(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to <u>info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: Historical Resources Board and City Staff

Date of commission/board review: February 23, 2023

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals., either as part of this report or under separate cover. *REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement,* OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. Type here.
- Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division02.pdf</u>
 <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division02.pdf</u>
 <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf</u>
 <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/mu</u>

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated during the reporting.

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Alexander and Hannah Schreiber House; 4375 Ampudia Street	October 28, 2021		3/29/2022
Carl and Matilda Hays Speculation House #2; 4849 Canterbury Drive	October 28, 2021		3/29/2022
William S. Birney and Kneeland Jenkins Speculation House; 4268 Hortensia Street	October 28, 2021		3/29/2022
Edwin and Anne Corwin/Pear Pearson House; 5309 Canterbury Drive	October 28, 2021		3/29/2022
Edgar V. Ullrich House #2; 6001 Bellevue Avenue	October 28, 2021		3/29/2022
Delawie #1/Boxcar House; 1773 Torrance Street	October 28, 2021		3/29/2022
Blanche Barry Apartments; 3814 Centre Street	November 18, 2021		3/29/2022
Samuel and Della Campbell Spec House; 2354 Plum Street	November 18, 2021		3/29/2022
Balboa Park Carousel; 1889 Zoo Place	November 18, 2021		3/29/2022
Ruth Dryer Dick/ Richard George Wheeler House; 2354 Pine Street	January 27, 2022		3/29/2022
William and Virginia Calloway/ Sim Bruce Richards House; 10 E. Roseland Drive	January 27, 2022		3/29/2022

William and Frances Sutherland House; 1647 Los Altos Road	January 27, 2022	3/29/2022
Ben Johnson Spec House; 4831 Hart Drive	January 27, 2022	3/29/2022
John and Harriet Monahan/ Olmstead Building Company House; 3761 La Cresta Drive	February 24, 2022	3/29/2022
P-37-012581 (CA-SDI-12581/SDM-W-6); address restricted	February 24, 2022	9/1/2022
Vulcan Stream Room and Sauna; 805 West Cedar Street	March 24, 2022	On Appeal
Jasper Writer Spec House #1; 3611 Hyacinth Drive	April 28, 2022	8/25/2022
LGBTQ Community/Albert Bell Building; 3780-3786 5 th Avenue	April 28, 2022	8/25/2022
Ervin and Ethel Jesse House; 7402 Eads Avenue	May 26, 2022	9/6/2022
Jennie Alberta Wales House; 1086 Hayes Avenue	May 26, 2022	9/6/2022
Verna Werner/A.L. & A.E. Dennstedt Building Company House; 3522 Dumas Street	May 26, 2022	9/6/2022
Timothy and Thelma Perkins/Ralph L. Frank House; 4165 Miller Street	June 23, 2022	9/6/2022
Ellis and Nancy Barron House; 6632 Avenida Manana	June 23, 2022	9/1/2022
Mary Lynch/Frank Hope, Sr. House; 1320 Park Row	June 23, 2022	9/1/2022
Walter and Margaret Trepte House; 1160 Hunter Street	June 23, 2022	9/1/2022
Harry and Blanche A. Muns Speculation House; 3529 Dove Court	July 28, 2022	11/30/2022
Joseph E. Jessop, Sr. House; 414 La Crescentia Drive	July 28, 2022	11/30/2022

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

Omar and Sarah Gray House;	August 25, 2022	11/30/2022
3116 McKinley Street		
The John and Zelda Schelling/ William Wahrenberger House;	August 25, 2022	11/30/2022
4277 Cosoy Way		
Allie A. Knox Speculation House;	August 25, 2022	11/30/2022
3353 Goldsmith Street		
The North Parker/Jonathan Segal Building;	August 25, 2022	11/30/2022
3000 Upas Street		
Arklow Cottage;	September 22, 2022	11/30/2022
7425 Fay Avenue		
El Cantorral Court;	September 22, 2022	11/30/2022
2454-2474 Adams Avenue		
MUT KULA XUY/ MUT LAH HOYA SITE #11;	September 22, 2022	11/30/2022
address restricted		
W.C. and Irene Everett Building;	September 22, 2022	On Appeal
3093-3095 El Cajon Boulevard		

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
Dr. Roy and Herma Ledford/ Charles Salyers Building 2851-2881 4 th Avenue	May 23, 2022	Designation was Overturned by the City Council

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

- 1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan?
 - \boxtimes Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.

🗆 No

 \Box Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan or to the separate historic preservation element. <u>https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf</u>

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

□ All projects subject to design review go the commission.

Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources. Historical Resources staff reviews and approves minor modifications to historical resources that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a project as a minor modification or if the DAS review concludes that a project is consistent with the Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review and comment.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

 What is the role of historic preservation staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? **Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a**

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all Section 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National Register eligible resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design Assistance Subcommittees and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Bruce Abrams	Law	4/12/2022	3/1/2023	esqbma@att.net
Andrew Bowen	Architect	8/04/2017	3/01/2018 Served until 3/15/2022	andrewbowen@cox.net
Kristi Byers	Architect	3/15/2022	3/1/2024	kb@kristibyersaia.com
Lilly Cheng	History	3/15/2022	3/1/2023	lilly.cheng@sdchm.org
Dr. Diana Cordileone	History	4/26/2017	3/01/2019 Served until 3/15/2022	dianacordileone@pointloma.edu
Courtney Ann Coyle	Fine Arts/ Law	7/19/2016	3/1/2018	CourtCoyle@aol.com
Carla Farley	Real Estate	3/15/2022	3/1/2023	carla@corbanrealty.net
Tim Hutter	Law	7/19/2016	3/1/2024	Thutter@allenmatkins.com
David McCullough	Landscape Architect	7/19/2016	3/1/2023	David@mlasd.com
Todd Pitman	Landscape Architect	7/19/2016	3/1/2019 Served until 3/15/2022	tdpitman@ucsd.edu
Cindy Stankowski	Archaeologist	4/26/2017	3/1/2019 Served until 3/15/2022	<u>c.stankowski@att.net</u>
Michael Taylor	Archaeologist	3/15/2022	3/1/2024	mtaylor@nwbenvironmental.com
Matthew Winter	Architect	7/19/2016	3/1/2018	MWinter2000@gmail.com
Ann Woods	Architectural History	11/12/2009	3/1/2017	acwoods@ucsd.edu

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications have not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. Type here.
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position be filled? During this reporting period the HRB had two vacancies and one termed-out position. The Mayor's office and CLG have been able to secure five new Boardmembers; however, one vacancy still remains which is actively being recruited for. The termed-out Boardmember will continue to serve until they are replaced.

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No If not, who serves as staff? <u>Click or</u> <u>tap here to enter text.</u>
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? Type here.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Shannon Anthony Senior Planner (6/2018-Present)	Library Science & Information	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	SAnthony@sandiego.gov
Megan Bacik Assistant Planner (1/2019 to 1/2022)	History	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	MBacik@sandiego.gov
Caroline Garcia Board Secretary (6/2021-Present)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Division	CarGarcia@sandiego.gov
Emma Haggerty Senior Planner/Mills Act Coordinator (8/2018 to 11/2021)	Planning/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	EHaggerty@sandiego.gov
Lea Kolesky Junior Planner (1/2020 to 1/2022)	History	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	LKolesky@sandiego.gov

Alvin Lin Assistant Planner (2/2021 to Present)	Urban Studies	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	AMLin@sandiego.gov
Anna McPherson Program Manager (8/2018 to Present)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	AMcPherson@sandiego.gov
Rocio Mejia Interim Board Secretary (3/2022 to 6/2022)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Division	RMejia@sandiego.gov
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary (6/2018 to 6/2022)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Division	SantosS@sandiego.gov
Suzanne Segur Senior Planner/HRB Liaison (5/2016 to Present)	American Studies/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	<u>SSegur@sandiego.gov</u>
Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen Program Manager (6/2022-Present)	Management	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Division	EShearer@sandiego.gov
Kelley Stanco Deputy Director/CLG Liaison (3/2006 to Present)	History & Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	KStanco@sandiego.gov
Bernard Turgeon Senior Planner (3/2017 to Present)	Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	BTurgeon@sandiego.gov
Megan Walker Associate Planner (6/2022-Present)	Urban Studies & Political Science	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	MEWalker@sandiego.gov
Andrew Wood Junior Planner (6/2022-Present	Anthropology	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	ADWood@sandiego.gov

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for staff.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

	No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting									
	Meeting No No No									
	No Meeting No									
	Meeting No									
	Meeting No									
			\boxtimes	\square						
					\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		\boxtimes
					\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes		\square	\boxtimes
	No Meeting			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	No Meeting		\boxtimes	\boxtimes						
\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes						
					\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
\boxtimes	No Meeting		\boxtimes				\boxtimes			\boxtimes
\boxtimes	No Meeting		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
		Meeting Meeting Meeting No No Meeting No No Meeting No No	Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No No	Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting	Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting	Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting Meeting No Meeting No Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting Meeting Meeting	Meeting Meeting Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting No Meeting Meeting Meeting Meeting No N	Meeting Meeting	Meeting Meeting	Meeting Meeting

Shannon Anthony Senior Planner						\boxtimes						
Megan Bacik Assistant Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes										
Caroline Garcia Board Secretary	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting								\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Emma Haggerty Senior Planner	\boxtimes											
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square			
Rocio Mejia Interim Board Secretary					\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Sabrina Custer Legislative Recorder			No Meeting							\boxtimes		
Alvin Lin Assistant Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Lea Kolesky Junior Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes										
Suzanne Segur Senior Planner/HRB Liaison	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes								
Elizabeth Shearer-Nguyen Program Manager						\boxtimes						
Kelley Stanco Deputy Director/CLG Liaison			No Meeting		\boxtimes							
Bernard Turgeon Senior Planner						\boxtimes						
Megan Walker Associate Planner												\boxtimes
Andrew Wood Junior Planner											\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Anna McPherson Program Manager	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No Meeting	\boxtimes								

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Boardmember Coyle	Commission Assistance and Mentoring Program (CAMP)	6 Hours	National Alliance of Preservation Commissions	October 2021
Staff	Project Submittal and Issuance Staff training on the Historical Review Process and Regulations	1 Hour	HRB Staff	10/22/2021
Boardmembers	New Boardmember Orientation	3 Hours	City Staff	4/21/2022
Staff	Project Submittal and Issuance Staff training on the Historical Review Process and Regulations	1 Hour	HRB Staff	7/8/2022
Staff	Telecon and Utilities Division Staff training on the Historical Review Process and Regulations	1 Hour	HRB Staff	8/10/2022
Boardmembers	Ethics Training	1 Hour	Sharon Spivak and Ryan O'Conner; City of San Diego Ethics Commission	8/25/2022
Staff	Webinar: Historic Sites and Revitalization: A Toolkit for Northern Californians; Part I: Making the Case for Historic Preservation	1.5 Hours	California Preservation Foundation – Various Speakers	9/27/2022

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic</u> <u>Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP) NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Clairemont Community Planning Area Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Clairemont Community to assist in the identification of historic resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the Clairemont Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Clairemont.	In development
University Community Plan Area Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the University Community to assist in the identification of historic resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the University Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in the University Community.	In development
Mira Mesa Community Plan Area Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Mira Mesa Community to assist in the identification of historic resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the Mira Mesa Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Mira Mesa.	In development

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

College Area Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the College Area Community to assist in the identification of historic resources important to that community.	Area Community Plan Update and	In development
--	---	--------------------------------	----------------

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
University Community Plan Area Focused Reconnaissance Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance	8,500	TBD	In progress	N/A
Mira Mesa Community Plan Area Focused Reconnaissance Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance	10,500	TBD	In progress	N/A
Hillcrest LGBTQ+ Historic Context Statement & MPL Survey	Yes	Intensive	380	TBD	In progress	N/A

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify and preserve significant historical resources. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluated at the project level when a permit application is submitted.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Individual meetings with historic property owners.	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing
Ethics Training	The Board's Ethics training was open to the public.	8/25/2022
La Jolla Masterminds Meeting	Historical Resources staff met with real estate professionals to discuss the advantages and potential challenges of the historical review process and Mills Act Agreements.	9/8/2022

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf.CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2021) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Designations	97

A. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? ⊠Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022? 35

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022? *Click or tap here to enter text.*

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2021-2022	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Mills Act	62	1823

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ⊠No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022? 3,400

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021--September 30, 2022) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? □Yes ⊠ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022 Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited		
Type here.	Type here.		

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN YOUR FEEDBACK ABOUT THE RECENT CAMP TRAINING

- Did anyone from your local government participate in the free CAMP training opportunities in Fall 2021? Yes, Suzanne Segur and Shannon Anthony both attended the September 16 &17 session. Boardmember Coyle also attended in October 2022.
- Whether or not you were able to take advantage of any of the CAMP trainings in 2021, would you like to see OHP to provide free additional CAMPs in the future? Yes, the training was very informative and additional trainings would allow more boardmembers and staff to attend.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

- What are your top three topics for future training?
 - Strategies for making local preservation programs more equitable
 - Strategies for incorporating new housing and development laws into local historical resources regulations
 - Designing consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards

XII Attachments (electronic)

- ☑ Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and staff
- \boxtimes Minutes from commission meetings
- □ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
- □ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan
- □ Public outreach publications

Email to: info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov