

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Historical Resources Board

DATE ISSUED:	January 12, 2012	REPORT NO. HRB-12-007
ATTENTION:	Historical Resources Board Agenda of January 28, 2012	
SUBJECT:	ITEM #12 – Certified Local Gove	rnment Annual Report 2011
APPLICANT:	City of San Diego, City Planning &	Community Investment Department
LOCATION:	Citywide	
DESCRIPTION:	Consider the Draft Annual Report for Historic Preservation to meet the Cir (CLG) responsibilities and to the Ma Municipal Code Section 111.0206 (or	ty's Certified Local Government ayor and City Council to meet the

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Direct staff to forward the Annual Report to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the San Diego Mayor and City Council, or revise the Annual Report and forward as appropriate.

BACKGROUND

This item is being brought before the Historical Resources Board in conjunction with the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities. The Annual Report for 2011 also satisfies the requirement for an annual report to be transmitted from the HRB to the Mayor and City Council in accordance with Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7). One of the responsibilities of a CLG is to prepare an Annual Report for the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) summarizing the work of the Board during the reporting period. The report utilizes a standard format for all CLGs and requires an accounting of the Board and staff activities throughout the state's fiscal year (October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011). The Annual Report format was provided by the Office of Historic Preservation and cannot be altered resulting in pagination, tables, and text on different pages and a number of different fonts. Since the Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7) does not specify the period of time covered in the annual report to the Mayor and City Council, staff is utilizing the state's reporting period for that report, as well.

ANALYSIS

The attached document is a draft of the Annual Report that has been prepared by staff. Boardmembers should provide their insight and provide comment to staff regarding any additional information and issues that would be appropriate to include in the final Report.

The organization of the annual CLG report corresponds directly to the five CLG requirement areas: ordinance, commission, survey, public participation, and state requirements. In addition to this information, OHP requests a summary of local preservation programs. The National Park Service (NPS) reporting has also been incorporated into this year's annual CLG report in Section VI. While Section V also relates to the NPS reporting, it is only used for new CLG programs. The 2009 baseline report to NPS included 17,038 historic properties in the City's inventory prior to September 30, 2008 with 444 properties added by 2010 and an additional 811 for this past year to equal a historic resources inventory of 18,293 properties.

No changes to the City's certified historical resources regulations were made during this reporting period. The City has begun the process of amending the Code Enforcement Regulations to allow collection of fines and civil penalties for unpermitted alteration of potential historic resources and designated historic resources. It is anticipated that these amendments will be adopted during the next reporting period.

HRB activity has increased slightly during this reporting period compared to past years. During the current reporting period, the HRB designated 52 new individually significant properties (compared to 37 during the previous reporting period and 49 during the 2008/2009 period). The North Park Dryden Historic District was also designated during this reporting period; staff continues to work with applicants on several pending district nominations, including the Mission Hills Historic District Phase II, the Inspiration Heights Historic District, and the South Park Historic District. In addition, 42 new Mills Act contracts were completed during this period, compared to 12 new contracts in the last reporting period. Because the City processes contracts on a Calendar year schedule, these contracts were recorded at the end of calendar year 2010, which is part of this reporting period.

As identified last year, the lack of a city-wide context and comprehensive survey continues to be the City's most critical preservation planning issue. This issue has generated concerns by the preservation community about the City's ability to identify and protect potentially significant historical resources including contributing resources within potential historic districts. The public's understanding of this and other constraints facing City government relative to the legal application of regulations and local government's role in the development review process is an important preservation planning issue in San Diego. The HRB has voiced a concern about the need for better understanding of integrity and how it affects the significance of a potential resource. In an effort to connect with the public and address these issues, staff continues public outreach and education efforts; such as, attendance at planning group meetings, workshops, and seminars and intends to schedule professional training on integrity for the Board and staff to better understand that aspect of significance determinations.

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee also continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose of historic preservation. This past year, the Board's Policy Subcommittee developed a programmatic approach to using the funds, which includes: architectural assistance workshops, district signage, and an online database for the public input working group.

The single accomplishment that has done the most to further preservation in our community this year was the designation of the North Park Dryden Historic District. Located in the North Park community at the corner of Balboa Park, the District is comprised of 136 properties; 104 contributing and 32 non-contributing. Resources within the District are comprised of single family homes and some multi-family units designed in the Craftsman, Spanish Eclectic, and Minimal Traditional/Early Ranch styles. The District was designated with a period of significance of 1912-1941 as a streetcar suburb embodying a variety of architectural styles of the period and reflecting the work of several Master Builders, including David O. Dryden, Edward Bryans, and Alexander Schreiber. The establishment of the District was achieved through a partnership between the local neighborhood historical society who prepared the nomination and City staff. The designation of the North Park Dryden Historic District will ensure that this significant and intact concentration of early twentieth century homes will be preserved for future generations of San Diegans.

The following historic preservation goals have been identified for the 2012 reporting period:

- 1. Amend Municipal Code to allow for collection of fines and civil penalties for unpermitted alteration of historic resources and designated historic resources. These amendments will serve as a deterrent to those who might consider adversely altering a historic resource prior to obtaining the required permits.
- 2. Complete the context statements and reconnaissance survey for the Midway and Old Town Community Planning Areas, which are currently underway as part of the community plan updates.
- 3. Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 4. Complete the pending Mission Hills Phase II Historic District nomination submitted by members of the community in 2011.
- 5. Work through and eliminate the queue of pending historic designation nominations and begin processing new nominations within 90 days of receipt.
- 6. Provide training to staff, Boardmembers, and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.
- 7. Work with San Diego AIA to present a workshop on San Diego Modernism.
- 8. In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park.

CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that the Board review the information attached, provide input, and approve the report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the Mayor and City Council.

Jodie Brown, AICP Senior Planner

atten

Cathy Winterrowd Principal Planner/CLG Liaison

JB/cw

Attachment: Draft CLG Annual Report 2011 (without attachments)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

The Email button at the end of the form will open Outlook with the form attached. Insert the address <u>lwoodward@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: Historical Reources Board and Staff

Date of commission/board review: January 26, 2012

Minimum Requirements for Certification

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. *REMINDER*: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. No changes to the City's Historical Resources Regulations are currently proposed. The City has begun the process of amending the Code Enforcement Regulations to allow collection of fines and civil penalties for unpermitted alteration of historic resources and designated historic resources, and we anticipate these amendments to be adopted during the next reporting period.
- 2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal code. <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf</u> <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf</u>

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance)

- 1. During the reporting period, did you have a local register program to create local landmarks/local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? XYes
- 2. If the answer is yes, then, during the reporting period, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	Number of Contributors in District	Date Recorded by County Recorder
H.R. Emerling Residential			
Building			
1451-1453 F Street	10/28/2010		11/29/2010
Henrietta Buckland House			
1522 Granada Avenue	10/28/2010		11/29/2010
William and Mildred			
Schulenburg Spec. House #1			
4633 Edgeware Road	10/28/2010		11/29/2010
F. List and C. Bell Mcmechen			
House			
3055 Palm Street	10/28/2010		11/29/2010
Julia French and George R.			
Metcalf House			
3443 Elliott Street	10/28/2010		11/29/2010
Mut kula xuy/Mut lah hoy ya			
Site #7			
Not permitted to list	11/19/2010		2/02/2011
Napoleon J. Roy House			
3065 Union Street	11/19/2010		2/02/2011

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Burlingame Historic District		
Contributor		
2405 Dulzura Avenue	11/19/2010	2/02/2011
Henry Nelson/Martin V.		
Melhorn Spec House #1		
1955 Sunset Boulevard	1/28/2011	3/15/2011
Alexander Schreiber Spec		
House #5		
2310 Presidio Drive	2/24/2011	3/15/2011
Dr. James and Virginia		
Churchill/William Templeton		
Johnson House		
3264 Curlew Street	2/24/2011	3/15/2011
Alonzo and Sophia Finley		
House		
3674 Louisiana Street	2/24/2011	3/15/2011
Maxwell and Frances Manning		
House		
4640 Biona Drive	2/24/2011	3/15/2011
Earl and Rosalie Verdeckberg		
House		
3747 Milan Street	2/24/2011	3/15/2011
Linda Vista Tenant Activity		
Building		
6909 Linda Vista Road	2/24/2011	3/15/2011
Eric Lund and Anna M.		
Dahlander Lund House		
1036 Madison Avenue	3/24/2011	11/30/2011
Robert and Frances Johnston		
Rental House		
1545 29th Street	3/24/2011	5/23/2011

