

Overall Score: 100

| Category | Criteria | Reviewer Score | Maximum Score |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------|
| 1. Organizational Capacity (12 points) | a. Organization Project Experience: Applicant describes their experience in successfully implementing projects of similar scope and comparable complexity. Specifics are given on organization's experience in assisting LMI individuals start-up or expand a microenterprise. | | 5 |
| | b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients: Applicant has experience in providing services to LMI residents or presumed low LMI CDBG beneficiaries; applicant explains how experience is applicable and beneficial. | | 5 |
| | c. Collaboration: Applicant describes and provides specific examples of collaboration with similar organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or partner agencies for referral purposes to benefit LMI/presumed LMI clients. | | 2 |
| 2. Project Characteristics (28 points) | a. Project Summary: Description of project includes all of the following items: | | |
| | i. Activities and/or services to be provided; | | 5 |
| | ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served; | | 3 |
| | iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed including how other resources are not available to meet the need(s) | | 5 |
| | b. Confirmation of Program Status: | | |
| | i. Applicant selects whether the proposed project will result in either the continuation of an existing service, the substantial expansion of an existing service, or the provision of a new service ii. Applicant explains the metrics used to make above determination | | 5 |
| | c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) and anticipated impact of the project and describes how these goals will be met. Applicant includes information on associated monitoring systems and follow-up procedures. | | 5 |



| | d. Project Results: Applicant indicates the number of | | |
|-------------|--|----------|----|
| | unduplicated COSD individuals, and number of | | |
| | unduplicated City of San Diego businesses to be | | 5 |
| | assisted. Applicant provides clear methodology on | | |
| | determining anticipated outcomes. | | |
| | a. Services to be provided: Applicant provides a listing | | |
| | of the services to be provided and a clear | | |
| | description of each of these services which | | |
| | includes, as applicable, the following details: | | |
| | i. The quantity and duration of each of these | | 5 |
| | services; | | 3 |
| | ii. The method of delivery; which should include | | |
| | details on how these services will be provided | | _ |
| | and if services will occur on an individual basis | | 5 |
| | and/or group settings | | |
| 3. Project | iii. Applicant provides an explanation and | | |
| Specifics | justification for total amount of CDBG funds requested | | |
| (22 points) | in relation to the services provided and any fees | | 4 |
| | charged. Information provided should be consistent | | |
| | with the proposed budget section | | |
| | iv. A cost per beneficiary amount is provided and | | |
| | specifics are given on how costs are | | 4 |
| | warranted | | • |
| | b. Project Schedule | | |
| | Applicant describes how the project will be | | |
| | implemented and completed within the required | | 4 |
| | 12-month timeline with specific milestones and | | 4 |
| | · | | |
| | estimated expenditures per month/quarter | | |
| | a. Applicant describes how the project will | | |
| | provide services to high need populations and | | |
| | results in a positive impact for LMI individuals | | |
| 4. Project | and City of San Diego LMI business owners; | | |
| | provides references used for this | | |
| Benefits | determination. CED projects must be | | 12 |
| (18 points) | considered a LMI Limited Clientele Activity | | |
| | (LMC) or LMI Microenterprise Development | | |
| | (LMCMC) by providing direct benefits to LMI | | |
| | persons based on compliance with HUD* | | |
| | income limits through documented family size | | |
| | and income. | <u> </u> | |



| | b. Geographic Targeting location: Applicant's office(s) providing project services is located in at least one of the Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, or Promise Zone.* | (CDD Score) | 3 |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| | c. Geographic Targeting services: Applicant indicates service delivery will occur to clients residing in one or more of the six Community Planning areas identified as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights, or Promise Zone. * | | 4 |
| 5. Budget (18 points) | a. Applicant identifies alternative future sources of funding to support the proposed project and demonstrates that the project will not rely on CDBG funds for program sustainability. | | 5 |
| | b. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding for the total project costs. | | 3 |
| | c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by outlining eligible budget line items to be used to support project implementation | | 5 |
| | d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: 0% – 5% (0 points) 41% – 60% (3 points) 6% – 20% (1 points) 61% – 80% (4 points) 21% – 40% (2 points) 81% – 100% (5 points) | (CDD score) | 5 |
| CPAB Comments | Indicate whether this project is of High, Medium, or Low Priority in the event of ties will serve as a tie breaker | | High Medium Low |
| 6. Project Eligibility & Performance | a. Project Eligibility i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, | (CDD score) | 1 |
| Indicators | ii. The Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with National Objective and other HUD and City requirements | (CDD score) | 1 |



| b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG program*. These are subtractive points from maximum 100- point score, determined by performance levels: No deficiencies (0) Minor deficiencies (-1) Moderate deficiencies (-2) | (CDD score) | -2 |
|---|-------------|----|
| Performance Indicator data collected from FY 2015-FY 2018 for FY 2020 evaluations. Application stated leveraged funding will be evaluated for FY 2021 application cycle | | |