Appendix A has been included to document the public input process strategy and opportunities. The schedule below indicates the major milestones and steps in the process for public input. The months are variable, but the overall project was originally planned to be one year, however, based on input and scheduling issues, the extended period of the input is more likely to be just slightly less than 18 months.

The remaining portions of Appendix A include the strategy, meeting topics and specifics used at each major public input milestone. These sheets also indicate the primary outcome expected and use of this input.
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER: COMMUNITY PLANNERS COMMITTEE (C-1)

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:
Review the overall project approach, citywide mapping results and specifically the methods proposed for a community selection process.

TARGET AUDIENCE:
Community Planning Group Chairpersons and the general public in attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME NEEDED:</th>
<th>TIMEFRAME:</th>
<th>VENUE:</th>
<th>EXPECTED TURNOUT:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>June-July</td>
<td>CPC Meeting Location</td>
<td>25-50 people</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:
- Denotes proposed methodology being considered
- Event Notification to Media
- Meeting Notification with Direct Mailings
- Submitted Media Articles
- Website / E-mail Notifications
- Stakeholder ID & Contact

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:
- Handout Materials
- Presentation
- Staffed Topic Station Displays
- Self-running Presentations
- Display Boards

VERBAL / LOCATIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:
- Full Group Discussion
- Walk Audit
- Break Out Discussion Groups
- Hands On Concept Exercise
- Map Locational Input
- Ranking / Voting Exercises

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:
- Report Review Comments
- Written / Mailed Comments
- Post-it Note Comments on Display Boards
- Questionnaire Handout Input
- Direct Mail Questionnaire Input
- Internet Questionnaire & Comment Input

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:
Consensus on: vision statement, goals and objectives; the proposed community selection criteria; route types; and the pedestrian project priority criteria
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER  COMMUNITY PLANNERS COMMITTEE (C-2)

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:
Review the results of the community selection process & discuss weighting factors

TARGET AUDIENCE:
Community Planning Group Chairpersons and the general public in attendance

TIME NEEDED:  TIMEFRAME:  VENUE:  EXPECTED TURNOUT:
30 minutes  September- October 2006  CPC Meeting Location  25-50 people

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:
Denotes proposed methodology being considered

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:

VERBAL / LOCATIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:
Consensus on: the proposed community selection and additional input on the Pedestrian Project Priority weighting system with suggestions on how to adjust the weighting factors on several variables.
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER: CITY-WIDE OPEN HOUSE (C-3)

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:
Provide the opportunity for the general public to review Task 1 results, provide input on goals, policies & methodologies to be used for community selection and pedestrian project priorities.

TARGET AUDIENCE:
Community Group board members, working group members, major community activist and the general public.

TIME NEEDED: 3 Hours
TIMEFRAME: August-September
VENUE: Central Location
EXPECTED TURNOUT: 75-100 people

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:
- Event Notification to Media
- Meeting Notification with Direct Mailings
- Submitted Media Articles
- Website / E-mail Notifications
- Stakeholder ID & Contact

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:
- Handout Materials
- Presentation
- Staffed Topic Station Displays
- Self-running Presentations
- Display Boards

VERBAL / LOCAOTIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:
- Full Group Discussion
- Walk Audit
- Break Out Discussion Groups
- Hands On Concept Exercise
- Map Locational Input
- Ranking / Voting Exercises

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:
- Report Review Comments
- Written / Mailed Comments
- Post-it Note Comments on Display Boards
- Questionnaire Handout Input
- Direct Mail Questionnaire Input
- Internet Questionnaire & Comment Input

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:
Input on: vision statement, goals and objectives; the proposed community selection criteria; route types; and the pedestrian project priority criteria.
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER  

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:

Provide planning commission and city council representatives the opportunity to comment on the project, suggest written comment response on the submittal and to seek acceptance of the document in a motion.

TARGET AUDIENCE:

City Council and Planning Commissioners along with Public Testimony

TIME NEEDED:  
15 Minutes

TIMEFRAME:  
October-November

VENUE:  
Council Chambers

EXPECTED TURNOUT:  
25-50 people

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:

Denotes proposed methodology being considered

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:

VERBAL / LOCATIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

Input on: consensus on the key components of the project including the prioritization process, community selection, objectives, and potential policy revisions.
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER
“SELECTED COMMUNITY” MEETING (C-6 & 7)

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:
Provide overview of the project, present Track 1 results & explain what will be done in Track 2. Maps with Level 1 & 2 criteria will be shown with study area boundaries. Seek comments on the adequacy of the study area.

TARGET AUDIENCE:
Community Group board members and the general public who may attend the community group meeting.

TIME NEEDED: 30 minutes
TIMEFRAME: October- November
VENUE: Community Group’s Meeting Location
EXPECTED TURNOUT: 25-50 people

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:
- Event Notification to Media
- Meeting Notification with Direct Mailings
- Submitted Media Articles
- Website / E-mail Notifications
- Stakeholder ID & Contact

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:
- Handout Materials
- Presentation
- Staffed Topic Station / Displays
- Self-running Presentations
- Display Boards

VERBAL / LOCATIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:
- Full Group Discussion
- Walk Audit
- Break Out Discussion Groups
- Hands On Concept Exercise
- Map Locational Input
- Ranking / Voting Exercises

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:
- Report Review Comments
- Written / Mailed Comments
- Post-it Note Comments on Display Boards
- Questionnaire Handout Input
- Direct Mail Questionnaire Input
- Internet Questionnaire & Comment Input

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:
Input on: the proposed project study area for the community and any problem areas or potential projects outside of the study area.
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER  SELECTED COMMUNITY WORKSHOPS (C-8 & 9)

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:
A 30 minute presentation of the existing mapped conditions and an overview of possible pedestrian solutions; a walk audit for 1 hour where 3-4 groups will walk through several different geographic areas looking for issues & a regrouped discussion for 30 minutes followed by 30 minutes of presentation of hotspots & rough solutions.

TARGET AUDIENCE:
Community Group board members and community members along with any major community stakeholder.

TIME NEEDED:  TIMEFRAME:  VENUE:  EXPECTED TURNOUT:
3 1/2 Hours  December-January  Location in Community near the middle of study area  75-100 people

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:
Event Notification to Media  Meeting Notification with Direct Mailings  Submitted Media Articles  Website / E-mail Notifications  Stakeholder ID & Contact

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:
Handout Materials  Presentation  Staffed Topic Station Displays  Self-running Presentations  Display Boards

VERBAL / LOCATIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:
Full Group Discussion  Walk Audit  Break Out Discussion Groups  Hands On Concept Exercise  Map Locational Input  Ranking / Voting Exercises

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:
Report Review Comments  Written / Mailed Comments  Post-it Note Comments on Display Boards  Questionnaire Handout Input  Direct Mail Questionnaire Input  Internet Questionnaire & Comment Input

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:
Mapped input on existing pedestrian conditions, special problems and possible solutions for the study area but also for other areas outside of the study area as identified by community members on a map. Would also expect to have the community help rank the priority problem areas.
MEETING NAME AND NUMBER  SELECTED COMMUNITY MEETING (C-10 & 11)

MEETING PURPOSE AND POTENTIAL AGENDA ITEMS:
Present solutions to pedestrian issues with Level One Projects (up to 10 projects per selected community) and Level 2 Projects shown on maps. Work with the group to confirm these solutions and review the project ranking.

TARGET AUDIENCE:
Community Group board members and community members along with any major community stakeholder.

TIME NEEDED:  TIMEFRAME:  VENUE:  EXPECTED TURNOUT:
30 Minutes  February- March  Regular Community Group Location  25-50 people

NOTIFICATION TOOLS TO BE USED:
- Event Notification to Media
- Meeting Notification with Direct Mailings
- Submitted Media Articles
- Website / E-mail Notifications
- Stakeholder ID & Contact

EDUCATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION METHODS TO BE USED:
- Handout Materials
- Presentation
- Staffed Topic Station Displays
- Self-running Presentations
- Display Boards

VERBAL / LOCATIONAL INPUT EXPECTED:
- Full Group Discussion
- Walk Audit
- Break-out Discussion Groups
- Hands On Concept Exercise
- Map Locational Input
- Ranking / Voting Exercises

WRITTEN INPUT REQUESTED:
- Report Review Comments
- Written / Mailed Comments
- Post-it Note Comments on Display Boards
- Questionnaire Handout Input
- Direct Mail Questionnaire Input
- Internet Questionnaire & Comment Input

EXPECTED OUTCOMES:
A consensus and motion from the community group to support the proposed projects along with a prioritized ranking for the community. Would also solicit comments from the group on submitted reports.