Certified Local Government Program -- 2010-2011 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Walter J. and Grace Ogden/		
Ralph L. Frank		
1007 Cypress Avenue	3/24/2011	5/23/2011
William and Ida Cook House		
3819 Pringle Street	3/24/2011	5/23/2011
Gilbert and Alberta McClure		
Rental House and Apartments		
4050-4056 Hamilton Street	3/24/2011	5/23/2011
William Templeton Johnson/		
Harry Brawner Rental House		
4460 Trias Street	3/24/2011	5/23/2011
Carl and Mary Lundquist House		
2044 3rd Avenue	4/28/2011	7/05/2011
Park Prospect		
Condominiums/Russell Forester		
Building		
800 Prospect Street	4/28/2011	7/05/2011
Lisbon & Margaret		
Durham/Thomas Shepherd		
House		
364 Via del Norte	4/28/2011	7/05/2011
Jack and Neva Millan Spec		
House #1		
1737 W. Arbor Drive	4/28/2011	7/05/2011
Harry and Hattie Stone House		
3330 Albatross Street	5/26/2011	7/05/2011
Fredrick and Ada		
Sedgwick/Pear Pearson House		= (0.5 (0.011)
3602 Villa Terrace	5/26/2011	7/05/2011
Emma Spargle Chanter/Martin		
V. Melhorn House		= (0.5 (0.011)
4139 Palmetto Way	5/26/2011	7/05/2011

Certified Local Government Program -- 2010-2011 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Ida Kuhn House			
3607 Lark Street	5/26/2011	7/05	5/2011
George F. Hopkins House			
3223 2nd Avenue	5/26/2011	7/05	5/2011
Parker and Dorothy Seitz/			
Thomas Shepherd House			
7123 Olivetas Avenue	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
Mary Maschal House			
1436 31st Street	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
Carl B. and Matilda G. Hays			
Spec House No. 1			
4909 Kensington Drive	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
Robert and Cora Anderson and			
Leonard and Helga Johnson			
Spec. House #1			
4363 North Talmadge Drive	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
Sidney O. Spaulding House			
1730 Dale Street	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
John and Emilie			
Wahrenberger/Martin V.			
Melhorn House			
1329 Fort Stockton Drive	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
Lt. Earl and Mildred De			
Long/William H. Wheeler/A. L.			
and A. E. Dennstedt House			
4990 Westminster Terrace	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011
John K. and Judith B. Wells			
Spec House #1			
6758 Muirlands Drive	6/23/2011	8/10)/2011

Certified Local Government Program -- 2010-2011 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

North Park Dryden Historic	6/23/2011	104	11/01/2011
District:			
Robert and Ruby Magness			
House			
412 San Fernando Street	7/28/2011		Pending Appeal
Albert and Anna			
Kenyon/Archibald McCorkle			
House			
2832 Granada Avenue	7/28/2011		8/31/2011
Guilford H. and Grace Whitney			
House			
4146 Miller Street	7/28/2011		8/31/2011
Miguel and Ella Gonzalez			
House			
2829 28th Street	7/28/2011		8/31/2011
Tillie Genter House			
7356 Eads Avenue	7/28/2011		8/31/2011
Bertha B. Mitchell House			
2121 Sunset Boulevard	7/28/2011		8/31/2011
Ralph and Agnes Virden House			
3646 Albert Street	7/28/2011		8/31/2011
Louis H. and Charlotte L.			
Quayle House			
4773 Panorama Drive	9/22/2011		11/01/2011
Edward and Mabel Rohde			
House			
3519 Dumas Street	9/22/2011		11/01/2011
Nathan and Hattie Rigdon Spec.			
House # 1			
4240 Arguello Way	9/22/2011		11/01/2011

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

John and Lou Ernsting House	0/20/2011	11/01/2011
3415 Elliott Street	9/22/2011	11/01/2011
Norman and Eleanore Roulette		
House		
2574 Plum Street	9/22/2011	11/01/2011
Alice Lee/ Irving J. Gill/ Hazel		
Wood Waterman House		
3574 7th Avenue	9/22/2011	11/01/2011
W.J. Chadwick Spec House #1		
3134 Dale Street	9/22/2011	11/01/2011

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

3. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors.

