



**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score						
<b>2. Organizational Capacity</b>	a. Applicant clearly describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and of comparable complexity.	5						
	b. Applicant has experience in providing services to low and moderate income residents or presumed low and moderate income CDBG beneficiaries such as seniors, illiterate adults, homeless persons, abused children and/or battered spouses.	5						
	c. Describes efforts to collaborate with other service agencies including organizations that provided similar services and resources.	5						
	Comments:	<b>Points Possible</b>  <b>15</b>						
<b>3. Budget</b>	a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for maintenance of improvements.	5						
	b. Budget for project clearly identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs.	5						
	c. Budget clearly details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.	5						
	d. Budget clearly lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: <table border="0" data-bbox="693 1023 1491 1153" style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td>▪ 0%-5% (0 points)</td> <td>▪ 41%-60% (3 points)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>▪ 6%-20% (1 points)</td> <td>▪ 61%-80% (4 points)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>▪ 21%-40% (2 points)</td> <td>▪ 81%-100% (5 points)</td> </tr> </table>	▪ 0%-5% (0 points)	▪ 41%-60% (3 points)	▪ 6%-20% (1 points)	▪ 61%-80% (4 points)	▪ 21%-40% (2 points)	▪ 81%-100% (5 points)	5 <i>(HPA confirmed score)</i>
	▪ 0%-5% (0 points)	▪ 41%-60% (3 points)						
▪ 6%-20% (1 points)	▪ 61%-80% (4 points)							
▪ 21%-40% (2 points)	▪ 81%-100% (5 points)							
Comments:	<b>Points Possible</b>  <b>20</b>							

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
4. Project Benefits	<p><b>Non-profit Capital Improvement Projects, including Housing Activities</b></p> <p><i>(Applicant should either answer a. <u>and d.</u>, b. <u>and d.</u>, or c. <u>and d.</u>)</i></p> <p>a. Applicant clearly describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and provides the references used for this determination. Public Projects must be considered a Low and Moderate Income Limited Clientele Activity (LMC) by serving one of the following populations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Presumed Benefit low income clientele as defined by HUD*; or</li> <li>ii. Direct Benefit to Low Income Persons based on compliance with HUD* income limits through documented family size and income.</li> </ul> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p>b. Low and Moderate Income Housing (LMH): Units occupied by low and moderate income persons.</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p>c. Low and Moderate Income Area Benefit (LMA): Facility or improvements will provide activities that are available to benefit all the resident of an area which is primarily residential <b>and</b> that has a service area that qualifies with a majority of HUD eligible census block groups*.</p>	12
	<p>d. Geographic Targeting: Describe any efforts or strategies for targeted outreach to the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights*.</p> <p><i>*Please see the Applicant Handbook for further definitions.</i></p>	1
	<p>Comments:</p>	<p align="center"><b>Points Possible</b></p> <p align="center"><b>13</b></p>

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>5. Project Specifics</b>	<b>a. Applicant clearly describes Contract Execution Readiness: Extent to which a project is ready to proceed by detailing that:</b> i. Total amount of CDBG funds requested is justified by accurate cost estimations; <i>-If the facility has received CDBG funds for improvements/expansions in the past, applicant must explain the outcome and justification for the request of additional CDBG funds.</i>	6
	ii. The level of Environmental Review (City, State and Federal) needed has been identified and planned for, as demonstrated by HUD Programs staff verification; and	2 <i>(HPA confirmed score)</i>
	iii. Clearly describe all applicable permits have been identified, planned for, and/or secured. If permits not needed, applicant clearly describes basis of that determination.	2
	<b>b. Project Scope &amp; Schedule</b> i. The CDBG eligible Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with meeting National Objectives and other HUD requirements, as demonstrated by HUD Programs staff verification;	2 <i>(HPA confirmed score)</i>
	ii. Applicant has clearly described how the project will be completed and funds expended within the required 18-month timeline (12) specifying key milestones: 1) Project will be released for bid 2) Construction contract awarded 3) Anticipated Construction Timeline 4) 100% expenditure level 5) Project completion, beneficiaries reported (National Objective met), and close out report approved by HUD Programs staff	10
	Comments:	<b>Points Possible</b>  <b>22</b>

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>6. Performance Indicators</b>	<p>City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG programs*. <i>These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, designed by documented performance levels:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor deficiencies (-1)</li> <li>• Moderate deficiencies (-2)</li> <li>• Significant deficiencies (-3)</li> </ul> <p><b>Performance Indicator data collected from FY 2015 forward for use in FY 18</b></p>	-3