

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2018 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM**  
**Category: COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**



**Applicant Agency:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Overall Score:</b>  _____
------------------------------------

**Reviewer's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer's Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

Category	Criteria	Reviewer Score	Maximum Score
<b>1. Project Characteristics</b>	<b>a.</b> Applicant provides a clear project summary which includes: <b>i.</b> Brief description of the project including resulting activities and/or services to be provided;		5
	<b>ii.</b> Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and		5
	<b>iii.</b> The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are not available to meet the need(s).		5
	<b>b.</b> Applicant clearly explains the expected results of the proposed project and how it will result in the provision of a <b>new program</b> or the expansion/improvement of an <b>existing program</b> .		5
	<b>c.</b> Applicant clearly identifies the goal(s) of the project and describes how these goals will be met.		5
	<b>d.</b> Applicant clearly identifies the results of the project: <b>i.</b> Number of unduplicated City of San Diego individuals or households to be assisted; <b>OR</b> <b>ii.</b> Number of unduplicated City of San Diego businesses to be assisted.		5
	Comments:		
		<b>Total:</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
			<b>30</b>

Reviewer Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2018 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM**  
**Category: COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**



Category	Criteria	Reviewer Score	Maximum Score
<b>2. Organizational Capacity</b>	a. Applicant clearly describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and of comparable complexity.		5
	b. Applicant has experience in providing services to low and moderate income (households) or low and moderate income (business owners).		5
	c. i. Do you collaborate with other service agencies, including organizations that provide similar services and resources?		1
	ii. If so, describe HOW your agency collaborates with other service agencies and with whom.		4
	Comments:		<b>Total:</b>
			<b>15</b>
<b>3. Budget</b>	a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability.		5
	b. Budget for project clearly identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs.		5
	c. Budget clearly details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.		5
	d. Budget clearly lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: 0% – 5% (0 points)      41% – 60% (3 points) 6% – 20% (1 points)    61% – 80% (4 points) 21% – 40% (2 points)    81% – 100% (5 points)	<i>(CDD confirmed: % &amp; points)</i>	5
	Comments:		<b>Total:</b>
			<b>20</b>

Reviewer Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2018 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM**  
**Category: COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**



Category	Criteria	Reviewer Score	Maximum Score
<b>4. Project Benefits</b>	<p><b>Community &amp; Economic Development</b></p> <p><i>(Applicant should either answer <u>a. and c.</u> or <u>b. and c.</u>)</i></p> <p><b>a.</b> Applicant clearly describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and provides the sources used for this determination. CED projects must be considered a Low and Moderate Income limited Clientele Activity (LMC) by serving one of the following populations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Presumed Low Income Clientele as defined by HUD* or</li> <li>ii. Direct Benefit to Low Income Persons based on compliance with HUD* income limits through documented family size and income.</li> </ul> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p><b>b.</b> Low to Moderate Income Housing (LMH): Units occupied by Low and Moderate Income persons.</p>		9
	<p><b>c.</b> Geographic Targeting: Applicant's main office(s) is located in at least one of the Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights*.</p>		1
	<p><b>d.</b> Geographic Targeting: Applicant has presented clear service delivery to clients located at or near one or more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights*.</p>		3
	<p>Comments:</p>		
		<b>Total:</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
			<b>13</b>

Reviewer Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2018 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM**  
**Category: COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**



Category	Criteria	Reviewer Score	Maximum Score
<b>5. Project Specifics</b>	<b>a.</b> Applicant provides a listing of the services to be provided and a clear description of each of these services which includes, as applicable, the following details:		3
	<b>i.</b> The quantity and duration;		3
	<b>ii.</b> The method of delivery;		5
	<b>iii.</b> Details regarding whether each of these services will be provided on an individual basis and/or group settings ; and		5
	<b>iv.</b> Explain and justify the total amount of CDBG funds requested in relation to the services provided and any fees charged.		5
	<b>b. Project Scope &amp; Schedule</b> <b>i.</b> The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance with CDBG eligibility requirements	<i>(CDD confirmed points: __)</i>	1
	<b>ii.</b> The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with National Objectives and other HUD and City requirements; and	<i>(CDD confirmed points: __)</i>	1
	<b>iii.</b> Applicant has clearly described how the project will be completed within the required 12-month timeline, including project close out and final reporting.		4
Comments:			
		<b>Total:</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
			<b>22</b>

Reviewer Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

**CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2018 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM**  
**Category: COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**



Category	Criteria	Reviewer Score	Maximum Score
<b>6. Performance Indicators</b>	<p>City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG programs -<i>These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, designed by documented performance level:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor deficiencies (-1)</li> <li>• Moderate deficiencies (-2)</li> <li>• Significant deficiencies (-3)</li> </ul> <p><b>Performance Indicator data collected from FY 2015/FY 2016 for FY 2018 evaluations</b></p>		-3

Reviewer Initials: \_\_\_\_\_