Property Name/Address	Date Removed
Harwood Tichenor Rental Property	11/30/2010
1151 (1157) Tenth Avenue	

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? \Box No

	Х١	∕es,	in a s	eparate	historic	; prese	ervation	element.		l Yes,	it is	included	d in a	another	element.
م ام ایر در م				- Unit to	1				- 1 -	1 - 4 - 4	0		1		

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan. http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf

- 2. Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community's general plan?
 Yes X No If you have, provide an electronic link. Type here.
- 3. When will your next General Plan update occur? 15 to 20 years

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

 \Box All projects subject to design review go the commission.

X Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources. Historical Resources staff reviews and approves minor modifications to historical resources that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a project as a minor modification or if the DAS review concludes that a project is consistent with the Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review and comment.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.*

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

4. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? *Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all Section 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National Register elibible resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego.*
- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within
 the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the
 Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for
 projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design
 Assistance Subcommittees and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106
 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible
 sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Dr. Michael Baksh	Archaeologist	07/13/2010	03/01/2013	mgbaksh@aol.com
Priscilla Berge	Historian	11/14/2006	03/01/2013	paberge@cox.net
Alex Bethke	Historian	01/28/2009	03/01/2012	abethke03@gmail.com
Maria Curry	Historic Architect / Historic Preservation Planner	05/24/2004	03/01/2012	marucurry@yahoo.com
Gail Garbini	Landscape Architect	02/11/2008	03/01/2013	ggarbini@garbiniandgarbini.com
Ann Jarmusch	Architectural History/Fine Arts	11/12/2009	NA (Resigned)	annjarmusch@yahoo.com
John Lemmo	Law	02/11/2008	03/01/2013	jl@prcopio.com

A. Commission Membership

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Linda Marrone	Real Estate	10/28/2008	03/01/2013	lmarrone@san.rr.com	
Abel Silvas	Native American/Californio Family Descendant	03/24/2003	03/01/2011	runninggrunion@juno.com	
Dr. Ann Woods	Architectural History	11/12/2009	03/01/2013	awoods@sandiego.edu	

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If your do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, why have the professional qualifications not been met and how is professional expertise being provided? N/A
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? The HRB currently has two vacancies and one termed-out position. The Mayor's office and CLG staff are actively recruiting knowledgeable individuals to fill the existing vacancies.

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? X Yes
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? None

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Cathy Winterrowd Principal Planner/CLG Coordinator/Liaison to HRB (12/05 to present)	History & Planning; Ethnography	Development Services Department; Planning Division	cwinterrowd@sandiego.gov
Kelley Stanco Senior Planner (3/06 to present)	History & Planning	Development Services Department; Planning Division	kstanco@sandiego.gov
Jodie Brown, AICP Senior Planner (2/08 to 3/10; 10/10 to present)	History & Planning	Development Services Department; Planning Division	jdbrown@sandiego.gov
Jeffrey Oakley Associate Planner	Urban Planning	Development Services Department; Planning Division	joakley@sandiego.gov

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

(2/10 to present)			
Shannon Anthony Board Secretary (3/08 to present)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department; Planning Division	santhony@sandiego.gov

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all new staff.

