


San Diego Preliminary Map 1

Link to map:

<https://portal.sandiego-mapping.org/submission/p5743>



Methodology

This first draft map attempt is our attempt to interpret the instructions given by the Commission. Some of the larger themes of this map was creating a student and AAPI district and keeping District 1 as coastal as possible and keeping Scripps Ranch in District 5. The following methodology based on the instructions were followed in the creation of this preliminary draft map.

Population

District	Total	%Latino	%Asian	%Black	CVAP		%Black
	Population				%Latino	%Asian	
1	157,792	14.10%	18.28%	1.19%	11.29%	13.92%	1.77%
2	154,053	19.35%	8.03%	3.28%	14.87%	6.84%	3.68%
3	155,123	23.54%	7.08%	5.56%	19.67%	5.72%	6.36%
4	151,487	49.08%	21.52%	15.05%	37.99%	22.17%	21.35%
5	158,760	11.14%	28.49%	2.13%	9.83%	21.70%	2.49%
6	150,969	13.38%	41.87%	2.77%	11.99%	34.53%	3.34%
7	156,702	20.52%	11.84%	6.15%	16.88%	11.01%	6.09%
8	155,311	75.88%	7.31%	4.60%	67.32%	9.61%	6.32%
9	149,702	41.01%	13.63%	10.73%	29.06%	13.69%	12.13%
Totals	1389899						

Compactness

[Understanding Compactness](#)

District	Perimeter	Area_Sq_Mi	Polsby			
			Popper	Schwartzberg	Convex_Hull	Reock
1	59.1	46	0.1658	0.4072	0.5627	0.3105
2	49.4	37	0.1911	0.4371	0.6645	0.3588
3	24.9	17	0.3447	0.5871	0.7876	0.5169
4	27.5	17	0.2897	0.5382	0.751	0.6121
5	100.4	63	0.079	0.2811	0.3993	0.2403
6	31	30	0.3981	0.6309	0.7782	0.3551
7	55.9	80	0.32	0.5657	0.8275	0.4987
8	61.1	37	0.1256	0.3545	0.304	0.1642
9	19.9	14	0.4304	0.6561	0.7781	0.4768
Avg			0.2605	0.4953	0.6503	0.3926

Splits Summary

Community Planning Areas		Neighborhoods	
Split across number of districts	Number of CPAs	Split across number of districts	Number of neighborhoods
1	47	1	99
2	8	2	20

3	4	3	6
		7	1

CITYWIDE INSTRUCTIONS																																													
Instruction from commission	Followed?																																												
Draft 2-3 maps based on the following instructions below.	Yes- 3-4 draft maps are being presented to the Commission based on provided instruction																																												
Aim for a maximum citywide deviation of 5-7%.	<p>Yes- total deviation for this map is 5.87%</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>District</th> <th>Total Population</th> <th>Raw Deviation</th> <th>% Deviation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>157,792</td> <td>3,359</td> <td>2.17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>154,053</td> <td>-380</td> <td>-0.25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>155,123</td> <td>690</td> <td>0.45%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>151,487</td> <td>-2,946</td> <td>-1.91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>158,760</td> <td>4,327</td> <td>2.80%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>150,969</td> <td>-3,464</td> <td>-2.24%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>156,702</td> <td>2,269</td> <td>1.47%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>155,311</td> <td>878</td> <td>0.57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>149,702</td> <td>-4,731</td> <td>-3.06%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ideal</td> <td>154433.2222</td> <td>Total Deviation:</td> <td>5.87%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	District	Total Population	Raw Deviation	% Deviation	1	157,792	3,359	2.17%	2	154,053	-380	-0.25%	3	155,123	690	0.45%	4	151,487	-2,946	-1.91%	5	158,760	4,327	2.80%	6	150,969	-3,464	-2.24%	7	156,702	2,269	1.47%	8	155,311	878	0.57%	9	149,702	-4,731	-3.06%	Ideal	154433.2222	Total Deviation:	5.87%
District	Total Population	Raw Deviation	% Deviation																																										
1	157,792	3,359	2.17%																																										
2	154,053	-380	-0.25%																																										
3	155,123	690	0.45%																																										
4	151,487	-2,946	-1.91%																																										
5	158,760	4,327	2.80%																																										
6	150,969	-3,464	-2.24%																																										
7	156,702	2,269	1.47%																																										
8	155,311	878	0.57%																																										
9	149,702	-4,731	-3.06%																																										
Ideal	154433.2222	Total Deviation:	5.87%																																										
Communities that were previously split in 2010 should be taken into consideration (when feasible) to reunite the community, provided that doing so isn't in conflict with the 6 criteria.	Our best attempts were made to reunite areas which had been previously split by the existing city council boundaries																																												
Consider evaluating citywide maps, and partial maps submitted by the public with significant public comment for how the maps meet the criteria.	We have evaluated maps submitted by the public and have analyzed and run reports on them in order to figure out how best to integrate them into our draft maps, based on more explicit direction from the Commission																																												
Try to keep community planning groups intact, especially if the	Yes- our best effort was made to not split neighborhoods or CPAs while redrawing districts in our drafts, except																																												

community is currently updating or recently had their update approved.	where necessary for creating population equalization. Note: often the CPA and neighborhood lines would diverge slightly. In this case we always follow the CPA line.
Prioritization of criteria: San Diego is not subject to the prioritization of redistricting criteria in the California Fair Maps Act. The Commission recognizes, however, that court rulings have placed importance on ensuring that the population of districts do not exceed a total deviation of 10%, as per the Gaffney v Cummings case. Additionally, the Commission must follow federal and state laws regarding voting rights, and within those constraints consider communities of interest, neighborhoods, compactness, etc.	To the best of our knowledge and ability, this draft map complies with redistricting criteria laid out in both local and federal law

DISTRICT 1	
Instruction from commission	Followed?
Consider keeping UCSD/University City in District 1.	No - University City the neighborhood is kept whole within District 6 for this map version. The CPA is split to allow District 1 to be coastal. The UCSD campus is moved into District 6.
Try to keep Torrey Hills in District 1.	Yes- Torrey Hills CPA is in District 1
Attempt to keep Carmel Valley in District 1.	Yes- Carmel Valley CPA and neighborhood are in District 1
For population balance if necessary, consider splitting the University City area north and south of Rose Canyon.	University City the neighborhood is kept whole within District 6 for this map version. The CPA is split to allow District 1 to be coastal. The split does not follow Rose Canyon
The Commission received feedback from a group of District 1 residents and community organizations requesting no changes be made to the current	No - This map makes significant changes to District 1

District 1 boundaries.	
Lower the population deviation from its current standing of 7.91%	Yes- raw deviation for District 1 is 3,359 people, with a 2.17% deviation from the ideal district size

DISTRICT 2	
Instruction from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain 2 coastal Council districts.	Yes- maintained the basic coastal component of the existing district 1 and 2
Attempt to reunite Clairemont into one Council district (currently in District 2 and District 6).	No- given the other requests for modifying the existing districts it was not possible to unify Clairemont Mesa
Attempt to reunite Linda Vista into one Council district (currently in District 2 and District 7).	Yes- Linda vista is kept whole within District 2
Consider adding Mission Hills to District 2.	No - Mission Hills is in District 3. Mission Hills is defined as a neighborhood and crosses the boundaries defined by the Old Town and Uptown CPA. We largely keep Mission Hills intact but it will show some population in District 2, we did this because we prioritized CPA Boundaries over Neighborhood Boundaries
Consider adding Old Town to District 2.	No- Old Town is in District 3 in this version

DISTRICT 3	
Instruction from Commission	Followed?
Recognize that District 3 has a strong LGBT presence and has elected several LGBT members to the local and state legislature.	Yes- kept the following areas united due to LGBTQ interests (Mission Hills, Hillcrest, University Heights, North Park)
Consider a map where Golden Hill stays in District 3.	Yes- Golden Hill is whole within District 3

Try to provide a map where the Normal Heights Community Planning Area is wholly within District 3 or District 9.	Yes- Mid-City: Normal Heights CPA is whole within District 9
Consider assigning the Districtr-defined neighborhoods of Adams North and Normal Heights to different districts if necessary, for population balance	No- in this draft, Normal Heights and Adams North neighborhoods are both fully located within District 9
Try to keep downtown San Diego intact and in one council district.	Yes- Downtown CPA is intact and in District 3
Try to keep University Heights in one district, preferably District 3.	Yes- University heights neighborhood is whole and in District 3

DISTRICT 4	
Instruction from Commission	Followed?
Consider a map where Ridgeview is transferred from District 9 to District 4.	No- the entirety of Ridgeview/Webster neighborhoods have been reunited within District 9
Consider a map where Mt. Hope (east of Boundary St) is transferred from District 9 to District 4.	Mt. Hope whole and it is in District 4. There was no need to divide it.
Consider a map where Mountain View is transferred from District 9 to District 4.	Yes- Mountain View is wholly within District 4
If population deviation is too high, and District 9 is too low, consider transferring Rolando Park and Redwood Village from District 4 to District 9.	Yes- population was needed, so Redwood Village and Rolando Park are both still in tact within District 9, although these two neighborhoods existed within old District 9
Try to maintain the current district boundaries while expanding the district.	Yes- to the extent possible, old boundaries for District 4 were maintained, and where it expanded, we attempted to keep Neighborhoods whole

DISTRICT 5	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Consider a map where Park Village is transferred from District 6 to District 5.	No- For Population reasons the Park Village area of Rancho Penasquitos remains within

	District 6
Consider transferring Torrey Highlands Community Planning Area from District 5 to District 1.	No- Torrey Highlands CPA remains whole within District 5
Try to keep Scripps Ranch in District 5.	Yes- Scripps Ranch Neighborhood is within District 5
Consider adding Carmel Valley to District 5.	No- this draft version keeps Carmel Valley in District 1 due to competing Commission instructions (Instruction to consider keeping Carmel Valley within District 1 for this version)

DISTRICT 6	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain District 6 as a district with a significant AAPI population.	Yes- VAP data shows that District 6 in this version has 42.4% AAPI, the highest of all the districts
Consider transferring UCSD/University City to District 6.	Yes- for this version we moved the University City neighborhood and the UCSD campus into District 6 University City, the CPA, is split to allow District 1 to remain coastal.
Consider adding Clairemont to District 6.	No- Clairemont Mesa is split between Districts 1 and 7 in this iteration
Consider adding Carmel Valley and Torrey Pines to District 6.	No- both Carmel Valley and Torrey Pines are in District 1

DISTRICT 7	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to keep Navajo in District 7.	Yes- Navajo CPA is whole within District 7
Consider a map where Mission Valley neighborhoods west of I-15 are transferred to District 3; consider adding Kearny Mesa from District 6 to District 7 in compensation.	Yes- Kearny Mesa is in District 7. Mission Valley CPA is divided- for population it was split north/south along Friars Rd and east/west along the 805 (Jacob Dekema Fwy)
Consider a map where Scripps Ranch is transferred from District 5 to District 7 in	No- Scripps Ranch is in District 5 in this map

exchange for Mission Valley or Serra Mesa neighborhoods being transferred from District 7 to District 6, or if Mission Valley is transferred to District 3 as described above.	Part of Mission Valley East neighborhood (the part east of the 805) is moved into District 7, while the rest of Mission Valley is in District 3 Serra Mesa Neighborhood remains whole within District 7
--	--

DISTRICT 8	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain District 8 as a district with a significant LatinX population.	Yes- the number for Hispanic VAP as the district is proposed in this draft is 73%
Consider a map where Southcrest and/or Shelltown is transferred from District 9 to District 8.	Yes- both Shelltown and Southcrest Neighborhoods have been moved into District 8
Consider a map where Golden Hill is transferred to District 8.	No- in this draft, Golden Hill is in District 3. See instructions related to Golden Hill for District 3

DISTRICT 9		
Instructions from Commission	Followed?	
Try to maintain District 9 as a district with a significant LatinX population.	Hispanic VAP is at 37.4% for this iteration of District 9.	
Redraw District 9 in conjunction with comments made for District 4, District 3, District 8:	Consider adding Normal Heights Community Planning Area from District 3 to District 9	Yes- Mid-City:Normal Heights CPA was moved into District 9
	Consider transferring Ridgeview from District 9 to District 4	No- however Ridgeview is united with Webster to the south inside District 9
	Consider transferring Rolando Park and Redwood Village from District 4 to District 9	Yes- both are inside District 9
	Consider transferring Mt	No- both Mt Hope and

	Hope and Mountain View from District 9 to District 4.	Mountain View are in District 4
Try to reunite El Cerrito in District 9.	The boundaries we have for El Cerrito show it was whole within the existing district lines, and remains united within this draft version of District 9	

How to Read Map Reports

Full reports from the Haystaq-created draft maps, as well as community-submitted district plans (through 10/12) can be found on the city website as Excel files. These reports were created by proprietary python code written by HaystaqDNA. Here is a basic primer on the components/tabs of these reports:

Maps:

These are just images from the city's Districtr hosted website of each map.

Populations:

When we create a plan or export a plan from Districtr, we export it as a 'block equivalency file'. Basically the U.S. Census divided all of the geography of the City of San Diego up into 'blocks'. At its most basic, a block can correspond to a city block, but the census will also use permanent geographic features (a waterway, a canyon, a ridge, a highway) to define a block. The census then published population and demographic data associated with each block (a P.L 94-171 file). Then the Statewide Database on behalf of the state of California takes that PL file and does prisoner reallocation and reassigns in-state prisoners back to their original blocks. To create the 'Populations' report we match up the blocks of each district with the blocks in this file and summarize all of the population within this district.

Defining some of the abbreviations: NL = non-Latino, AIAN=American Indian and Alaskan Native, VAP = Voting Age Population (age 18+), CVAP19 = 2019 Citizen Voting Age Population (an estimate of eligible voters).

Deviations are calculated against an ideal population of each district. To find the ideal population we simply divide the population of San Diego (1,389,899) by the number of districts(9) to find 154,433. The raw deviation is how far off this number a district population is. The final deviation number is found by adding the absolute value of the lowest negative deviation to the highest absolute value of the highest positive deviation. Example: District 9 has the lowest negative deviation of -3.06%. District 5 has the highest positive deviation of 2.80%. So the final deviation is 3.06%+ 2.80% = 5.86%

Components:

The Component report is a list of all of the Community Planning Areas and Neighborhoods and their populations that make up a district.

OldDistrict:

This report lists what existing city council districts and their populations make up the new proposed districts.

Compactness:

For more information on the math behind compactness measures, here is a good resource: <https://fisherzachary.github.io/public/r-output.html>. For these tests, the closer the score is to 1, the more compact the district, and the closer to 0, the less compact the district is.

Splits

There are two versions of the splits report. One that looks at how many districts each Community Planning Association is in and one that looks at how many districts each Neighborhood is in.

Community Splits:

'Military Facilities', 'Reserve' and 'Not Identified' show up as splits, mostly because there are multiple areas with those names. They will show up as splits on almost every map.

Keep in mind physical geography when looking at neighborhood and CPA splits. For example, Los Penasquitos Canyon will show a 0 population split on some maps. This is because there is a very long and very narrow canyon that extends eastward from the community. It falls between Park Village and Mira Mesa and has 0 population. In many of the maps for reasons of compactness we will place this canyon in a different district than the area with residents.

