

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us:

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: www.lajollacpa.org

info@lajollacpa.org

President: Tony Crisafi

Vice President: Matt Mangano

2nd Vice President: Dave Gordon

Secretary: Suzanne Weissman

Treasurer: Mike Costello

FINAL MINUTES–

Annual Member and Regular Meeting | Thursday, March 5, 2020– 6 p.m.

1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Tony Crisafi, President 6:00 p.m.

This is a full agenda, recorded meeting therefore, the following rules will be enforced:

- Mobile devices off or on silent mode.
- All public and trustee comment will be addressed to the chair. Public & trustee comment will be limited to 2 minutes.
- Comments will be directed to the project or matter stated in third person for the purpose of respect & clarity
- Chair may ask for member votes. Please keep hands raised until the vote tally is announced.
- Chair will alternate order of trustee comment. Upon consensus, Chair will close discussion and call for a motion.
- Please notify chair of any organized public presentation requests prior to meeting

Quorum present: 23 members (21 or 20% of 104 members needed)

Trustees: Brady, Costello, Crisafi, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Gordon, Jackson, Kane, Little, Mangano, Manno, Shannon, Weissman **Absent:** Courtney, Ish, Will – Neil out of room for election

2.0 Adopt the Agenda

Motion: Adopt as presented. (Boyden/Gordon) Vote: 23-0-0: Motion carries.

3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval:

3.1 February 6, 2020– Regular meeting minutes

Motion: approve minutes: (Fitzgerald/Jackson) Vote: (trustees only) 11-0-2: Motion carries

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Jackson, Kane, Little, Mangano, Manno, Shannon, Weissman

Opposed: none

Abstain: Gordon, Crisafi (chair)

3.2 Village Streetscapes – The La Jolla Community Foundation presents design improvements.

Presenters: Phyllis Pfeiffer, Chair of LJ Community Foundation & Publisher of LJ Light, Mark Steele, Project Architect.

Pfeiffer: I founded the LJ Community Foundation 10 years ago to raise funds to fix up the Village but found that no one could do any work in the City Public Right of Way (PROW) until they formed a Maintenance Assessment District (MAD) or another city recognized city organization. It took 5 years and a quarter million dollars to form the MAD. Our goal was to raise funds to do capital improvements in the Village. Our group gave a \$75,000 grant to Mark Steele to bring in a collaboration of 6 architects to propose a streetscape plan. All the work will be done with private funds and now we can do the work in the City's PROW. We have raised \$1.1 million toward the \$10 million estimated cost.

Steele: He presented renderings of the plan showing the plan on Prospect at the dip, or the Belvedere, a pedestrian plaza overlooking Cove Park; improvements to the intersection at Girard and Wall St.; improvements to the crossing at the Girard Gourmet to make it safer and to the intersection at Girard and Silverado with pop-outs and landscaping. Artwork or patterns in the street paving are also being considered to complement the Murals of La Jolla. These are conceptual designs to aid in fundraising. Once funds are available, we will make final designs, finalize engineering and move forward.

Kane: I encourage you to coordinate with the Cultural Zone improvement activities to make use of some of the historical objects such as the historical light standards. Also to maintain mature trees some of which were planted by Ellen Browning Scripps. Maintain what is good that has evolved over time to keep the village character. **Reply:** We have been having these discussions.

Fitzgerald: Is there anything in the plans to uniquely identify La Jolla besides the natural setting. **Pfeiffer:** One thing we plan to do is bring the seahorse benches. Also the Murals are unique to La Jolla; we will meet with the Murals group to plan artistic touches.

Miller: Are there any roundabouts? **Reply:** No

Weiss: Question about pop-outs on corners. During periods of heavy traffic they remove the option of right turns moving through. Has there been an analysis of the effect of the pop-outs on traffic congestion?

Reply: The City engineers will look at this. Pop-outs are beneficial to pedestrians.

Kane: Has anyone considered making the intersection at Silverado and Girard a scramble crosswalk?

Reply: We looked at that; so will the City engineers. For scramble to work you need a traffic light.

3.3 Public comment – none

3.4 Ad hoc La Jolla Shores Code review committee

3.4.1 Whether to form an ad hoc committee to review the LJSPDO & Municipal Code and advise the City on application and/or modifications and updates of same.

3.4.2 Whether to authorize the President to forward recommendations prior to LJCPA regular April meeting by the March 21, 2020 city deadline for comments.

3.4.3 Alternate to 3.4.2 action – _Whether to outreach to the La Jolla Shores Community and submit findings by September 2020 to the LJCPA for the 2021 code update deadline of December 2020.

Crisafi: 3.4.2 and 3.4.3 are mutually exclusive. If the 3.4.2 is chosen trustees are giving up our right to review what is being sent ahead regarding any recommended updates to the MC with respect to the LJ Shores PDO because the deadline for the 2020 code update is March 21. The next deadline is December 2020 for the 2021 update allowing trustee review of recommendations to the Shores PDO.

Kane: The simplest fix would be to insert at the top of the LJSPDO the wording "where the PDO and the MC are in conflict, the most restrictive section applies."

Crisafi: If the 1st motion passes a committee is formed. If 2nd motion passes the committee meets before the 3/21 deadline and hands in recommendations to the President to forward to City -- too soon for trustees to review. If the 3rd motion passes it is a longer-term approach to apply the MC sections to the Shores PDO or whatever the committee comes up with and is more inclusive to the public. The 2nd, a short-term fix; the 3rd a longer-term approach.

Emerson: Since this has to do with the LJ Shores and the Shores Association meets next week, we want to know what the motion is and who we can work in with this.

Little: The DPR meeting did not pertain to LJ Shores.

Kane: Last month we voted to consider the LJ Shores in the DPR recommendations.

Crisafi: The PRC is restricted to reviewing active projects only, SDP, CDP, NDP. The advisory board can review code updates. This ad hoc committee would step in where the PRC cannot take this action up.

Weissman: Can we send in what the DPR recommendations now, then form the committee to do the Shores PDO update for December so that the Shores Association and the LJ Shores Advisory Board, which is called out in the PDO and should be included, can participate. I would like to keep the update simple. The Shores PDO conflicts with the MC and has a different way of looking at things. It is a narrative having to do with neighborhood character and not comparable to the MC. I suggest putting in a sentence about a numerical floor area ratio, but that requires more discussion. Better to take time to work out.

Crisafi: That would be option 3.

Gordon: I recommend we form an ad hoc committee, make a plan with more time for next year's update.

Merten: There are potential changes to the LJSPDO that are non-controversial. Other changes such as FAR are more difficult. I recommend we appoint an ad hoc committee, adopt some changes that are non-controversial and send them in now. Then let the ad hoc committee work on the issues for December.

Comments: What is non-controversial? **Merten:** City-wide fence and retaining wall regs do not apply in the Shores.

Motion: I move that an ad hoc committee be formed to study this for the Shores PDO and Design Manual and come back with recommendations relative to that. (Emerson/Gordon): Member Vote: 26-0-0

Courtney arrives 6:40

Motion: Chair will forward the DPR recommendations we already discussed here now and anything from the Shores ad hoc committee will go to the LJCPA trustees by September and then be forwarded to the City by the December deadline for the 2021 update. (Jackson/Weissman) Member Vote 26-0-1 Courtney abstains. Motion carries

3.5 La Jolla View Reservoir – cancelled.

3.6 Action Item UCSD Special Meeting: Should LJCPA coordinate and lead, in conjunction with T&T Subcommittee, LJSA, LJTC & UCSD, a special meeting to review the UCSD long-range development plan and future campus development plan.

Crisafi: Handed out a sheet as follows: La Jolla Community Planning Association requests that UCSD organize and hold an open house at the La Jolla Recreation Center or other available community venue of equal accommodation. The LJCPA, the La Jolla Shores Association, La Jolla Town Council and the Traffic and Transportation Board shall notice the community and shall be represented by each at the open house. The open house schedule shall be set so that each community group will be represented. UCSD will present an update on the campus plan and projects and their presentation subject is: "UC San Diego Community Open House – Update on Campus Plan and Projects."

Emerson: Last Friday Dave Gordon, myself, Dave Abrams and a few others met with people from the University. The upshot of this meeting was that we felt that the community needed to hear these plans in the community rather than at the University campus. What we would like to do is have the 4 groups host this meeting in the community here or another suitable location and have the University as a partner come present. The most important thing now is the Future College Living and Learning Neighborhood (FCLLN). We agree that the Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) also needs to be presented to the Community, but the feeling was that that was too much to swallow all at once. Since there is a time deadline of July for final plans and breaking ground in September we felt it was most important to hear that as soon as possible and have the other later.

Jackson: Is the University amenable to this plan?

Delouri: At the Friday meeting with community leaders we agreed on a community open house in the community since many of you are intimidated to come to the campus. We agreed to hold an open house in the community. We believe that it is important for the Community to understand the 2018 LRDP and its accompanying EIR that has been certified by the Regents. This Future College (FCLLN) is part of that document and that planning process. It is hard to divide the two since the FCLLN comes from that plan. I believe that Janie said the explanation of the LRDP be provided at a separate time along with the other projects and have this presentation focus on the FCLLN only. That is not our interpretation and not our preference.

Gordon: At the meeting, Robert Clossin did a brief overview of the LRDP and I think that made a difference for those in attendance who had not seen the big picture rather than looking at this one Future College only. The detail design of this FCLLN is not part of the LRDP. It is best that a quick overview of the LRDP be presented then go into a focused presentation of the design of the FCLLN.

Emerson: I agree. That is fine.

Motion: We along with the LJSA, LJTC, LJ&T agree to co-host an open house with UCSD for a presentation and discussion of the Future College Living and Learning Neighborhood Plan in the contest of the 2018 Long Range Development Plan ideally by March 31 or as soon as practical. (Jackson/Emerson)
Vote: 28-0-1 Little abstains. Motion carries

Member meeting closed. 7 pm

4.0 Consent Agenda – 4.1 – 4.3

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. Projects may be pulled from consent agenda by anyone present. Items will be moved to full review at the present of following regular LJCPA meeting.

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

4.1 – Request for diagonal parking on Herschel Ave. between Kline & Torrey Pines Rd.

T&T Motion to approve request 8-0-0

4.2 – Request for reconsideration of La Jolla Scenic North & South connection (cont'd item) – Former overpass project across LJ Parkway that was removed from La Jolla Community Plan

T&T Motion to request city to conduct a comprehensive traffic study for all possible solutions related to traffic congestion at The Throat & other abutting areas 8-0-0

4.3 - K-4 Residence SDP (2nd review), Project 522708, 7595 Hillside Dr., Process 3, SDP and CDP for the demolition of an existing dwelling unit and constriction of a 7,091 square foot, two story, over-basement dwelling unit. The 0.797 acre site is located in the single family zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District within the La Jolla Community Plan Area, Council District 1.

PRC motion to approve, subject to city approving non-standard driveway 3-0-2

See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote. Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.

Pull item 4.3, K-4 Residence.

Motion: approve consent agenda items 4.1, 4.2, (Courtney/Kane) **Vote:** unanimous 13-0-1, chair abstains. Motion carries

5.0 Officer Reports:

5.1 Treasurer - Mike Costello's report –

Treasurer's Report for March 5, 2020 Regular Meeting

Beginning Balance as of February 1, 2020	\$252.00
Income	
Collections, February 6, 2020	\$199.00
CD Sales	\$00.00
Witness Fee	\$ 15.00
Total Income	<u>\$214.00</u>
Expenses	
Agenda printing, Feb. 6, 2020	\$101.89
other	\$00.00
Total Expenses	<u>\$101.89</u>
Net Income/(Loss)	\$112.11
Ending Balance of February 29, 2020	\$364.11

Costello: The Rec. Center leadership has requested a charge of \$21.63 for use of this room past 9 pm. That is ½ of the charge last year. We are negotiating.

5.2 Secretary:

If you want your attendance recorded today, you should sign in at the back of the room or let me know that you want your attendance recorded. You are welcome to attend without signing in or joining.

LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age.

Eligible visitors wishing to join the LJCPA need to submit an application, copies of which are available at the sign-in table or on-line at the LJCPA website: www.lajollacpa.org/.

We encourage you to join so that you can vote in the Trustee elections and at the Annual Meeting in March.

You can become a Member after completing the application and attending one meeting. You can maintain your membership by documented attendance at one meeting per year. If you do not attend one meeting per year, your membership will expire.

To qualify as a candidate in an election to become a Trustee, a Member must have documented attendance at **three** LJCPA meetings in the preceding 12-month period.

5.2.1 Membership committee report – The Membership committee is a standing committee of the CPA that meets quarterly per the bylaws. We met on February 11. The minutes from that meeting are included in the trustee packet and were Eblasted to the public. The Membership spreadsheet that is on the CPA website was thoroughly reviewed going back to the sign in sheets and updated through February to confirm eligible voting members and members eligible to be a trustee confirming the 9 candidates on the ballot tonight. Membership applications are now available at the sign in table. I encourage anyone here tonight for the first time to complete an application and become a member. At our next Membership committee meeting tentatively on May 12, we will determine the names to be expunged from the membership spread sheet for expired members.

6.0 Elected Officials – Information Only

6.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry.

Rep: Steven Hadley, 619-236-6972, srhadley@sandiego.gov

Hadley:

- Undergrounding on Avenida Manama has been stalled. SDG&E believes the soil is too moist to adequately trench and maintain. The City doesn't agree thus a standoff. Council Member Bry has invited them to sit down and try to resolve, but Mayor says City won't join that meeting. We're trying to come to some resolution; that undergrounding block has been going on for a year while others have been started and some completed.
- MTS has finished its feeder study for the new trolley and concluded the local route from PB through LJ to UTC to the University is needed. MTS board will vote on this in the summer. The intent is to have the route open concurrently with trolley service in the fall of 2021. These lines are a pilot for the 1st year so use it as much as you can so we can keep that line.

Jackson: What is the plan frequency on that line and will it become a rapid transit corridor? The 30 bus will become an express where? Reply: Every 15 minutes during rush hour, 30 minutes other times. I will send more information to the trustees.

- Barbara Bry will have another proposal for Short Term Vacation Rentals (STVR) this fall. She is determined to address this before she leaves office. She has good legal advice that the Mayor could enforce the existing laws through code enforcement but that is not being done. To review in 2017 she brought a proposal, council approved it, the industry raised money for a referendum, council backed off. Since then other cities have passed more stringent ordinances that have met legal challenges and have been upheld. She believes we can come back with at least what we have and maybe address it differently.
- Barbara Bry has joined with Council Members Kersey, Montgomery and Moreno yesterday in a memo about problems with sharing of legal advice and opinion among several offices such as the Auditor, the Ethics Commission, the Citizens' Review Board. Per the memo, these Council Members are seeking an alternative model for legal services that would give these independent offices their own legal advice separate from the City Attorney. The Mayor and City Council would have their own independent legal advisor appointed by the Mayor/Council who could help them draft legislation and advise them on matters coming to the council. This is a model the County uses and they believe the City could use it as well. The purpose is to give independent legal judgement to different parties and avoid the conflict of interest that currently exists with one office giving legal advice to everyone and sometimes having to pick and choose between risk management advice or actual drafting and proposing ordinances. I will send out that memo for discussion and consideration for the ballot in November.

Further explanation and discussion continued about the prosecutorial role of the elected City Attorney and the establishment of a new office headed by a Mayor/Council appointee who would assume civil advisory and litigation functions followed.

6.2 78th Assembly District: Assembly member Todd Gloria

Rep: Mathew Gordon 619-645-3090, mathew.gordon@asm.ca.gov

Matthew Gordon: The Governor has called a state emergency re the corona virus. Don't be alarmed; not to incite fear. This declaration helps to bring resources from the Federal government so we have access to out of state professionals etc. The response to the virus throughout the state has been divided into separate phases: repatriation and monitoring international flights. Since the passage of AB262, San Diego County is better prepared for communicable disease outbreaks. During the 2017 Hep A outbreak there was no structure between county and city governments. Now the state has structure in place. The County Board of Supervisors has explained its preparations i.e. wash hands.

Reminder, beginning Oct. 20, if you have not received your Real ID, you will not be allowed to board a plane or enter secure federal facility. Your passport book or card are acceptable forms of ID. If your ID states "federal limits apply," you will not be able to board a plane or enter a federal facility. Flyers about Real ID and how to apply are in the back of the room. I encourage you to reach out to me via email, phone or visit.

6.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins, Senate President pro Tempore Rep: Miller Saltzman, 619-518-8188, MillerSaltzman@sen.ca.gov

Miller Saltzman: The budget has been introduced and needs to be passed by legislature by June 15. Deadlines for bills have passed so watch for any of interest to you.

- Toni Atkins is focusing on affordable housing, building close to jobs.
- SB 45 by Senator Allen of LA area for a \$515 million bond for climate resiliency to make California a leader in fighting climate change and addresses sea level rise, flooding, preparing for drought, safe drinking water, preparing for wildfires.
- This being a coastal town, sea level rise is of concern as a large part of the district is along the ocean so that bond can make sure that the coast is resilient and make sure that when the sea level does rise the coast is prepared to take that on.
- There will be hearings about AB 2367 to make sure that home insurance is available and affordable for homes in fire prone areas around the state.
- Hearings and bills are expected keeping utilities compatible.
- Power safety and power shutoffs (PSPS) were challenging this year especially in northern CA.
- Pro Tem Atkins' bill 1100 will help communities protect against loss of life, economic harm and environmental damage around rising sea levels from climate change. The US Geological Survey expects up to 7 ft. sea level rise by 2100. This bill will make the California Coastal Commission have to consider sea level rise when making policy and planning as well as establishing a new state level sea level rise collaborative to establish funding for governments and cities to plan for and mitigate sea level rise. These two bills in tandem will do a lot to help San Diego fight climate change and rising sea level.
- Another fun stat: rising sea level will affect over ½ million people and \$150 billion in property value.

Make sure to fill out census forms coming in the mail by March 12, to make sure we get funding for important things like schools. Newsletters are in the back.

Courtney: A bill in 2019 gave utilities authority to cut down trees outside their easement without giving the owners reimbursement for loss of timber value. This is contrary to global warming and climate change mitigations. The utilities are trying to clear areas around power lines as wide as 3-400 ft. rather than replacing equipment. PG&E has cut down 100 million trees. Have you seen any bills this year that will affect this practice? **Reply:** I don't know much about that. I know about taking care of forests, taking down brush in wilderness areas.

Kane: Is there any preference at the state level as sea level rises to harden areas under water and let the ocean take them and pull back? **Reply:** This is called managed retreat, an idea for future city planning for buildings at sea level. As planning boards and cities look at projects, they consider the effects of rising seas.

Costello: This is inconsistent with the Coastal Commission plan for phased retreat. With only a few exceptions to allow protection from sea level rise, everything else will be consumed. We need direction from the state and the CCC.

7.0 President's Report – Information only unless otherwise noted

7.1 Check for updated online ECOW links:

<https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/resources>

8.0 Public Comment

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less. No comments

8.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov no report

8.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu Alyssa Helper

Helper: Passed out flyer March 2020 Community Group Update. East Campus Mesa Housing Neighborhood

- Mesa Nueva Graduate and Professional Student Housing Project: Opened August 2017, 1300 beds for grad and professional students, 900 parking space structure.
- Nuevo West Graduate Student Housing Project opening this spring. 800 beds, 1200 space parking structure, neighborhood market. It will also include the La Jolla Family House with 82 beds with support and administrative space for UC San Diego Health. Similar to the Ronald McDonald House it will provide accommodations for patients and their families receiving medical treatment from UC San Diego Health in La Jolla.
- Nuevo East Student Housing Project opening this fall. 1400 beds with a student community center, fitness center and other gathering spaces for students.
- To reduce the need for residents to drive cars to west campus, different transportation improvements have recently opened. The Mesa Housing Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge Project. A non-motorized connection to the Mesa Nuevo neighborhood and medical center with sidewalks leading to Gillman Dr. It is a safer and shorter route to west campus.
- 60% of our students receive some form of financial aid, 1/3 receive a Pell Grant and/or are the first in their family to go to college. UC San Diego has added 1642 Pell students over the last three years, more than any institution participating in the American Talent Initiative to expand opportunities for low and moderate income students.

Miller: Where are the trolley stations on UCSD campus and is there parking? **Reply:** One at Pepper Canyon and one on East Campus. I think those will have onsite parking as part of a park and ride.

Delouri: The one on East Campus is across from the Pruess School and Scripps hospital. They are working on a 1500 space parking structure that is not part of the LRP because the idea is to get away from cars and use the trolley. Parking will be at Nobel St. station. Sandag is investing in a parking structure across from the Mall.

Kane: How many students on campus are housed now and what is the future plan? **Reply:** Now approximately 39,000 with 15,000 housed or 39%. At build out 42,400 with 65% housed. We will be one of the largest residential campuses in the US. In light of the many challenges we are facing today this is a good direction. We keep our students on campus, take traffic off busy roads. It is healthy, sustainable and good for the life of the students.

Delouri: Addressing comments about retail on campus that we are turning our backs to the community and not supporting local business. This is college scale retail. We are working with local vendors to bring the food students like – a flavor of the community to campus so they don't have to drive off.

Miller: I heard they were researching a senior living facility. Where would that be located? **Reply:** We are researching inter-generational housing on East Campus. Not sure where exactly.

Courtney: Would UCSD be housing non-students? **Reply:** We have other affiliates, faculty members who are retired. Not sure what has been worked out.

Delouri: We bring updates that are timely that we think you would be interested in. If you feel our presentations could be improved, please let us know. We welcome feedback.

Kane: The campus is big and some of us have problems getting around, but I think you are doing some really exciting architecture and want to thank you for updating the quality of building in San Diego. Some of the best modernist stuff in the City is on your campus.

9.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comment

Opportunity for trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less

Shannon: Comment on level of stress of students evidenced by the drop in GPA from high school to College. Semester rather than quarter system may shift some of the stress level.

Courtney: For vegetation management PG&E program has been cutting down trees for years. None of these recent fires has been caused by a tree branch hitting a power line. Old, worn power lines could spark. These problems could be mitigated by insulated wires and other measures. Because of the gas explosion in San Bruno, a Federal judge ordered to cut down every tree tall enough to hit a power line. It is equipment failures that cause fires not trees.

10.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees - Information only unless noted.

10.1 Community Planners Committee

Gordon: At the January meeting Mara Elliott reported that they are working on updating the rules for Planning Groups. SDG&E reported on franchise fee renewal same as last month here. The Climate Action Committee wants the city to create a new non-profit city-run utility. In my opinion that will not work.

At the February meeting the city is reviewing the SDG&E franchise fees. The Redistricting Committee is seeking volunteers for redistricting the council districts. I have the information if anyone is interested.

Costello: I along with Joe LaCava represented La Jolla at the last redistricting meeting and our suggestions were implemented; You can make a difference.

Kane: I found a page on the City's website about implementing SB 330 locally. The EIR comments were due on Jan. 27. Per Steve Hadley it is coming to council this summer. Does anyone know about this?

Pangilinan: I will try to find out.

10.2 Coastal Access & Parking Board – no report

10.3 UC San Diego advisory Committee – Will meet next week.

10.4 Hillside Drive Ad Hoc Committee – Diane Kane, Chair –

Manno: There was discussion whether we should allow asphalt paving which won't last long; it was agreed that it is better than nothing. New signage was discussed for other parts of Hillside.

Miller: Have the new signs been any benefit? No definite answers. More signs are needed further up Hillside.

10.5 Airport Noise Advisory Committee – Matthew Price – no report

10.6 Playa Del Norte Stanchion Committee – no report

Neil returns to room after election count

Crisafi: Thanks to the Election Committee: The new trustees for a 3 year term are: Weiss, Weissman, Steck, Jackson, Ahern. A tie between Boyden and Davidson. Per the coin toss, Davidson 3 years, Boyden, 2 years.

XX. Adjourn at 8:07 pm. to next regular LJCPA Meeting: Thursday, April 2, 2020 at 6:00 pm.