



Creating a Priority of Safety Working Group Meeting
Malcolm X Library
148 Market St., San Diego, CA 92114
Meeting Date: June 20, 2017, 3-5 p.m.

Organizations Represented

Harmonium (Co-chair), SAYSD (Co-chair), Housing and Urban Development (SDPZ community liaison), San Diego Police Department, City of San Diego Transportation and Storm Water, Harder+Company Community Research (consultant)

Review of Working Group Meeting #2 (May 2017)

At this meeting, the group engaged in a brainstorm session to identify existing opportunities to leverage authentic community voice and input and generated a list that was aggregated with the input from the other five working groups. This information will be used by working groups to incorporate community input during key planning and implementation activities.

The group also spent time reviewing the Safety activities (now called subgoals) to identify who is already doing work within the San Diego Promise Zone (SDPZ). This information will be used to inform the development of the logic model.

Measuring San Diego Promise Zone (SDPZ) results

Jess (HUD) introduced the group to a list of indicators developed jointly between our HUD liaison and the City of San Diego to capture the work of the SDPZ. Once indicators are finalized, the City will develop a data-tracking system for the SDPZ indicators that will be converted into a dashboard that will be used to track and report on the progress of the SDPZ over the next 10 years.

For this working group, three potential indicators were offered:

1. Number and rate of Part I Crimes
2. Number and rate of Part II Crimes
3. Internet Access

The group reviewed the indicators to ensure that there was common understanding on the intent of the indicators, and discussed whether these indicators were the right ones to assess progress of the two safety subgoals. Exhibit 1 (next page) summarizes the indicators proposed/discussed by this working group. No final decisions were reached.

Parking Lot Issues

A communication plan is needed to capture what is happening during the planning and implementation phases.

Action Items

- The group discussed that it would be helpful to know what kind of infrastructure complaints are most common in the PZ and how close these complaint locations are to parks and recreation locations, in order to inform the focus of Subgoal B. The City can provide this information using GIS mapping.
- The group asked for clarification from SDPD about the crimes that fall in each Part I and Part II categorized and whether these categories are stable over time.

Exhibit 1. Summary of Safety Subgoals by Proposed SDPZ Indicators

SDPZ Safety Indicators						
	#/Rate of Part I Crimes	#/Rate of Part II Crimes	Internet Access	Community Perceptions of Safety	Use of Parks	
Subgoal	A. Make safety everyone's priority (residents, businesses, schools) through community events and the use of technology to engage residents and inform community policing, restorative justice, culturally-responsive, and trauma-informed approaches.	X	? The group is unsure if Part II crimes are an important indicator; more discussion is needed	X This indicator was not fully discussed, but would likely map to subgoal A.	X The group is interested in a community perception indicator but is unsure where the data for this indicator would come from (e.g. a community survey?) and how realistic it is that a new form of data collection could be implemented on a regular basis to track progress over time	
	B. Advocate for infrastructure improvements (e.g., wider sidewalks, better lighting) that deter crime and improve the quality of				X	X The group wants more information about collisions in the PZ to determine how to best address

	community amenities making the SDPZ a safer place to live, play, and work				this subgoal. The group discussed whether parks and recreation is an appropriate area of focus for infrastructure improvements.
--	---	--	--	--	---