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Mike Baksh		Х	No Meeting	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х		Х
Priscilla Berge	Х	Х	No Meeting	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х		Х	Х
Alex Bethke	X		No Meeting	Х		Х	X	X		Х	Х	Х
Maria Curry	X		No Meeting	Х	Х	X		X	Х	X		
Gail Garbini	X		No Meeting	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х		Х
Ann Jarmusch	X	X	No Meeting			X	X	X	Х			
John Lemmo		X	No Meeting	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х
Linda Marrone		X	No Meeting	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х		Х	Х
Abel Silvas	X	X	No Meeting	Х	Х	X	X		Х	X		
Ann Woods	X	X	No Meeting	Х	Х	X	X	X		X	Х	Х
Shannon Anthony HRB Secretary	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Jodie Brown Senior Planner	X	X	No Meeting	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Jeff Oakley Associate Planner	Х	Х	No Meeting	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Kelley Stanco Senior Planner	X	X	No Meeting	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	X	X	X
Cathy Winterrowd Principal Planner	Х	Х	No Meeting	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Board Members: Berge, Marrone, Curry, Silvas and Wood; Staff: Winterrowd, Stanco, Brown, Oakley and Anthony	Congress of History 46 th Annual History Conference: "They Made a Difference: the Unsung History of Women in the San Diego Region" Two day conference highlighting local women's histories from Indian women through Spanish and Mexican Colonial periods and on through the 20 th Century.	Two full days	Various, including City Staff Winterrowd, Professional Archaeologists and Historians, Park Ranger, Historical and Environmental Consultants, and Docents	March 3-4, 2011
Staff Winterrowd, Brown and Stanco	California Environmental Quality Act and Historic Resources: Thresholds, Mitigation, and Case Studies; CPF Workshop	One day: 8:30am to 4:30 pm	Various, including City Staff Winterrowd, Attorney Jan Chatten- Brown, Consultant Christy McAvoy, and Historian Ron Parsons	March 16, 2011
Staff: Winterrowd	California Preservation Foundation Annual Conference	Two full days	Various, including City Staff Winterrowd, Professional Archaeologists and Historians, Historical and Environmental Consultants, local Politicians, and	May 16-17, 2011

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

			Property Owners	
Staff: Winterrowd	Sustainable Site Stewardship and NHL Owners & Stewards – Workshop at the CPF Conference	One full day: 9:00am to 5:00pm	NPS staff, State Parks staff, National Trust, local jurisdiction staff and Consultants	May 18, 2011
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic</u> <u>Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (PDF or link if available online) with this report.

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Uptown	A new historic context with limited field work is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Uptown community. Themes identified included influence of the subdivision boom, streetcar development, suburbanization, and the automobile.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Staff working to finalize draft context.
Golden Hill	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Golden Hill community. The context focuses on the development of Golden Hill as one of the earliest residential districts	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Draft context finalized, awaiting public hearing process. Submitted to OHP in 2011.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
	located outside of downtown.		
North Park	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the North Park community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Draft context finalized, awaiting public hearing process. Submitted to OHP in 2011.
Old Town	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Old Town community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Draft in review by staff and public.
Midway	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Midway community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Draft in review by staff and public.

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts, to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (electronic format preferred) with this report.

Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
North Park	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 1,466	Approx 6,500	In Progress Draft report under review by staff.	Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Golden Hill	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 441	Approx 5,000	In Progress Draft report under review by staff.
Old Town	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 285	Approx 234	In Progress Draft report under review by staff.
Midway	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 902	Approx 613	In Progress Draft report under review by staff.

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify important aspects of community character. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources. Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluate resources are evaluated at the project level when an permit application is submitted.

C. Corrections or changes to Inventory

Property Name/Address	Additions/Deletions to Inventory	Status Code Change From - To	Reason	Date of Change
None		Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs have you undertaken? Please provide copy of (or an electronic link) all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Potential Historical Resource Review – Public Working Group	The Potential Historical Resource Review (SDMC 143.0212) requires that staff determine if a potentially significant historical resource exists on site prior to the approval of a construction or development permit. A working group led by Historical Resources staff and comprised of individuals from local community planning groups and historical organizations participates in this review process by providing input to staff on the history and potential significance of a property under the adopted HRB criteria, prior to staff approving a project.	Ongoing
Individual meetings with historic property owners	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing
Community Planning Group Historical Resources Training Session	City-sponsored training for interested members of community planning groups on the City's historical resources program and regulations. Specific topics included identification and treatment of historical resources, designation criteria and common architectural styles found in San Diego, responsibilities and benefits of historic property ownership, historic contexts, and use of historic surveys in the community plan update (planning) process.	October 28, 2010
UCSD Extension "Site Analysis: Development Opportunities and Constraints"	Staff was a guest lecturer for a discussion about site planning related to historical and cultural resources. Identification, treatment, and mitigation of impacts under CEQA and NEPA were explained along with a review of other relevant local, State and Federal regulations and guidelines.	January 31, 2011
Old San Diego Community Plan update meeting	Staff and historic survey consultant provided background information and preliminary historic context themes of this community plan update.	February 15, 2011

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Item or Event	Description	Date
Linda Vista Community Planning Group	Staff presented information on the City's historical resources regulations and permit review process and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards with an emphasis on adaptive reuse.	April 25, 2011
San Ysidro Community Plan update meeting	Staff presented the final survey results, including a review of the potentially significant individual resources and the potential historic district. These results were used in formulating the preferred land use alternatives for the plan update.	May 11, 2011
La Jolla Historical Society Workshop on Historical Designation	Staff provided information on the City's regulations, designation report requirements and criteria for listing a property on the City's Register.	July 14, 2010
Old San Diego Community Plan update meeting	Staff and historic survey consultant provided background information, revised historic context, and approach for historic survey component of this community plan update.	August 30, 2011
Historical Resources Board meeting: Presentation of the Golden Hill and North Park Historical Resources Surveys	The historic consultant updated the Board and public on the status of the North Park and Greater Golden Hill surveys, including presentation of recommendations related to potential historic districts, individual sites and conservation areas.	September 22, 2011

V. National Park Service Baseline Questionnaire for new CLGs (certified after September 30, 2010).

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to the NPS on your behalf. Guidance for completing the Baseline Questionnaire is located at<u>www.nps.gov/hps/clg/forms.html</u>.

A. CLG Inventory Program

1. What is the net cumulative number of historic properties in your CLG inventory as of September 30, 2010? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal during the report year. Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

- 1. As of September 30.2010, did your local government have a local register program to create local landmarks/local historic districts (or a similar list of designations created by local law? \Box Yes \Box No
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the net cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties (i.e., contributing properties) locally registered/designated as of September 30, 2010? Type here.

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. As of September 30, 2010, did your local government have a local historic preservation tax incentives program (e.g. Mills Act)?
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties whose owners have taken advantage of those incentives as of September 30, 2010? Type here.

D. Local "Bricks and Mortar" Grants/Loans Program

- 1. As of September 30, 2010, did your local government have a locally-funded, historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? Type here.
- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties assisted by these grants or loans as of September 30, 2010? Type here.

E. Local Design Review/Regulatory Program

- 2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties that your local government has reviewed under that process as of September 30, 2010? Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- As of September 30, 2010, did your local government by purchase, donation, condemnation, or other means help to acquire or acquire itself some degree of title (e.g., fee simple interest or an easement) in historic properties?
 Yes
- If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties with a
 property interest acquisition assisted or carried out by your local government as of September 30, 2010?
 Type here.

VI. Additional Information for National Park Service Annual Products Report for CLGs (certified before September 30, 2010).

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. **Please read** "Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs" located at <u>www.nps.gov/hps/clg/forms.html.</u>

A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period, how many historic properties did your local government add to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Designations	160
North Park Historic Survey – locally funded	203 individual resources; 268 district contributors
Greater Golden Hill – locally funded	71 individual resources; 109 district contributors

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

(This information is captured under I.B. above.)

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. During the reporting period did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? X Yes O No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been assisted under the program(s)?

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Mills Act	42

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. During the reporting period, did you have a local government historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties?
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s)? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- During the reporting period, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to, or impacts on, properties with a historic district? X Yes
- 2. If the answer is yes, then, during the reporting period, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's Historic preservation regulatory law(s)? Approximately 900 properties that fall under the City's Historical Resources Regulations were reviewed for compliance during the reporting period.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period, did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? Yes X No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s)? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

VII. In addition to the minimum CLG requirements, OHP is interested in a Summary of Local Preservation Programs

- A. What is the current status of preservation in your community? The City's historic preservation program continues to be an active, vital aspect of the City's planning activities with several historic surveys underway in conjunction with community planning efforts. The program remains an area of great interest to many property owners and community members in the City's oldest areas. There is a strong and vocal public constituency that takes an active interest in preservation and preservation planning issues. There also remains strong political interest in and support of historic preservation on the part of the Mayor and City Council.
- B. What are the most critical preservation planning issues? As identified last year, the lack of a city-wide context and comprehensive survey has generated concerns by the preservation community about the City's ability to identify and protect potentially significant historical resources including contributing resources within potential historic districts. The public's understanding of this and other constraints facing City government relative to the legal application of regulations and local government's role in the development review process is an important preservation planning issue in San Diego. The HRB has voiced a concern about the need for better understanding of integrity and how it affects the significance of a potential historical resource. In an effort to connect with the public and address these issues, staff

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

continues public outreach and education efforts; such as, attendance at planning group meetings, workshops, and seminars and intends to schedule professional training on integrity for the Board and staff to better understand that aspect of significance determinations.

- C. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? The designation of the North Park Dryden Historic District was the most significant preservation accomplishment this year for the City. Located in the North Park community at the northeast corner of Balboa Park, the District is comprised of 136 properties; 104 contributing and 32 non-contributing. Resources within the District are comprised of single family homes and some multifamily units designed in the Craftsman, Spanish Eclectic and Minimal Traditional/Early Ranch styles. The District was designated with a period of significance of 1912-1941 as a streetcar suburb embodying a variety of architectural styles of the period and reflecting the work of several Master Builders, including David O. Dryden, Edward Bryans and Alexander Schreiber. The establishment of the District was achieved through a partnership between the local neighborhood historical society who prepared the nomination and City staff. The designation of the North Park Dryden Historic District will ensure that this significant and intact concentration of early twentieth century homes will be preserved for future generations of San Diegans.
- D. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? In May of each year the City's HRB recognizes individuals, groups, businesses and agencies who positively contribute to the preservation and advancement of San Diego's unique history and heritage. The Board recognizes achievements in the categories of Agency, Archaeology, Architectural Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Restoration, Community History, Cultural Diversity, Cultural Landscape, History, Individual Accomplishment, and Preservation Advancement. Nominations are accepted from Boardmembers, staff and members of the public between February and April each year. The award recipients are recognized at the annual ceremony in May, where they receive their Awards of Excellence from the Board and commendations from various City Councilmembers. Additionally, during the last two weeks of May, posters and photographs, brochures, and exhibits are displayed in the lobby of the City Administration Building to highlight historic preservation in San Diego. The display coincides with the annual awards celebration.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

E. How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year? Our goals for the 2010-2011 period were as follows:

1.) Complete surveys and reports in support of the Uptown, North Park and Greater Golden Hill community plan updates. (The surveys and reports for North Park and Golden Hill are in final draft stage and are awaiting the public hearing process for finalization.)

2.) Complete the ordinance revisions proposed for designation appeals process. (This is no longer being pursued.)

3.) Complete the pending Dryden North Park historic district submitted by the local neighborhood history group. (Goal Met)

4.) Develop and obtain City Council Approval of a programmatic approach to the expenditure of monies from the City's Historic Preservation Fund for use and activities which foster, promote and incentivize historic preservation. (Goal Met)

5.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract. (Goal Met)

6.) Establish the City's CHRID and begin the process of transferring data and making it available to the public via the City's website. (Goal Met)

F. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2011-2012? Our goals for 2011-2012 are as follows:

 Amend Municipal Code to allow for collection of fines and civil penalties for unpermitted alteration of historic resources and designated historic resources. These amendments will serve as a deterrant to those who might consider adversely altering a historic resource prior to obtaining the required permits.
 Complete the context statements and reconnaissance surveys for the Midway and Old Town

Community Planning Areas, which are currently underway as part of the community plan updates.

3.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

4.) Complete the pending Mission Hills Expansion historic district submitted by members of the community in 2011.

5.) Work through and eliminate the queue of pending historic designation nominations and begin processing new nominations within 90 days of receipt.

6.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

7.) Work with the San Diego AIA to present a workshop on San Diego Modernism.
8.) In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park.

- G. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? Integrity and resources of the recent past
- H. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Integrity issues related to historical resource significance	Workshop setting for the Board, staff and public

I. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP? XYes \Box No

XII Attachments

Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff

Minutes from commission meetings

Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance



Public outreach publications

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011)

Email Form

Email to lwoodward@parks.ca.gov