to this information, OHP requests a summary of local preservation programs. The National Park Service (NPS) reporting has also been incorporated into the annual CLG report in Section VI. While Section V also relates to the NPS reporting, it is only used for new CLG programs. The 2009 baseline report to NPS included 17,038 historic properties in the City's inventory prior to September 30, 2008, with an additional 1,547 properties added by 2016 and 46 added this past year to equal a historic resources inventory of 18,621 properties.

HRB activity has remained largely consistent during this reporting period compared to past years. During the current reporting period, the HRB designated 35 new individually significant properties (compared to 42 during the previous reporting period and 35 during the 2014-2015 period). In addition, the HRB designated the Spalding Place Historic District which included 11 contributing resources. The HRB is also in the process of designating two additional historic districts by the end of this calendar year. In addition, 43 new Mills Act contracts were completed during this period, compared to 50 new contracts in the last reporting period. Project reviews continue to increase with a total of 3,424 reviews completed during the reporting period, compared to 3,181 in the previous reporting period and 3,054 in 2014-2015.

The most critical preservation planning issue facing the City continues to be the renewed development pressure on historic and potentially historic resources. With a steadily improving economy and increase in permit activity City-wide, staff has noted an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for properties over 45 years in age, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that are consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee also continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose of historic preservation.

Our single greatest accomplishment during the reporting period was the successful completion and adoption of the Comprehensive Community Plan Updates (CPUs) for the historic communities of Uptown, North Park and Golden Hill, which surround Balboa Park to the west, north, east, and southeast. In process for 8 years, the CPUs for these communities included historic contexts and reconnaissance surveys that identified themes and property types important to the development of the community, as well as specific individual resources and districts that appear to convey these themes in a significant way. The contexts and survey data were used to inform the Land Use and Historic Preservation elements of each community plan, thus avoiding conflicts between concentrations of significant historic resources and increases in land use intensity, and providing a foundation for community-specific historic preservation policies. In addition, during the public hearing process, the City Planning Department committed to an aggressive 6-year work program to process all 13 potential historic districts identified in the North Park and Golden Hill communities, which will nearly double the number of historic districts in the City at the conclusion of the work program.

The following historic preservation goals have been identified for the 2017-2018 reporting period:

- 1. Finalize and adopt the surveys and Historic Preservation Elements associated with the Old Town and Midway Community Planning areas.
- 2. Develop new incentives to encourage the preservation and continued use or adaptive reuse of designated historic resources.
- 3. Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.
- 4. Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 5. Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.
- 6. Complete customizations to the City's CHRID, including Mills Act monitoring.
- 7. Complete processing of two new historic district nominations from the 2017 work program, and complete processing of one new historic district nomination and begin processing a second new district nomination from the 2018 work program
- 8. In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Staff recommends that the Board review the information attached, provide input, and approve the report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the Mayor and City Council.

Sonnier Francisco Senior Planner/HRB Liaison

sf/sa

Attachment: Draft CLG Annual Report 2016-2017 (without attachments)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

**INSTRUCTIONS:** This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

#### Name of CLG City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: Historical Resources Board and Staff

Date of commission/board review: January 25, 2018

#### Minimum Requirements for Certification

#### I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

#### A. Preservation Laws

1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. **REMINDER**: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status.

In an effort to provide regulatory relief and further incentivize the preservation of designated historic resources, historic districts, important archaeology sites and traditional cultural properties, new amendments to the Historical Resources Regulations are in process. These amendments include the exclusion of designated historic resources from parking calculations and Floor Area Ratio (FAR) limits; as well as new allowances for deviations from base zone development regulations, such as setbacks, height, coverage, etc. The background, existing regulatory framework, and proposed amendments are explained in the <u>staff report</u> to the Historical Resources Board. It is anticipated that these amendments will be adopted in early 2018.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

 Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division02.pdf</u>
 <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division02.pdf</u>
 <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf</u>
 <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/mu</u>

## B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance, HPOZ, etc.)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Leonard and Helga Johnson House – 4343 Ridgeway Drive	10/27/2016	Type here.	12/8/2016
Gersom Kruse and Louis Kruse House – 2740 Granada Avenue	10/27/2016	Type here.	12/8/2016
Depew Building Company/ Edward Depew Spec House #1 – 3305 Voltaire Street	10/27/2016	Type here.	12/8/2016
Alberta Security Company /Martin V. Melhorn Spec House #6 – 1003 Alberta Place	10/27/2016	Type here.	12/8/2016
San Diego Cable Railway Company Spec House #1 – 1625 Adams Ave	10/27/2016	Type here.	12/8/2016
Horace and Kate Iliff House - 3510 Park Blvd	11/17/2016	Type here.	1/6/2017
Harlan and Charlotte Perrill House - 3211 Trumbull Street	11/17/2016	Type here.	1/6/2017
Charles and Anna Stark House - 4641 Vista Street	11/17/2016	Type here.	1/6/2017
John and Maud Lockburner House - 4820 Biona Drive	1/26/2017	Type here.	3/23/2017

# Certified Local Government Program -- 2016-2017 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder		
Lewis and Annie Dodge/Charles Salyers/Dodge Construction Company Spec House #1 – 5308 East Palisades Road	1/26/2017	Type here.	3/23/2017		
Maurice and Jacqueline Watson/ Sim Bruce Richards House – 2744 Azalea Drive	2/23/2017	Type here.	4/14/2017		
Michael and Clara Brown House - 5645 Taft Ave	3/23/2017	Type here.	5/15/2017		
Charles and Elizabeth Henderson House - 4554 Norma Drive	3/23/2017	Type here.	5/15/2017		
Judge William and Annie Sloane House - 4310 Avalon Dr	3/23/2017	Type here.	5/15/2017		
Minnie Scheibe/Bathrick Brothers Construction Company Spec House #1 -3244 Dumas Street	4/27/2017	Type here.	6/9/2017		
Eleanor Edmiston House – 2928 33rd Street	4/27/2017	Type here.	6/9/2017		
David and Susan Jackson House – 3455 Charles Street	5/25/2017	Type here.	7/7/2017		
Dr. David and Margaret Higbee House - 3705 Pringle Street	5/25/2017	Type here.	7/7/2017		
Ingimore and Veiga Johnson Spec House #1 - 3522 Villa Terrace	5/25/2017	Type here.	7/7/2017		
Helen Copley House – 1263 Virginia Way	5/25/2017	Type here.	7/7/2017		
Clara Champlin House – 1445 Granada Avenue	5/25/2017	Type here.	7/7/2017		
Jeannette and W.P. Cary/Richard Requa and Herbert Jackson House - 350 San Fernando Street	6/22/2017	Type here.	8/18/2017		
Charlotte Gary Barnum House – 5805 Camino de la Costa	6/22/2017	Type here.	8/18/2017		
William Straw House – 1306 Torrance ST	6/22/2017	Type here.	8/18/2017		
Alberta Security Company/Martin V. Melhorn Spec House #7 – 3851 Hawk Street	6/22/2017	Type here.	9/6/2017		

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Spalding Place Historic District	7/27/2017	11	9/6/2017
Henry and Lavina Nelson/Martin V. Melhorn House – 1965 Sunset Blvd	7/27/2017	Type here.	9/6/2017
Councilman Fred Heilbron House – 4399 Hermosa Way	7/27/2017	Type here.	9/6/2017
R. Douglas & Eunice Maw/ Dennstedt Company House – 3430 Elliott Street	7/27/2017	Type here.	9/6/2017
Gordon B. Wells/Allen H. Hilton Bungalow Court Apartments – 1525-1537 Union Street	8/24/2017	Type here.	12/12/2017
Herbert and Alexina Childs/ Thomas L. Shepherd House – 210 Westbourne Street	8/24/2017	Type here.	10/16/2017
Henry Clyde Walters Spec House #1 - 2726 Gregory Street	8/24/2017	Type here.	10/16/2017
Joel Brown Spec House #2 – 4127 Lark Street	9/28/2017	Type here.	11/13/2017
Gordon and Garnet Thompson/ Alexander Schreiber House – 2206 Juan Street	9/28/2017	Type here.	11/13/2017
Adelphi Security Company Spec House #1 - 4125 Hermosa Way	9/28/2017	Type here.	11/13/2017
Anna Vickers House - 1419 Virginia Way	9/28/2017	Type here.	11/13/2017

**REMINDER**: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors.

Property Name/Address	Date Removed
May Somers Candee Spec House #1 –	7/18/2017
3616-3618 4 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.

🗆 No

element. Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan. http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf

- 2. Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community's general plan? 
  Yes Xo If you have, provide an electronic link. Type here.
- 3. When will your next General Plan update occur? 15-20 years

#### **D. Review Responsibilities**

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

□ All projects subject to design review go the commission.

⊠ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources. Historical Resources staff reviews and approves minor modifications to historical resources that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a project as a minor modification or if the DAS review concludes that a project is consistent with the Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review and comment.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### 2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.* 

- 3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act
  - What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local
    government? Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all
    Section 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National
    Register eligible resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the
    Historical Resources section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego
  - What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within
    the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the
    Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for
    projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design
    Assistance Subcommittees and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106
    consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible
    sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

#### A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Dr. Michael Baksh	Archaeologist	07/13/2010	03/01/2013 served until 4/23/2017	mgbaksh@aol.com
Priscilla Berge	Historian	11/22/2006	03/01/2013 served until 4/23/2017	paberge@cox.net
Andrew Bowen	Architect	08/04/2017	03/01/2018	andybowen@kpaa.com
Charlie Colvin	Structural Engineer	08/04/2017	03/01/2018	ccolvin@bwesd.com
Dr. Diana Cordileone	History	04/26/2017	03/01/2019	dianacordileone@pointloma.edu
Courtney Coyle	Fine Arts/Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	courtcoyle@aol.com
Amy Strider- Harleman	Real Estate/Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	ash@petersonprice.com
Tim Hutter	Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	thutter@allenmatkins.com
Richard Larimer	Architect	04/23/2012	resigned 4/18/2017	tlarimer@larimerdesign.com
David McCullough	Landscape Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	david@mlasd.com
Todd Pitman	Landscape Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	tdpitman@ucsd.edu
Cindy Stankowski	Archaeologist	04/26/2017	03/01/2019	c.stankowski@att.net
Matthew Winter	Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	mwinter2000@gmail.com
Dr. Ann Woods	Architectural History	11/12/2009	03/01/2017	awoods@ucsd.edu

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? The HRB currently has one termed-out position. The Mayor's office and CLG staff are actively recruiting knowledgeable individuals to fill the position. The termed-out Boardmember will continue to serve until they are replaced.

#### B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? Type here.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Shannon Anthony	Board Secretary	Development Services	SAnthony@sandiego.gov
Board Secretary		Department; Project Submittal	
(3/2008 to present)		and Management Division	
Haley Bergam	Intern	Development Services	HBergam@sandiego.gov
Management Intern		Department; Project Submittal	
(6/2017 to present)		and Management Division	
Jodie Brown	History & Planning	Development Services	JDBrown@sandiego.gov
Senior Planner		Department; Project Submittal	
(2/2008 – 3/2010; 10/2010 to present)		and Management Division	
Cathy Dominguez	Clerical Support	Development Services	CDominguez@sandiego.gov
Clerical Assistant II		Department; Project Submittal	
(9/2017 to present)		and Management Division	
Sonnier Francisco	Architecture & Engineering	Development Services	SFrancisco@sandiego.gov
Senior Planner		Department; Project Submittal	
(8/2017 to present)		and Management Division	
Elyse Lowe	Management	Development Services	ELowe@sandiego.gov
Deputy Director		Department; Project Submittal	
(7/2016 to present)		and Management Division	
Nicole Purvis	Planning	Development Services	NPurvis@sandiego.gov
Assistant Planner		Department; Project Submittal	
(9/2017 to Present)		and Management Division	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Camille Pekarek	Art History	Development Services	CLPekarek@sandiego.gov
Associate Planner		Department; Project Submittal	
(7/2012 to Present)		and Management Division	
Suzanne Segur	Historic Preservation	Development Services	SSegur@sandiego.gov
Associate Planner		Department; Project Submittal	
(5/2016 to Present)		and Management Division	
Kelley Stanco	History & Planning	Planning Department;	KStanco@sandiego.gov
Senior Planner/CLG Liaison		Environmental & Resource	
(3/2006 to present)		Analysis Division	

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

#### C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Dr. Michael Baksh			No Meeting									
Priscilla Berge	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$						
Andrew Bowen											$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Charlie Colvin											$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Dr. Diana Cordileone										$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Courtney Coyle	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	Ģ	$\boxtimes$							
Amy Strider- Harleman	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Tim Hutter	$\boxtimes$		No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
Richard Larimer		$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$								

## Certified Local Government Program -- 2016-2017 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
David McCullough		$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$								
Todd Pitman	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$								
Cindy Stankowski							$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Matthew Winter	$\boxtimes$		No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	X	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Dr. Ann Woods	$\boxtimes$	$\square$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\square$
Shannon Anthony Board Secretary	$\boxtimes$		No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$							
Haley Bergam Management Intern									$\boxtimes$			
Jodie Brown Senior Planner	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$								
Cathy Dominguez Clerical Assistant II												$\boxtimes$
Sonnier Francisco Senior Planner												$\boxtimes$
Elyse Lowe Deputy Director			No Meeting	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$						
Nicole Purvis Assistant Planner												$\boxtimes$
Camille Pekarek Associate Planner	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Suzanne Segur Associate Planner	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$								
Kelley Stanco Senior Planner/CLG Liaison	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	No Meeting	$\boxtimes$								

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### **D. Training Received**

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/ Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date	
Staff	CLG Training - Webinar: Sputnik Institutional Buildings: Bane or Boon?	1.5 hours	California Preservation Foundation-various speakers	11/9/2016	
Staff	Code Enforcement Staff training on the Historical Designation Review Process and Regulations	1 hour	HRB Staff	3/2/2017	
Boardmembers	Local Designation Requirements and Process, with focus on: • The Historical Resources Board's Authority • Designation Procedures • Application of Local Designation Criteria HRB's Administrative Procedures	3.5 hours	HRB Staff	4/14/2017	
Boardmembers & Staff	Historic District Processing in the City of San Diego	30 minutes	Kelley Stanco, Planning Department	4/27/2017	
Staff	CLG Training - Webinar: Going on the Offensive: Telling the True Story of Preservation Impact on Affordability	1.5 hours	California Preservation Foundation-various speakers	5/11/2017	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Camille Pekarek and Suzanne Segur	California Preservation Foundation Conference	2 days	California Preservation Foundation- various speakers	5/11/2017- 5/12/2017
Boardmembers and Staff	Brown Act Training	30 minutes	Corrine Neuffer, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney	7/27/2017
Boardmembers and Staff	Site Development Permit Processing Training	30 minutes	Corrine Neuffer, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney	7/27/2017
Boardmembers and Staff	Local Designation Requirements and Process, with focus on: • The Historical Resources Board's Authority • Designation Procedures • Application of Local Designation Criteria HRB's Administrative Procedures	3.5 hours	HRB Staff	8/16/2017
Boardmembers and Staff	CLG Training - Webinar: Beyond the Building: Challenges and Opportunities in Evaluating Recent Past Resources	1.5 hours	California Preservation Foundation- various speakers	8/30/2017
Boardmembers and Staff	California Presevation Foundation Workshop- <u>Historic</u> <u>Districts</u>	7.5 hours	California Preservation Foundation- various speakers	9/14/2017
Boardmembers and Staff	California Presevation Foundation Workshop- <u>Focus on</u> <u>Modernism</u>	7.5 hours	California Preservation Foundation- various speakers	9/15/2017

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic</u> <u>Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP) NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (PDF or link if available online) with this report.

#### Please note: In the interest of making information and data readily accessible to the public free of charge, the City Planning Department has created a new website where all contexts and surveys can be found: https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historicpreservationplanning/contexts

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Uptown	A new historic context with limited field work was prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Uptown community. Themes identified included the influence of the subdivision boom, streetcar development, suburbanization and the automobile.	The context and limited field work informed the land use planning process.	Final Context and Survey Report adopted in November 2016 and is provided via <u>this link</u> .
Golden Hill	A historic context and reconnaissance survey was prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Golden Hill community. The context focuses on the development of Golden Hill as one of the earliest residential districts located outside of downtown.	The context and limited field work informed the land use planning process.	Draft submitted to OHP in 2011. Final Context and Survey Report adopted in November 2016 and is provided via <u>this link</u> .
North Park	A historic context and reconnaissance survey was prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the North Park community.	The context and limited field work informed the land use planning process.	Draft submitted to OHP in 2011. Final Context and Survey Report adopted in November 2016 and is provided via this link.
Old Town	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Old Town community.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Draft context finalized, awaiting public hearing process which is anticipated to conclude in early- to-mid 2018.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Midway	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Midway community.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Draft context finalized, awaiting public hearing process which is anticipated to conclude in early- to-mid 2018.

#### B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

**NOTE:** The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
Uptown	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 2,700	Approx 11,000	November 2016	Final Context and Survey Report is provided via <u>this</u> <u>link</u> .
Golden Hill	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 441	Approx 5,000	November 2016	Draft submitted to OHP in 2011. Final Context and Survey Report is provided via <u>this link</u> .
North Park	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 1,466	Approx 6,500	November 2016	Draft submitted to OHP in 2011. Final Context and Survey Report is provided via <u>this link</u> .
Old Town	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 285	Approx 234	In Progress. Draft survey finalized, awaiting public hearing process.	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Midway	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 902	Approx 613	In Progress.
					Draft survey
					finalized,
					awaiting
					public hearing
					process.

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify important aspects of community character. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources. Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluate resources are evaluated at the project level when a permit application is submitted.

#### C. Corrections or changes to Historic Property Inventory

Property Name/ Address	Additions/Deletions to Inventory	Status Code Change From _ To_	Reason	Date of Change
May Somers Candee Spec House #1 – 3616-3618 4 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Deletion from Inventory		Designation was Overturned by the City Council	7/18/2017

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

#### A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide copy of (or an electronic link) to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
La Jolla Historical Society Workshop on Historical Designation	Staff provided information on the City's regulations, designation report requirements and criteria for listing a property on the City's Register	3/18/2017
Historic District Processing in the City of San Diego	This training was provided as an informational item to the Historical Resources Board, and included a written <u>staff memo</u> and <u>staff</u> <u>presentation</u> . Topics included what a historic district is, how a nomination is prepared and processed, and how a district is regulated.	4/27/2017
Spalding Place Historic District Property Owner Workshop	As part of the process of designated a new historic district, the City of San Diego Planning Department hosts a workshop with affected property owners to address what a historic district is, how a nomination is prepared and processed, the responsibilities and benefits of historic district designation, and the history and significance of their particular district.	5/20/2017
Brown Act Training	The Board's required training on compliance with the Brown Act was open to the public.	7/27/2017
Site Development Permit Process Training	The Board's training on the site development permit process was open to the public.	7/27/2017
Valle Vista Terrace Historic District Property Owner Workshop	As part of the process of designated a new historic district, the City of San Diego Planning Department hosts a workshop with affected property owners to address what a historic district is, how a nomination is prepared and processed, the responsibilities and benefits of historic district designation, and the history and significance of their particular district.	7/29/2017

# Certified Local Government Program -- 2016-2017 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

Item or Event	Description	Date
South Park Historic District Property Owner Workshop	As part of the process of designated a new historic district, the City of San Diego Planning Department hosts a workshop with affected property owners to address what a historic district is, how a nomination is prepared and processed, the responsibilities and benefits of historic district designation, and the history and significance of their particular district.	8/12/2017
Potential Historical Resource Review – Public Working Group	The Potential Historical Resource Review (SDMC 143.0212) requires that staff determine if a potentially significant historical resource exists on site prior to the approval of a construction or a development permit. A working group led by Historical Resources staff and comprised of individuals from local community planning groups and historical organizations participates in this review process by providing input to staff on the history and potential significance of a property under the adopted HRB criteria, prior to staff approving a project.	Ongoing
Individual meetings with historic property owners	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### V National Park Service Baseline Questionnaire for new CLGs (certified after September 30, 2016).

- NOTE: OHP will forward this information to the NPS on your behalf. Guidance for completing the Baseline Questionnaire is located at <a href="http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG\_GPRA/FY2013\_BaselineQuestionnaireGuidance-May2015.docx">http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG\_GPRA/FY2013\_BaselineQuestionnaireGuidance-May2015.docx</a>.
- A. CLG Inventory Program
  - 1. What is the net cumulative number of historic properties in your inventory at the time of your certification? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) in your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal. Type here.

Program Area	Number of Properties
Type here.	Type here.

#### B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

- 1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government have a local register program to create local landmarks/local historic districts (or a similar list of designations created by local law?
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the net cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties (i.e., contributing properties) **locally registered/designated** as of September 30, 2016.? Type here.

#### C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government have a local historic preservation tax incentives program (e.g. Mills Act)?
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties whose owners have taken advantage of those incentives as of September 30, 2016? Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### D.Local "Bricks and Mortar" Grants/Loans Program

- 1. As of September 30, 2016 did your local government have a locally-funded, historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? Type here.
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties assisted by these grants or loans as of September 30, 2016? Type here.

#### E. Local Design Review/Regulatory Program

- 1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to or impacts on properties with a historic district?
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties that your local government has reviewed under that process as of September 30, 2016? Type here.

#### F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- As of September 30, 2016, did your local government by purchase, donation, condemnation, or other means help to acquire or acquire itself some degree of title (e.g., fee simple interest or an easement) in historic properties?
   Yes
- If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties with a
  property interest acquisition assisted or carried out by your local government as of September 30, 2015?
  Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### VI. Additional Information for National Park Service Annual Products Report for CLGs (certified before October 1, 2016)

**NOTE:** OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. **Please read** "Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs" located <u>http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG\_GPRA/FY2014\_AnnualReportGuidance-May2015.docx</u>.

#### A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Designations	47

#### B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law?
- If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? 46

#### C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017?

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2016-2017	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Mills Act	43	1443

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ⊠No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

#### E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? X Yes No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? **3,424**

#### F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means?
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? Type here.

	Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.		Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

#### VII. In addition to the minimum CLG requirements, OHP is interested in a Summary of Local Preservation Programs

- A. What are your most critical preservation planning issues? As with the last reporting period, with a steadily improving economy and increase in permit activity City-wide, staff has noted an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for potentially historic properties, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that are consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals.
- B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? Our single greatest accomplishment during the reporting period was the successful completion and adoption of the Comprehensive Community Plan Updates (CPUs) for the historic communities of Uptown, North Park and Golden Hill, which surround Balboa Park to the west, north, east, and southeast. In process for 8 years, the CPUs for these communities included historic contexts and reconnaissance surveys that identified themes and property types important to the development of the community, as well as specific individual resources and districts that appear to convey these themes in a significant way. The contexts and survey data were used to inform the Land Use and Historic Preservation elements of each community plan, thus avoiding conflicts between concentrations of significant historic preservation policies. In addition, during the public hearing process, the City Planning Department committed to an aggressive 6-year work program to process all 13 potential historic districts in the City at the conclusion of the work program.
- C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? We celebrated Historic Preservation Month and highlighted successful projects at historic properties in the City of San Diego in a public presentation.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

D. How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year? Goals were met as follows:
 1.) Finalize and adopt the surveys and Historic Preservation Elements associated with the Uptown, North Park, Golden Hill and San Ysidro Community Planning areas. GOAL MET

2.) Develop new incentives to encourage the preservation and continued use or adaptive reuse of designated historic resources. GOAL PARTIALLY MET, IN PROCESS

3.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation, and the Site Development Permit process. GOAL PARTIALLY MET, IN PROCESS

4.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract. GOAL MET

5.) Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources. ONGOING

6.) Complete customizations to the City's CHRID, including Mills Act. GOAL PARTIALLY MET, ONGOING

7.) Complete processing of two new historic district nominations and begin processing a third new district nomination. GOAL LARGELY MET WITH 1 DESIGNATED IN 2016/2017 AND 2 IN 2017/2018.

8.) In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park. GOAL NOT YET MET

E. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2017-2018? Goals for 2017-2018:

1.) Finalize and adopt the surveys and Historic Preservation Elements associated with the Old Town and Midway Community Planning areas.

2.) Develop new incentives to encourage the preservation and continued use or adaptive reuse of designated historic resources.

3.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.

4.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

5.) Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.

6.) Complete customizations to the City's CHRID, including Mills Act monitoring.

7.) Complete processing of two new historic district nominations from the 2017 work program, and complete processing of one new historic district nomination and begin processing a second new district nomination from the 2018 work program

8.) In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

- F. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? National Historic Landmark Stewardship, and Materials Treatment, Preservation and Substitute Materials for Historic Properties
- G. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Cultural Landscapes	Workshops, case studies, technical assistance bulletins
Postmodernism	Workshops, case studies, technical assistance bulletins
Materials - Treatment, Preservation and Substitute Materials for Historic Properties	Hands-on workshops, trainings led by materials experts and professionals, technical assistance bulletins

H. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$ 

🗌 No

G. Is there anything else you would like to share with OHP?

#### XII Attachments

Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff

Minutes from commission meetings

Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance

Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan

Public outreach publications

Email to Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov