

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Report to the Historical Resources Board

DATE ISSUED:	February 14, 2019	REPORT NO. HRB-19-008
ATTENTION:	Historical Resources Board Agenda of February 28, 2019	
SUBJECT:	ITEM #11 – Certified Local Government Annual Re	eport 2017-2018
APPLICANT:	City of San Diego, Development Services and Plannin	ng Departments
LOCATION:	Citywide	
DESCRIPTION:	Consider the Draft Annual Report for transmittal to t Preservation to meet the City's Certified Local Govern to the Mayor and City Council to meet the Municipal requirements.	nment (CLG) responsibilities and

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Direct staff to forward the Annual Report to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the San Diego Mayor and City Council or revise the Annual Report and forward as appropriate.

BACKGROUND

This item is being brought before the Historical Resources Board in conjunction with the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities. The Annual Report for 2018 also satisfies the requirement for an annual report to be transmitted from the HRB to the Mayor and City Council in accordance with Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7). One of the responsibilities of a CLG is to prepare an Annual Report for the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) summarizing the work of the Board during the reporting period. The report utilizes a standard format for all CLGs and requires an accounting of the Board and staff activities throughout the state's fiscal year (October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018). The Annual Report format was provided by the Office of Historic Preservation and cannot be altered resulting in pagination, tables, and text on different pages and a number of different fonts. Since the Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7) does not specify the period of time covered in the annual report to the Mayor and City Council, staff is utilizing the state's reporting period for that report, as well.

ANALYSIS

The attached document is a draft of the Annual Report that has been prepared by staff. Boardmembers should offer their insight and provide comment to staff regarding any additional information and issues that would be appropriate to include in the final report.

The organization of the annual CLG report corresponds directly to the five CLG requirement areas: ordinance, commission, survey, public participation, and state requirements. In addition to this information, OHP requests a summary of local preservation programs. The National Park Service (NPS) reporting has also been incorporated into the annual CLG report in Section VI. While Section V also relates to the NPS reporting, it is only used for new CLG programs. The 2009 baseline report to NPS included 17,038 historic properties in the City's inventory prior to September 30, 2008, with an additional 1,583 properties added by 2017 and 408 added this past year to equal a historic resources inventory of 19,029 properties.

HRB activity has remained largely consistent during this reporting period compared to past years. During the current reporting period, the HRB designated 42 new individually significant properties (compared to 35 during the previous reporting period and 42 during the 2015-2016 period). In addition, the HRB designated two Historic Districts; South Park Historic District has 299 contributing resources and Valle Vista Terrace Historic District has 67 contributing resources. Furthermore, 49 new Mills Act contracts were completed during this period, compared to 43 new contracts in the last reporting period. Project reviews continue to increase with a total of 3,629 reviews completed during the reporting period, compared to 3,181 in 2015-2016.

The most critical preservation planning issue facing the City continues to be the renewed development pressure on historic and potentially historic resources. With a steadily improving economy and increase in permit activity City-wide, staff has noted an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for properties over 45 years in age, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that are consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee also continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose of historic preservation.

Our single greatest accomplishment during the reporting period was the successful completion of the 2017 historic district work program, which saw the designation of three new historic districts – Spalding Place Historic District, Valle Vista Terrace Historic District, and South Park Historic District. Taken together, these districts encompass approximately 500 resources. Calendar year 2017 marked year 1 of a 6-year work program to designate 13 new historic districts, the most significant historic district designation undertaking in the City's history. The successful designation of the first 3 historic districts was a significant undertaking and a strong start to an ambitious and important program.

The following historic preservation goals have been identified for the 2018-2019 reporting period:

- 1. Finalize and adopt the survey and Historic Preservation Element associated with the Old Town Community.
- 2. Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.
- 3. Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 4. Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.
- 5. Complete processing of two new historic district nominations from the 2018 work program, and complete processing of one new historic district nomination and begin processing one new district nomination from the 2019 work program.

CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that the Board review the information attached, provide input, and approve the report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the Mayor and City Council.

Shannon Anthony

Shannon Anthony Junior Planner

Suzanne Segur

Suzanne Segur Senior Planner

SA/ss

Attachment: Draft CLG Annual Report 2017-2018 (without attachments)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG: City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: Historical Resources Board and Staff

Date of commission/board review: February 28, 2019

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

 What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. *REMINDER*: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. With the 11th Update to the Land Development Code, adopted by the City Council in March of 2018, the City adopted new development incentives to encourage historic preservation. More information on these incentives can be reviewed on our website.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

 Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf</u>

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance, HPOZ, etc.)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2017 – September 30, 2018, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Park Garden Apartments/Lloyd Ruocco and Homer Delawie Building 1740 Upas Street	10/26/2017		12/13/2017
Augustus and Louise Cosgrove/Chris Cosgrove House 3202 Curtis Street	10/26/2017		12/13/2017
Frances Wadsworth House 4435 New Jersey Street	10/26/2017		12/13/2017
Robert Kelly House 1708 W. Montecito and 4154 Stephens Street	10/26/2017		12/13/2017
Casa Descanso 5201 Marlborough Drive	10/26/2017		12/13/2017
South Park Historic District	10/26/2017	299	5/24/2018
Klicka Mortgage Company Spec House #1 3623-3627 31st Street	11/16/2017		3/23/18
John and Mary Wright House 454 13th Street	11/16/2017		12/21/2017
Henry Schlinger Spec House #1 4301 Hilldale Road	11/16/2017		12/21/2017
The Juniper Apartments 210-220 Juniper Street and 2321 2nd Avenue	11/16/2017		12/21/2017
Valle Vista Terrace Historic District	11/16/2017	67	5/24/2018

Certified Local Government Program -- 2017-2018 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

George Klicka/David O. Dryden House	1/25/2018	3/5/2018
3543 Pershing Avenue		
Anne Menke Rental Property	1/25/2018	3/5/2018
1327-1335 E Street		
Edward Molloy/Frank Hope, Jr. House	1/25/2018	3/5/2018
2702 Willow Street		
Edwin K. Hurlbert/Gustav Hanssen House	1/25/2018	3/5/2018
2930 Chatsworth Boulevard		
Robert R. Curtis House	1/25/2018	3/5/2018
1435 Grove Street		
Anton Kettler House	1/25/2018	3/5/2018
4584 Granger Street		
Howard and Helen Taylor House	2/22/2018	4/18/2018
605 San Fernando Street		
Charles and Audala Edwards Jr. House	2/22/2018	4/18/2018
1050 Cypress Avenue		
Paul and Nellie McCoy Spec House #2	2/22/2018	4/18/2018
5182 East Bedford Drive		
Custer Apartments & Dr. Michael and Jane Quinn Duplex	3/22/2018	6/7/2018
1035 and 1045 E Street		
Dr. Charles and Nancy Rees/ William Wahrenberger House	3/22/2018	6/7/2018
4496 Trias Street		
William and Elva Page House	3/22/2018	6/7/2018
4803 Kensington Drive		
William F. Riley Spec House #1	3/22/2018	6/7/2018
4118 Middlesex Drive		
Anna Dyblie Spec House #1	4/26/2018	6/7/2018
7747 Ivanhoe Avenue East		
Thomas Shepherd House	4/26/2018	6/7/2018
345 Via Del Norte		
Robert and Alma Lard/ Homer Delawie and Lloyd Ruocco House	4/26/2018	6/7/2018
2218 Vallecitos		
Philip Monroe Klauber House	5/24/2018	7/23/2018
4239 Palmetto Way	0,2,1,20,10	
George and Alice Copeland House	5/24/2018	7/23/2018
1930 30th Street	0,2,1,2010	.,_0,_0,0

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

Abbe Wolfsheimer-Stutz House	6/28/2018	On Appeal
6200 Avenida Cresta		
Pasquale and Nunzia Antonicelli House	6/28/2018	8/9/2018
4030-4034 Randolph Street		
Raymond, Jr. and Avery Spicer/Sim Bruce Richards House	6/28/2018	8/9/2018
379 San Antonio Avenue		
Norman and Toba Rubin House	6/28/2018	8/9/2018
3950 La Cresta Drive		
Del Prado/William Krisel Condominiums	7/26/2018	9/20/2018
666 Upas Street		
Aizo and Komume Sogo Farm	7/26/2018	9/20/2018
1398 Lieta Street		
Dean and Mabel Calland Spec House #1	7/26/2018	9/20/2018
4437 Alhambra Street		
George and Ethel Worthington Spec House	7/26/2018	9/20/2018
1770 Fort Stockton Drive		
Theodosia B. Conner Spec. House #1	8/23/2018	Pending
3155 2nd Avenue		
Michael and Lottie Falahy House	8/23/2018	Pending
1030 26th Street		
Edward and Iris Bascomb/ Sim Bruce Richards House	8/23/2018	Pending
887 Golden Park Avenue	0/07/0010	
Joel Brown/Martin V. Melhorn Spec House #1	9/27/2018	Pending
4130 Lark Street		
Frank and Mark Claussen House	9/27/2018	Pending
3544 Texas Street	0/07/0040	Describer
Raymond Perrigo House	9/27/2018	Pending
4150 Lymer Drive		
Harry Turner/Bristow and Lyman House	9/27/2018	Pending
1808 Altamira Place		

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
South Park Historic District Contributor 1320 29 th Street	2/16/2018	Reclassified to Non-Contributing by the City Council
Frazee-Kurts Paint & Wallpaper 1045 Tenth Avenue	6/12/2018	Designation was Overturned by the City Council

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

Do you address historic preservation in your general plan?
 ☑ No
 ☑ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.
 ☑ Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan. http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf

- 2. Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community's general plan?
 Yes No If you have, provide an electronic link. Type here.
- 3. When will your next General Plan update occur? 15-20 years

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

□ All projects subject to design review go the commission.

Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources. Historical Resources staff reviews and

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

approves minor modifications to historical resources that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a project as a minor modification or if the DAS review concludes that a project is consistent with the Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review and comment.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

 What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all Section 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National Register eligible resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design Assistance Subcommittees and/or

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Andrew Bowen	Architect	08/04/2017	03/01/2018	andybowen@kpaa.com
Charlie Colvin	Structural Engineer	08/04/2017	03/01/2018	ccolvin@bwesd.com
Dr. Diana Cordileone	History	04/26/2017	03/01/2019	dianacordileone@pointloma.edu
Courtney Coyle	Fine Arts/Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	courtcoyle@aol.com
Amy Strider- Harleman	Real Estate/Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	ash@petersonprice.com
Tim Hutter	Law	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	thutter@allenmatkins.com
David McCullough	Landscape Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	david@mlasd.com
Todd Pitman	Landscape Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2019	tdpitman@ucsd.edu
Cindy Stankowski	Archaeologist	04/26/2017	03/01/2019	c.stankowski@att.net
Matthew Winter	Architect	07/19/2016	03/01/2018	mwinter2000@gmail.com
Dr. Ann Woods	Architectural History	11/12/2009	03/01/2017	awoods@ucsd.edu

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? The HRB currently has one termed-out position. The Mayor's office and CLG staff are actively recruiting knowledgeable individuals to fill the position. The termed-out Boardmember will continue to serve until they are replaced. There are also several members awaiting reappointments, this will occur as soon as the Mayor's office can schedule it before the City Council.

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? 🛛 Yes 🛛 No 🛛 If not, who serves as staff?
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? Type here.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Shannon Anthony Board Secretary/Junior Planner (3/2008 to present)	Library Science & Information	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	SAnthony@sandiego.gov
Haley Bergam Management Intern (6/2017 to 6/2018)	Intern	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	HBergam@sandiego.gov
Jodie Brown Senior Planner (2/2008 to 3/2010;10/2010 to 7/2018)	History & Planning	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	JDBrown@sandiego.gov
Cathy Dominguez Clerical Assistant II (9/2017 to 12/2017)	Clerical Support	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	CDominguez@sandiego.gov
PJ Fitzgerald Assistant Deputy Director (8/2018 to Present)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	PFitzgerald@sandiego.gov
Sonnier Francisco Senior Planner/CLG Liaison (8/2017 to 8/2018)	Architecture & Engineering	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	SFrancisco@sandiego.gov
Emma Haggerty Assistant Planner (8/2018 to Present)	Historic Preservation	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	EHaggerty@sandiego.gov

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

Elyse Lowe Deputy Director (7/2016 to 12/2017)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	ELowe@sandiego.gov
Anna McPherson Program Manager (8/2018-Present)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	AMcPherson@sandiego.gov
Camille Pekarek Associate Planner (7/2012 to 12/2017)	Art History	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	CLPekarek@sandiego.gov
Nicole Purvis Assistant Planner (9/2017 to 1/2018)	Planning	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	<u>NPurvis@sandiego.gov</u>
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary (6/2018 to Present)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	SantosS@sandiego.gov
Suzanne Segur Associate Planner (5/2016 to Present)	Historic Preservation	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	SSegur@sandiego.gov
Michelle Sokolowski Deputy Director (1/2018 to Present)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	MSokolowski@sandiego.gov
Kelley Stanco Senior Planner/CLG Liaison (3/2006 to Present)	History & Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	KStanco@sandiego.gov

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Andrew Bowen	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\mathbb{X}
Charlie Colvin	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes			\mathbb{X}

Certified Local Government Program -- 2017-2018 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

Dr. Diana Cordileone		\boxtimes	No Meeting		\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
Courtney Coyle	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Amy Strider- Harleman	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Tim Hutter	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
David McCullough	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	X	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Todd Pitman	\boxtimes		No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Cindy Stankowski	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Matthew Winter	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes		\boxtimes						
Dr. Ann Woods	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Shannon Anthony	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No	\boxtimes								
Board Secretary/Junior Planner			Meeting									
Haley Bergam Management Intern			No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Jodie Brown Senior Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Cathy Dominguez Clerical Assistant II	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting									
PJ Fitzgerald Assistant Deputy Director											\boxtimes	
Sonnier Francisco Senior Planner/CLG Liaison	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Emma Haggery Assistant Planner											\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Elyse Lowe Deputy Director	\boxtimes		No Meeting									
Anna McPherson Program Manager											\boxtimes	\boxtimes

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

Nicole Purvis Assistant Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Camille Pekarek Associate Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting									
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary									\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Suzanne Segur Associate Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	X	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Michelle Sokolowski Deputy Director				\boxtimes								
Kelley Stanco Senior Planner/CLG Liaison	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes								

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staf f Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Staff	Millard Sheets and Home Savings: Mid-Century Architecture for Corporate and Urban Identity	1.5 Hours	CPF	3/12/2018
Staff	Preserving Buildings and Life Safety Too: The Effective Use of the California State Historic Building Code	1.5 Hours	CPF	3/20/2018
Staff	Code Enforcement Staff training on the Historical Designation Review Process and Regulations	1 hour	HRB Staff	4/3/2018
Historical Resources Board and Staff	Ethics Training	1.5 Hours	Ethics Commission Staff	8/23/2018

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

Staff	Code Enforcement Staff training on	1 hour	HRB Staff	9/23/2018
	the Historical Designation Review			
	Process and Regulations			

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic</u> <u>Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP) NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (PDF or link if available online) with this report.

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Old Town	A historic context and reconnaissance	The context and limited	In Process.
	survey are being prepared in conjunction	field work will inform the	Draft context finalized, awaiting
	with a Community Plan update for the	land use planning	public hearing process which
	Old Town community.	process.	concluded in October 2018.
Midway	A historic context and reconnaissance	The context and limited	Final Context and Survey Report
	survey were prepared in conjunction with	field work informed the	was adopted in September 2018
	a Community Plan update for the	land use planning	and is provided via this link.
	Midway community.	process.	
Kearny Mesa	A historic context statement is being	The context and limited	In progress.
	prepared in conjunction with a	field work will inform the	
	Community Plan update for the Kearny	land use planning	
	Mesa community.	process.	
Clairemont Mesa	A historic context statement is being	The context and limited	In progress.
	prepared in conjunction with a	field work will inform the	
	Community Plan update for the	land use planning	
	Clairemont Mesa community.	process.	

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
Old Town	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 285	Approx 234	In Progress. Draft survey is finalized and awaiting adoption in October 2018.	
Midway	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 902	Approx 613	September 2018	Final Context and Survey Report is provided via <u>this link</u> .

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify important aspects of community character. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources. Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluated at the project level when a permit application is submitted.

C. Corrections or changes to Historic Property Inventory

Property Name/Address	Additions/Deletions to Inventory	Status Code Change From _ To_	Reason	Date of Change
South Park Historic District Contributor 1320 29 th Street	Deletion from Inventory		Reclassified to Non-Contributing by the City Council	2/16/2018
Frazee-Kurts Paint & Wallpaper 1045 Tenth Avenue	Deletion from Inventory		Designation was Overturned by the City Council	6/12/2018

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide copy of (or an electronic link) to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Park Boulevard Residential Historic District Property Owner <u>Workshop</u> and <u>Webinar</u> .	As part of the process of designated a new historic district, the City of San Diego Planning Department hosts a workshop and webinar with affected property owners to address what a historic district is, how a nomination is prepared and processed, the responsibilities and benefits of historic district designation, and the history and significance of their particular district.	7/7/2018 and 7/10/2018
Melhorn & Son Historic District Property Owner <u>Workshop</u> and <u>Webinar</u> .	As part of the process of designated a new historic district, the City of San Diego Planning Department hosts a workshop and webinar with affected property owners to address what a historic district is, how a nomination is prepared and processed, the responsibilities and benefits of historic district designation, and the history and significance of their particular district.	8/11/2018 and 8/15/2018
Individual meetings with historic property owners	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

<u>NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. Please read "Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs" located at http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG_GPRA/FY2013_BaselineQuestionnaireGuidance-May2015.docx.</u>

A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2017-September 30, 2018) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Designations	408

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2017-September 30, 2018) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law?
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018? **408**

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2017-September 30, 2018) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018?

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2017-2018	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Mills Act	49	1492

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2017-September 30, 2018) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ⊠No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
	Type here.

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2017-September 30, 2018) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018? **3,629**

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2017-September 30, 2018) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? Yes No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN A SUMMARY OF LOCAL PRESERVATION PROGRAMS

- A. What are your most critical preservation planning issues? As with the last reporting period, with a steadily improving economy and increase in permit activity City-wide, staff has noted an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for potentially historic properties, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that are consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals.
- B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? The single greatest accomplishment in the 2017-2018 reporting period was the successful completion of the 2017 historic district work program, which saw the designation of three new historic districts Spalding Place Historic District, Valle Vista Terrace Historic District, and South Park Historic District. Taken together, these districts encompass approximately 500 resources. Calendar year 2017 marked year 1 of a 6 year work program to designate 13 new historic districts, the most significant historic district designation undertaking in the City's history. The successful designation of the first 3 historic districts was a significant undertaking and a strong start to an ambitious and important program.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? We celebrated Historic Preservation Month and highlighted successful projects at historic properties in the City of San Diego in a public presentation.

D. How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year? ? Goals were met as follows:
 1.) Finalize and adopt the surveys and Historic Preservation Elements associated with the Old Town and Midway Community Planning areas. GOAL PARTIALLY MET, MIDWAY COMPLETED, OLD TOWN IN PROCESS.
 2.) Develop new incentives to encourage the preservation and continued use or adaptive reuse of designated historic resources. GOAL MET

3.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation. GOAL PARTIALLY MET, IN PROCESS

4.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract. GOAL MET, ONGOING

5.) Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.

6.) Complete customizations to the City's CHRID, including Mills Act monitoring. GOAL PARTIALLY MET, ONGOING

7.) Complete processing of two new historic district nominations from the 2017 work program, and complete processing of one new historic district nomination and begin processing a second new district nomination from the 2018 work program GOAL PARTIALLY MET, IN PROCESS

8.) In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park., GOAL NOT YET MET

E. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2018-2019? ? Goals for 2018-2019:

1.) Finalize and adopt the survey and Historic Preservation Element associated with the Old Town Community.

2.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.

3.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

4.) Continue to work with Code Enforcement staff and the City Attorney's Office on remedies to address unpermitted alteration and neglect of potentially historic and designated historic resources.

5.) Complete processing of two new historic district nominations from the 2018 work program, and begin processing 1 new district nomination from the 2019 work program.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018)

- F. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? National Historic Landmark Stewardship; and Preservation and Treament of Historic Materials and the Use of Substitute Materials for Historic Properties.
- G. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Cultural Landscapes	Workshops, case studies, technical assistance bulletins
Postmodernism	Workshops, case studies, technical assistance bulletins
Materials - Treatment, Preservation and Substitute Materials for Historic Properties	Hands-on workshops, trainings led by materials experts and professionals, technical assistance bulletins

- H. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP? Xes
- 🗌 No

G. Is there anything else you would like to share with OHP?

XII Attachments

Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff

Minutes from commission meetings

Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance

Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan

Public outreach publications

Email to Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov