


San Diego Preliminary Map 2

Link to Map:

<https://portal.sandiego-mapping.org/submission/p5731>



Methodology

This second draft map is another attempt to interpret the instructions given by the Commission. In many cases it follows different directions than the ones taken in Map One to show alternatives. Some of the larger themes are adding Downtown to

District 2, Adding Linda Vista and Mission Valley to District 3, adding Park Village to District 5, and keeping Clairemont whole. The following methodology based on the instructions were followed in the creation of this preliminary draft map.

-HaystaqDNA Team

Population

District	Total Population	%Latino	%Asian	%Black	CVAP %Latino	CVAP %Asian	CVAP %Black
1	152,991	16.86%	15.68%	1.89%	14.38%	12.67%	2.46%
2	155,181	17.46%	5.10%	4.32%	13.65%	4.82%	4.78%
3	152,812	24.59%	11.11%	5.01%	20.70%	8.53%	5.57%
4	154,459	48.56%	21.60%	15.16%	38.48%	22.07%	21.32%
5	152,172	11.10%	30.52%	1.98%	9.66%	23.03%	2.13%
6	151,961	12.54%	39.55%	2.55%	10.15%	33.26%	3.44%
7	159,201	17.72%	14.67%	5.16%	14.72%	12.74%	4.96%
8	154,395	73.35%	7.41%	4.57%	63.59%	9.44%	6.57%
9	156,727	44.23%	12.73%	10.17%	31.11%	13.07%	11.64%
Totals	1389899						

Compactness

[Understanding Compactness](#)

District	Perimeter	Area_Sq_Mi	Polsby Popper	Schwartzberg	Convex_Hull	Reock
1	31.1	27	0.3511	0.5926	0.7496	0.5669
2	43.1	35	0.2347	0.4844	0.7012	0.3554
3	32.4	20	0.2359	0.4857	0.7011	0.5202
4	28.3	18	0.2792	0.5284	0.7579	0.605
5	96	62	0.0839	0.2897	0.4555	0.1832
6	40.3	41	0.3195	0.5652	0.7441	0.4906
7	58.9	89	0.3223	0.5677	0.7905	0.5018
8	60.5	37	0.1275	0.3571	0.3009	0.1586
9	23.1	14	0.3257	0.5707	0.6697	0.3559
Avg			0.2533	0.4935	0.6523	0.4153

Splits Summary

Community Planning Areas		Neighborhoods	
Split across number of districts	Number of CPAs	Split across number of districts	Number of neighborhoods
1	48	1	96
2	9	2	26
3	1	3	3
4	1	5	1

CITYWIDE INSTRUCTIONS			
Instruction from commission	Followed?		
Draft 2-3 maps based on the following instructions below.	Yes- 3 draft maps are being presented to the Commission based on provided instruction		
Aim for a maximum citywide deviation of 5-7%.	Yes- total deviation for this map is 4.69%		
	District	Total Population	Raw Deviation
	1	152,991	-1,442
	2	155,181	748
	3	152,812	-1,621
	4	154,459	26
	5	152,172	-2,261
	6	151,961	-2,472
	7	159,201	4,768
	8	154,395	-38
	9	156,727	2,294
	Totals	1389899	
	Ideal	154433.2	Deviation
			4.69%

<p>Communities that were previously split in 2010 should be taken into consideration (when feasible) to reunite the community, provided that doing so isn't in conflict with the 6 criteria.</p>	<p>Our best attempts were made to reunite areas which had been previously split by the existing city council boundaries</p>
<p>Consider evaluating citywide maps, and partial maps submitted by the public with significant public comment for how the maps meet the criteria.</p>	<p>We have evaluated maps submitted by the public and have analyzed and run reports on them in order to figure out how best to integrate them into our draft maps, based on more explicit direction from the Commission</p>
<p>Try to keep community planning groups intact, especially if the community is currently updating or recently had their update approved.</p>	<p>Yes- our best effort was made to not split neighborhoods or CPAs while redrawing districts in our drafts, except where necessary for creating population equalization. Note: often the CPA and neighborhood lines would diverge slightly. In this case we always follow the CPA line.</p>
<p>Prioritization of criteria: San Diego is not subject to the prioritization of redistricting criteria in the California Fair Maps Act. The Commission recognizes, however, that court rulings have placed importance on ensuring that the population of districts do not exceed a total deviation of 10%, as per the Gaffney v Cummings case. Additionally, the Commission must follow federal and state laws regarding voting rights, and within those constraints consider communities of interest, neighborhoods, compactness, etc.</p>	<p>To the best of our knowledge and ability, this draft map complies with redistricting criteria laid out in both local and federal law</p>

DISTRICT 1	
Instruction from commission	Followed?
<p>Consider keeping UCSD/University City in District 1.</p>	<p>This map, for reasons of population equalization, was forced to split University City along LaJolla Village Dr</p>

	and the UCSD campus is in District 6
Try to keep Torrey Hills in District 1.	No- this map version has kept Torrey Hills neighborhood in the new District 6
Attempt to keep Carmel Valley in District 1.	No- Carmel Valley is in this map version's District 6
For population balance if necessary, consider splitting the University City area north and south of Rose Canyon.	For population equalization, the split along Rose Canyon was not possible
The Commission received feedback from a group of District 1 residents and community organizations requesting no changes be made to the current District 1 boundaries.	No - This map makes significant changes to District 1
Lower the population deviation from its current standing of 7.91%	Yes- District 1's VAP in this drafted map is -1.1% deviation, or -1,698 people from the ideal district size

DISTRICT 2	
Instruction from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain 2 coastal Council districts.	No - This map has 3 coastal districts
Attempt to reunite Clairemont into one Council district (currently in District 2 and District 6).	Yes! This map was drawn with the goal of reuniting the entire Clairemont Mesa CPA within a single district. This map places Clairemont in a re-envisioned District 1. You can observe the drastic changes to District 1 and District 6 which occur when population is moved around in order to reunite the Clairemont area
Attempt to reunite Linda Vista into one Council district (currently in District 2 and District 7).	Yes- Linda Vista is wholly inside this map's District 3
Consider adding Mission Hills to District 2.	No - Mission Hills is in District 3. Mission Hill is defined as a neighborhood and crosses the boundaries defined by the Old Town and Uptown CPA. We largely keep Mission Hills intact but it will show some population in District 2, we did this because we prioritized

	CPA Boundaries over Neighborhood Boundaries
Consider adding Old Town to District 2.	Yes- Old Town neighborhood is in District 2

DISTRICT 3	
Instruction from Commission	Followed?
Recognize that District 3 has a strong LGBT presence and has elected several LGBT members to the local and state legislature.	Yes- kept the following areas united due to LGBTQ interests (Mission Hills, Hillcrest, University Heights, North Park)
Consider a map where Golden Hill stays in District 3.	No- this draft places the Golden Hill neighborhood in District 8
Try to provide a map where the Normal Heights Community Planning Area is wholly within District 3 or District 9.	Yes- Normal Heights CPA is wholly within District 9
Consider assigning the Districtr-defined neighborhoods of Adams North and Normal Heights to different districts if necessary, for population balance	Adams North and Normal Heights are both whole within District 9. Splitting them would divide the Normal Heights CPA.
Try to keep downtown San Diego intact and in one council district.	Yes-The Downtown CPA is whole inside District 2
Try to keep University Heights in one district, preferably District 3.	Yes- the University Heights neighborhood is whole and in District 3

DISTRICT 4	
Instruction from Commission	Followed?
Consider a map where Ridgeview is transferred from District 9 to District 4.	Yes- Ridgeview/Webster are both inside District 4
Consider a map where Mt. Hope (east of Boundary St) is transferred from District 9 to District 4.	Mt. Hope is whole and inside District 9
Consider a map where Mountain View is transferred from District 9 to District 4.	For population balance, Mountain View is split along S 41/40th St. The eastern half is inside District 4, with the rest in District 9

If population deviation is too high, and District 9 is too low, consider transferring Rolando Park and Redwood Village from District 4 to District 9.	Yes- Rolando Park and Redwood Village were both moved into District 9 from District 4 in order to balance population
Try to maintain the current district boundaries while expanding the district.	There are some differences in District 4 which were made to balance population and accommodate Commission instructions in other areas of the map.

