CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2019 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION

Overall Score:

100

Category	Criteria	Reviewer Score	Maximum Score
1. Project Characteristics (30 pts)	 a. Project Summary: Description of project includes all of the following items: 		
	 i. Activities and/or services to be provided; and 		5
	ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; and		5
	iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are not available to meet the need(s).		5
	 b. New service or expansion: Applicant defines the expected results of the proposed project will result in a <u>new facility</u>, <u>expansion</u> of an existing facility, or <u>improvements</u> to an existing facility or housing: i. Number and type of major improvements to facility; or ii. Housing stabilization improvements. 		5
	c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) of the project and describes how these goals will be met.		5
	 d. Project Results: Applicant lists either: i. Number of unduplicated City of San Diego individuals to be assisted; or ii. Number of unduplicated City of San Diego households to be assisted. 		5
2. Project Specifics (23 pts)	a. Contract Execution Readiness: Extent to which the proposed project is ready to proceed by the following details:		
	 i. Total amount of CDBG funds requested is justified by accurate cost estimations; If the facility has received CDBG funds for improvements/ expansions in the past, applicant must explain the outcome and justification for the request of additional CDBG funds. 		4
	ii. Describes and shows all applicable permits have been identified, planned for, and/or secured. If permits not needed, applicant provides documentation for basis of that determination.		2
	iii. City Permit Application Completed and/or Architectural plans		5

CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2019 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION

	b. Project Schedule: Applicant describes how the project will be completed and funds expended within the required 24-month timeline specifying key milestones: a. Permitting and design completion b. Project will be released for bid c. Construction contract awarded d. Anticipated Construction Timeline e. 100% expenditure level f. Project completion, beneficiaries reported	12
	(National Objective met), and close out report	
	approved by CDD Programs staff (Applicant should either answer a, b. or c.; and d., e. and f.	
3. Project Benefits (13 pts)	 a. Applicant clearly describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and provides the references used for this determination. Public Projects must be considered a Low and Moderate Income Limited Clientele Activity (LMC) by serving one of the following populations: i. Presumed Benefit low income clientele as defined by HUD*; or ii. Direct Benefit to Low Income Persons based on compliance with HUD* income limits through documented family size and income. OR b. Low and Moderate Income Housing (LMH): Units occupied by low and moderate income persons. OR c. Low and Moderate Income Area Benefit (LMA): Facility or improvements will provide activities that are available to benefit all the resident of an area which is primarily residential and that has a service area that qualifies with a majority of HUD eligible census block groups*. 	7
	d. Homeless Services: Applicant describes how the project will provide services to homeless individuals and identifies homeless individuals at minimum as 20% or more of the population served.	2
	e. Geographic Targeting: Proposed facility or housing improvements are located in at least one of the Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, or Promise Zone*.	1

CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2019 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION

f. Geographic Targeting: Applicant has presented clear		
service delivery to clients residing in one of more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, or Promise Zone*.		3
a. Organization Project Experience: Applicant describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and of comparable complexity.		5
b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services to low and moderate income residents or presumed low and moderate income CDBG beneficiaries.		3
c. Collaboration: Applicant describes collaboration with similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients.		4
a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability.		5
b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs.		5
c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.		3
d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: 0% – 5% (0 points) 41% – 60% (3 points) 6% – 20% (1 points) 61% – 80% (4 points) 21% – 40% (2 points) 81% – 100% (5 points)	CDD score	5
	service delivery to clients residing in one of more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, or Promise Zone*. a. Organization Project Experience: Applicant describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and of comparable complexity. b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services to low and moderate income residents or presumed low and moderate income CDBG beneficiaries. c. Collaboration: Applicant describes collaboration with similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients. a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability. b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items. d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: 0% – 5% (0 points) 41% – 60% (3 points) 6% – 20% (1 points) 61% – 80% (4 points)	service delivery to clients residing in one of more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, or Promise Zone*. a. Organization Project Experience: Applicant describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and of comparable complexity. b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services to low and moderate income residents or presumed low and moderate income CDBG beneficiaries. c. Collaboration: Applicant describes collaboration with similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients. a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability. b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items. d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: CDD score 0% – 5% (0 points) 41% – 60% (3 points) 6% – 20% (1 points) 61% – 80% (4 points)

CONSOLIDATED PLAN ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2019 CDBG APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM Category: NONPROFIT CIP & HOUSING REHABILITATION

6. Project Eligibility & Performance Indicators	a. Project Eligibility i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance CDBG eligibility requirements	CDD score	1
	ii. The eligible Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with meeting National Objectives and other HUD requirements; and	CDD score	1
	iii. The level of Environmental Review (City, State and Federal) needed has been identified and planned for, as demonstrated by CDD Programs staff verification; and	CDD score	2
	 b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG programs*. These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, designed by documented performance levels: No deficiencies (0) Minor deficiencies (-1) Moderate deficiencies (-2) Significant deficiencies (-3) Performance Indicator data collected from FY 2015-FY 2017 for FY 2019 evaluations; application stated leveraged funding will be evaluated for FY 2020 application cycle 		-3