

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO
HISTORICAL RESOURCES BOARD
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MARCH 27, 2008
202 C Street, City Administration Building
Council Committee Room, 12th Floor
San Diego, CA**

CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Lemmo at 1:05 pm

Chairperson	John Lemmo	Present
Vice Chairperson	Jerry Schaefer	Present
Boardmember	Priscilla Berge	Present
Boardmember	Maria Curry	Present (left at 5:07 pm)
Boardmember	John Eisenhart	Present
Boardmember	Otto Emme	Present
Boardmember	Gail Garbini	Present
Boardmember	Paul Johnson	Present
Boardmember	David Marshall	Present
Boardmember	Abel Silvas	Present

Staff to the Board in Attendance: Shannon Anthony, Board Secretary
Cathy Winterrowd, Senior Planner
Kelley Saunders, Senior Planner
Jodie Brown, Senior Planner
Jennifer Hirsch, Senior Planner
Tricia Olsen, Associate Planner

Legal Counsel in Attendance: Marianne Greene, Deputy City Attorney

ITEMS 1 - MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 8, 2007, NOVEMBER 29, 2007, JANUARY 24, 2008, AND FEBRUARY 28, 2008

Minutes from November 8, 2007, November 29, 2007, January 24, 2008, and February 28, 2008 were not available for approval.

ITEM 2 - PUBLIC COMMENT

None

ITEM 3 - ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

A. BOARD ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND GENERAL INFORMATION

- **Absences:**
All Boardmembers were present

- **Other General Information:**
Contents of “Blue Folders” were copies of letters received on Items #5, #6 (request for continuance), and #12, and General Information.
- **General Board Member Comments:**
Boardmember Marshall pointed out several factual errors in the Grand Jury Report.
Boardmember Berge commented that even though the builder on Item # 13 is that same builder who built her house, it would not influence her decision. .

B. CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONS

- **Conflict of Interest:**
Vice Chairperson Schaefer recused himself from Item #12. His company has been conducting a survey with the applicant on the project.
- **Ex Parte Communications:**
Boardmember Berge mentioned when she was visiting Item #13, while viewing the interior she noticed a bunch of pages framed from a San Diego magazine on the wall, when asked by the owner of she wanted to view the magazine, she declined.
- **Failure to visit designation sites (including interiors proposed for designation)/invocation of waiver:**
Boardmember Marshall did not view the interior of Item #13, as part of this process, but had viewed it in a previous tour less than a year ago.
Boardmember Eisenhart and Boardmember Curry were also unable to view the interior of Item #13.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER BERGE TO GRANT WAIVER FOR BOARDMEMBERS WHO WERE UNABLE TO VIEW THE INTERIOR OF ITEM #13, BASED ON SUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION AND PHOTOS IN THE CONSULTANTS REPORT.

Seconded by Boardmember Marshall

Vote 10-0-0

Motion passes

C. STAFF REPORT

- a. Introduce Shannon Anthony new Board Secretary.
- b. Today is Boardmember Marshall’s last meeting
- c. HRB hosting workshop on potential changes to the Mills Act. Agendas back table.
- d. Annual Excellence in Historic Preservation Awards coming up in May 2008.
Nomination form available on website and printed copies on table. Due date is Friday, April 4, 2008.
- e. Next DAS meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 2, 2008. The next Policy Subcommittee meeting will be held on Monday, April 14, 2008. The next Archaeology Subcommittee meeting will be on Monday, May 12, 2008.

- f. Boardmembers will note today's agenda includes two referrals from Development Services, six individual homeowner nominations, and review of a National Register nomination. One of these items was continued from the January 24, 2008 meeting. There are 17 individual homeowner nominations that were submitted in 2006, 68 individual homeowner nominations submitted in 2007, and 14 individual homeowner nominations submitted in 2008 that have not been reviewed by staff and brought forward for designation.