DISTRICT 5	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Consider a map where Park Village is transferred from District 6 to District 5.	Yes- The Park Village area of Rancho Penasquitos is located in District 5 in this map draft
Consider transferring Torrey Highlands Community Planning Area from District 5 to District 1.	No- Torrey Highlands remains in District 5
Try to keep Scripps Ranch in District 5.	No- Scripps Miramar Ranch CPA is in District 7 and the neighborhood of Scripps Ranch is also in District 7
Consider adding Carmel Valley to District 5.	Part of Carmel Valley is in District 5, with the remaining population in District 6

DISTRICT 6	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain District 6 as a district with a significant AAPI population.	Yes- District 6 has a AVAP percentage of 40%
Consider transferring UCSD/University City to District 6.	As mentioned in the instructions for District 1, in order to balance other instructions, University city north of LaJolla Village and Nobel Dr. are in District 6, along with the UCSD campus
Consider adding Clairemont to District 6.	Clairemont Mesa CPA is united in this map version, but it is in District 1

Consider adding Carmel Valley and Torrey Pines to District 6.	Part of Carmel Valley CPA is in District 5, Torrey Pines is located in District 6
---	---

DISTRICT 7	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to keep Navajo in District 7.	Yes- Navajo is in District 7
Consider a map where Mission Valley neighborhoods west of I-15 are transferred to District 3; consider adding Kearny Mesa from District 6 to District 7 in compensation.	Yes- Kearny Mesa was moved into District 7 in compensation for population shifts. Mission Valley is whole within District 3
Consider a map where Scripps Ranch is transferred from District 5 to District 7 in exchange for Mission Valley or Serra Mesa neighborhoods being transferred from District 7 to District 6, or if Mission Valley is transferred to District 3 as described above.	Yes- Scripps Ranch and Serra Mesa are both in District 7 and Mission Valley, as described above, was transferred into District 3

DISTRICT 8	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain District 8 as a district with a significant LatinX population.	Yes- the District 8 in this version of the map has 70.2% Hispanic VAP
Consider a map where Southcrest and/or Shelltown is transferred from District 9 to District 8.	Shelltown and Southcrest are both within District 4,
Consider a map where Golden Hill is transferred to District 8.	Yes- Golden Hill is within District 8

DISTRICT 9	
Instructions from Commission	Followed?
Try to maintain District 9 as a district with a significant LatinX population.	Yes- District 9 has 40.5% Hispanic Voting Age Population (VAP)

<p>Redraw District 9 in conjunction with comments made for District 4, District 3, District 8:</p>	<p>Consider adding Normal Heights Community Planning Area from District 3 to District 9</p>	<p>Yes- Mid-City Normal Heights CPA was moved into District 9 on this map</p>
	<p>Consider transferring Ridgeview from District 9 to District 4</p>	<p>Yes- this map moves Ridgeview-Webster into District 4</p>
	<p>Consider transferring Rolando Park and Redwood Village from District 4 to District 9</p>	<p>Yes- Rolando Park Neighborhood is in District 9, as is Redwood Village</p>
	<p>Consider transferring Mt Hope and Mountain View from District 9 to District 4.</p>	<p>As discussed in previous instructions, for the sake of population balance, Mountain View is split between District 4 and District 9, Mount Hope remains in District 9</p>
<p>Try to reunite El Cerrito in District 9.</p>	<p>The boundaries we have for El Cerrito show it was whole within the existing district lines, and remains united within this draft version of District 9</p>	

How to Read Map Reports

Full reports from the Haystaq-created draft maps, as well as community-submitted district plans (through 10/12) can be found on the city website as Excel files. These reports were created by proprietary python code written by HaystaqDNA. Here is a basic primer on the components/tabs of these reports:

Maps:

These are just images from the city's Districtr hosted website of each map.

Populations:

When we create a plan or export a plan from Districtr, we export it as a 'block equivalency file'. Basically the U.S. Census divided all of the geography of the City of San Diego up into 'blocks'. At its most basic, a block can correspond to a city block, but the census will also use permanent geographic features (a waterway, a canyon, a ridge, a highway) to define a block. The census then published population and demographic data associated with each block (a P.L 94-171 file). Then the Statewide Database on behalf of the state of California takes that PL file and does prisoner reallocation and reassigns in-state prisoners back to their original blocks. To create the 'Populations' report we match up the blocks of each district with the blocks in this file and summarize all of the population within this district.

Defining some of the abbreviations: NL = non-Latino, AIAN=American Indian and Alaskan Native, VAP = Voting Age Population (age 18+), CVAP19 = 2019 Citizen Voting Age Population (an estimate of eligible voters).

Deviations are calculated against an ideal population of each district. To find the ideal population we simply divide the population of San Diego (1,389,899) by the number of districts(9) to find 154,433. The raw deviation is how far off this number a district population is. The final deviation number is found by adding the absolute value of the lowest negative deviation to the highest absolute value of the highest positive deviation. Example: District 9 has the lowest negative deviation of -3.06%. District 5 has the highest positive deviation of 2.80%. So the final deviation is 3.06%+ 2.80% = 5.86%

Components:

The Component report is a list of all of the Community Planning Areas and Neighborhoods and their populations that make up a district.

OldDistrict:

This report lists what existing city council districts and their populations make up the new proposed districts.

Compactness:

For more information on the math behind compactness measures, here is a good resource: <https://fisherzachary.github.io/public/r-output.html>. For these tests, the closer the score is to 1, the more compact the district, and the closer to 0, the less compact the district is.

Splits

There are two versions of the splits report. One that looks at how many districts each Community Planning Association is in and one that looks at how many districts each Neighborhood is in.

Community Splits:

'Military Facilities', 'Reserve' and 'Not Identified' show up as splits, mostly because there are multiple areas with those names. They will show up as splits on almost every map.

Keep in mind physical geography when looking at neighborhood and CPA splits. For example, Los Penasquitos Canyon will show a 0 population split on some maps. This is because there is a very long and very narrow canyon that extends eastward from the community. It falls between Park Village and Mira Mesa and has 0 population. In many of the maps for reasons of compactness we will place this canyon in a different district than the area with residents.

Neighborhood Splits:

Specifically regarding neighborhood splits: when we reduced the number of splits on the map we optimized for CPAs. Many times CPAs and Neighborhoods follow similar but not identical boundaries. Often the CPA will have its line on one side of a highway and the neighborhood the other. So there are 'many' neighborhoods that will show 0 population splits. We hold that in general you should ignore the 0 population splits.

new_districts	orig_districts	Population_P2
1	1	73,072
1	6	50,642
1	2	29,277
2	2	109,778
2	3	45,317
2	8	86
2	1	0
2	7	0
3	3	91,689
3	7	50,189
3	2	10,897
3	6	37
3	9	0
4	4	136,999
4	9	13,547
4	8	3,913
5	5	122,366
5	1	19,574
5	6	10,232
6	6	77,951
6	1	74,010
7	7	109,311
7	5	36,394
7	6	13,496
7	1	0
8	8	145,314
8	3	9,081
8	9	0
9	9	132,657
9	3	15,361
9	4	8,709
9	7	0

split across number of districts	number of neighborhoods
1	96
2	26
3	3
5	1

NEIGHBORHOOD	DISTRICT	Total_Population	NEIGHBORHOOD	districts	DISTRICT	NEIGHBORHOOD_count
ADAMS NORTH	9	5,115		5	1	10
ALLIED GARDENS	7	12,020	AZALEA/HOLLYWOOD PARK	2	2	27
ALTA VISTA	4	2,519	BARRIO LOGAN	2	3	24
AZALEA/HOLLYWOOD	9	3,682	BIRDLAND	2	4	18
AZALEA/HOLLYWOOD	3	0	CARMEL VALLEY	2	5	10
BALBOA PARK	3	617	CASTLE	2	6	12
BARRIO LOGAN	8	11,242	CHEROKEE POINT	2	7	17
BARRIO LOGAN	2	86	CLAIREMONT MESA EAST	3	8	17
BAY HO	1	12,244	CORTEZ	2	9	27
BAY PARK	1	16,957	EAST VILLAGE	2		
BAY TERRACES	4	31,386	GRANTVILLE	2		
BIRDLAND	7	2,915	LA JOLLA	2		
BIRDLAND	3	1,822	LITTLE ITALY	2		
BLACK MOUNTAIN R	5	17,392	MIDTOWN	2		
BORDER	8	154	MIRAMAR	2		
BROADWAY HEIGHTS	4	596	MISSION HILLS	2		
BURLINGAME	3	705	MISSION VALLEY WEST	2		
CARMEL MOUNTAIN	5	11,207	MOUNTAIN VIEW	2		
CARMEL VALLEY	6	40,203	MT HOPE	2		
CARMEL VALLEY	5	11,911	NORTH CITY	2		
CASTLE	9	9,370	NORTH CLAIREMONT	2		
CASTLE	3	0	NORTH PARK	2		

CHEROKEE POINT	9	4,672	OLD TOWN	2
CHEROKEE POINT	3	0	PACIFIC BEACH	2
CHOLLAS CREEK	9	4,233	RANCHO PENASQUITOS	3
CHOLLAS VIEW	4	4,523	SCRIPPS RANCH	2
CLAIREMONT MESA I	1	25,379	SERRA MESA	2
CLAIREMONT MESA I	3	487	SORRENTO VALLEY	2
CLAIREMONT MESA I	7	0	TORREY PINES	2
CLAIREMONT MESA I	1	10,273	UNIVERSITY CITY	3
COLINA DEL SOL	9	10,321		
COLLEGE EAST	9	9,945		
COLLEGE WEST	9	11,830		
CORE-COLUMBIA	2	9,035		
CORRIDOR	9	7,607		
CORTEZ	2	3,943		
CORTEZ	3	0		
DEL CERRO	7	7,576		
DEL MAR HEIGHTS	6	6,736		
EAST VILLAGE	2	16,088		
EAST VILLAGE	8	0		
EGGER HIGHLANDS	8	9,564		
EL CERRITO	9	5,984		
EMERALD HILLS	4	4,395		
ENCANTO	4	9,520		
FAIRMOUNT PARK	9	2,855		
FAIRMOUNT VILLAGE	9	5,361		
FOX CANYON	9	2,165		
GASLAMP	2	863		
GOLDEN HILL	8	9,081		
GRANT HILL	8	3,939		

GRANTVILLE	7	5,806
GRANTVILLE	3	4,876
HARBORVIEW	2	1,348
HILLCREST	3	14,961
HORTON PLAZA	2	641
ISLENAIR	9	1,074
JAMACHA LOMITA	4	10,198
KEARNY MESA	7	5,488
KENSINGTON	9	5,963
LA JOLLA	1	35,324
LA JOLLA	2	602
LA PLAYA	2	2,367
LAKE MURRAY	7	17,388
LINCOLN PARK	4	9,484
LINDA VISTA	3	24,263
LITTLE ITALY	2	4,090
LITTLE ITALY	3	18
LOGAN HEIGHTS	8	13,725
LOMA PORTAL	2	5,996
MARINA	2	7,190
MIDTOWN	3	4,509
MIDTOWN	2	59
MIDWAY DISTRICT	2	7,799
MIRA MESA	6	73,843
MIRAMAR	7	8,008
MIRAMAR	6	338
MIRAMAR RANCH N(7	12,738
MISSION BAY	2	582
MISSION BEACH	2	3,460

MISSION HILLS	3	5,593
MISSION HILLS	2	955
MISSION VALLEY EAS	3	11,597
MISSION VALLEY WE:	3	2,605
MISSION VALLEY WE:	2	0
MORENA	3	10,897
MOUNTAIN VIEW	4	7,774
MOUNTAIN VIEW	9	7,552
MT HOPE	9	5,184
MT HOPE	4	0
NESTOR	8	16,636
NORMAL HEIGHTS	9	10,246
NORTH CITY	5	7,304
NORTH CITY	6	1,167
NORTH CLAIREMON1	1	14,915
NORTH CLAIREMON1	7	0
NORTH PARK	3	38,693
NORTH PARK	9	0
O'FARRELL	4	6,848
OAK PARK	4	14,742
OCEAN BEACH	2	12,289
OCEAN CREST	8	16,580
OLD TOWN	2	982
OLD TOWN	3	145
OTAY MESA	8	2,914
OTAY MESA WEST	8	30,112
PACIFIC BEACH	2	40,304
PACIFIC BEACH	1	313
PALM CITY	8	5,316

PARADISE HILLS	4	17,263
PARK WEST	3	8,751
PETCO PARK	2	885
POINT LOMA HEIGHT	2	20,238
QUALCOMM	3	1,108
RANCHO BERNARDO	5	42,925
RANCHO ENCANTAD,	7	3,404
RANCHO PENASQUIT	5	44,430
RANCHO PENASQUIT	7	0
RANCHO PENASQUIT	6	0
REDWOOD VILLAGE	9	4,868
RIDGEVIEW/WEBSTE	4	5,711
ROLANDO	9	10,040
ROLANDO PARK	9	3,841
ROSEVILLE / FLEET RI	2	5,884
SABRE SPRINGS	5	10,786
SAN CARLOS	7	13,494
SAN PASQUAL	5	189
SAN YSIDRO	8	28,290
SCRIPPS RANCH	7	20,293
SCRIPPS RANCH	6	0
SERRA MESA	7	22,142
SERRA MESA	3	3,498
SHELLTOWN	4	3,913
SHERMAN HEIGHTS	8	2,787
SKYLINE	4	8,764
SORRENTO VALLEY	6	3,870
SORRENTO VALLEY	1	0
SOUTH PARK	3	5,391

SOUTHCREST	4	6,084
STOCKTON	8	3,322
SUNSET CLIFFS	2	3,531
SWAN CANYON	9	4,143
TALMADGE	9	9,443
TERALTA EAST	9	6,259
TERALTA WEST	9	4,957
TIERRASANTA	7	27,929
TIJUANA RIVER VALLI	8	733
TORREY HIGHLANDS	5	6,028
TORREY PINES	6	10,976
TORREY PINES	1	108
TORREY PRESERVE	6	12
UNIVERSITY CITY	1	37,478
UNIVERSITY CITY	6	14,816
UNIVERSITY CITY	7	0
UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS	3	12,276
VALENCIA PARK	4	10,739
WOODED AREA	2	3,703
	2	2,261
	9	17
	5	0
	6	0
	8	0

Split across number of districts	number of CPA
1	48
2	9
3	1
4	1

COMMUNITY	DISTRICT	Total_Population	COMMUNITY	districts	DISTRICT	COMMUNITY_count
BALBOA PARK	3	617		2	1	3
BARRIO LOGAN	8	4,222	CARMEL VALLEY	2	2	11
BLACK MOUNTAIN RA	5	15,795	GREATER GOLDEN HILL	2	3	6
CARMEL MOUNTAIN I	5	11,207	LOS PENASQUITOS CANYON	2	4	5
CARMEL VALLEY	6	32,598	MID-CITY:CITY HEIGHTS	2	5	13
CARMEL VALLEY	5	3,291	MID-CITY:EASTERN AREA	2	6	9
CLAIREMONT MESA	1	79,768	MILITARY FACILITIES	2	7	10
COLLEGE AREA	9	24,969	NOT IDENTIFIED	2	8	9
DEL MAR MESA	5	1,409	RESERVE	4	9	7
DOWNTOWN	2	44,169	SOUTHEASTERN SAN DIEGO	3		
EAST ELLIOTT	7	98	UNIVERSITY	2		
ENCANTO NEIGHBORI	4	48,624				
FAIRBANKS RANCH CC	5	468				
GREATER GOLDEN HIL	8	9,081				
GREATER GOLDEN HIL	3	5,226				
KEARNY MESA	7	12,169				
LA JOLLA	1	29,783				
LINDA VISTA	3	35,647				
LOS PENASQUITOS CA	6	606				
LOS PENASQUITOS CA	5	0				
MID-CITY:CITY HEIGH	9	66,699				
MID-CITY:CITY HEIGH	4	2,284				

MID-CITY:EASTERN AF	9	22,461
MID-CITY:EASTERN AF	4	18,169
MID-CITY:KENSINGTO	9	14,484
MID-CITY:NORMAL HI	9	15,361
MIDWAY-PACIFIC HIGI	2	7,569
MILITARY FACILITIES	7	8,157
MILITARY FACILITIES	8	7,020
MIRA MESA	6	77,935
MIRAMAR RANCH NC	7	11,880
MISSION BAY PARK	2	1,688
MISSION BEACH	2	3,292
MISSION VALLEY	3	25,581
NAVAJO	7	53,486
NCFUA SUBAREA II	6	766
NORTH PARK	3	46,715
NOT IDENTIFIED	2	2,264
NOT IDENTIFIED	8	0
OCEAN BEACH	2	12,922
OLD TOWN SAN DIEG	2	1,234
OTAY MESA	8	19,494
OTAY MESA-NESTOR	8	61,284
PACIFIC BEACH	2	40,658
PACIFIC HIGHLANDS R	5	14,379
PENINSULA	2	41,276
RANCHO BERNARDO	5	42,902
RANCHO ENCANTADA	7	3,404
RANCHO PENASQUITC	5	43,018
RESERVE	2	109
RESERVE	5	27

RESERVE	6	16
RESERVE	7	0
SABRE SPRINGS	5	10,786
SAN PASQUAL	5	212
SAN YSIDRO	8	28,444
SCRIPPS MIRAMAR R/	7	21,002
SERRA MESA	7	18,376
SKYLINE-PARADISE HII	4	67,611
SOUTHEASTERN SAN	8	23,773
SOUTHEASTERN SAN	4	17,771
SOUTHEASTERN SAN	9	12,736
TIERRASANTA	7	30,629
TIJUANA RIVER VALLE	8	1,077
TORREY HIGHLANDS	5	8,678
TORREY HILLS	6	6,916
TORREY PINES	6	6,819
UNIVERSITY	1	43,440
UNIVERSITY	6	25,846
UPTOWN	3	39,026
VIA DE LA VALLE	6	459
	9	17
	2	0