

Overall Score:

100

Category	Criteria	Reviewer	Maximum
0 /		Score	Score
	a. Organizational Multi-Family Housing		
	Rehabilitation Experience:		
	i. Applicant describes their experience in		-
	successfully implementing projects of similar		5
	scope and comparable complexity.		
	ii. Applicant describes specific staff within		
	organization that have experience overseeing		
	the design and implementation of a		4
1.Organizational	construction project. If applicable, agency		
	provides details on plans to secure and utilize a third-party construction manager		
Capacity (14 points)	b. Organization Experience w/ LMI clients:		
(14 points)	Applicant has experience in providing services to		
	LMI residents or presumed low LMI CDBG		3
	beneficiaries; applicant explains how experience		5
	is applicable and beneficial.		
	c. Collaboration: Applicant describes and provides		2
	specific examples of collaboration with similar		
	organizations, peer to peer networks, and/or		
	partner agencies for referral purposes to benefit		
	LMI/presumed LMI clients.		
	a. Project Summary: Description of project includes		
	all of the following items:		
	i. Activities and/or services to be provided; and		5
	ii. Characteristics of Population(s) to be served;		52
	and		5 3
	iii. The critical need(s) that will be addressed		
	including how other resources are not available		5
2. Project	to meet the need(s).		
Characteristics	b. Housing Impact: Applicant describes the housing		
(30-<u>28</u> pts)	stabilization improvements that will be completed		5
	and the expected impact of the proposed project.		
	Applicant explains whether the proposed project		
	will result in a healthy and safety, sustainability or		
	ADA accessibility improvements to existing housing		
	stock		
	c. Project Goals: Applicant identifies the goal(s) and		5
	anticipated impact of the project and describes		-



	 how these goals will be met. Applicant provides information on systems used to monitor and track program progress and outcomes. d. Project Results: Applicant provides Number of unduplicated City of San Diego households to be assisted and describes the methodology used to determine anticipated project results. 		5
	a. Contract Execution Readiness: Extent to which the proposed project is ready to proceed by the following details:		
	Applicant explains how the total amount of CDBG funds requested is justified by accurate cost estimations. If the facility has received CDBG funds for improvements/ expansions in the past, applicant must explain the outcome and justification for the request of additional CDBG funds.		3
3 . Project Specifics (14 pts)	 ii. Applicant describes existing construction/architectural plans and demonstrates a knowledge of all applicable permits required for the proposed project. If permits not needed, applicant provides documentation for basis of that determination. 	CDD SCORE	5
	 b. Project Schedule: Applicant describes how the project will be completed and funds expended within the required 24-month timeline specifying key milestones: a. Permitting and design completion b. Project will be released for bid c. Construction contract awarded d. Anticipated Construction Timeline e. 100% expenditure level f. Project completion, beneficiaries reported (National Objective met), and close out report approved by CDD Programs staff 		6
4. Project Benefits (22 _pts)	 Applicant clearly describes how the project will provide services to high need populations and provides the references used for this determination. 		15



	project improvements are located in at least one of the Community Planning areas identified	<u>CDD</u> <u>SCORE</u>	4- <u>3</u>
	as high need: Barrio Logan, San Ysidro, Linda	<u>566712</u>	
	Vista, Encanto, Southeastern, City Heights,		
	Opportunity Zone, or Promise Zone*.		
	c. Geographic Targeting: Proximity to public transit		
	and employment: In relation to the new		
	Consolidated Plan Goal to increase and preserve		
	affordable housing in close proximity to transit,		<u>24</u>
	employment, and community services;		
	applicant describes how the housing project will		
	meet this goal.		
	a. Demonstration of Maintenance Capabilities:		
	Applicant explains how the proposed project		
	improvements will be maintained and financed in		
	the future, specifically identifies alternative future		5 7
	sources of funding that could be used to maintain		
	the improvements and demonstrates that the		
	project will not rely exclusively on CDBG funds for		
	deferred maintenance responsibilities.		
	 Total Project Budget Information by Funding Sources: 		
	i. Budget for project identifies all sources of funding		
	for the total project costs		
	ii. Applicant explains whether alternative sources of		
	funding other than CDBG have been pursued.		
5. Project	Provides details on alternative sources that		5
Budget	were successfully secured as leverage and		5
(18 <u>201</u> pts)	explain, if applicable, why alternative funds		
	explain, it applicable, will alternative rands		
	were not secured and any barriers to securing		
	were not secured and any barriers to securing leveraged funds.		
	leveraged funds.		
	leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG		3
	leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible		3
	leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.		3
	 leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items. d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for 		3
	leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.		3
	 leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items. d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other 		
	leveraged funds.c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items.d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed,	CDD score	3 5
	 leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items. d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other 	CDD score	
	 leveraged funds. c. Budget details uses of funds (City of SD CDBG funds and non-City of SD CDBG funds) by eligible budget line items. d. Budget lists all other funding sources secured for project, submits documentation for each source listed, and percent of funds leveraged (calculated by: other secured funding/total project costs) is: 	CDD score	



	 a. Project Eligibility i. The Scope of Work and Budget, in its entirety, demonstrates compliance CDBG eligibility requirements ii. The eligible Scope of Work and Budget demonstrates compliance with meeting National Objectives and other 	CDD score CDD score	1
6. Project Eligibility & Performance Indicators	HUD requirements; and b. City of San Diego Track Record: Rating based on past performance of applicant agency on projects previously funded by the City of San Diego under the CDBG programs*. These are subtractive points from maximum 100 point score, designed by documented performance levels: • No deficiencies (0) • Minor deficiencies (-1) • Moderate deficiencies (-2) Performance Indicator data collected from FY 20152016- FY 2017-2019 for FY 2019-2021 evaluations_; application Application stated leveraged funding will be evaluated monitored for FY 2020-2022 application cycle_and have a possible (.5) deduction.		-2