Neighborhood Splits:

Specifically regarding neighborhood splits: when we reduced the number of splits on the map we optimized for CPAs. Many times CPAs and Neighborhoods follow similar but not identical boundaries. Often the CPA will have its line on one side of a highway and the neighborhood the other. So there are 'many' neighborhoods that will show 0 population splits. We hold that in general you should ignore the 0 population splits.

new districts	original districts	Population_P2
1	1	103,870
1	6	33,273
1	2	20,649
2	2	129,303
2	7	24,713
2	6	37
2	1	0
2	3	0
3	3	146,057
3	7	8,980
3	8	86
3	2	0
3	9	0
4	4	133,571
4	9	17,916
5	5	158,760
6	6	88,183
6	1	62,786
6	5	0
7	7	125,807
7	6	30,865
7	3	30
7	1	0
7	5	0
8	8	149,227
8	9	6,084
9	9	122,204
9	3	15,361
9	4	12,137
9	7	0

split_across_num_districts

number of NEIGHBORHOODs

1	99
2	20
3	6
7	1

NEIGHBORHOOD

DISTRICT Total_Population

ADAMS NORTH	9	5,115
ALLIED GARDENS	7	12,020
ALTA VISTA	4	2,519
AZALEA/HOLLYWOOD PARK	9	3,682
AZALEA/HOLLYWOOD PARK	3	0
BALBOA PARK	3	617
BARRIO LOGAN	8	11,242
BARRIO LOGAN	3	86
BAY HO	1	12,244
BAY HO	6	0
BAY PARK	2	8,628
BAY PARK	1	8,329
BAY TERRACES	4	31,386
BIRDLAND	7	4,737
BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH	5	17,392
BORDER	8	154
BROADWAY HEIGHTS	4	596
BURLINGAME	3	705
CARMEL MOUNTAIN	5	11,207
CARMEL VALLEY	1	52,114
CARMEL VALLEY	6	0
CASTLE	9	9,370
CASTLE	3	0
CHEROKEE POINT	9	4,672
CHEROKEE POINT	3	0
CHOLLAS CREEK	9	4,233
CHOLLAS VIEW	4	4,523
CLAIREMONT MESA EAST	7	17,369
CLAIREMONT MESA EAST	1	8,010
CLAIREMONT MESA EAST	2	487
CLAIREMONT MESA WEST	1	10,273
COLINA DEL SOL	9	10,321
COLLEGE EAST	9	9,945
COLLEGE WEST	9	11,830
CORE-COLUMBIA	3	9,035
CORRIDOR	9	7,607
CORTEZ	3	3,943

DEL CERRO	7	7,576
DEL MAR HEIGHTS	1	6,736
EAST VILLAGE	3	16,088
EGGER HIGHLANDS	8	9,564
EL CERRITO	9	5,984
EMERALD HILLS	4	4,395
ENCANTO	4	9,520
FAIRMOUNT PARK	9	2,855
FAIRMOUNT VILLAGE	9	5,361
FOX CANYON	9	2,165
GASLAMP	3	863
GOLDEN HILL	3	9,081
GRANT HILL	8	3,939
GRANT HILL	3	0
GRANTVILLE	7	10,682
HARBORVIEW	3	1,348
HILLCREST	3	14,961
HORTON PLAZA	3	641
ISLENAIR	9	1,074
JAMACHA LOMITA	4	10,198
KEARNY MESA	7	5,488
KENSINGTON	9	5,963
LA JOLLA	1	35,324
LA JOLLA	2	602
LA JOLLA	6	0
LA PLAYA	2	2,367
LAKE MURRAY	7	17,388
LINCOLN PARK	4	9,484
LINDA VISTA	2	24,263
LITTLE ITALY	3	4,108
LOGAN HEIGHTS	8	13,725
LOMA PORTAL	2	5,996
MARINA	3	7,190
MIDTOWN	3	4,509
MIDTOWN	2	59
MIDWAY DISTRICT	2	7,799
MIDWAY DISTRICT	3	0
MIRA MESA	6	73,843
MIRAMAR	7	8,008
MIRAMAR	6	338
MIRAMAR RANCH NORTH	5	12,738
MISSION BAY	2	582
MISSION BEACH	2	3,460

MISSION HILLS	3	5,845
MISSION HILLS	2	703
MISSION VALLEY EAST	3	6,375
MISSION VALLEY EAST	7	5,222
MISSION VALLEY WEST	3	2,605
MORENA	2	10,897
MOUNTAIN VIEW	4	15,326
MT HOPE	4	5,184
NESTOR	8	16,636
NORMAL HEIGHTS	9	10,246
NORTH CITY	1	8,471
NORTH CLAIREMONT	1	14,915
NORTH CLAIREMONT	6	0
NORTH CLAIREMONT	7	0
NORTH PARK	3	38,693
NORTH PARK	9	0
O'FARRELL	4	6,848
OAK PARK	4	14,742
OCEAN BEACH	2	12,289
OCEAN CREST	8	16,580
OLD TOWN	3	1,127
OTAY MESA	8	2,914
OTAY MESA WEST	8	30,112
PACIFIC BEACH	2	40,304
PACIFIC BEACH	1	313
PALM CITY	8	5,316
PARADISE HILLS	4	17,263
PARK WEST	3	8,751
PETCO PARK	3	885
POINT LOMA HEIGHTS	2	20,238
QUALCOMM	7	1,108
RANCHO BERNARDO	5	42,925
RANCHO ENCANTADA	5	3,404
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	5	33,839
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	6	10,232
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	1	359
REDWOOD VILLAGE	9	4,868
RIDGEVIEW/WEBSTER	9	5,711
ROLANDO	9	10,040
ROLANDO PARK	9	3,841
ROSEVILLE / FLEET RIDGE	2	5,884
SABRE SPRINGS	5	10,786
SAN CARLOS	7	13,494

SAN PASQUAL	5	189
SAN YSIDRO	8	28,290
SCRIPPS RANCH	5	20,252
SCRIPPS RANCH	7	41
SCRIPPS RANCH	6	0
SERRA MESA	7	25,640
SHELLTOWN	8	3,913
SHERMAN HEIGHTS	8	2,787
SHERMAN HEIGHTS	3	0
SKYLINE	4	8,764
SORRENTO VALLEY	6	3,859
SORRENTO VALLEY	1	11
SOUTH PARK	3	5,391
SOUTHCREST	8	6,084
STOCKTON	8	3,322
STOCKTON	3	0
SUNSET CLIFFS	2	3,531
SWAN CANYON	9	4,143
TALMADGE	9	9,443
TERALTA EAST	9	6,259
TERALTA WEST	9	4,957
TIERRASANTA	7	27,929
TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY	8	733
TORREY HIGHLANDS	5	6,028
TORREY HIGHLANDS	1	0
TORREY HIGHLANDS	6	0
TORREY PINES	6	10,403
TORREY PINES	1	681
TORREY PRESERVE	1	12
UNIVERSITY CITY	6	52,294
UNIVERSITY CITY	7	0
UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS	3	12,276
VALENCIA PARK	4	10,739
WOODED AREA	2	3,703
	2	2,261
	9	17
	1	0
	3	0
	5	0
	6	0
	8	0

NEIGHBORHOOD	districts	DISTRICT	NEIGHBORHOOD_cc
	7	1	16
AZALEA/HOLLYWOOD PARK	2	2	19
BARRIO LOGAN	2	3	31
BAY HO	2	4	15
BAY PARK	2	5	11
CARMEL VALLEY	2	6	13
CASTLE	2	7	16
CHEROKEE POINT	2	8	17
CLAIREMONT MESA EAST	3	9	26
GRANT HILL	2		
LA JOLLA	3		
MIDTOWN	2		
MIDWAY DISTRICT	2		
MIRAMAR	2		
MISSION HILLS	2		
MISSION VALLEY EAST	2		
NORTH CLAIREMONT	3		
NORTH PARK	2		
PACIFIC BEACH	2		
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	3		
SCRIPPS RANCH	3		
SHERMAN HEIGHTS	2		
SORRENTO VALLEY	2		
STOCKTON	2		
TORREY HIGHLANDS	3		
TORREY PINES	2		
UNIVERSITY CITY	2		

split across number of districts	number of CPAs
1	47
2	8
3	4

COMMUNITY	DISTRICT	Total_Population	COMMUNITY	districts
BALBOA PARK	3	617		2
BARRIO LOGAN	8	4,222	CLAIREMONT MESA	3
BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH	5	15,795	LOS PENASQUITOS CANYON	2
CARMEL MOUNTAIN RANCH	5	11,207	MID-CITY:EASTERN AREA	2
CARMEL VALLEY	1	35,889	MILITARY FACILITIES	3
CLAIREMONT MESA	1	53,771	MISSION VALLEY	2
CLAIREMONT MESA	7	17,369	NOT IDENTIFIED	3
CLAIREMONT MESA	2	8,628	RANCHO PENASQUITOS	2
COLLEGE AREA	9	24,969	RESERVE	3
DEL MAR MESA	1	1,409	SOUTHEASTERN SAN DIEGO	2
DOWNTOWN	3	44,169	TORREY PINES	2
EAST ELLIOTT	7	98	UNIVERSITY	2
ENCANTO NEIGHBORHOODS	4	48,624		
FAIRBANKS RANCH COUNTRY CLUB	1	468		
GREATER GOLDEN HILL	3	14,307		
KEARNY MESA	7	12,169		
LA JOLLA	1	29,783		
LINDA VISTA	2	35,647		
LOS PENASQUITOS CANYON	1	606		
LOS PENASQUITOS CANYON	6	0		
MID-CITY:CITY HEIGHTS	9	68,983		
MID-CITY:EASTERN AREA	9	25,888		
MID-CITY:EASTERN AREA	4	14,742		

MID-CITY:KENSINGTON-TALMADGE	9	14,484
MID-CITY:NORMAL HEIGHTS	9	15,361
MIDWAY-PACIFIC HIGHWAY	2	7,569
MILITARY FACILITIES	7	8,049
MILITARY FACILITIES	8	7,020
MILITARY FACILITIES	5	108
MIRA MESA	6	77,935
MIRAMAR RANCH NORTH	5	11,880
MISSION BAY PARK	2	1,688
MISSION BEACH	2	3,292
MISSION VALLEY	7	16,526
MISSION VALLEY	3	9,055
NAVAJO	7	53,486
NCFUA SUBAREA II	1	766
NORTH PARK	3	46,715
NOT IDENTIFIED	2	2,264
NOT IDENTIFIED	3	0
NOT IDENTIFIED	8	0
OCEAN BEACH	2	12,922
OLD TOWN SAN DIEGO	3	1,234
OTAY MESA	8	19,494
OTAY MESA-NESTOR	8	61,284
PACIFIC BEACH	2	40,658
PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH	1	14,379
PENINSULA	2	41,276
RANCHO BERNARDO	5	42,902
RANCHO ENCANTADA	5	3,404
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	5	32,786
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	6	10,232

RESERVE	2	109
RESERVE	1	43
RESERVE	7	0
SABRE SPRINGS	5	10,786
SAN PASQUAL	5	212
SAN YSIDRO	8	28,444
SCRIPPS MIRAMAR RANCH	5	21,002
SERRA MESA	7	18,376
SKYLINE-PARADISE HILLS	4	67,611
SOUTHEASTERN SAN DIEGO	8	33,770
SOUTHEASTERN SAN DIEGO	4	20,510
TIERRASANTA	7	30,629
TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY	8	1,077
TORREY HIGHLANDS	5	8,678
TORREY HILLS	1	6,916
TORREY PINES	1	6,756
TORREY PINES	6	63
UNIVERSITY	6	62,739
UNIVERSITY	1	6,547
UPTOWN	3	39,026
VIA DE LA VALLE	1	459
	9	17
	2	0

DISTRICT	COMMUNITY_count
1	13
2	11
3	8
4	4
5	11
6	5
7	9
8	8
9	6