D. REQUESTS FOR CONTINUANCES

Scott Moomjian, on behalf of the property owner, has submitted a written request for a 60-day continuance of Item #6 the C. Arnholt Smith Spec House #2 at 2261 San Juan Road

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EMME TO GRANT A 60-DAY CONTINUENCE PER OWNERS REQUEST

Seconded by Boardmember Berge Vote 10-0-0 Motion passes

ITEM 4 - REQUESTS FOR ITEMS TO BE PLACED ON CONSENT AGENDA

The following items are non-controversial, with all parties agreeable to the staff recommendation, and the Board may wish to approve them on consent:

- ITEM 7 – HAROLD B. AND AUGUSTA STARKEY HOUSE at 3939 St. James Place
- ITEM 9 - IRVIN SECURITY COMPANY SPEC HOUSE #1/MORRIS B. IRVIN HOUSE at 4167 & 4169 Jackdaw Street
- ITEM 10 - KATHERINE REDDING STADLER HOUSE at 2750 Rosecrans
- ITEM 11 - CARL E. AND LEONA L. NICHOLS HOUSE at 937 22nd Street
- ITEM 13 - ALFRED AND JULIS SOUTHARD HOUSE at 3612 Elliot Street
- ITEM 14 - WILLIAM AND LOTTE PORTERFIELD HOUSE at 4411 Hermosa Way

Boardmember Berge requested to have Items #9 and #14 pulled from the consent agenda and Boardmember Johnson requested Item #7 to be pulled from consent.

Boardmember Berge requested to have more clarification on Staff Reports for Items #10, #11, and #13. She also requested that staff add more notes on the registry to emphasize notable builders or designers and to elaborate more on the architectural design of the property, thus making it easier to research.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EMME TO APPROVE ITEMS #10, #11, AND #13 ON CONSENT PER STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS.

Seconded by Boardmember Berge Vote 10-0-0 Motion passes

Would the owners of the sites that were just now designated like to address the Board?

Marsha Lenyk (owner of Item #11) thanked the board for taking the time to designate their house; they love it and plan to preserve its historic value.

Deanne Reynolds (owner of Item #10) also thanked the board for their time, and really enjoyed all of the information they gained about their property through this process.

ACTION ITEMS

ITEM 5 – CASA DEL HORIZONTE (Continued from February 28, 2008)

Applicant: Marie Burke Lia, on behalf of the owner, Olivetas Associates LLC (Referred from the City of San Diego Development Services Department)

Location: 7417-7427 Olivetas Avenue, La Jolla Community, Council District 1

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 7417-7427 Olivetas as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Casa del Horizonte, located at 7417-7427 Olivetas Avenue, under HRB Criterion A as the only Contemporary style bungalow court in La Jolla, a limited building type in the community; and HRB Criterion C as a very good example of a post-WWII, Contemporary style bungalow court with high integrity.

Report Number: HRB-08-009

Staff Report Given by Kelley Saunders

Chair Lemmo brought up that there was a question from one of the attorneys, addressed in a letter, for the applicant regarding HRB's standards or criteria in determining designation. Boardmember Lemmo asked Deputy City Attorney, Marianne Greene to address this issue. Deputy City Attorney Greene referred the attorney to Municipal Code Section 143.0212D, section 123.0202, Land Development Manual section 2A-F.

Testimony Received:

In Favor: None

In Opposition: Scott Moomjiam, 30 min organized presentation

Speaker slips submitted by: *Jay Wexler, Sara Lee, Sandra Bernstein, Jerry McLees, Kathleen Crawford, Robert Mosher, Marie Lia*

Jerry Ruddick

Public testimony was closed.

Board Discussion:

2 handouts were passed out to the Board during the presentation by Robert Mosher on behalf of the applicant.

Boardmember Berge stated that this site is a Multiplex Apartment Court and if addressed as such, it would take away the debate over whether or not fits the Criterion for Bungalow Court. Not only is it Modern Era, Post WWII, but it also should be pointed out that it is an expression of the International Style. She would like to hear more about the key question of the window replacement.

Boardmember Marshall said this site functions as a unique, rare, and late example of a Bungalow Court. He expressed concerns regarding the lack of visibility from the street, but suggested that a little bit of pruning would solve the problem. It may not be a high example of Modernistic style, but it is a representative of a certain type of housing that needs to have a place in our history. He is leaning towards designation.

Boardmember Silvas had no comment.

Boardmember Garbini thought that the site's only problem is its location. It is an interesting style of architecture, definitely a Bungalow Court, and there would be no harm in removing the overgrown Twisted Junipers in the front. She is leaning towards designation.

Boardmember Schaefer's only obligation is to follow the Criteria as it appears in the Code, it doesn't need to be unique, it just needs to be a good representation of a particular style. He is more concerned with the consultant's report, which failed to cite any literature or do any research into Bungalow Courts. . It is obviously a Bungalow Court, and by denying it you are denying the obvious. One problem he had with this site is whether or not it postdates the period of significance for Bungalow Courts, and would like to hear from other Boardmembers before making a decision.

Boardmember Curry is in favor of designation as part of the history of La Jolla, and it is a great example of a building that was built for more of a social cause for the community and not just for the wealthy.

Boardmember Johnson had a question regarding visibility, whether it was a requirement for Designation or the Mills Act or both. Board Liaison Winterrowd and Deputy City Attorney Greene both stated visibility is only a requirement for the Mills Act, hardcopy of Attorney opinion in HRB Handbook. Boardmember Johnson agrees with Boardmember Curry, that this site is a representation of the social economic history of La Jolla.

Boardmember Eisenhart agrees with Boardmember Schaeffer that the consultants report was not adequate. The property as a whole, its relationship to the community, to the street, and to surrounding buildings, it is the negative space that these courtyards create that is significant. Additional criteria, is the era, it is an evolving typology. He is in favor of designation.

Boardmember Emme agrees with his colleagues. This is a late and rare example of a bungalow courtyard; it is a finite resource in La Jolla. It fits the attributes that we are talking about, a very good example, with minimal alterations. This is a quality project.

Deputy City Attorney Greene clarified that the Attorneys Opinion regarding visibility was written on January 18, 2007, visibility is only a requirement for the Mills Act not for designation.

Chair Lemmo, with regards to the first slide of Scott Moomjian's presentation, wanted to point out that this is not a policy making board, the criteria applied is established by the council.

Boardmember Marshal also wanted to point out that while the applicant dismissed the report by Curtis and Ford, they also used facts from the report to support their argument against designation.

Chair Lemmo stated that although this property has some merits, certain aspects may not be as deserving as other projects that have or will come before them; they cannot designate all of the properties that they hear.

Boardmember Marshal made the motion to designate this site per staff recommendation under HRB criterion A and C, Boardmember Berge wanted to modify the staff recommendation to reflect Bungalow Court/Apartment Courtyard. Boardmember Marshall is satisfied with staff recommendation as it is stated and the motion stands.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER MARSHALL TO APPROVE PER STAFF RECCOMENDATION TO DESIGNATE UNDER HRB CRITERION A AND C

Seconded by Boardmember Curry Vote 9-1 (Lemmo) -0 Motion passes

ITEM 6 – C. ARNHOLT SMITH SPEC HOUSE #2 – DSD Referral

Applicant: Scott Moomjian, on behalf of owners Larry and Linda Stirling

Location: 2261 San Juan Road, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 2261 San Juan Road as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the C. Arnholt Smith Spec House #2 located at 2261 San Juan Road as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C, as an example of Colonial Revival architecture.

Report Number: HRB-08-015

Staff Report Given by Jennifer Hirsch

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EMME TO GRANT A 60-DAY CONTINUENCE PER OWNERS REQUEST

Seconded by Boardmember Berge Vote 10-0-0 Motion passes

ITEM 7 – HAROLD B. AND AUGUSTA STARKEY HOUSE

Applicant: Ronald V. May of behalf of the property owners George and Lisa Marie Harris

Location: 3939 St. James Place, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 3939 St. James Place as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Harold B. and Augusta Starkey House at 3939 St. James Place as a historical resource under HRB Criteria C as a rare example of Pueblo Revival architecture.

Report Number: HRB-08-018

Staff Report given by Jodie Brown

Testimony Received:

In Favor: Ron May, organized presentation

George Harris

Speaker slips submitted by: *Dale May, George Harris*

In Opposition: None

Public testimony was closed.

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Johnson wants to recognize the Starkey's and Captain Saunders Lincoln, all who have had amazing histories.

Boardmember Schaeffer would like to include a condition that if a Mills Act is sought; they reduce the vegetation for better visibility, and restore the deteriorating exterior woodwork.

Boardmember Berge wanted to make sure that staffs recommendation includes restoration of the front window, with reference to the pattern of the window in the original photo. Also would like staff to include Frank O. Wells as the designer/builder in the notes column of the designation list.

Boardmember Silvas loves the house, but saddened when he thinks of his ancestors, whom are still looking for representation.

Boardmember Johnson moved to approve designation under Criterion B and C, with B representing the Starkey's and Captain Lincoln. Boardmember Berge wanted the motion to include only the Starkey's. Boardmember Johnson thought this request was reasonable.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER JOHNSON TO APPROVE, PER STAFF RECCOMENDATION TO DESIGNATE UNDER HRB CRITERION C AND ALSO DESIGNATE UNDER HRB CRITERION B (STARKEY'S) PER CONSULTANTS REPORT

Seconded by Boardmember Berge

Vote 10-0-0

Motion passes

ITEM 8 – 1021 Muirlands Drive (THIS ITEM HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN FROM THE AGENDA BY THE OWNER)

ITEM 9 – IRVIN SECURITY COMPANY SPEC HOUSE #1/MORRIS B. IRVIN HOUSE (Continued from November 29, 2007)

Applicant: IS Architecture on behalf of Michael Terry and Garth Howe, owners

Location: 4167 & 4169 Jackdaw Street, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of 4167 & 4169 Jackdaw Street as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Irvin Security Company Spec House #1/Morris B. Irvin House as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C as a good example of the Craftsman Bungalow style, and under HRB Criterion D as a good example of the work of Morris B. Irvin, Master Builder.

Report Number: HRB-08-016

Staff Report given by Tricia Olsen

Testimony Received:

In Favor: Ione Stiegler

Garth Howe

Speaker slips submitted by: *Michael Terry*

In Opposition: None

Public testimony was closed.

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Berge pulled the item off of consent with concerns of the naming, feels that this is not a Spec House. Feels both houses should be designated. And that the item should be designated under Criteria A and C.

Staff does not support designating under Criterion A; property does not represent a special element that is needed to be considered.

Boardmember Johnson does not support designation for the rear house.

Boardmember Marshall happy to see the work that has been done to the house, and is proud to be potentially designating the first Craftsman house since the Union Tribune's article earlier this month, and suggested that they put up one criterion at a time so the Board can vote on them individually.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER MARSHALL TO APPROVE, PER STAFF RECCOMENDATION TO DESIGNATE UNDER HRB CRITERION C

Seconded by Boardmember Berge Vote 10-0-0 Motion passes

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Berge would like to continue this item to debate the name. Board Liaison, Winterrowd informed Boardmember Berge that staff has already made their recommendation for the name and she needs to make a motion if she wants to change the name.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER BERGE TO APPROVE CRITERION D WITH THE NAME CHANGED TO THE HYLAND AND NEVA KOONS GOULD HOUSE

Seconded by Boardmember Silvas Vote 4-4-2 Motion fails.

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Berge went on to make a 2nd motion to send the item back to staff to clarify the naming, it doesn't make sense to name the property after a financial institution and feels there needs to be more information.

Chair Lemmo called for a 5 minute break

Deputy City Attorney Greene said when Staff makes its recommendation, it includes the name, if there is a desire for a different name then that needs to be addressed prior to designation. It wouldn't be appropriate to send it back to Staff, since they have already made their recommendation, it would be up to the Board to change the name, subject to a motion and a discussion, which has already occurred.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER MARSHALL TO APPROVE, PER STAFF RECCOMENDATION TO DESIGNATE UNDER HRB CRITERION D

Seconded by Boardmember Curry Vote 10-0-0 Motion passes

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO MAKE IT A CONDITION OF THE MILLS ACT THAT THE REAR PROPERTY GARAGE DOOR BE REPLACED WITH A MORE APPROPRIATE DOOR THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN SEEN ON THE PROPERTY IN 1927 (WILL LEAVE IT TO STAFFS DISCRETION)

Seconded by Boardmember Berge Vote 10-0-0 Motion passes

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Berge again stated that she would like to send the item back to Staff and the consultant for more research regarding the name of this site. Board Liaison Winterrowd said based upon the reading of the documents, this was the name that Staff recommends, and she is uncomfortable leaving the designation without a name.

Chair Lemmo asked if there were any more motions to put forth, no one answered, item was closed for discussion.

ITEM 10 – KATHERINE REDDING STADLER HOUSE

Applicant: Ronald May, on behalf of owners Mark and DeAnn Reynolds

Location: 2750 Rosecrans, Peninsula Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 2750 Rosecrans as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation Designate the Katherine Redding Stadler House located at 2750 Rosecrans Street as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C, as an example of Italian Renaissance architecture.

Report Number: HRB-08-021

Staff Report given by Jennifer Hirsch

BOARD ACTION:

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 11 – CARL E. AND LEONA L. NICHOLS HOUSE

Applicant: Vonn Marie May, on behalf of owners Richard and Marsha Lenyk

Location: 937 22nd Street, Greater Golden Hill, Council District 8

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 937 22nd Street as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Carl E. and Leona L. Nichols House located at 937 22nd Street as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C, as a good example of Folk Victorian architecture.

Report Number: HRB-08-022

Staff Report by Jennifer Hirsch

BOARD ACTION:

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 12 – TORREY PINES GLIDERPORT NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATION AMENDMENT

Applicant: Dr. Gary B. Fogel, Torrey Pines Soaring Council

Location: 2800 Torrey Pines Scenic Drive, University Community, Council District 1

Description: Review the proposed boundary expansion of the Torrey Pines Gliderport, a National Register of Historic Places listed property and San Diego Historical Site #315 and provide comments to the Office of Historic Preservation.

Today's Action: Review and comment on the proposed boundary expansion for the Torrey Pines Gliderport, a National Register of Historic Places property.

Staff Recommendation: Do not recommend to the Office of Historic Preservation the proposed boundary expansion for the Torrey Pines Gliderport.

Report Number: HRB-08-023

Staff Report Given by Jennifer Hirsch

Testimony Received:

In Favor: Milton Phegley

In Opposition: Gary Fogel, organized presentation

Vonn Marie May; Bruce Coons; John Bolthouse

Speaker slips submitted by: *Brad Hall, Bob Kuczewski, Rolf Schulze, Byron Lowry, Jim Wright, David Jebb, Doug Perl, Douglas Porier*

Public testimony was closed.

Board Discussion:

2 handouts were given to the Board prior to the applicant's presentation.

Boardmember Marshall wanted to know whether the FAA has taken a stand on this issue. Mr. Fogel (applicant) was not sure how Torrey Pines is classified, he is unsure of the definitions for Public versus Private Airports; he just knows that they are beholden to the rules of the FAA and Caltrans Aeronautics.

Boardmember Marshall had another question for Mr. Fogel regarding conflicting information about a proposed building that will infringe into the East Polygon. Mr. Fogel stated there is a proposed building in the East Polygon for North Campus Housing at UCSD, it is under construction, but not built yet. Neither the approach surface nor the runway protection zones have been altered, yet.

Boardmember Marshall had one last question for either Mr. Fogel or Staff, it is his understanding the action today is to simply recommend or not recommend to SHPO, and that either way is up to SHPO to make the ultimate decision and that this was just a majority vote. Board Liaison Winterrowd said he was correct.

Chair Lemmo asked Mr. Phegley (UCSD), to answer question regarding the proposed building project that had been alluded to.

Mr. Phegley wanted to first explain how the Torrey Pines Gliderport exists; the Gliderport uses property that is owned both by UCSD and The City of San Diego. In order for the Gliderport to use UCSD's property, they have to apply on an annual basis, there is no guarantee that that use will continue. Property was granted to UCSD by the voters of San Diego for university purposes. On an annual basis Caltrans Aeronautics reviews the application, and issues the permit. The Gliderport is classified by Caltrans as a Temporary Airport; it is also classified as a Private Airport, because it is not open to the general public.

Mr. Phegley said that there is a university project under construction in the proposed eastern boundary expansion area. UCSD did file an application with the FAA to determine if there would be any obstruction to aviation, FAA said there would not be. However, the FAA review applied to a Public Airport, which the Gliderport is not. It will be up to Caltrans to determine the next time the Gliderport submits an application for a permit.

Chair Lemmo wanted to know who holds the permit for that airport. Mr. Phegley stated that the Temporary Airport is licensee by Caltrans, he believes to the glider clubs at the time the permit is granted.

Mr. Phegley agrees that there is no question as to the historic value of the site, the historic designation does not guarantee nor does it imply continued or future use of the site for a particular activity. UCSD does not feel that the expanded airspace enhances the usability of the sites because it is an area that already exists.

Boardmember Eisenhart asked if UCSD is opposed to the Western Approach. Mr. Phegley stated that UCSD didn't believe that they should take a position, since it is The City of San Diego's property.

Boardmember Emme questioned the ownership of the land and who owns the permit.

Mr. Phegley answered that the proposed expansion area on the east end is UCSD property, the west end is owned by the City and the State. There is a license agreement between UCSD and the Glider Clubs, UCSD issues the permit, but the actual holder of the permit is the licensee (Glider Club).

Chair Lemmo asked Staff the nature of the Board decision today.

Ms. Hirsch responded that the Board is recommending to the State Historical Resources Commission whether or not to support the boundary expansion. The State Historical Resources Commission will then hear testimony in April, and then make their recommendation to the Keeper of the National Register, who will then make the final decision.

Boardmember Curry made a comparison to the Pacific Southwestern Museum, which is a living museum, it still operates a train. Boardmember Curry feels the expansion should be considered because it is implied in the original designation, and the expansion will be an improvement to the original designation. It is significant to San Diego's Aviation History and allows us be a part of the history today. Not in favor of Staff's recommendation.

Boardmember Marshall mentioned that the Agenda incorrectly states that the Board's recommendation will be sent to the SHPO, and wanted to add in the motion that the decision will be sent to the State Historical Resources Commission instead. Liaison Winterrowd clarified the agenda is correct, the decision will be sent to the State Historical Resources Commission via SHPO.

Boardmember Marshall learned a lot about gliding today and the importance of the site. It is unfortunate that it is a year to year operating lease and unlike Montgomery Field, where it dictates everything built around it; in this case it is everything around it will dictate if it still can be used for flight. Boardmember Marshall stated that in unique situations; he always looks at who is on either side, in this case he was most affected by those who spoke in favor of the expansion. There has been a good argument made today for the expansion, and will vote for it and against staff recommendation.

Boardmember Johnson feels that this is a threat of integrity to a National Registry Resource and in favor of the boundary expansion.

Boardmember Berge refers to the Natural Register Bulletin, and quotes "you can justify it to setting the boundaries to protect the integrity and setting of the site", the boundary justification here falls directly within that, and is in favor of the expansion.

Boardmember Eisenhart believes that it would be a complete travesty not to continue the site for its historic use, like a mill when the stream no longer runs it, its function would be gone and you wouldn't understand its historical significance.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO RECOMMEND TO THE OFFICE OF THE STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY EXPANSION FOR THE TORREY PINES GLIDERPORT LISTING ON THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES. (AGAINST STAFF RECOMMENDATION)

Seconded by Boardmember Johnson Vote 9-0-1 (Schaefer) Motion passes

ITEM 13 – ALFRED AND JULIA SOUTHARD HOUSE

Applicant: Christianne Knoop, on behalf of the owners, Manuel and Maria Gomez

Location: 3612 Elliot Street, Peninsula Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 3612 Elliot as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Alfred and Julia Southard House located at 3612 Elliott Street as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C as a very good example of Spanish Eclectic architecture. The 1973 house and garage additions shall be excluded from the designation.

Report Number: HRB-08-020

Staff Report by Kelley Saunders

BOARD ACTION:

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 14 – WILLIAM AND LOTTE PORTERFIELD HOUSE

Applicant: IS Architecture on behalf of the owners, Laura Adams and Frank Partnoy

Location: 4411 Hermosa Way, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 4411 Hermosa Way as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the William and Lotte Porterfield House under HRB Criterion C as a very good example of Craftsman architecture.

Report Number: HRB-08-017

Staff Report by Kelley Saunders

Testimony Received:

In Favor: None

Speaker slips submitted by: *Ione Stiegler, Laura Adams*

In Opposition: None

Public testimony was closed.

Board Discussion:

Chair Lemmo requested the Board first discuss any concerns with this site, to see if they could resolve the matter without hearing Staff's report.

Boardmember Berge agreed with Chair Lemmo and wanted to get the Boards input on the left hand side additions, replacement of a pillar and new brickwork in the porch area, she is troubled by the issue of historic integrity from the public façade given the alterations.

Boardmember Emme thought that the addition would have been something DAS would have looked at and given support for. It is not an obnoxious addition; it blends in with the setting of the house.

Boardmember Marshal concurred with Boardmember Emme's comments; he also thought the effort made to replace the missing pillar with one appropriate to the style was an appropriate decision and will be supporting designation.

Chair Lemmo also agrees with Boardmembers Marshall and Emme, asked Boardmember Berge if she needed more input or if she was ready to make a decision.

Ms. Stiegler addressed the Board, she would also like the property to be designated under Criterion B; Staff did not feel they could support this; maybe the Boardmembers could support it.

Boardmember Schaeffer responded that the period in which the owner's activities were significant; predate their residency at the house, and didn't rise to a level of being more significant than any other talented person in San Diego.

Boardmember Eisenhart asked if the path in the back was ever a public right of way. Ms. Stiegler said that it was not, it was built for private use.

Boardmember Curry was not present for this item.

BOARD ACTION:

**MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO APPROVE, PER STAFF
RECCOMENDATION TO DESIGNATE UNDER HRB CRITERION C**

Seconded by Boardmember Berge Vote 9-0-0 Motion passes

REMINDER:

NEXT BOARD MEETING DATE: April 24, 2008

LOCATION: City Administration Building
12th Floor, Council Committee Room

ADJOURNED AT 5:15 pm