

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: PUBLIC SERVICES**



**Overall Score:**

.Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>1. Project Characteristics</b>	<b>a.</b> Applicant provides a clear project summary which includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i.</b> Brief description of the project including resulting activities and/or services to be provided;</li> </ul>	5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>ii.</b> Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and</li> </ul>	5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>iii.</b> The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are not available to meet the need(s).</li> </ul>	5
	<b>b.</b> Applicant clearly explains how the proposed project will result in the provision of a <b><u>new service</u></b> or the expansion of an <b><u>existing service</u></b> .	5
	<b>c.</b> Applicant clearly identifies the goal(s) of the project and describes how these goals will be met.	5
	<b>d.</b> Applicant clearly identifies the results of the project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i.</b> Number of unduplicated City of San Diego individuals or households to be assisted.</li> </ul>	5
	Comments:	<p align="right"><b>Points Possible</b></p> <p align="center"><b>30</b></p>

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: PUBLIC SERVICES**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>2. Organizational Capacity</b>	a. Applicant clearly describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and of comparable complexity.	5
	b. Applicant has experience in providing services to low and moderate income residents or presumed low and moderate income CDBG beneficiaries such as seniors, illiterate adults, homeless persons, abused children and/or battered spouses.	5
	c. Describe efforts to collaborate with other service agencies including organizations that provide similar services and resources.	5
	Comments:	<b>Points Possible</b>  <b>15</b>
<b>3. Budget</b>	a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability.	5
	b. Budget for project clearly identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs.	5
	c. Budget clearly details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.	5
	d. Budget clearly lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 0%-5% (0 points)</li> <li>▪ 6%-20% (1 points)</li> <li>▪ 21%-40% (2 points)</li> <li>▪ 41%-60% (3 points)</li> <li>▪ 61%-80% (4 points)</li> <li>▪ 81%-100% (5 points)</li> </ul>	5 <i>(HPA confirmed score)</i>
	Comments:	<b>Points Possible</b>  <b>20</b>

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: PUBLIC SERVICES**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>4. Project Benefits</b>	<p><b>Public Services</b></p> <p><i>(Applicant should answer a. and b.)</i></p> <p><b>a.</b> Applicant clearly describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and provides the references used for this determination. Public Service projects must be considered a Low and Moderate income Limited Clientele Activity (LMC) by serving one of the following populations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Presumed low income clientele as defined by HUD*; or</li> <li>ii. Direct Benefit to Low Income Persons based on compliance with HUD* income limits through documented family size and income.</li> </ul>	12
	<p><b>b.</b> Geographic Targeting: Describe efforts and strategies to target within one or more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights.*</p> <p><i>*Please see the Applicant Handbook for further definitions.</i></p>	1
	<p>Comments:</p>	<p align="right"><b>Points Possible</b></p> <p align="center"><b>13</b></p>

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: PUBLIC SERVICES**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>5. Project Specifics</b>	<b>a.</b> Applicant provides a listing of the services to be provided and a clear description of each of these services which includes, as applicable, the following details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i.</b> The quantity and duration of each of these services;</li> </ul>	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>ii.</b> The method of delivery;</li> </ul>	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>iii.</b> Details regarding whether each of these services will be provided on an individual basis and/or group settings; and</li> </ul>	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>iv.</b> Explain and justify the total amount of CDBG funds requested in relation to the services provided and any fees charged.</li> </ul>	4
	<b>b. Project Scope &amp; Schedule</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i.</b> The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with CDBG eligibility, National Objective and other HUD and City requirements; and</li> </ul>	2 <i>(HPA confirmed score)</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>ii.</b> Applicant has clearly described how the project will be completed within the required 12-month timeline.</li> </ul>	10
	Comments:	<p align="right"><b>Points Possible</b></p> <p align="center"><b>22</b></p>

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2017 CDBG APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA**  
**Category: PUBLIC SERVICES**



Category	Criteria	Maximum Score
<b>6. Performance Indicators</b>	<p>City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG program*. <i>These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, determined by performance levels:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor deficiencies (-1)</li> <li>• Moderate deficiencies (-2)</li> <li>• Significant deficiencies (-3)</li> </ul> <p><b>Performance Indicator data collected from FY 2015 forward for use in FY 18 Evaluations</b></p>	-3